

# Index

## A

AANHS. *See* Alaska Area Native Health Service  
ADEC. *See* Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation  
Advanced waste processing technologies under consideration at Ames Research Center, 84-85  
AlasCan organic waste and wastewater treatment ceramic toilet, 76  
composting tank, 74-76  
greywater treatment tank, 76  
kitchen waste disposal system, 76  
system characteristics, 74  
Alaska Area Native Health Service, 55,58  
Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs, 65  
coordination needs among training programs, 68  
O&M funding, 17-18  
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation  
capital construction funding, 58  
certification of sanitation facility operators, 63  
coordination needs among training programs, 68  
institutional and regulatory framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-53  
Local Utility Matching Program, 67  
mission and accomplishments, 5-6  
O&M funding, 17-18  
project planning, design, and construction considerations, 62  
Remote Worker Maintenance program, 64-65  
role and responsibilities, 51-52  
RUBA program funding, 65  
sanitation condition categories, 34  
Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 1971,28  
Alaska Native Health Board, 14  
Alaska Natives' roles and responsibilities  
capital construction concerns, 58-60  
conclusion, 50  
coordination of sanitation improvement efforts, 14  
economic health and culture of Native villages, 28-31,40-45  
Native peoples of Alaska, 26-28  
O&M funding concerns, 49-50

O&M of existing piped sanitation technologies, 39-40  
O&M of sanitation projects, 7-11, 45-49  
setting and sanitation problems,1-3,23-28, 31-36  
Alaska Science and Technology Foundation, 13-14, 62  
Alternative sanitation technologies  
AlasCan organic waste and wastewater treatment, 74-76  
conclusion, 93  
congressional options, 18-19  
Cowater small-vehicle haul system, 72-74  
Entech thermal oxidation system, 83-84  
INCINOLET electric toilet system. 80-81  
introduction, 71-72  
NASA Controlled Ecological Life Support system, 84-86  
NASA Extended Duration Orbiter waste management system, 88-90  
NASA Space Shuttle Orbiter waste management system, 86-88  
Phoenix composting toilet, 76-78  
Self-Contained Home system, 90-91  
Storbun propane toilet system, 81-83  
Sun-Mar composting toilet, 78-80  
technology issues and needs summary, 91-93  
Ames Research Center, 84  
Antarctica Analog Project, 85-86  
Arctic region, 25  
Army Corps of Engineers, 52-55

## B

Bering seacoast region, 25  
Bureau of Indian Affairs, 4, 55

## C

Capital construction funding  
community concerns, 58-60  
factors affecting, 60-61  
Federal government role, 55-58  
overview and findings, 6-7  
State role, 58

