
Appendixes

Animal and Plant Technology Workshop Methodology and Procedures

To assess the impacts of emerging agricultural production technologies, two workshops—one for animal technology and the other for plant, soil, anti water technology—were conducted in April 1984. The objective of the workshops was to obtain information about the development and adoption of emerging technologies so that the information could be used to analyze the economic, social, and environmental impacts of technology adoption.

Since the information needed spanned a wide range on the spectrum of the process of technological innovation—from successful completion of research to widespread commercialization of the technology—participants of the workshops were carefully selected to include expertise in different stages of technological innovation. Participants comprised physical and biological scientists, engineers, economists, extension specialists, agribusiness representatives, and experienced farmers.

The Delphi technique was used to obtain collective judgments from the workshop participants. To facilitate the process of obtaining consensus, an electronic Consensor was employed to tabulate the ratings assigned by each expert. In addition to registering the ratings, the device allowed each expert to weight his rating according to the degree of confidence or expertise he had in his rating. That level of confidence or expertise could be set at zero, 25, 50, 75, or 100 percent.

The Consensor provided an immediate video screen readout of the rating distribution, the weighted average rating, and the average degree of confidence. If the first vote showed a very wide distribution on ratings, those experts with ratings that were outliers were asked to explain their reasons for the ratings assigned. After additional discussion, another vote was taken. Since lack of a consensus after such discussion was, in itself, an indication of considerable uncertainty about the impacts of new technology, no attempt was made to force a consensus beyond a second vote.

The principal tasks accomplished at the workshops were:

- a. Estimation of the year that each technology¹ was likely to be introduced for commercial adoption,
- b. Estimation of the yield trends for each commodity in 1990 and 2000 under the no-new-technology environmental
- c. Packaging of technologies that are likely to be introduced in the production of each commodity in 1990 and 2000,
- d. Estimation of the increases in crop and livestock performance measures when the package of technologies is fully adopted by farmers.
- e. Estimation of the adoption profile (i.e., the number of years it takes to reach a certain percentage of adoption and the maximum percentage of adoption) of each package of technologies applied to a particular commodity²,
- f. Discussion of major barriers to the adoption of a particular package of technologies to the production of each commodity.
- g. Identification of public policy options that could remove the barriers or facilitate adoption of the packages of technologies.

Information obtained from this workshop was used to assess the economic, social, and environmental impacts of these technologies. Iowa State's CARD econometric and hybrid models were used to simulate the impacts of these emerging technologies on plant and animal production, inventory, demand, supply, prices, gross farm income, production expenses, and net farm income in 1990 and 2000 under alternative technology environments.

Alternative Environments for the Development and Adoption of Technology

Since the information to be obtained at the workshops depended on the environment under which a new technology would be developed and adopted, it was necessary to make certain assumptions about future environments, or scenarios. Four technology environments were developed and used in the workshops: most likely, more-new-technology, less-new-technology, and no-new-technology environments.

¹Alternative technology environments will be discussed in the next section

The most likely environment is bordered by the more-new-technology and the less-new-technology environments; both deviate from the position of the most likely environment. It is assumed in the most likely environment that the historical trends will continue into the future. Forces, such as gross national product (GNP), population growth, export demand for U.S. agricultural commodities, trade policy, inflation rates, energy prices, and research and extension expenditures, that have shaped the past would continue to evolve as they had in the past decades. Assumptions made for various economic variables under the three environments are shown in table A-1. Factors underlying the more-new-technology environment are generally more favorable for development and adoption of new technologies than those under the most likely environment, and factors underlying the less-new-technology environment are less favorable than those under the most likely environment.

The assumptions under the no-new-technology environment are the same as that of the less-new-technology environment except for new technologies. It is assumed that all emerging technologies discussed in the two workshops will not be available for commercial introduction before year 2000. Existing technologies will continue to be used. Through education and extension, farmers will learn to use the existing technologies better to increase productivity. Productivity is likely to continue to increase at a decreasing rate and will eventually level off.

