Technology and Learning Disabilities

December 1983

NTIS order #PB84-184043

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY CASE STUDY 25: Technology and Learning Disabilities

DECEMBER 1993

This case study was performed as a part of OTA's Assessment of

Technology and Handicapped People



HEALTH TECHNOLOGY CASE STUDY 25: Technology and Learning Disabilities

DECEMBER 1983

This case study was performed as a part of OTA's Assessment of

Technology and Handicapped People

Prepared under contract for OTA by:

Candis Cousins, M. S., Doctoral Candidate, Wright Institute, Berkeley, Calif. and

Leonard Duhl, M. D., Professor of Public Health and City Planning University of California, Berkeley, Calif.

OTA Case Studies are documents containing information on a specific medical technology or area of application that supplements formal OTA assessments. The material is not normally of as immediate policy interest as that in an OTA Report, nor does it present options for Congress to consider.



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES Office of Technology Assessment Washington D. C. 20510 Recommended Citation:

Health Technology Case Study 25: Technology and Learning Disabilities (Washington, D, C.: U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, OTA-HCS25, December 1983). This case study was performed as part of OTA's assessment of *Technology and EZandicapp,ed People*.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 83-600546

Preface

Technology and Learning Disabilities is Case Study 25 in OTA's Health Technology Case Study Series. It was prepared in response to a request by the Senate Finance Committee, Subcommittee on Health, and is part of OTA's project on *Technology and Handicapped People*, requested by the House Committee on Energy and Commerce and its Subcommittee on Health and the Environment and the Senate Finance Committee, Subcommittee on Health. A listing of other case studies in the series is included at the end of this preface.

OTA case studies are designed to fulfill two functions. The primary purpose is to provide OTA with specific information that can be used in forming general conclusions regarding broader policy issues. The first 19 cases in the Health Technology Case Study Series, for example, were conducted in conjunction with OTA's overall project on The Implications of Cost-Effectiveness Anal*ysis of Medical Technology.* By examining the 19 cases as a group and looking for common problems or strengths in the techniques of cost-effectiveness or cost-benefit analysis, OTA was able to better analyze the potential contribution that those techniques might make to the management of medical technolog, and health care costs and quality.

The second function of the case studies is to provide useful information on the specific technologies covered. The design and the funding levels of most of the case studies are such that they should be read primarily in the context of the associated overall OTA projects. Nevertheless, in many instances, the case studies do represent extensive reviews of the literature on the efficacy, safety, and costs of the specific technologies and as such can stand on their own as a useful contribution to the field.

Case studies are prepared in some instances because they have been specifically requested by congressional committees and in others because they have been selected through an extensive review process involving OTA staff and consultations with the congressional staffs, advisory panel to the associated overall project, the Health Program Advisory Committee, and other experts in various fields. Selection criteria were developed to ensure that case studies provide the following:

- examples of types of technologies by function (preventive, diagnostic, therapeutic, and rehabilitative);
- examples of types of technologies by physical nature (drugs, devices, and procedures);
- examples of technologies in different stages of development and diffusion (new, emerging, and established);
- examples from different areas of medicine (e.g., general medical practice, pediatrics, radiology, and surgery);
- examples addressing medical problems that are important because of their high frequency or significant impacts (e. g., cost);
- examples of technologies with associated high costs either because of high volume (for lowcost technologies) or high individual costs;
- examples that could provide information material relating to the broader policy and methodological issues being examined in the particular overall project; and
- examples with sufficient scientific literature.

Case studies are either prepared by OTA staff, commissioned by OTA and performed under contract by experts (generally in academia), or written by OTA staff on the basis of contractors' papers.

OTA subjects each case study to an extensive review process. Initial drafts of cases are reviewed by OTA staff and by members of the advisory panel to the associated project. For commissioned cases, comments are provided to authors, along with OTA's suggestions for revisions. Subsequent drafts are sent by OTA to numerous experts for review and comment. Each case is seen by at least *30*, and sometimes by *80* or more outside reviewers. These reviewers may be from relevant Government agencies, professional societies, consumer and public interest groups, medical practice, and academic medicine. Academicians such as economists, sociologists, decision analysts, biologists, and so forth, as appropriate, also review the cases.

