# SURVEY OF WORKPLACE HEALTH AND GENETIC SCREENING AND MONITORING

## CORPORATE PERSONNEL OFFICER VERSION

The Congressional Office of Technology Assessment is conducting a national survey of the opinions and experiences of employers related to the usc of genetic screening and monitoring in the workplace. This questionnaire has been directed to you as the person in your organization whose responsibilities include personnel issues. We need your assistance in answering, as best you can, some questions about workplace **testing** and employee health in your company.

For the purposes of this survey and the subsequent report, OTA has adopted the following definitions. By <u>genetic monitoring</u> we mean periodically examining employees to evaluate modifications of their genetic material via tests such as cytogenetic or direct-DNA tests. By <u>genetic screening</u> we mean screening job applicants or employees for certain inherited characteristics. Screening tests may be biochemical tests or direct-DNA tests. They can be used to indicate a predisposition to an occupational illness if exposed to a specific environmental agent, or they could be used to detect any inherited characteristic such as Huntington's disease. In contrast to periodic monitoring screening tests are generally performed only one time per characteristic.

This is an important study, which has been requested by the Congress of the United States, designed to represent the opinion and experience of the employer. We need to know how employers view the technologies of genetic screening and monitoring in terms of their current and future applications to the workplace. We also want to know how these technologies are seen in the broader context of more common forms of employee health screening and monitoring in the workplace.

Your responses arc very important, regardless **of** whether you have had any experience with genetic screening or monitoring. If your company has never explored the technology, the questionnaire will only take ten minutes. If you have some experience with the technology, it may take a little longer to complete the questionnaire. In either case, your experiences and opinions will help to inform congressional opinion about this area.

Please read each question and mark the box(es) that most nearly corresponds to your answer. **After each** answer continue with the next question unless there is an instruction to skip to a particular question. Please feel free to qualify your answers, if you feel it is necessary. You are free to decline to answer any questions that you consider inappropriate. The questionnaire and any identifying information will be destroyed after data entry, so that all responses will be anonymous as well as confidential. Space has been provided at the end for comments and opinions that you feel are not adequately represented by the survey questions.

We would like to begin with a few questions about your views on the appropriateness of employee testing in certain workplace situations.

1. Do you think that it is generally appropriate or generally inappropriate for a company to require preemployment health examinations of job applicants in workplace settings where there are <u>no known health</u> <u>risks</u>?

Appropriate . . . . . . . . 1

2. Do you think that it is generally appropriate or generally inappropriate for a company to require **pre-em**ployment health examinations of job applicants in workplace settings where there are known health risks?

Appropriate	., 🖵	Inappropriate	 	 

IF 'INAPPROPRIATE IN BOTH Q. 1 AND Q. 2, SKIP To Q. 4.

3.	Would your company consider it acceptable or unacceptable to conduct a pm-employment health examina
	tion in order to:
	ACCEPTABLE Unacceptable

	-
Identify job applicants who are physically unfit for employment	
Identify job applicants who are emotionally or psychologically unstable	1
Identify job applicants who are currently using drugs	
Identify job applicants who are at increased risk to workplace hazards $\ldots \ldots \square$	
Identify job applicants with genetic susceptibility to workplace exposures	
Identify job applicants who represent high insurance risks	1

4. Do you think that it is generally appropriate or generally inappropriate for a company to require periodic medical testing of employees in workplace settings where there are no known health risks?

ДA	propri	ate

- 5. Do you think that it is generally appropriate or generally inappropriate for a company to require periodic medical testing of employees in workplace settings wher<u>e thereare known health risks</u>?

Appropriate ...... 1

- IF "INAPPROPRIATE" IN BOTH Q.4 AND Q.5, SKIP TO Q.7.
- 6. Do you think that it is generally cost effective or not cost-effective for a company to conduct periodic medical testing of employees foR:

	COST	EFFECTIVE	COST	EFFECTIVE
High blood pressure		c1		
Respiratory function				
Malignancies**				
Hearing function**				1
Vision .**,		<b>.</b>		1
Chromosome abnormalities				1
Drug abuse**		. 🗖		

7. Do you think it is currently cost-effective or not cost-effective for a company like yours to:

	COST EFFECTIVE	NOT COST NOT EFFECTIVE SURE
conduct biochemical genetic tests as part of pre-employment screening		DO
Conduct direct-DNA tests as part of pre-employment screening		on
Conduct genetic monitoring of all workers exposed to workplace hazards		on
Conduct genetic screening of workers to detect genetic susceptibilities to workplace hazards		00

