# Results of the OTA Survey of Federal Government Polygraph Testing

#### Introduction

In May 1983, OTA surveyed selected Federal Government agencies including the Departments of State, Defense (DOD), Treasury, and Justice, Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), Office of Personnel Management, and U.S. Postal Service (USPS), with respect to their use of polygraph testing. The survey requested detailed information about agencies' current and past use of polygraph testing and research conducted or planned by the agency. The request for information was sent to all Federal agencies believed to conduct polygraph examinations. A follow-up survey was sent, in July 1983, with respect to use of polygraph testing in unauthorized disclosure investigations.

Results of the survey are described below. All agencies responded to OTA'S inquiry; however, the CIA considers all such operational and research information to be classified. In addition, the results do not include information from the Customs Service (a Department of the Treasury component), Department of Health and Human Services, and Tennessee Valley Authority, which conduct a limited but unknown number of polygraph examinations. OTA supplemented the survey results with site visits to polygraph facilities at the U.S. Army, National Security Agency (NSA), and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and discussions with officials from several Federal agency polygraph programs.

#### **Number of Polygraph Examinations**

For 1982, the agencies reported conducting a total of 22,597 individual polygraph examinations. Of this total, 18,301 examinations were conducted by DOD component agencies, including the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, and NSA. Individual agency totals are shown in table B-1. NSA conducts the largest number of examinations, 43 percent of the total. Next, in terms of number of tests, is the Army Criminal Investigation Command, followed by the Air Force Office of Special Investigations, Naval Investigative Service, and FBI. The NSA and the Air Force have steadily increased the number of examinations conducted each year during the 1980-82 period, while the number of polygraph examinations appears to be relatively stable over this period in other agencies.

However, long-term trends in the number of polygraph examinations show a substantial increase since 1973. In fact, the total number of examinations in 1982 was more than triple the **1973 total (22,597 examinations** in 1982 compared to 6,946 in 1973) and actually surpassed the previous known high (19,796 in 1963, excluding NSA). As illustrated below, the FBI, Air Force, and NSA experienced the largest absolute increases in polygraph examinations over the 1973-82 period.

	Numbe	er of examinations con	ducted
Agency	Fiscal year 1p63	Fiscal year 1973	Fiscal year 1982
Army CIC	4,400	2,028	3,731
Army ISC	8,094 }	2,020	27?
Navy	1,200	665	1,337
Air Force	1,912	482	3019
Marines	812	62	263
NSA	Not available	3,081	9,672
Other DOD	140	6	0
DOD subtotals	16558	6,325	18301
FBI	2314	79	2463
DEA			211
SS	65	50	714
BATF			256
USPS	338	485	652
Other	521	7	0
Totals	19,796	6,946	22597

SOURCE Data from the Office of Technology Assessment 1982 1973 and 1963 data from U.S. Congress, House of Representatives Committee on Government Operations, reports, The Use of Polygraphs and Similarr Devices by Federal Agencies, 1976 and Use of Polygraphs as lie Detectors by the Federal Government, 1965

### **Number of Polygraph Examiners**

For **1982**, agencies reported employing a total of 209 polygraph examiners. Of these examiners, the majority (130) were employed by DOD component agencies. Individual agency totals are shown in table B-1. The U.S. Army has the largest number of examiners, followed closely by the FBI, and then by the U.S. Air Force and NSA. The reason that the number of examiners is not directly related to the number of examinations is that examinations are conducted by agencies for different purposes and under different conditions. For example, NSA examinations are conducted for screening purposes in a central location; in contrast, Army examinations are conducted primarily as part of criminal investigations, and examiners frequently travel to sites within a geographic region.

