

logical advancements; possible dominance of an unknown factor, for instance, the discount rate), these limitations are not sufficient to preclude future CEA/CBA studies related to the medical technology of orthopedic joint implants.

It has been pointed out that the costs of orthopedic joint implants can be more easily measured than the benefits. As a result, it is easy to overemphasize the costs associated with such procedures. Furthermore, there is a danger of underestimating benefits by inadvertently discounting the value of relieving pain and restoring functional ability to a predominantly non-working population. Therefore, it might be suggested that studies of orthopedic joint implant technology should focus on the efficiency and cost effectiveness of alternative investments related to orthopedic joint implants.

A CEA study of the artificial hip would be a particularly worthwhile future research endeavor. Since the necessary data are available, it would be possible to complete the study relatively quickly, easily, and inexpensively. Because arthritis affects so many persons and in most cases eventually affects the hip joint, a CEA study of the artificial hip to answer questions such as those listed below would be of widespread interest.

- What are the costs of artificial hip implants?
- For which population cohorts is it most cost effective to adopt this technology?

- How do cost-effectiveness ratios computed for investments in orthopedic joint implants compare with similar ratios computed for investments in regional arthritis and rheumatism nonsurgical rehabilitation centers?
- What are the necessary data that must be collected in order to conduct similar studies for other orthopedic joints?
- What are meaningful criteria to adopt in order to screen new and other existing orthopedic joint implant technologies?

In conclusion, the value of undertaking a future CEA/CBA of artificial hip implants is that the application of such analysis would force one to be explicit about a technology that potentially may affect many people. Also, it would furnish a tested framework for evaluation of other more controversial medical technologies (e. g., other prostheses and devices used to restore function to malfunctioning organs and other parts of the body). Finally, the application of CEA/CBA to the technology of hip implants would illustrate a methodology realistically adapted to available data. Such information would be greatly useful to Federal policy makers and to consumers. It would also be useful to health systems agency boards, to health maintenance organization administrators, and to other health planning agencies with the responsibility for allocating resources for research and capital expenditures. The information might also be valuable to industrial concerns that must estimate the orthopedic equipment market and to educational institutions that must know where training emphasis should be placed.

## REFERENCES

1. Arnstein, S. R., "Technology Assessment: Opportunities and Obstacles," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man & Cybern.* 7(8):571, 1977.
2. Arthur D. Little, Inc., Cambridge, Mass., internal studies for commercial clients, 1979.
3. ———, *Introduction to Cost-Benefit Analysis Applied to New Health Technologies*, prepared under contract No. HRA 230-75-0063 for the Bureau of Health Planning and Resources Development Health Resources Administration, Hyattsville, Md., 1977.
4. AuFranc, O. E., "A Critical View of Total Joint Replacements," *Clin. Orthop.* 123:3, 1977.
5. Bain, L. S., et al., "A Double-Blind Trial of Feprazone in Osteoarthritis of the Hip," *Curr. Med. Res. Op.* 4(9):665, 1977.