Presentation and Discussion Of Technologies

In a plenary session, each author of a technology paper made a 10-minute presentation to give the participants essential information about a technology area so that they would be able to make intelligent projections about the development and adoption of that area. The authors' presentations focused on the following:

- a. When would a significant technology emerge from each major line of research?
- b. What is the output of the new technology? Is it a new product (e.g., a new vaccine for a particular disease) or a new process to produce the same product (e.g., no till)?
- c. How will each technology be used by farmers? Can it be used alone or in combination with other technologies? If it has to be combined with other technologies, how will they be packaged?
- d. What will it take for farmers to adopt it? Do they have to make a capital investment, such as the purchase of new chemicals, instruments, equipment, or machinery?
- e. What specific crops or livestock would be affected by adoption of a specific package of technologies?
- f. How would the package of technologies affect the performance of crop and livestock production?
- g. How would each package of technologies affect the quality of the environment and resource use?

Table A-1.—Alternative Technology Environments

| Factors | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Population growth rate: | | | |
| United States | 1.0% | 0.7% | 0.5% |
| World | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| GNP growth rate: | | | |
| United States | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| World | 5.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Trade policy | Less protectionist, more favorable terms of trade | Continuation of present trends | More protectionist, less favorable terms of trade |
| Rate of growth of export demand: | | | |
| Grain | 1.80/0 | 1.4% | 0.80/0 |
| Oilseeds | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Red meat | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Energy price growth rate (constant dollars) | 5.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Growth rate of research and extension expenditures (constant dollars) | 4.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Inflation rate | 8.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |

SOURCE Office of Technology Assessment

A checklist was given on the above information to the participants. Based on information obtained from the presentation and on interactions with the authors, the participants collectively packaged the technologies and estimated the impacts and the adoption profile for each package of technologies (see section "Packaging of Technologies").

Timing of Commercial Introduction

Since the impact of a new technology on agriculture at a given time depends on when the technology is introduced for commercial adoption, each author at the workshops was asked to make an initial estimate on the probable year of commercial introduction for each technology. Following each presentation, the entire group evaluated and discussed the author's initial estimate. The entire group then collectively estimated the year of commercial introduction of each technology under the three technology environments. Table A-2 shows the probable years of commercial introduction of animal technologies, and table A-3 shows the same for plant technologies under the three alternative environments.

The years of commercial introduction estimated ranged from the present or possibly earlier—for genetically engineered pharmaceutical products; control of infectious disease in animals; superovulation, embryo transfer, and embryo manipulation of cows; and control of plant growth and development—to 2000 and beyond for genetic engineering techniques for farm animals and cereal crops. Of the 57 potentially available animal technologies, 27 were estimated to be available for commercial introduction before 1990, and the other 30 between 1990 and 2000, under the most likely environment. In plant agriculture, 50 of the 90 technologies examined were projected to be available for commercial introduction by 1990, and the other 40 technologies between 1990 and 2000.

Packaging of Technologies

Since in practice most technologies would be used in combination with other technologies, the 28 areas of technologies were grouped into packages according to their probable impacts on particular commodities under different technology environments. Table A-4 shows different packages of technologies used in producing different commodities under the three alternative environments. In beef production, for example, 12 animal technology areas were grouped into six packages. Since more new technologies would be available for commercial adoption in later

years than earlier years, each package of technologies was further categorized as a 1990 package and a 2000 package. For example, package 1990A would include all genetic engineering technologies introduced commercially by 1990, and package 2000A would include all genetic engineering technologies introduced commercially by 2000, including all package 1990A technologies. Thus, there are really a total of 12 packages of technologies for beef production.

