Appendix D

Field Team Methods: The Assessment Materials

Appendix D contains the forms used by the OTA field teams in their assessments of participation, results, sustainability, and replicability in 12 ADF projects; for their assessment of ADF's country programs; and for their assessment of ADF concerning congressional options.

PROJECT COVER SHEET

(To be filled out from information supplied in interviews wi managers, cross-checked with information from others.)	th project			
1. Project Name	start date:			
Organization Name	start date:			
2. Amount of money received to date from ADF: US \$				
Other funding sources of project/amount/purpose:				
Other funding sources of organization/amount/purpose:				
Percent of organization's budget received from ADF:				
3. Number and characteristics of persons involved Total Nos. Average income male female male	level/occupation female			
Board of Directors				
Staff (of project)				
Staff (of organization)				
Members of organization				
Project participants				
Beneficiaries				
Community				
Comments:				
Who makes major decisions? Board of Directors Officers other (specify) • how selected?: elected , appointed , assumed • frequency of meetings: • minutes of meetings: yes no				
5. Staff view of project objectives (in priority order) a.				

b.

	c.
	Do participants' view of objectives differ from staff's? yes no If so, how?
6.	List records kept (eg., meeting minutes, financial records) and rate quality (excellent, adequate, poor). Explain rating.
7.	List previous evaluations (of project and organization), by whom and when
8.	List any differences from data on chart (ADF Funded Projects: September 1984 thru September 31, 1986)
9.	Relationship with ADF • When and how did the organization come in contact with ADF? • number of ADF visits in last year • visits by whom?
	• What do recipients find helpful about the relationship with ADF?

• What do recipients

10. Notes re: information sources and reliability of data on this form.

think could be improved?

WORKSHEET 1 -- MINIMUM DATA SET: PARTICIPATION

Nam	e of project:
1.	Who is defined as a participant? Who is defined a project beneficiary (if different from participants)?
2.	Number of responses (under each category) of project management to the following questions:
	How many project participants, a) most, b) half, c) very few, d) none (a) (b) (c) (d)
	 identified the need proposed the activities understand the project contribute labor contribute material contribute money receive benefits share in decisions share in evaluation are on project committees
3.	Number of responses (yes and no) of project participants to the following questions on the checklist for participants:
	 Participated in design participated in evaluation have access to project records could be an officeholder have elections for officers new people get elected efforts to get new people involved usually agree with leaders' decisions some groups have more influence If yes, who and why?

Comments on data:

4.	Who originated the project?
	local leader (type:)
	intermediary organization
	church indigenous PVO (regional,national)
	ADF
	other (specify)
5.	Evidence of changes in project direction due to participant/beneficiary input: yes no. If yes, give examples
6.	Specific benefits to participants (e.g., cash, in kind) as a result of activity
	No. of participants Average value/amt (men) (women) (women)
	a.
	b.
	c*
7.	Specific contributions of participants (e.g., cash, labor) materials) to activities
	ContributionNo. contributing (men)Average value/amt (men)(women)(women)
	a .
	b.
	c.
8.	Number of responses of non-participants to questions on checklist: Yes No
	• familiar with project
	were invited to be in projectbenefit from the project
	 could join the project now
	 feel benefits distributed fairly
	Summary of reasons persons are not participants and/or why they think project is unfair.

9.	Meetings/activities in past year (name of group, committe, etc.)
Date	Type of meeting No. attending No.possible Minutes/decisions (M) (F) reflect input (Y,N)
Numt	ber at meeting with OTA team: men, women percent speaking: men, women
10.	Perceptions regarding role of outsiders (interview project staff/leaders) Rating: 1) helpful; 2) ineffective 3) harmful
a. <u>T</u>	Technical assistance providers <u>ype Organization Comments on TA relationship Average rating effectiveness</u>
2)	
3)	
b.	Other outsiders
Comn	nents
11.	For projects of intermediary organizations
	a. No. of subgroups receiving assistance as result of projectb. How are they selected?
	c. Subgroups' relation to intermediaryo organization: • represented on board of intermediary (yes) (n_ o_) • frequency of contact: times per Who made contact?
	 change adopted in intermediary group program due to input from subgroups: (yes) (no) e.g.
	Comments
12.	Other observations regarding participation:

ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT PARTICIPATION

Name	e of project		
------	--------------	--	--

- 1. In what ways and to what extent have intended beneficiaries participated in this project? Assess factors fostering and limiting that participation.
- 2. Are the poorest one-third able to participate in the program? Have any groups been excluded from the project? If so, why and how?
- 3* How equitably have benefits and costs been distributed?
- 4. What specific measures or actions would enhance participation of project participants/beneficiaries? How can the impact of these measures on participation be monitored and measured?
- 5* Has the level of participation affected project impact (results, sustainability and replicability)? Describe.

WORKSHEET 2--MINIMUM DATA SET: RESULTS

Nam	e of project:
10.	Principle goods and services delivered by project operations to date:
	output Amount/Value Intended Data Source (yes/no)
	a.
	b.
	со
	d.
2.	What intended ouputs have not been achieved?
	$\mathbf{a}_{\mathtt{m}}$
	b.
3.	Is there any evidence that participants are better off than non-participants as a result of this project? If SO, give details. Are certain groups of participants (e.g., elites) benefiting more than others?
4.	Summary of perceptions of increased welfare among participants and non-participant beneficiaries (if applicable) interviewed. (Give numbers).
	Participants Men WomenNon-participant beneficiaries Men Women
	Feel project will make them much better off
	Feel project will make them a little better off
	Feel project won't help them or will make worse
	How were data obtained (e.g., group or individual interviews).