Although cases are not statements of official OTA position, the review process is designed to

satisfy OTA's concern of each case study's scientific quality and objectivity. During the various stages of the review and revision process, therefore, OTA encourages, and to the extent possible requires, authors to present balanced information and recognize divergent points of view.

Seri	e Study ies Case study title; author(s); nber OTA publication number [»]	Ser	e Study ies Case study title; author(s); nber OTA publication number
1	Formal Analysis, Policy Formulation, and End-Sta Renal Disease;	ge 13	Cardiac Radionuclide Imaging and Cost Effectiveness;
	Richard A. Rettig (OTA-BP-H-9 (1)) ^c		William B. Stason and Eric Fortess
2	The Feasibility of Economic Evaluation of		(OTA-BP-H-9(13))
	Diagnostic Procedures: The Case of CT Scanning;	14	Cost Benefit/Cost Effectiveness of Medical
	Judith L. Wagner (OTA-BP-H-9(2))		Technologies: A Case Study of Orthopedic Joint
3	Screening for Colon Cancer: A Technology		Implants;
	Assessment;		Judith D. Bentkover and Philip G. Drew
	David M. Eddy (OTA-BP-H-9(3))	4.5	(OTA-BP-H-9(14))
4	Cost Effectiveness of Automated Multichannel	15	Elective Hysterectomy: Costs, Risks, and Benefits;
	Chemistry Analyzers;		Carol Korenbrot, Ann B. Flood, Michael Higgins
	Milton C. Weinstein and Laurie A. Pearlman		Noralou Roos, and John P. Bunker
F	(OTA-BP-H-9(4)) Pariodontal Discours Associate the Effectiveness on	d 16	(OTA-BP-H-9(15)) The Costs and Effectiveness of Nurse Practitioners
5	Periodontal Disease: Assessing the Effectiveness and	u 10	The Costs and Effectiveness of Nurse Practitioners; Lauren LeRoy and Sharon Solkowitz
	Costs of the Keyes Technique; Richard M. Scheffler and Sheldon Rovin		-
		17	(OTA-Bp-H-9(16)) Surgery for Breast Cancer;
6	(OTA-BP-H-9(5)) The Cost Effectiveness of Bone Marrow Transplant		Karen Schachter Weingrod and Duncan Neuhause
U	Therapy and Its Policy Implications;	L	(OTA-BP-H-9(17))
	Stuart O. Schweitzer and C. C. Scalzi	18	The Efficacy and Cost Effectiveness of
	(OTA-BP-H-9(6))		Psychotherapy;
7	Allocating Costs and Benefits in Disease Prevention	1	Leonard Saxe (Office of Technology Assessment)
	Programs: An .Application to Cervical Cancer		(OTA-BP-H-9 (18)) ^d
	Screening;	19	Assessment of Four Common X-Ray Procedures;
	Bryan R. Luc'e (Office of Technology Assessment		Judith L. Wagner (OTA-BP-H-9 (19)) ^e
	(OTA-BP-H-9(7))	20	Mandatory Passive Restraint Systems in
8	The Cost Effectiveness of Upper Gastrointestinal		Automobiles: Issues and Evidence;
	Endoscopy;	01	Kenneth E. Warner (OTA-BP-H-15 (20)) ^{<i>f</i>}
	Jonathan A. Showstack and Steven A. Schroeder	r 21	Selected Telecommunications Devices for Hearing-
0	(OTA-BP-H-9(8)) The Artificial Heavet Cost Dishe and Base Star		Impaired Persons; Virginia W. Stern and Martha Ross Redden
9	The Artificial Heart: Cost, Risks, and Benefits;		(OTA-BP-H-16 (21))g
	Deborah P. Lubeck and John P. Bunker	22	The Effectiveness and Costs of Alcoholism
10	(OTA-BP-H-9(9)) The Costs and Effectiveness of Neonatal Intensive	~~~	Treatment;
10	Care;		Leonard Saxe, Denise Dougherty, Katharine Esty
	Peter Budetti, Peggy McManus, Nancy Barrand,		and Michelle Fine (OTA-HC2-22)
	and Lu Ann Heinen (OTA-BP-H-9 (1 O))	23	The Safety, Efficacy, and Cost Effectiveness of
11	Benefit and Coljt Analysis of Medical Interventions	:	Therapeutic Apheresis;
	The Case of Cimetidine and Peptic Ulcer Disease;		John C. Langenbrunner (Office of Technology
	Harvey V. Fineberg and Laurie A. Pearlman		Assessment) (OTA-HCS-23)
	(OTA-Bp-H-'~(ll))		Variation in Length of Hospital Stay: Their
12	Assessing Selected Respiratory Therapy Modalities:		Relationship to Health Outcomes;
	Trends and Relative Costs in the Washington, D.C		Mark R. Chassin (OTA-HCS-24)
	Area;	25	Technology and Learning Disabilities;
	Richard M. Scheffler and Morgan Delaney (OTA-BP-H-9(12))		Candis Cousins and Leonard Duhl (OTA-HCS-25
	(OTA-BP-H-9(12)) able for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Governmenting Office, Washington, D. C., 20402, and b, the National Technic		kground paper #3 to The <i>Implications</i> of <i>Cost-Effectiveness A</i> dical Technology.