Performance Estimates Under the No-New-Technology Environment

To estimate the net impact of emerging technologies on agricultural production, the participants of the workshops were first asked to project the performance measures of crop and livestock production, such as crop yields and livestock feed efficiency, to 1990 and 2000 under the no-new-technology economic environment. Historical trend lines of performance measures of crop and livestock production were provided to the participants as a basis for their discussion. Through the Delphi process, participants collectively projected the performance measures for each of the nine commodities for 1990 and 2000 assuming that all emerging technologies identified and discussed in this study would not be available for commercial adoption by 2000. The performance measures used in this study were as follows:

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Wheat: | bushels per acre, percent of planted acreage harvested. |
| Corn: | bushels per acre, percent of planted acreage harvested. |
| Soybeans: | bushels per acre, percent of planted acreage harvested. |
| Cotton: | pounds per acre, percent of planted acreage harvested. |
| Rice: | bushels per acre, percent of planted acreage harvested. |
| Beef: | pounds of meat produced per pound of feed, calves per cow per year. |
| Swine: | pounds of meat produced per pound of feed, pigs per sow per year. |
| Dairy: | pounds of milk produced per pound of feed, pounds of milk produced per cow per year. |
| Poultry: | pounds of poultry produced per pound of feed, eggs per layer per year. |

The results of the estimates are shown in table A-5. If all the new technologies identified in this study do not become available for commercial adoption

Table A-2.—Timing of Commercial Introduction of Animal Technologies

| Technology | Technology environments | | | Technology | Technology environments | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology | | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology |
| <i>Genetic engineering:</i> | | | | Diagnostic methodologies | 1986 | 1986 | 1988 |
| Production of pharmaceuticals | 1982 | 1982 | 1982 | Selection for disease resistance | 1994 | 1999 | >2000 |
| Control of infectious diseases | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | Genetic engineering of micro-organisms and embryos | | | |
| Improvements in animal production | 1990 | 2000 | > 2000" | Embryos | 1995 | 1999 | >2000 |
| Detection and treatment of genetic abnormalities: | | | | Micro-organism | 1988 | 1989 | 1999 |
| Detection | 1990 | 1995 | >2000 | Immunobiology | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 |
| Treatment | 1990 | 2000 | >2000 | <i>Environment and animal behavior:</i> | | | |
| Control of cancer and leukemia | 1990 | 1990 | >2000 | Energy conservation | | | |
| <i>Animal production:</i> | | | | Non-Integrated system | 1985 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Cycle regulation | 1985 | 1989 | 1995 | Integrated system | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 |
| Superovulation, embryo transfer, and embryo manipulations | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | Optimizing total stress | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 |
| Improvement of fertility | 1990 | 1995 | 1995 | Stress and immunity | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 |
| Genetic engineering techniques for farm animals | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 | Photoregulation of physiological phenomena | 1990 | 1990 | >2000 |
| <i>Regulation of growth and development:</i> | | | | <i>Utilization of crop residues and animal wastes:</i> | | | |
| Muscle and adipose tissue accretion | 1987 | 1992 | >2000 | Energy from manure | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Hormone, serum, and tissue factors important to growth | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 | Chemicals from crop residues | 1990 | 1990 | >2000 |
| Immunological attraction of animals | 1990 | 1995 | >2000 | Animal feed from crop residue | 1990 | 1990 | >2000 |
| Measuring body composition and animal identification | 1990 | 1995 | >2000 | Animal feed from manure | 1990 | 1995 | >2000 |
| <i>Animal nutrition:</i> | | | | <i>Monitoring and control technologies:</i> | | | |
| Animal product consumption and human health | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 | Sensors | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Alimentary tract microbiology and digestive physiology | 1989 | 2000 | >2000 | Controllers | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Voluntary feed retake and efficiency of animal production | 1989 | 1995 | >2000 | Displays | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Maternal nutrition and progeny development | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Actuators | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Aquiculture | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | <i>Communication and information management:</i> | | | |
| <i>Livestock pest control:</i> | | | | Local communication networks | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Slow-release Insecticides | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Data terminals | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Vaccines | 1986 | 1986 | 1991 | Software and database systems | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Integrated systems | 1987 | 1989 | 1994 | Manufacturing management systems | 1987 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Modification of insect habitat | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | Expert systems | 1992 | 1995 | 2000 |
| Insect-resistant animals | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | <i>Telecommunications:</i> | | | |
| Utilizing Immunity systems | 1990 | 1990 | 1995 | Digital communication | 1990 | 2000 | >2000 |
| <i>Disease control:</i> | | | | Fiber optics | 1990 | 2000 | >2000 |
| Data management and systems analysis | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | Personal computers | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| | | | | Videotex and teletext | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| | | | | Value-added networks | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| | | | | Integrated services digital network | 1987 | 1990 | 2000 |
| | | | | Remote sensing | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| | | | | <i>Labor-saving technologies:</i> | | | |
| | | | | Robotic farming | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 |