6. Baram, M. S., "Regulation of Health, Safety, and Environmental Quality and the Use of Cost-Benefit Analysis," unpublished report prepared for the Committee on Agency Decisional Processes of the Administrative Conference of the United States, Washington, D. C., Mar. 1, 1979.
7. Beckenbaugh, R. D., "New Concepts in Arthroplasty of the Hand and Wrist," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1094, 1977.
8. Bergner, M., et al., "The Sickness Impact Profile: Conceptual Formulation and Methodology for the Development of a Health Status Measure," *Int. J. Health SW-V.* 6(3):393, 1976.
9. Brown, M. D., et al., "Efficiency of Walking After Total Hip Replacement," *Orthop. Cl. N. Amer.* 9(2):364, 1978.
10. Bryan, R. S., "Total Replacement of the Elbow Joint," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1092, 1977.
11. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1976 Survey of Institutionalized Persons: A Study of Persons Receiving Long-Term Care, Current Population Reports Special Studies, series P-23, No. 69 (Washington, D. C., 1978).
12. Burton, D. S., and Shurman, D. J., "Salvage of Infected Total Joint Replacements," *Arch. Surg.* 112:547, 1977.
13. Bush, J. W., et al., "Health Status Index in Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of PKU Program," in *Health Status Indexes: Proceedings of a Conference*, R. L. Berg (ed.) (Chicago: Hospital Research and Educational Trust, 1973).
14. Chao, E. Y. S., "The Role of Biomechanics in Total Joint Replacement," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1110, 1977.
15. Cofield, R. H., "Status of Total Shoulder Arthroplasty," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1088, 1977.
16. Collis, D. K., "Femoral Stem Failure in Total Hip Replacement," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 59-A(8):1033, 1977.
17. Cooper, B., and Rice, D., "The Economic Cost of Illness Revisited," *Soc. Sec. Bull.*, DHEW publication No. (SSA) 76-11703, Washington, D. C., February 1976.
18. Cracchiolo, A., III, "Statistics of Total Knee Replacement—Editorial Comment," *Clin. Orthop.* 120:2, 1976.
19. Deutman, B. R., et al., "Metal Sensitivity Before and After Total Hip Arthroplasty," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 59(7):862, 1977.
20. Dowling, J. M. et al., "The Characteristics of Acetabular Cups Worn in the Human Body," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 60-13(3):375, 1978.
21. Dumbleton, J. H., "Elements of Hip Joint Prosthesis Reliability," *J. Med. Eng. Technol.* 1(6):341, 1977.
22. Galante, J. O., "Total Hip Replacement," editorial, *J. Int. Coil. Surg.* 63(1):6, 1978.
23. Gilson, B. S., et al., "The Sickness Impact Profile: Development of an Outcome Measure of Health Care," *Am. J. Public Health* 65(12):1304, 1975.
24. Granger, C. V., and Greer, D. S., "Functional Status Measurement and Medical Rehabilitation Outcomes," *Arch. Phys. M. Rehabil.* 57:103, 1976.
25. Green, D. L., "Complications of Total Hip Replacement," *So. Med. J.* 69(12):1559, 1976.
26. Harris, W. H., "Clinical Results Using the Mueller-Charnley Total Hip Prosthesis," *Clin. Orthop.* 86:95, 1972.
27. ———, "Current Concepts—Total Joint Replacement/Total Hip Replacement," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 297:650, 1977.
28. ——— "The Etiology and Prevention of Deep Wound Infection Following Total Hip Replacement," in *The Infection-Prone Hospital Patient*, J. H. Burke (ed.) (Boston: Little Brown & Co., 1978).
29. ——— "Preliminary Report of Results of Harris Total Hip Replacement," *Clin. Orthop.* 95:168, 1973.
30. ——— "Traumatic Arthritis of the Hip After Dislocation and Acetabular Fractures: Treatment of Mold Arthroplasty," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 51-A(4):737, 1969.
31. Harris, W. H., and Crothers, O. D., "Reattachment of the Greater Trochanter in Total Hip Replacement Arthroplasty: A New Technique," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 60-A(2):211, 1978.
32. Harris, W. H., et al., "Extensive Localized Bone Resorption in the Femur Following Total Hip Replacement," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 58-A(5):612, 1976.
33. Hori, R. Y., et al., "The Number of Total Joint Replacements in the United States," *Clin. Orthop.* 132:46, 1978.
34. Kaplan, R. M., et al., "Health Status: Types of Validity and the Index of Well-Being," *Health Serv. Res.* 11(4):478, 1976.
35. Kelsey, J. L., "The Epidemiology of Diseases of the Hip: A Review of the Literature," *Int. J. Epid.* 6(3):269, 1977.
36. Larson, C. B., "Rating Scale for Hip Disabilities," *Clin. Orthop.* 31:85, 1963.
37. Lunghi, M. E., et al., "Psycho-Social Factors in Osteoarthritis of the Hip," *Psychosom.* 22:57, 1978.
38. Mallory, T. H., et al., "Six Hundred and Ninety Total Hip Replacements: A Comparative Study," *Clin. Sci. J.* 74:23, 1978.