Health Technology Case Study Series^a

available for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 20402, and b, the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Va., 22161. Call OTA's Publishing Of fice (224-8996) for availability and ordering information.

^bOriginal publication numbers appear in Parentheses.

Medical Technology. Background Paper #s to The Implications of Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Medical Technology, Background Paper #1 to OTA's May 1982 report Technology and Handicapped People.

8Background Paper #2 to Technology and Handicapped People.

The first 17 cases in the series were 17 separately issued cases in Background Paper #2: Case Studies of Medical Technologies. prepared m conjunction with OTA's August 1980 report The implications of Cost-Effectiveness Analvsis of Medical Technology.

OTA Staff for Case Study #25

H, David Banta, Assistant Director, OTA Health and Life Sciences Division *

Clyde J. Behney, *Health Program Manager* Clyde J. Behney, Project *Director* Anne Kesselman Burns, *Analyst* Kerry Britten Kemp, *Editor* Ann Covalt, *Contractor'**

Virginia Cwalina, Administrative Assistant Mary E. Harvey, Secretary Jennifer Nelson, Secretary

OTA Publishing Staff

John C. Holmes, *Publishing Officer* John Bergling Kathie S. Boss Debra M. Datcher Joe Henson Glenda Lawing Linda A. Leahy Cheryl J. Manning

v



Advisory Panel on Technology and Handicapped People

Daisy Tagliacozzo, Panel Chair Department of Sociology, University of Massachusetts, Harbor Campus

Miriam K. Bazelon Washington, D.C.

Tom Beauchamp Kennedy Institute-Center for Bioethics Georgetown University

Monroe Berkowitz Bureau of Economic Research Rutgers University

Henrik Blum University of California, Berkeley

Frank Bowe Woodmere, N. Y.

Jim Gallagher Martha Porter Graham Center University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Melvin Glasser Committee for National Health Insurance

Ralf Hotchkiss Oakland, Calif.

John Kimberly The Wharton School University of Pennsylvania Robert Leopold Department of Psychiatry Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania

LeRoy Levitt Mount Sinai Hospitai

A. Malachi Mixon, III *Invacare Corp.*

Jacquelin Perry Rancho Los Amigos Hospital

Barbara W. Sklar Mount Zion Hospital

William Stason Veterans Administration and Harvard School of Public Health

Gregg Vanderheiden Trace Research and Development Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

Michael Zullo Corporate Partnership Program U.S. Council for International Year Of Disabled Persons