" > = Alter

SOURCE Office of Technology Assessment

by 2000, the performance of crops and livestock could continue to improve through 2000 (but at slower rates), primarily because of better applications of existing technologies through education and extension. For example, corn yields are projected to increase from 115 bushels per acre in 1982 to 117 bushels per acre in 1990 and 124 bushels per acres

in 2000. Wheat yields are projected to increase from 36 bushels per acre in 1982 to 38 bushels per acre in 1990 and 41 bushels per acre in 2000. And milk production could increase from 12,300 pounds per cow per year in 1982 to 13,700 pounds in 1990 and 15,700 pounds in 2000.

Table A-3.—Timing of Commercial Introduction of Plant Technologies

| Technology | Technology environments | | | Technology | Technology environments | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology | | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology |
| Genetic engineering: | | | | Water and soil-water-plant relations: | | | |
| microbial inoculums | 1990 | 1990 | Never | Understanding drought resistance/tolerance | 2000 | 2020 | 2050 |
| Plant propagation | 1983-90 | 1983-90 | >1990 | Plant breeding | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Genetically engineered plants | | | | Biotechnology ^a recombinant DNA | | | |
| Vegetable | 1990 | 1990 | 1995 | Water use efficiency | 2010 | 2030 | 2050 |
| Soybeans/cotton | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | Water management | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Cereals | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 | Photovoltaic systems | 1995 | 1995 | 2010 |
| Enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency: | | | | Soil erosion, productivity, and tillage: | | | |
| Basic process of photosynthesis | 1983 | 1983 | 1983 | Conservation farming systems | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 |
| Photosynthetic control by Internal and external factors | 1983-90 | 1983-90 | 1983-2000 | Assessing erosion and its impact | 1995 | 1995 | 2000 |
| Photosynthetic molecular biology and genetics | 1990-2000 | 1990-2000 | 1990-2000 | Reclaiming lands | 1995 | 1995 | >2000 |
| Estimation of photosynthesis and project management needs | 1983-90 | 1983-90 | 1983-90 | Use of public for soil conservation projects | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 |
| Mechanisms of response and adaptation to stress | 1990 | 1983-95 | 2000 | Multiple cropping: | | | |
| Plant growth regulators: | | | | Breeding crops for intensive planting systems | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Controlling growth and development | 1984 | 1984 | 1985 | Double cropping/intercropping | 1990 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Resistance to disease and insect pests | 1986 | 1988 | 1990 | Competition by plant species for growth factors | 1990 | 1995 | 1990** |
| Overcoming environmental stresses | 1986 | 1988 | 1990 | Plant nutrition through fertilizers and microbiology | 1995 | 2000 | >2000 |
| Postharvest preservation | 1985 | 1986 | 1990 | Mechanization for multiple cropping | 1987 | 1990 | 1987** |
| Plant disease and nematode control: | | | | Organic farming: | | | |
| Breed cultivators | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Reduced use of inputs | | | |
| Genetic engineering | 2000 | 2000 | 2025 | Biocides | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Bacteriocides fungicides and nematocides | 1988 | 1990 | 2000 | Reduced soil erosion | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Biocontrol agents | 1985 | 1990 | 2010 | Self-sufficiency for nutrients | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Crop loss assessment | 1985 | 1990 | 2000 | Minimum tillage with minimal biocide use | 1990 | 1990-95 | 2000 |
| Management of insects and mites, Chemical controls | >1995 | 2000 | >2000 | Rotations | | | |
| New chemicals | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Use | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Application technology | 1988 | 1990 | 1995 | Knowledge | 1990 | 1990-95 | 2000 |
| Genetic engineering | | | | Labor-saving technologies: | | | |
| Pathogen/c chemicals | 1995 | 2000 | 2005 | Mechanized fruit and vegetable operations | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Plants | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | Robotic farming | | | |
| Information processing | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Fruit and vegetable | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Weed control: | | | | Grains | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Bioregulation through chemical and biological technology | 1984-2000 | 1984-2000 | 1984-2000 | Crop separation, cleaning, and processing: | | | |
| Allelopathic chemicals as bioregulators | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | New methods for separating and cleaning grain | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 |
| Crop tolerance and susceptibility to control agents | 1992 | 1998 | >2000 | Infield or onfarm processing of forages and oilseeds: | | | |
| IWMS for conservation tillage and annual multicrop production | 1984-2000 | 1984-2000 | 1984-2000 | Vegetable | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Biological nitrogen fixation: | | | | Forage | 1990 | 1990 | 2000 |
| Improved strains of rhizobia | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Oilseed | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Stress-tolerant rhizobia | 1987 | 1990-95 | 1995-2000 | Engine and fuels: | | | |
| Legumes more active in nitrogen fixation (plant breeding) | 1990-95 | 1990-95 | 1990-95 | Adiabatic compression ignition engines with turbocompounding | 1990 | 1990 | 1990 |
| Root zone of cereals | >2000 | >2000 | >2000 | Electronic engine controls | 1985-86 | 1986 | 1986 |
| Nitrogen-fixing cereals | >2000 | >2000 | >2000 | Alternative fuels | | | |
| Chemical fertilizers: | | | | Grass | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Increasing efficiency of nitrogen use | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | Cellulose | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Decreasing energy required | 1980 | 1980 | 1980 | Land management: | | | |
| Processing of lower quality phosphate rock into fertilizers | 1990 | 1990 | 1990 | Conservation tillage | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Ammonia from coal | 1995 | 2000 | 2000 | Controlled traffic farming | 1987 | 1990 | 1995 |
| | | | | Customized-prescribed tillage | 2000 | 2005 | 2020 |
| | | | | Multicropping | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| | | | | Organic farming | | | |