83-361 0 - 88 : QL3 - 6

*	5.	How has the project documented	d results? How com	plete is the information?	
	6.	Change in number of organization's members (and/or project participants) since project began. Give numbers of new participants and dropouts. Provide data source.			
	7*	List specific evidence of outcomes (impacts, responses, what people are doing differently) that can be related to project implementation or outputs.			
			Intended (Y) (N)	Data Source	
	а.	Economic			
	b.	Organizational			
	c*	Social			
	d.	Environmental			
	e .	Local or national policy			
	f*	Technical			
	8.	Other observations regarding 1	esults:		

ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT RESULTS

- 1. To what degree (high or low) is the project accomplishing its stated objectives? Why?
- 2. How does project performance compare to that of similar projects by other groups?
- 3. What might have happened had there not been ADF support?
- 4. What are the key factors responsible for project outcomes or effects?
 - a. economic
 - b. organizational
 - c. social
 - d. environmental
 - e. local/national policy
 - f. technical
- 5. How could project outcomes be enhanced?

WORKSHEET 3 -- MINIMUM DATA SET: SUSTAINABILITY

Name	of project:	
------	-------------	--

- 1. Was there project activity before the ADF grant? Yes ____No____ No____
- 2* Is the ADF funded activity intended to continue after grant?
- 30 Project and group (if applicable) has:

 $\frac{\text{Project}}{(y)} \quad \frac{\text{Group}}{(y, N, N, A)} \quad \frac{\text{Comments}}{(y, N, N, A)}$

- a. Strategy to maintain leadership, member participation, group cohesion
- b. Strategy to cope with negative environmental impacts
- c. Strategy to cope with negative social impacts
- d. Plan to maintain activity financially/economically after ADF grant (eg, recurrent costs)
- e. If small-scale enterprise
 --market analysis
 --business plan
- f. Training program
 - --management
 - --technical
 - --financial
- g. Strategy to gain access to technical assistance/know-how
- h. Plan to do other activities
- i. Strategy to deal with potential opposition to project/group

] ,	Strategy to mobilize and generate complementary resources	
4.		t design/group organization (objective a response to changing circumstances
	What	Why
	a.	
	b.	
	c .	
5.	Resources critical to continuation national, external)	n of project/effort and source (local
6.		of project activity. Or, if project icts for other activities by the group.
7.	Other observations regarding sus	stainability:

ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY

Name	ne of project		
------	---------------	--	--

- 1. What specific factors indicate the likelihood that project outcomes (economic/financial, organizational/ social, environmental, technological, policy outcomes) will be sustained over time? What are constraints to sustainability?
- 2. What specific changes would increase the likelihood of sustainable outcomes? How can they be monitored by ADF?
- 3. To what extent has the project changed local technologies and management, and what influence does that have on project/group continuation?

		WORKSHEE'	Γ 4 MINIMUN	1 DATA SET	: REPLICAB	SILITY	
Name	of project						
1.	promising	project	elements (tec	chnologies,	organizati	ons, proces	s, etc.):
	Element		Innovative (y) (N)	Condit	ions require	ed for wide	r use
	a.						
	b.						
	c .						
	Comments:						
2.	Incidence non-partic		ion of specif	ic project	methods or	technologi	es among
	What		Who		Where		
3.			e group or Ances, exchang		ead knowled	ge gained (e.g., radio
	a. by pi	roject					
	b. by AD	F					
	c* by ot	thers					
4.	Other obs	ervations	regarding 1	replicabilit	y:		

ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT REPLICABILITY

ame of

- 1. What promising project elements (e.g., process, organization mode, technologies) are particularly applicable to other groups and/or to further activities by this group? Why?
- 2. Which of these elements are innovative compared to those used in other projects addressing similar issues or situations?
- 3. What effects (if any) have there been (or are there likely to be) outside the locale of the ADF-funded project? How can these effects be enhanced?
- 4. What lessons have been learned (by ADF, project managers, participants, and others) from this project?

COUNTRY PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

(Team	respo	onses	based	o n	all	project	data,	observations	a n d	interviews
relevan	t to	ADF	progra	m i	n th	is count	ry).			

1.	What	is your	overall	assessme	nt of .	— ADF in			? w	hat	impact
	is it	having	on socia	l and ec	onomic	developn	nent and	how	could	it	be

- 2. Is there evidence that the projects you visited are/are not typical of other ADF projects in the country? Explain.
- 3. What is ADF's track record in comparison with other donors?
- 4. What alternative project(s) might the same funds have supported which could have resulted in greater impacts along the lines of ADF's mandate?
- 5* Does ADF appear to respond to real needs?

Name of Country:

enhanced?

6. Is there anything unique or different about ADF? Comment on the effectiveness and appropriateness of that contribution.

CONGRESSIONAL ASSESMENT

- 1. On the basis of all you have learned, would you recommend that ADF's funding level be increased, decreased or remain the same? Why?
- 2. What are your specific recommendations for improving ADF's performance? (You may include changes in ADF implementation and interpretation of the legislation as well as changes in the Legislation.) List as many as you wish, and indicate your priorities.
- 3. What lessons came out of the ADF assessment that are relevant to other agencies (AID, U.S. PVOS, others) working in African development?