Table B-I .—Number of Polygraph Exams and Examiners

	Number	of polygraph	n exams	Number	of exa	aminers
		Fiscal year	Fi	ar		
Agency	1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982
Department of Defense:						
Army Criminal Investigation Command	3,977	3,832	3,731	39	42	44
Army Intelligence and Security Command	230	260	279	9	9	12
Naval Investigative Service	I,317a	I,185°	l,337a	l la	12°	14ª
Air Force Office of Special Investigations	I,474a	I,485°	3,019°	26°	29°	28°
Marines	376°	245°	263°	8°	6°	6°
National Security Agency	5,676°	7,418°	9,672°	13°	3o°	26°
Subtotals	13,050	14,425	18,301	106	128	130
Department of State	Does not	conduct p	olygraph ex	ams		
Department of Justice:						
Federal Bureau of Investigation	2,121	2,162	2,463	NA	NA	40
Drug Enforcement Administration	230	200	211	NA	NA	14
Department of Treasury: <sup>b</sup>						
Secret Service	NA	466	714	NA	NA	16
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms	176	254	256	4	4	4
U.S. Postal Service	714	725	652	NA	NA	5
Central Intelligence Agency	Does cor	nduct polygr	aph exams	but speci	fic oper	a-
0 0 ,	tional	informa	ition-is	classi	fied	
Officeof Personnel Management	Does not	conductor	use polygr	aph exam	3	
Totals						
	16,291	18,232	22,597			209

<sup>~</sup>Calendar year Excludes Customs Service. NA = Not avatiable

### Other Federal Agency Polygraph Users

The Federal agencies listed in table B-1 are theprimary users of the results of polygraph tests conducted by their personnel. However, these agencies reported that during 1980-82, polygraph examinations were also conducted by their staff for other Federal agencies, both those with polygraph capability and those without. A listing of thenumber of examinations conducted for agencies that do not employ their own polygraph staffs follows:

		NumberOf
.Examsconducted by	Exams conducted for	exams 1980-82
Army, CIC	Department of State	26
	Internal Revenue Service	1
	Defense Invest] gat[ve Service	1
	Department of Defense (other)	14
Army, ISC	Defense Intelligence Agency	7
Navy	Coast Guard	1
	General Services Admimstration	1
	Department of State	2
Aw Force	Defense Investigate Serwce	16
	Defense Intelligence Agency	21
	Coast Guard	1
	Department of State	1
Marines	None	
YSA	DOD components	Data not avatlable
FBI	Bureau of Prtsons	39 (1982)
	Other Agencies	10 per year

DEA	Immlgration and Naturalizatjon Servi	ce	2 (1981-1982)
	U S Marshall's Off Ice		3 (1981-1982)
	Department of State		2 (1981-1982)
	Internal Revenue Service		1 (1981-1982)
Secret Serwce	Internal Revenue Service		Spec]fic data not
	U S Attorney's Of f}ce		available, but
	Department of Treasury		total is less than 8
	Department of Agriculture		percent of all
	Federal Reserve Bank	I	Secret Serv]ce
			exams
BATF	Other Agencies (very Ilmlted )		Data not ava]lable
USPS	Internal Revenue Service		4
	U S Marshall's Office		1
	U.S Congress		1

The polygraph use by these other agencies represents a very small percentage of total Federal agency use.

## **Purpose of Polygraph Examinations**

As shown in table B-2, with the exception of NSA, over two-thirds of Federal agency use of the polygraph is for criminal investigative purposes. In the major Federal polygraph user agencies, such as the Army, Navy, Air Force, and FBI, over **90** percent of polygraph use is for criminal investigations, for example in the verification of information provided by suspects, victims, and witnesses. The one exception, for which data are available, is NSA. About two-thirds of NSA poly-

Table B-2.—Purpose of Polygraph Exam

		minal tigation	Counter intelligence	Intelligence	e Other			
Department of Defense:								
Army Criminal Investigation								
Command	1980	3,968	_	_	9 polygra	ph exam	niner applicants	
	1981	3,820	_	_	12 polygra	ph exam	niner applicants	
	1982	3,713	_	_	19 polygra	aph exam	niner applicants	
							Polygraph applicants	
Army Intelligence and					,			
Security Command	1980	NA	44	NA	0	58	5	
•	1981	NA	33	NA	9	34	1	
	1982	NA	78	NA	58	62	2	
Navy	1980a	1,209	30	78				
	1981a	1,049	50	86				
	1982a	1,210	45	82				
Air Force	1980a	1,296	NA	NA				
	1981 °	1,298	NA	NA				
	1982a	1,750	NA	NA				
Marines		NA	_	_	Polygraph	examine	r applicants	
National Security Agency		NA	NA	NA	Applicant	screening	9	
Department of Justice:								
Federal Bureau of Investigation	1980-82	6.038	474	_	234 person	nnel sec	uritv	
Drug Enforcement Administration		449	_	_	192 intern			
Department of the Treasury:								
Secret Service	1982	562	_	65	59 other a	igency		
					16 bond	•		
					12 inspect	tion		
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco					•			
and Firearms	980-82	686	_	_				
U.S. Postal Service	980-82	2,091	_	_				