39. Marcove, R. C., et al., "Total Femur and Total Knee Replacement," *Clin. Orthop.* 126:147, 1977.
40. Marmor, L., "Femoral Loosening in Total Hip Replacement," *Clin. Orthop.* 121:116, 1976.
41. Martens, M., et al., "Factors in the Mechanical Failure of the Femoral Component in Total Hip Prosthesis," *Acta Orthop. Scand.* 45:693, 1974.
42. McCarthy, J. F., and Klumpp, T. G., "Total Hip Replacement," interview with F. E. Strinchfield, *Medical Times*, 105(7):50, 1977.
43. McNeice, G. M., "On the Mechanical Testing of Some Implants and Materials," *Med. Progr. Technol.* 5:67, 1977.
44. Murray, D. G., et al., "Herbert Total Knee Prosthesis," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 58-A(8):1026, 1977.
45. Mushkin, S. J., et al., "Cost of Disease and Illness in the United States in the Year 2000," *Pub. Health Rep.* 93(5):495, 1978.
46. National Center for Health Statistics, "Chronic Conditions Causing Activity Limitation," in *Vital and Health Statistics*, series 10 (Hyattsville, Md.: NCHS, 1977).
47. Nuki, G., et al., "The Economics of Arthritis," *Bull. Rheum. Dis.* 23(8-9):726, 1973.
48. Office of Technology Assessment, U.S. Congress, *A Review of Selected Federal Vaccine and Immunization Policies*, GPO stock No. 052-003-00701 (Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979).
49. Oh, I., et al., "Improved Fixation of the Femoral Component After Total Hip Replacement Using a Methacrylate Intramedullary Plug," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* (AM) 60(5):608, 1978.
50. Peterson, F. A., "Current Status of Total Knee Arthroplasty," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1099, 1977.
51. ———, "Total Hip Arthroplasty," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1087, 1977.
52. Pliskin, N., and Taylor, A. K., "General Principles: Cost-Benefit and Decision Analysis," in *Costs, Risks, and Benefits of Surgery*, J. P. Bunker, et al. (eds.) (New York: Oxford University Press, 1977).
53. Public Health Service Task Force on Cost of Illness Studies, *Guidelines for Cost of Illness Studies in the Public Health Service* (Hyattsville, Md.: Public Health Service, 1979).
54. Rice, D., "Estimating the Cost of Illness," *Health Economics Series*, No. 6 (Washington, D.C.: Public Health Service, May 1966).
55. Riley, L. H., Jr., "The Evolution of Total Knee Arthroplasty," *Clin. Orthop.* 120:7, 1976.
56. Salzman, E. W., and Harris, W. H., "Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism in Orthopedic patients," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 58-A(7):903, 1976.
57. Shepard, D. S., "The Economics of Prevention: The Method of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis," paper presented at the Annual Fall Meeting of the Massachusetts Health Council, Boston, Mass., Sept. 30, 1976.
58. Shepard, D. S., and Finison, L. J., "Regression Towards the Mean and the Evaluation of High Blood Pressure Control Programs," paper presented at the National Conference on High Blood Pressure Control, Washington, D. C., Apr. 4-6, 1979.
59. Shepard, D. S., and Thompson, M. S., Center for the Analysis of Health Practices, Harvard School of Public Health, "First Principles of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis," unpublished paper, May 1979.
60. Shepherd, M. M., "Assessment of Function After Arthroplasty of the Hip," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 36-B:354, 1954.
61. ———, "A Further Review of the Results of Operations on the Hip Joint," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 42-B:177, 1960.
62. Stauffer, R. N., "Quo Vadis," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1083, 1977.
63. ———, "Total Ankle Joint Replacement," *Arch. Surg.* 112:1105, 1977.
64. Svesnsson, N. L., et al., "Stress Analysis of Human Femur With Implanted Charnley Prosthesis," *J. Biomech.* 10:581, 1977.
65. Taylor, D. G., "The Costs of Arthritis and the Benefits of Joint Replacement Surgery," *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 192-B:145, 1976.
66. Thull, R., "The Long-Term Stability of Metallic Materials for Use in Joint Endoprosthesis," *Med. Progr. Technol.* 5:103, 1977.
67. Volz, R. G. and Wilson, R. J., "Factors Affecting the Mechanical Stability of the Cemented Acetabular Component in Total Hip Replacement," *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 59(4):501, 1977.
68. Weinstein, M. C., and Stason, W. B., "Foundations of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Health and Medical Practices," *N. Eng. J. Med.* 296:716, 1977.
69. Wilcock, G. K., "Benefits of Total Hip Replacement to Older Patients and the Community," *Brit. Med. J.* 2:37, 1978.
70. Willems, J. S., "Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Medical Technologies as an Aid to Policymakers," paper presented at the Health Care Technology Evaluation Symposium, Columbia, Me., Nov. 6, 1978.
71. Wilson, P. D., Jr., "Joint Replacement," *So. Med. J.* 1:55, 1977.
72. Zeckhauser, R., and Shepard, D., "Where Now for Saving Lives," *Law & Contemp. Prob.* 40(6):5, 1976.