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## "Communication Theory" or "Information Theory"?

- Prodigy at Harvard, professor at MIT
- Cybernetics
- Feedback control
- Communication theory

Dark Hero Of The Information Age: In Search of Norbert Wiener, the Father of Cybernetics, Flo Conway and Jim Siegelman, 2005. Basic Books


- University of Michigan, MIT (student), Bell Labs, MIT (professor)
- Boolean algebra
- Cryptography, telecommunications
- Information theory

The Information: A History, A Theory, A Flood, James Gleick, 2011, Pantheon.


## Information, Noise, and Observation



## Communication: Separating Signals from Noise Signal-to-Noise Ratio, SNR

$$
\begin{aligned}
S N R & =\frac{\text { Signal Power }}{\text { Noise Power }} \triangleq \frac{S}{N} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{\text {signal }}^{2}}{\sigma_{\text {noise }}^{2}}(\text { zero-mean }), \text { e.g., } \frac{\text { watts }}{\text { watts }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SNR often expressed in decibels

$$
\begin{aligned}
S N R(d B) & =10 \log _{10} \frac{\text { Signal Power }}{\text { Noise Power }}=10 \log _{10} \frac{(\text { Signal Amplitude })^{2}}{(\text { Noise Amplitude })^{2}} \\
& =20 \log _{10} \frac{\text { Signal Amplitude }}{\text { Noise Amplitude }}=S(d B)-N(d B)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Communication: Separating Analog Signals from Noise

Power $=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[$ Power Spectral Density $(f)] d f=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[\operatorname{PSD}(f)] d f ; \quad f=$ Frequency, Hz

## Signal-to-Noise Spectral Density Ratio, SDR(f)

$\operatorname{SDR}\left(\frac{\omega}{2 \pi}\right)=\operatorname{SDR}(f)=\frac{\text { Signal Power Spectral Density }(f)}{\text { Noise Power Spectral Density }(f)} \triangleq \frac{P S D_{\text {signal }}(f)}{P S D_{\text {noise }}(f)}$
Optimal (non-causal) Wiener Filter, $\boldsymbol{H}(\mathbf{f})$
$H(f)=\frac{P S D_{\text {signal }}(f)}{P S D_{\text {signal }}(f)+P S D_{\text {noise }}(f)}=\frac{\operatorname{SDR}(f)}{\operatorname{SDR}(f)+1}$


# Communication: Bit Rate Capacity of a Noisy Analog Channel 



Shannon-Hartley Theorem, C bits/s

$$
C=B \log _{2}\left(\frac{S+N}{N}\right)=B \log _{2}\left(\frac{S}{N}+1\right)=B \log _{2}(S N R+1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\text { Signal Power, e.g., watts } \\
N & =\text { Noise Power, e.g., watts } \\
(S+N) & =\text { Observed Power, e.g., watts }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline B=\text { Channel Bandwidth, } \mathrm{Hz} \\
C=\text { Channel Capacity, bits/s } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Early Codes: How Many Bits?



- ~ (10 x 10) image = 100 pixels $=100$ bits required to discern a character

ASCII encodes 128 characters in 7 bits (1 byte - 1 bit)


- Dot = 1 bit
- Dash = 3 bits
- Dot-dash space = 1 bit
- Letter space = 2 bits
- 3 to 21 bits per character


## Information

Ralph Hartley's Definition of Information (1928)

$$
H=\log _{10} S^{n}=n \log _{10} S \quad \begin{gathered}
S=\text { \# possible denary symbols } \\
n=\text { \# transmitted symbols }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Claude Shannon, 1948: Self-Information, $\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right)$, contained in observation of Event $A, x_{A}$, depends on probability of occurrence, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)$ :

$$
\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right)=f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)\right]
$$

1) Information increases as uncertainty decreases
2) $\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right) \geq 0$ : Information is positive or zero
3) If $\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)=1$ or $0, \mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right)=0$ : No information in observation if $x_{A}$ is certain or not present
4) For observations of independent events, $x_{A}$ and $x_{B}$, JointInformation must be additive

$$
\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right)=\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right)+\mathbb{I}\left(x_{B}\right)
$$

## Information

- From (4),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\therefore f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right)\right]=f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)\right] f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{B}\right)\right] \\
=f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)\right]+f c n\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{B}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

- What function has these properties?
- Shannon's answer: the logarithm
- From (1),

$$
\mathbb{I}\left(x_{i}\right)=\log \left[1 / \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]=-\log \left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]
$$

- Mean value of self-information is the expected value

$$
E\left[I\left(x_{A}\right)\right]=-\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n_{A}}{N}\right) \log \left(\frac{n_{A}}{N}\right)=-\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right) \log \left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)\right]
$$

## Entropy as a Measure of Information

- Prior result true in any numbering system
- Expressing Self-Information in bits (or "shannons"),

$$
E\left[\mathbb{I}\left(x_{A}\right)\right]=-\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right) \log _{2}\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{A}\right)\right]
$$