\*Calenda r Year NA Not available

graph examinations are for applicant screening; i.e., for use in personnel security evaluations of applicants for employment. In 1982, OTA estimates that NSA conducted about 6,700 applicant screening polygraph exams. No other Federal agency, except CIA, conducts routine applicant screening polygraph exams. CIA, as noted above, did not provide information on the purpose of their exams. However, public information available from a report of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, U.S. House of Representatives (173), indicates that the CIA utilizes polygraph tests as part of its applicant screening.

The following agencies also conduct a small number of polygraph exams for counterintelligence and/or intelligence purposes (see table B-2 for estimates): Army Security and Intelligence Command, Navy, Air Force, NSA, FBI, and Secret Service. Other miscellaneous purposes for polygraph exams are listed in table B-2.

## Use of Polygraph in Unauthorized Disclosure Cases

Polygraph exams are used by several Federal agencies in connection with the investigation of the unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or classified information; however, such use at present is limited.

Federal agencies responding reported the following polygraph use in unauthorized disclosure cases over the 1980-82 period:

,4gencv	,Nrumber (If $p()/gr < lph t,xJm)rl.Jt/(lns ( 1Q8(1 82 ~$
Arm; CIC	\'er} tem
.Army ISC	1
Navy	78
,Alr FOr( e	112
Marine<	0
ISS'4	l)dtd not a~ .\ ɪlˌɪblt
State Department	Q Q
FBI	.?b l >In[ (, 1 Q78
DEA	33
Secret \$ervlcr	11
BATF	0
[1\$['\$	0

For agencies providing detailed statistics, the results of the exams were as follows:

		Not		No	Deceptive
	Deceptive	deceptive	Inconclwve	op]mon	confirmed
Army, ISC	0	i	0	0	0
Navy	26	51	1	0	18
Air Force	26	85	1	0	21
FBI	16	10	0	0	14
DEA	2	31	0	0	Data not ava]lable
C C	0	11	Λ	Λ	0 -

Confirmation of deceptive exam results was primarily though a pre- or post-test confession or admission. Very few of the not deceptive test results were confirmed. Except for the FBI, information was not available on what action, if any (e.g., administrative sanction, removal of security clearance, criminal prosecution), was taken based on the deceptive exam results. The FBI reports that in 12 closed cases, deceptive examination results contributed (at least in part) to 3 convictions, 1 dismissal, 1 disciplinary action, 3 resignations, 3 censures, and 1 voluntary retirement,

# Polygraph Examiner Training and Techniques

Federal agencies reported a high degree of consistency in the training of and techniques used by Federal polygraph examiners. All agencies, except NSA, reported that examiners are required to be graduates of the 12-week U.S. Army Polygraph Training Course at Ft. McClellan, Ala. (a component of the U.S. Army Military Police School). NSA requires examiners to be graduates of either the U.S. Army School or the Maryland Institute of Criminal Justice. All examiners are required to have at least 2 years investigative experience. USPS requires 3 years investigative experience, the Secret Service requires 4 years investigative experience, and the Navy, FBI, and BATF require 5 years. In addition, all examiners are required to have an undergraduate degree from an accredited college. The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA), Secret Service, and BATF require examiners to participate in an advanced or refresher course every year; DOD components and the FBI require such participation every 2 years; and USPS requires such participation every 3 years. All examiners are required to complete an internship or probationary period after graduation from polygraph school.

With respect to examiner technique, examiners at all agencies reporting except NSA make primary use of one or more control question techniques. The modified general question and zone of comparison are the most frequently used control question techniques. Examiners at most agencies also use the peak of tension technique (a concealed information technique). At NSA, the relevant/irrelevant technique is the most fre-

quently used. The Army Intelligence Command, FBI, DEA, Secret Service, and BATF also use the relevant/irrelevant technique to a limited extent. All agencies reported that examiners use a standardized numerical scoring system for interpreting results of exams conducted with a control question technique. For exams conducted with the relevant/irrelevant technique, the examiner looks for significant, consistent reactions. See chapter 2 for further discussion of question techniques.