## 124 | An Alaskan Challenge

- Categories of sanitation conditions  
background, 34  
level a, 35  
level b, 35  
level c, 35  
level d, 35-36  
level e, 36
- CELSS. See Controlled Ecological Life Support System
- Certification and training of sanitation facility operators, 63-64
- Children's exposure to human waste, 33-34
- Clean Water Act, 15,53
- ClivusMultrum composting toilet, 76
- Congressional oversight considerations or options  
goals, 11-12  
interim option for improving sanitation among Native villages, 12-14  
options for solving the waste sanitation problems of Alaskan Natives, 14-21
- Controlled Ecological Life Support System  
Antarctica Analog Project, 85-86  
background, 84-85  
life support research testbed, 85
- Cost of living, role of subsistence practices in, 40-43
- Cowater small-vehicle haul system  
dual-flush toilet, 72-73  
haul tank and haul vehicle, 73-74  
in-house water supply, 73
- Cultural importance of subsistence practices among Alaska's Native villages, 30,44
- D**
- DCRA. See Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs
- Diseases and sanitation problems, 1-2,31,33-34, 37-38,58-59
- Distribution of sanitation technologies among rural Alaskan villages, 118-122
- E**
- Economic health and culture of Native villages, 28-31,40-45
- Emmonak sanitation project, 61-63
- Entech thermal oxidation system, 83-84
- Environmental Protection Agency, 5, 19-20,52-55, 60,65
- EPA. See Environmental Protection Agency
- Estimating Federal subsidy needs, 10-11
- Extended Duration Orbiter waste management system, 88-90
- F**
- Facilities construction, 4,6-7,55-61
- Farmers Home Administration, 45,60
- Federal and State agencies' roles and responsibilities. See *also specific agencies by name*  
capital construction funding, 6-7, 55-61  
conclusion, 69-70  
external subsidies for O&M maintenance activities, 68-69  
institutional and regulatory framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-55  
introduction, 51-52  
mission and accomplishments of agencies responsible for sanitation, 3-6  
permit types needed to constructor upgrade rural waste sanitation facilities, 54-55  
project planning, design, and construction, 61-63  
technical assistance, 17-18,64-68  
training and certification of sanitation facility operators, 63-64
- Federal Field-Alaska Rural Sanitation Work Group, 14,20-21,22,55
- Funding issues  
capital construction funding, 6-7,55-61  
O&M funding, 10-11, 17-18,49-50,68-69  
subsidized goods and services and transfer payments, 30-31,43-44,68-69
- G**
- Geographic setting of Alaska, 23-28
- H**
- Health epidemics and sanitation services, 33-34. See *also* Diseases and sanitation problems
- Hepatitis A in rural Alaska, 3,31,33-34, 116-117
- Honey bucket haul systems improvement program, 12-14, 16
- Honey bucket use statistics  
general distribution of Alaska's major indigenous cultures and of native villages operating honey buckets, 31-32  
rural Alaskan Native villages using honey buckets as predominant sanitation technology, 1-2
- I**
- IHS. See Indian Health Service
- INCINOLET electric toilet system  
maintenance requirements, 81  
system characteristics, 80-81
- Indian Health Amendments of 1992,5, 15, 17, 49-50,69

Indian Health Care Improvement Act of 1976, 4-5, 49-50,69

#### Indian Health Service

- capital construction funding, 7, 55-61
- community commitment to projects, 45
- coordination needs among training programs, 68
- improvement of existing honey bucket systems, 12-13
- institutional and regulatory framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-55
- mission and accomplishments, 4-5
- O&M funding, 10-11, 17-18, 49-50,68-69
- project planning, design, and construction considerations, 61-63
- role and responsibilities, 51-52, 69
- Sanitation Technology Demonstration Work Group funding, 15
- training of sanitation facility operators, 63
- Utility Maintenance Specialist program, 64

Indian Sanitation Facilities Construction Act of 1959,4-5,51,55

Institutional and regulatory framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-55

Interior region, 25

#### K

Kuskokwim and Yukon communities compared, 46-47

#### L

Land claims and tribal movements of Alaska Natives, 28, 111-115

Life support research testbed, 85

Local Utility Matching Program, 67

LUMP. *See* Local Utility Matching Program

#### M

Modern Alaska and emergence of Native corporations, 28-31

#### N

Nana Regional Corp., 62

NASA. *See* National Aeronautics and Space Administration

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Controlled Ecological Life Support System, 84-86

- Extended Duration Orbiter waste management system, 88-90

- Space Shuttle Orbiter waste management system, 86-88

National Arctic Research Laboratory, 85

National Science Foundation, 85

Native corporations, 14, 28-31

Native peoples of Alaska, 26-28

#### O

O&M. *See* Operation and maintenance issues

#### Operation and maintenance issues

- congressional oversight considerations,15,17
- factors contributing to inadequate O&M, 7-11, 48-49