Table A-3.—Timing of Commercial Introduction of Plant Technologies—Continued

| Technology | Technology environments | | | Technology | — | — | Technology environments | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology | | | | More new technology | Most likely | Less new technology |
| Communication and information management: | | | | Telecommunications: | | | | | |
| Local communication networks | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | Digital communication | | | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Data terminals | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | Fiber optics | | | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Software and database systems | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 | Personal computers | | | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Manufacturing management systems | 1987 | 1990 | 2000 | Videotex and teletext | | | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Expert systems | 1990 | 1992 | 1997 | Value-added networks | | | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| | | | | Integrated services digital network | | | 1990 | 1990 | >2000 |
| Monitoring and control: | | | | Remote sensing | | | 1985 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Sensors | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | | | | | | |
| Controllers | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | | | | | | |
| Displays | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | | | | | | |
| A c t u a t o r s | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | | | | | | |

•> = After

● *May actually accelerate development in this area if there is increased interest in resource efficient/sustainable cropping systems

SOURCE Office of Technology Assessment

Table A-4.—Packages of Technologies**Beef:**

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Package B: Animal reproduction
 Regulation of growth and development
 Animal nutrition
 Crop residue and animal waste
 Package C: Pest control
 Disease control
 Package D: Environment and animal behavior
 Package E: Monitoring and control
 Communication and information management
 Telecommunications
 Package F: Labor saving

Swine:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Animal reproduction
 Regulation of growth and development
 Animal nutrition
 Pest control
 Disease control
 Package B: Environment and animal behavior
 Monitoring and control
 Communication and information management
 Telecommunications
 Package C: Crop residue and animal waste
 Package D: Labor saving