8. Would your company consider the use of <u>genetic</u> tests for employees or job applicants generally acceptable or generally unacceptable to:

Hele a slinical diagnosis of a sick ampleuse		UNACCEPTABLE
Hake a clinical diagnosis of a sick employee		
Establish links between genetic pre disposition and workplace hazards	🖵	
Inform employees of their increased susceptibility to workplace hazards	• 1	
Exclude employees with increased susceptibility from risk situations. $\ldots$		
Monitor chromosomal changes associated with workplace exposures	• 1	
Establish evidence of pre-employment health status for Inability purpose	es 🗋	

9. If an employer becomes aware that an employee has a genetic susceptibility to serious illness if he or she is exposed to substances in the workplace, do you think the employer should exclude that employee from those jobs for which he/she is at increased risk, or do you think the employer should allow the employee to take those jobs, if he/she waives corporate liability?

Allowed to take. . . . . . . . . . . .

**10.** As part of your pre-employment hiring practices, do youcurrently require each of the following as a condition of employment for all applicants, only applicants for certain plants or job classifications, only applicants with certain medical conditions or histories, or for no applicants?

	ALL	PLANTS/ JOBs	CONDITIONS/ HISTORIES	HONE
Routine physical "examination				
Biochemical genetic screening tests				
Cytogenetic monitoring tests				
Other medical criteria, e.g., lower back X-ray, allergy testing	• 1			
PersonalitY/psychological testing				
Drug testing	•J			

# IF "NONE" TO ALL IN Q. IO, SKIP To Q.12

11. Is it company policy to inform applicants of positive test results?

Yes	•1

ha. Is it company policy to refer applicants to appropriate health care providers if positive test results are obtained?

Yes..... 1

1

	FOR EACH"YES" IN Q. 12a				
	12b. Generally speak company policy	0,	· .	y it is agains	st
	0.12a HAVE POLICY NO YES	AGAIN: YES	Q. i2b ST POLICY	<u>( to hire</u> Depends	
l Cigarette sinkers			a	n	
Persons with criminal records			0	n	
Persons with pre-existing medical conditions	<b>D</b> cl				
Persons with <i>increased</i> genetic susceptibility to substances or conditions in the workplace	□ 0		0	0	

#### 12a. Does your company have a policy concerning hiring-

## IF YOUR COMPANY HAS NEVER DONE ANY BIOCHEMICAL GENETIC SCREEN-ING, CYTOGENETIC MONITORING, DIRECT-DNA SCREENING, OR DIRECT-DNA MONITORING, SKIP TO QUESTION 19.

**1.3.** To the best of your knowledge, which of the following were important factors in the decision to conduct genetic screening or monitoring of employees in your company?

	IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT
Cost benefit analysis	<b>.</b>	
Evidence of a possible association between chemical exposure and illness in animal studies		1
Evidence of a possible association between chemical exposure and illness in epidemiological studies	<b>.</b>	1
Legal consequence of failure to test		
Union/employee initiative		
Something else (Please Specify)		

14. To the best of your knowledge, has your company ever rejected a job applicant, primarily or partly, based on the results of genetic screening tests?

14a. When was the most recent time that occurred?

Within past month 🖵
Within past year
1-2 years ago 1
3 or more years ago 🖵

14b. What was the condition(s)?

14c. Was the applicant informed of the reason for the rejection?

yes...... 🗖 No ......

14& Was alternative employment	nt within your company offered?
Yes	No
15. Have any medical or physical cr	iteria been specified that would disqualify individuals from:
	YES
work in the company	;
Work in specified plants or location	ы с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с с
Work in specified jobs	
16. Does your company maintain st	atistical data on job applications, outcomes, and reasons for rejection?
Yes 1	Ho
17. Are biochemical or cytogenetic	tests used as rejection categories in these data?
Yes	NOEJ
	rred or terminated an employee, primarilyor partly, based on the results of
genetic screening or monitoring	-
	о <i>SKIP TO Q.</i> 19
18a. When was the mostrecen	_
Within past month	
Within past year	
1-2 years ago	
3 or more years ago	
18b. what was the condition?	
l8c. Was the employee informo	
Yes	Diocl
19. Is it your company's policy to c	onduct periodic medical testing of persons in any risk categories?
Yes	N0
19a. Is it company policy to in	form employees of positive test results?
Yes 1	No
19b. Is it company policy to r are obtained?	efer employees to appropriate health care providers if positive test results
Yes 1	No
19c. Is it company policy to re employee?	lease positive test results to anyone outside of the company, other than the
Yes	No
19d. Under what circumstance	es?