- Given I distinct events, the entropy* of set of events is

$$
H \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{I} E\left[\mathbb{I}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]=-\sum_{i=1}^{I} \operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i}\right) \log _{2}\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \text { bits }
$$

- Entropy indicates the degree of uncertainty associated with the process
- The greater the uncertainty, the higher the required channel capacity for transmission


## Entropy of Two Events with Binary Frequencies of Occurrence

- $-\operatorname{Pr}(i) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(i)$ represents the channel capacity (i.e., average number of bits) required to portray the $i^{\text {th }}$ event
- Frequencies of occurrence estimate probabilities of each event (\#1 and \#2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}(\# 1)=\frac{n(\# 1)}{N} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(\# 2)=\frac{n(\# 2)}{N}=1-\frac{n(\# 1)}{N} \\
& \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(\# 1 \text { or } \# 2) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Combined entropy

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =H_{\# 1}+H_{\# 2} \\
& =-\operatorname{Pr}(\# 1) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(\# 1)-\operatorname{Pr}(\# 2) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(\# 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Entropy of Two Events with Binary Frequencies of Occurrence

|  | Entropies for 128 Trials |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\operatorname{Pr}(\# 1)$ | - \# of Bits(\#1) | $\operatorname{Pr}(\# 2)$ | - \# of Bits(\#2) | Entropy |
| n | n/N | $\log _{2}(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})$ | 1-n/N | $\log _{2}(1-n / N)$ | H |
| -1 | 0.008 | -7 | 0.992 | -0.011 | 0.066 |
| 2 | 0.016 | -6 | 0.984 | -0.023 | 0.116 |
| 4 | 0.031 | -5 | 0.969 | -0.046 | 0.201 |
| 8 | 0.063 | -4 | 0.938 | -0.093 | 0.337 |
| 16 | 0.125 | -3 | 0.875 | -0.193 | 0.544 |
| 32 | 0.25 | -2 | 0.75 | -0.415 | 0.811 |
| 64 | 0.50 | -1 | 0.50 | -1 | 1 |
| 96 | 0.15 | -0.415 | 0.25 | -2 | 0.817 |
| 112 | 0.875 | -0.193 | 0.125 | -3 | 0.544 |
| 120 | 0.938 | -0.093 | 0.063 | -4 | 0.337 |
| 124 | 0.969 | -0.046 | 0.031 | -5 | 0.201 |
| 126 | 0.984 | -0.023 | 0.016 | -6 | 0.116 |
| 127 | 0.992 | -0.011 | 0.008 | -7 | 0.066 |



Entropy of a fair coin flip = 1

# Accurate Detection of Events Depends on Their Probability of Occurrence 

Signals Rounded to Their Intended Values


## Accurate Detection of Events Depends on Their Probability of Occurrence and the Noise in the Signal




- Choose most important attributes first
- Recognize when no result can be deduced
- Exclude irrelevant factors
- Iterative Dichotomizer*: the ID3 Algorithm
- Build an efficient decision tree from a fixed set of examples (supervised learning)
*Dichotomy: Division into two (usually contradictory) parts or opinions


# Fuzzy Ball-Game Training Set 

|  | Attributes |  |  |  | Decisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case \# | Forecast | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Play Ball? |
|  | 1 Sunny | Hot | High | Weak | No |
|  | 2 Sunny | Hot | High | Strong | No |
|  | 3 Overcast | Hot | High | Weak | Yes |
|  | 4 Rain | Mild | High | Weak | Yes |
|  | 5 Rain | Cool | Low | Weak | Yes |
|  | 6 Rain | Cool | Low | Strong | No |
|  | 7 Overcast | Cool | Low | Strong | Yes |
|  | 8 Sunny | Mild | High | Weak | No |
|  | 9 Sunny | Cool | Low | Weak | Yes |
| 10 | 0 Rain | Mild | Low | Weak | Yes |
|  | 1 Sunny | Mild | Low | Strong | Yes |
| 12 | 2 Overcast | Mild | High | Strong | Yes |
| 13 | 3 Overcast | Hot | Low | Weak | Yes |
|  | 4 Rain | Mild | High | Strong | No |

## Parameters of the ID3 Algorithm



- Decisions, e.g., Play ball or don' t play ball - $D=$ Number of possible decisions
- Decision: Yes, no


## Parameters of the ID3 Algorithm

- Attributes, e.g., Temperature, humidity, wind, weather forecast
- $M$ = Number of attributes to be considered in making a decision
$-I_{m}=$ Number of values that the $i^{\text {th }}$ attribute can take
- Temperature: Hot, mild, cool
- Humidity: High, low
- Wind:

Strong, weak

- Forecast: Sunny, overcast, rain
- Training trials, e.g., all the games attempted last month
- N = Number of training trials
$-n(i)=$ Number of examples with $i^{\text {th }}$ attribute


## Best Decision is Related to Entropy and the Probability of Occurrence

- High entropy
- Signal provides low coding precision of distinct events
- Differences can be coded with

$$
H=-\sum_{i=1}^{I} \operatorname{Pr}(i) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(i)
$$ few bits

- Low entropy
- More complex signal structure
- Detecting differences requires many bits
- Best classification of events when $H=1$...