Dairy:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Animal reproduction
 Pest control
 Disease control
 Package B: Regulation of growth and development
 Animal nutrition
 Environment and animal behavior
 Crop residue and animal waste
 Package C: Monitoring and control
 Communication and information management
 Telecommunications
 Labor saving
 Package D: Bovine growth hormone

Poultry:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Animal reproduction
 Regulation of growth and development
 Animal nutrition
 Package B: Pest control
 Disease control
 Environment and animal behavior
 Crop residue and animal waste
 Package C: Monitoring and control
 Communication and information management
 Telecommunications
 Labor saving

Wheat:

- Package A: Plant growth regulators
 Plant disease and nematode control
 Management of insects and mites
 Weed control
 Chemical fertilizers
 Water and soil-water-plant relations
 Soil erosion, productivity, and tillage
 Multiple cropping
 Organic farming
 Land management
 Package B: Labor saving
 Crop separation, cleaning, and processing
 Engines and fuels
 Package C: Communication and information management
 Monitoring and control
 Telecommunications

Corn:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Plant disease and nematode control
 Management of insects and mites
 Water and soil-water-plant relations
 Communication and information management
 Monitoring and control
 Telecommunications
 Package B: Weed control
 Chemical fertilizers
 Soil erosion, productivity, and tillage
 Multiple cropping
 Land management

- Package C: Organic farming

Soybean:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency
 Plant growth regulators
 Plant disease and nematode control
 Multiple cropping
 Package B: Management of insects and mites
 Weed control
 Biological nitrogen fixation
 Chemical fertilizers
 Water and soil-water-plant relations
 Soil erosion, productivity, and tillage
 Organic farming
 Labor saving
 Crop separation, cleaning, and processing
 Package C: Communication and information management
 Monitoring and control
 Telecommunications

Rice:

- Package A: Genetic engineering
 Enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency
 Plant growth regulators
 Plant disease and nematode control
 Package B: Management of insects and mites
 Weed control

Table A-4.—Packages of Technologies—Continued

| | |
|---|---|
| Chemical fertilizers | Chemical fertilizers |
| Water and soil-water-plant relations | Water and soil-water-plant relations |
| Multiple cropping | Soil erosion, productivity, and tillage |
| Crop separation, cleaning, and processing | Multiple cropping |
| Communication and information management | Labor saving |
| Monitoring and control | Engines and fuels |
| Telecommunications | Land management |
| Cotton: | Package B: Communication and information management |
| Package A: Genetic engineering | Monitoring and control |
| Enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency | Telecommunications |
| Plant growth regulators | Package C: Biological nitrogen fixation |
| Plant disease and nematode control | Organic farming |
| Management of insects and mites | Crop separation, cleaning, and processing |
| Weed control | |

SOURCE: Office of Technology Assessment

Table A.5.—Performance Projections Under No. New-Technology Environment

| Commodity | Unit | 1990 | 2000 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Beef | lb. meat per lb. feed | 0.071 | 0.066 |
| | calves per cow | 0.940 | 0.950 |
| Dairy | lb. milk per lb. feed | 0.938 | 0.952 |
| | milk per cow per year | | |
| | (thousand lb) | 13.7 | 15.7 |
| Poultry , | lb. meat per lb. feed | 0.52 | 0.53 |
| | eggs per layer per year | 255.0 | 260.0 |
| Swine | lb. meat per lb. feed | 0.167 | 0.17 |
| | pigs per sow per year | 14.8 | 15.7 |
| Corn | bushels/acre | 116.5 | 123.5 |
| Cotton | pounds/acre | 502.0 | 511.0 |
| Rice | bushels/acre | 108.6 | 111.9 |
| Soybean. | bushels/acre | 32.2 | 34.8 |
| Wheat | bushels/acre | 37.8 | 40.8 |

SOURCE: Office of Technology Assessment