### **Methods of Quality Control**

All Federal agencies reported that essentially the same polygraph instruments and physiological measures are employed in conducting polygraph examinations. All Federal agencies use primarily Stoelting and Lafayette polygraph instruments (purchased from private manufacturers). The physiological measures include respiration (breathing), perspiration (galvanic skin response), and cardiovascular (blood pressure and pulse rate),

Agencies also indicated that all polygrams (charts) are reviewed independently by a supervisor and/or a polygraph coordinator at a headquarters location, This quality control review includes checking the original examiner's chart interpretation as well as reviewing question construction and other aspects of the exam. Agencies vary in the specifics of their quality control process, but any disagreement between the chart interpretations of the original examiner and quality control examiner usually requires a reexamination.

### Length of Polygraph Examinations

Agencies reported that the length of polygraph examinations ranges from about 1.5 to 4 hours, as indicated in table B-3.

## Results of Examinations and Subsequent Confirmation

The results of polygraph examinations vary widely among Federal agencies. The number of deceptive examination results ranges from about 10 percent of total exams (for USPS in 1981) to about 69 percent (Army Criminal Investigation Command, 1980), with most agencies in the 40 to 60 percent deceptive range. See table B-3 for agency specific statistics.

Confirmation of results also varies widely, as shown in table B-4. Independent confirmation rates for deceptive exam results range from about 25 percent for the Marines to **70 to 80** percent for the Army Criminal In-

Table B-3.—Length and Results of Exams

	Average	iength	of exar	n				Re	sults o	of exar	ns				
	Fiscal year	Fiscal vear	Fiscal vear	Fisca	al year 1	980 p	ercent	Fisca	al year	1981 pe	ercent	Fisca	al year	1982 p	ercent
	1980	1981	1982	D	ND	- 1	NO	D	ND	I	NO	D	ND	1	NO
Department of Defense: Army Criminal Investigation															
Command .,	2:53	2:54	3:03	68.7	21.4	0.6	9.2	66.3	24.1	0.9	8.7	64.0	28.3	0.6	7.1
Security Command		ours ave	Ü	34 50	59 50	4	3	33 50	62 50	2	3 _	34 50	59 50	3	4_
Marines		east 1,5 l		51	NA	NA	NA	51	NA	NA	NA	52	NA	NA	NA
Agency	1.5	to 2	hours	average	NA	NA	NA	NA N	IA NA	A NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Department of Justice: Federal Bureau of Investigation	2 to 3 ho	NA urs avera	age	•	deceptive		•								
Department of the Treasury Secret Service Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and		NA		46.8	46.9	4.5	6.8 3	3 year a	average	•					
Firearms	3:49	3:47	3:37	51.1	37.5	7,4	4,0	40.2	47.2	5.5	7,1	28.5	57.4	6.3	7.8
U.S. Postal Service	1.86	hours av	erage	11	83	4	1.0	10	83	4	2	17.3	73.1	6.8	1.2

NOTES" D = Deceptive

ND . Nondeceptive

Inconclusive

NO = No Opinion

NA = Not available

Table B-4.—Long-term Confirmation of Exam Results (percent confirmed)

	Fiscal y	ear 1980	Fiscal year	1981	Fiscal year 198	2 (incomplete)
	D	ND	D	ND	D	ND
Department of Defense: Army Criminal Investigation						
Command	75.4	20.9	68.8	20.9	72.8	49.3
		via confession	court conviction,	legal dete	ermination	
	ND confirmation	ı via legal dete	ermination or locati	ion of othe	er suspect	
Army Intelligence arid		· ·			·	
Security Command	70		82		83	
•	Confirmation pr	imarily via exa	minee admission			
Navy	42		45		46	
Air Force	50	10	3 year average			
	Confirmation by	other evidenc				
Marines		h l a	24		25	
National Security Agency	Data not availa	bie				
Department of Justice: Federal Bureau of						
Investigation	.1,966 of 3,527	deceptive conf	irmed by confessi	on		
Administration			during post-test inte sequent investigation			
Department of the Treasury:		•				
Secret Service ,			nfirmed ssions or confession	ons		
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		•				
U.S. Postal Service		) of D	360/o of	: D	390/0	of D
U.S. FUSIAI SEIVICE		essed	confess	_	confes	
D = Decentive	301110		22.11000		2000	

D = Deceptive
ND = Nondeceptive

vestigation Command, Army Intelligence Command, and Secret Service. Confirmation of deceptive exam results is primarily by examinee admissions or confessions. Confirmation of nondeceptive exam results is generally more difficult, with nondeceptive confirmation rates of less than **50** percent indicated by all agencies reporting except DEA.