- funding of O&M activities, 10-11, 17-18,49-50, 68-69

- Native communities' role in O&M, 7-11, 45-49

- pipelined sanitation technologies O&M, 39-40

- technical assistance, 17-18,64-68

- training and certification of sanitation facility operators, 63-64

Oversight hearings option, 19-21

#### Overview and findings

- capital construction for- sanitation facilities, 6-7

- conclusion, 21-22, 38

- congressional oversight considerations or options, 11-21

- factors contributing to inadequate O&M of sanitation facilities, 7-11

- mission and accomplishments of agencies responsible for sanitation, 3-6

- modern Alaska and the emergence of Native corporations, 28-31

- Native villages' setting and sanitation problems, 1-3, 23-28, 31-36

- water availability and sanitation in rural Alaska, 4.36-38

#### P

Phoenix composting toilet

- composting tank, 78

- kitchen waste disposal inlet, 77-78

- maintenance requirements, 78

- system characteristics, 76-77

- toilet system, 77

Piped sanitation technologies

- operation and maintenance, 39-40

- types considered for rural Native Alaskan villages, 8

- types in rural Alaskan villages, 40-42

Project planning, design, and construction considerations, 61-63

#### R

Regional Native corporations, 14, 28-31

Regulatory and institutional framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-55

Remote Maintenance Worker program, 64-65

## 126 | An Alaskan Challenge

RMW program. See Remote Maintenance Worker program  
RUB A. See Rural Utility Business Advisor Program  
Rural Utility Business Advisor Program, 65-67

### S

Safe Drinking Water Act, 15  
Sanitation facility operator certification and training, 63-64  
Sanitation technologies. *See also* Alternative sanitation technologies: Piped sanitation technologies  
existing technologies considered for Native villages in rural Alaska, 8-10  
honey bucket haul systems improvement program, 12-14, 16  
use statistics, 1-3, 31-32, 118-122  
Sanitation Technology Demonstration Work Group, 14-15  
Self-Contained Home system, 90-91  
Septic systems, 8  
Setting and sanitation problems of Native villages, 1-3, 23-28, 31-36  
Sewage management. *See* Sanitation technologies  
Snyder Act of 1921, 4  
Southwestern Alaska, 23, 25  
Space Shuttle Orbiter waste management system  
sewage collection/storage system, 88  
system characteristics, 86-87  
urine collection system, 87-88  
State agencies' roles and responsibilities. *See* Federal and State agencies' roles and responsibilities  
Storburn propane toilet system, 81-83  
Subsidized goods and services and transfer payments, 30-31, 43-44, 68-69  
Subsistence practices  
cultural importance among Alaska's Native villages, 44  
modern Alaska and emergence of Native corporations, 28-31  
role in village cost of living, 40-43  
Sun-Mar composting toilet  
Centrex and Water Closet Multrum models, 80  
Compact and X-L (EXCEL) models, 80  
maintenance requirements, 80  
system characteristics, 78-80

### T

Technical assistance programs, 17-18, 64-68  
Technology issues and needs summary  
actual costs of systems to Native villages, 92-93  
household size and design, 92

local village economy, 92  
Native community involvement in projects, 92  
permafrost influence, 91  
technical training of Natives, 92  
water availability, 91-92

Training and certification of sanitation facility operators, **63-64**  
Transfer payments and subsidized goods and services, 30-31, 43-44, 68-69  
Tribal movements and land claims of Alaska Natives, 111-115  
Truck haul system, 8, 31

### U

University of Alaska Anchorage, 13, 62, 64, 76, 79  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *See* Army Corps of Engineers  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *See* Environmental Protection Agency  
Utility Maintenance Specialist program, 64

### V

Village Safe Water Act, 58  
Village Safe Water program  
capital construction funding, 7, 58-61  
community commitment to projects, 45  
institutional and regulatory framework relevant to Alaskan village sanitation, 52-53  
Local Utility Matching Program, 67  
mission and accomplishments, 5-6  
project planning, design, and construction considerations, 61-63, 62-63  
role and responsibilities, 51-52, 69  
RUBA program funding, 65  
sanitation condition categories, 34-35  
training of sanitation facility operators, 63  
VSW. *See* Village Safe Water program

### W

Waste sanitation technologies. *See* Sanitation technologies  
Water availability and sanitation, 2, 3, 4, 36-38  
Western Alaska, 25  
Western influence and accessibility of Native communities, 44-45

### Y

Yukon and Kuskokwim communities compared, 46-47  
Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta region, 34  
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation, 38