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The following information is summarized from [1], where further details can be found. The streamline displacement by a Pitot probe, which causes the probe to measure a velocity other than the value at its geometric center, requires a correction which is known as the velocity gradient correction. The correction $\Delta y$ is usually written as a correction to the probe position, where

$$
\frac{\Delta y}{D_{\text {probe }}}=\varepsilon,
$$

where $D_{\text {probe }}$ is the outer diameter of the Pitot probe (in these data, $D_{\text {probe }}=$ $0.902 \mathrm{~mm})$, and $\Delta y$ is the correction that needs to be added to the wall distance $y$.

We recommend the correction proposed by Chu [2], where

$$
\varepsilon=0.18\left(\alpha-0.17 \alpha^{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
\alpha=\left.\frac{1}{U_{c}} \frac{d U}{d y}\right|_{c} \frac{D_{\text {probe }}}{2},
$$

where the subscript $c$ indicates the quantity evaluated at the center of the Pitot probe.
This correction only has a small effect on the profiles (in our case, less than $0.15 \%$ on the average velocity, less than $0.6 \%$ on von Kàrmàn's constant, and less than $2 \%$ on the additive constant in the log-law). Other corrections, such as that proposed by MacMillan [3] lead to much greater differences, which we feel to be incorrect.
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