

CONTENTS

PREFACE	xi
HIGHLIGHTS,	xiii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.	1
Background	1
Purpose	1
Scope	4
Methodology	5
Options.	5
CHAPTER 2. EXISTING INFORMATION SYSTEMS,	7
Introduction	7
The USDA System: Deficiencies and Options for Improvement, ..	7
Responsibility for the System.	10
Collecting Foreign Agricultural Information	10
Assessing and Disseminating Information	14
Soviet Grain Purchases	17
Interagency Cooperation: Weather Data	18
Organizational Changes	23
Non-USDA Comments	25
Summary	25
Deficiencies	25
Deficiencies Due to Poor National System.	25
Deficiencies Due to Collecting Inadequate and/or Obsolete Data.	26
Deficiencies Due to Inadequate Analysis. , ,	27
Deficiencies Due to Organizational Structure	28
Suggested Improvements.	30
I. Improving the Accuracy and Timeliness of the U.S.	
Food and Agriculture Information System	34
The Problems of Obsolescence	37
Options to Make the USDA Information	
System More Accurate and Timely	40
II Integrating Nutrition Information	40
Difficulties of Obtaining Meaningful	
Nutrition Status Information,	40
Nutrition and Food Consumption Surveys, , ..	41
Options for Integrating Nutrition Information	42
III Using Advanced Technologies. , ,	43
Tentative Conclusions About LACIE	53
Consensus and Conclusion	55
Options for Accelerating the Use of	
Advanced Technology..	57

CHAPTER 3. THE FAO SYSTEM: DEFICIENCIES AND OPTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT.....	61
Status and Plans of FAO for Its Global Information and Early Warning System	63
Deficiencies.....	66
Suggested Improvements	68
CHAPTER 4. THE CAPACITY OF CONGRESS TO ANALYZE FOOD ISSUES	71
Introduction	71
Screening and Evaluation of Information for Congress.....	72
Improving the Capacity of Congress to Analyze Food Issues ,,,,	73
APPENDICES	
I Individuals and Groups Assisting the Office of Technology Assessment in its Assessment of Food Information Systems	77
II Witnesses, Technology Assessment Board Hearings on Food Information Systems.....	79
III Twenty-Six Decision Centers	81
IV. Statement of Dr. Robert Nesheim, Chairman, Nutrition Panel of the Food Advisory Committee	83