### Use of Polygraph Examination Results

In general, with the exception of NSA, polygraph test results are used as an investigatory tool in specific criminal, counterintelligence, intelligence, or personnel security cases. Polygraph examinations are voluntary in the sense that agencies in general are proscribed from forcing individuals to take an examination, or from penalizing or taking adverse action against individuals who refuse to take an examination. However, at NSA, where a polygraph examination is part of the preemployment security screening process for all job applicants, refusal to take polygraph examination may result in failure to be accepted for employment. Also, the FBI noted that in cases where an FBI employee is asked to take a polygraph examination but refuses, the refusal may lead to an adverse inference being drawn.

Overall, agencies were not able to provide specific information on how the results of polygraph exams were actually used, since the agency office conducting the examination is usually different from the office conducting the investigation and taking action. Statistics on use of examination results apparently are not maintained, **at** least not on a centralized basis. Also, the results of a polygraph examination are usually only one of several sources of information relevant to a spe-

cific investigation. In fact, agency regulations generally require that polygraph results "be used selectively as an investigative aid" and not "to the exclusion of other evidence or knowledge obtained during the course of a complete investigation" (FBI regulation 13-22.2(2), 1981).

### Federal Agency Polygraph Research

Based on information provided by Federal agencies, the major past, present, and future Federal polygraph research is summarized in table B-5. Research on the polygraph instrument itself includes a 1966-67 calibration study (U.S. Army), a 1966-67 technical evaluation study (Navy under contract to National Bureau of Standards), 1969-70 and 1975-77 cardioactivity monitor studies (Air Force), a current cardioactivity monitor study (FBI), and the current lo-year instrumentation research sponsored by the Army Criminal Investigation Command and Army Security and Intelligence Command and intended to develop a new polygraph instrument utilizing state-of-the-art technology. Research on polygraph validit, and reliability, broadly defined, includes a 1962 validity study (Air Force), a 1965-67 reliability study (Army Criminal Investigation), 1979-81 counterintelligence screening test validity study (Army Intelligence), and the planned 1984-85 validity and reliability study cosponsored by the FBI and Secret Service. Also, in 1976-78, the Department of Justice sponsored validity and reliability studies by university researchers David Raskin and David Lykken. Finally, both Army Intelligence and NSA are planning research on polygraph countermeasures.

Table B.5.—Selected Federal Agency Polygraph Research

		Past polygraph research		Present/future research
Department of Defense:				
		Validation study of polygraph examiner judgments (reliability study known as "Bersh" study nation brundt gof rpowygpaph instrument	1981-90	Instrument research and development project (to develop a state-of-the art polygraph instrument)
Navy		Comparison of voice analysis and polygraph (U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory) Validation and reliability study of counterintelligence screening test Technical evaluation study of polygraph instrument (under contract to National Bureau of	1981-90 None	Instrument research and development project Planning research on polygraph countermeasure and anti countermeasures
	1965 1969-70	Standards) Polygraph validity study Analysis of polygraphic data Development and validation studies of cardioactivity monitor	None	
Marines		Reliability and validity studies of cardioactivity monitor None Review of scientific literature on polygraph validity, reliability and utility	None 1983-84	\$65,000 pilot study of effect of drugs/hypnosis/nonverbal techniques on polygraph validity
Department of Justice: Federal Bureau of Investigation		None	1984-85 1984	Polygraph validity and reliability research (criminal investigatory context) Instrumentation research (on monitoring blood pressure)
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration	1976-78	Raskin and Lykken studies of polygraph validity and reliability		moments siece processor
Department of the Treasury: Secret Service		Participated in Raskin study		Cooperation with planned FBI study on polygraph validity and reliability
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		None		None
U.S. Postal Service		None		None
Department of State		None		None
Office of Personnel Management		None		None
Central Intelligence Agency	. "	Classified research		Data not available