19e. Was alternative employment within your company offered?
Yes
19f. Does your company havea set of guidelines for this type of situationor is it left to the discretion of the particular establishment?
Yes No
20. Does your company maintain statistical data on the masons for job terminations?
Yes $\square$ No $\square$ SKIP TO Q. 21
20a. Are biochemical or cytogenetic tests used as rejection categories in these data?
$Y_{es}$ "o
20b. Are other medical criteria used as rejection categories in these data?
Yes
21. Within the next five years, do you anticipate that your company will conduct: YES NO
Mandatory biochemical genetic screening
Voluntary biochemical genetic screening
Mandatory cytogenetic monitoring
Voluntary cytogenetic monitoring
Mandatory DNA-based genetic screening
Voluntary MA-based genetic screening,
Mandatory DNA-based genetic monitoring
Voluntary DNA-based genetic monitoring
22. If you were asked, would you recommend to your company that genetic screening be done as part of pre- employment screening?
Yes Based on what criteria?
No• 1
23. If you were asked, would you recommend to your company that periodic genetic monitoring of employees be done?
Yes•1 Based on what criteria?
No•1
24. Approximately what proportion of your employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements?
Less than 10%• 1
10% to 49%
50% to 75% 1
More than 75% 1
25. Have union contract negotiations ever covered the topic of genetic screening and/or genetic monitoring?

Yes...... **h** ...... 1

26. What proportion of your company% employees are covered by health insurance offered by the company
---

All Host	Some Few None	S K / P	TO Q.	2 7
----------	---------------	---------	-------	-----

**26a.** Is the company% current health insurance plan(s) purchased from a private carrier, self-insured or both?

27. If a job applicant is currently healthy and able to perform the job, but is considered to be a health insurance risk would that consideration reduce the likelihood of his/her being hired by your company - a lot some or not at all?

Some...... Not at all... Alot. . . . . . .

28. Does your company assess the health insurance risk of job applicants on a routine basis, sometimes or never?

Routine	Sometimes	Never	□	SKIP	то Q. D	1
---------	-----------	-------	---	------	---------	---

28a. Does the health insurance assessment of job applicants also consider the health of dependents?

Yes,	•••••	.Ц
------	-------	----

### **DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**

D1. What& the major industrial classification of your company (such as chemicals, food, textiles, etc.)?

D2. Approximately how many persons are employed in the United States by your company?

Less than 1,000
1,000 - 4,999
5,900- 9,999 1
10,000 or more • 1

D3. What is your job title?

D4. What are your main job responsibilities?

Thank you very much *for* your cooperation in answering our questions. We would also like to give you an opportunity to give us any other opinions, concerns or suggestions related to genetic testing in the workplace that you feel our questions did not address. These comments maybe incorporated in our report to Congress. We would also appreciate your comments on any survey questions that you found confusing or difficult to answer, to help us analyze the results. Please write these comments below.

We have attached a peel-off identification number on the questionnaire. This is the only link between the companies who were sampled and the questionnaires returned. We would prefer that you leave the identification number on the questionnaire when you return it. Our staff will remove the label upon receipt, making the questionnaire completely anonymous. No linkage between companies and questionaires will be retained. The label from the completed questionnaire will allow us to eliminate your company from those that we have to recontact.

However, if you feel that you cannot complete the questionnaire if there is even temporary identification, then peel off the label before returning the questionnaire. We appreciate your help and wc want you to be comfortable doing the survey.

PEEL OFF LABEL WITH SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER HERE

PLEASE RETURN IN THE POSTAGE PAID RETURN ENVELOPE SENT WITH THE QUESTIONNAIRE. IF THE RETURN ENVELOPE HAS BEEN LOST, THE RETURN ADDRESS IS:

> Schulman, Ronca and Bucuvalas, Inc 444 Park Avenue South New York New York 10016

(212) 481-6200 Attn: Dr. Mark Schulman