- but that may not be achievable

| Case \# | Forecast | Temperature | Humidity |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sunny | Hot | High | Week |
| 2 Sunny | Hot | High | Stro |
| 3 Overcast | Hot | High | Weak |
| 4 Rain | Mild | High | Weeal |
| 5 Rain | Cool | Low | Weak |
| 6 Rain | Cool | Low | Stro |
| 7 Overcast | Cool | Low | Stro |
| 8 Sunny | Mild | High | Weak |
| 9 Sunny | Cool | Low | Weak |
| 10 Rain | Mild | Low | Weak |
| 11 Sunny | Mild | Low | Stro |
| 12 Overcast | Mild | High | Stro |
| 13 Overcast | Hot | Low | Weak |
| 14 Rain | Mild | High | Stro |
|  |  |  |  |

Weak Ye
$H_{D}=$ Entropy of all possible decisions

$$
H_{D}=-\sum_{d=1}^{D} \operatorname{Pr}(d) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}(d)
$$

$G_{i}=$ Information "gain" (or contribution) of $i^{\text {th }}$ attribute

$$
G_{i}=H_{D}+\sum_{i_{m}=1}^{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{m}\right) \sum_{i_{d}=1}^{D}\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{d}\right) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{d}\right)\right]
$$

$\operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{d}\right)=n\left(i_{d}\right) / N(d)$ : Probability that $i^{\text {th }}$ attribute depends on $d^{\text {th }}$ decision

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{I_{m}} \operatorname{Pr}(i) \sum_{d=1}^{D}\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{d}\right) \log _{2} \operatorname{Pr}\left(i_{d}\right)\right]: \text { Mutual information of } i \text { and } d
$$

## Decision Tree Produced by ID3 Algorithm

- Typical Root Attribute gains, $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$
- Forecast: 0.246
- Temperature: 0.029
- Humidity: 0.151
- Wind: 0.048
- Therefore
- Choose Forecast as root
- Ignore Temperature
- Choose Humidity and Wind as branches

- Evaluating remaining gains,
- Sunny branches to Humidity
- Overcast = Yes
- Rain branches to Wind


## Graph and Tree Search

## Search for Best Solution

## - Typical textbook problems

- Prove theorem
- Solve puzzle (e.g., Tower of Hanoi)
- Find sequence of chess moves to win a game
- Find shortest path between points (e.g., Traveling salesman problem)
- Find sequence of symbolic transformations that solve problem (e.g., Mathematica)



## Curse of Dimensionality

- Feasible search paths may grow without bound
- Possible combinatorial explosion
- Checkers: $5 \times 10^{20}$ possible moves
- Chess: $\mathbf{1 0}^{120}$ moves
- Protein folding: ?

- Limiting search complexity
- Redefine search space
- Employ heuristic (i.e., pragmatic) rules
- Establish restricted search range
- Invoke decision models that have worked in the past


## Tree Structures for Search

- Single path between root and any node
- Path between adjacent nodes = arc
- Root node
- no precursors
- Leaf node
- no successors
- possible terminator



## Expert <br> System Symbology

- Parameters
- Values
- Rules
- Name
- Logic
- And/Or



# Structures for Search 

- Multiple paths between root and some nodes
- Predicate calculus



# Directions of Search 

## - Forward chaining

- Reason from premises to actions
- Data-driven: draw conclusions from facts
- Backward chaining
- Reason from actions to premises
- Goal-driven: find facts that support hypotheses


## Strategies for Search

- Realistic assessment
- Not necessary to consider all $10^{120}$ possible moves to play good chess
- Forward and backward chaining, but not $10^{120}$ evaluations
- Search categories
- Blind search
- Heuristic search
- Probabilistic search
- Optimization
- Search forward from opening?
- Search backward from end game?
- Both?


## "Blind" Tree Search

- Node expansion
- Begin at root
- Find all successors to node

- Depth-first forward search
- Expand nodes descended from most recently expanded node
- Consider other paths only after reaching node with no successors
- Breadth-first forward search
- Expand nodes in order of proximity to start node
- Consider all sequences of arc number $n$ (from root node) before considering any of number ( $n+1$ )
- Exhaustive, but guaranteed to find the shortest path to a terminator


## AND/OR Graph Search

Leaf Nodes
Root Node

- A node is "solved" if
- It is a leaf node with a satisfactory goal state
- It provides a satisfactory goal state and has "AND nodes" as successors
- It has "OR nodes" as successors and at least one leaf provides a satisfactory goal state.
- Goal: Solve the root node


## Heuristic Search

- For large problems, blind search typically leads to combinatorial explosion
- If optimal search (Lecture 12) is intractable, search for feasible (approximately optimal) solutions
- Employ heuristic knowledge about quality of possible paths
- Decide which node to expand next
- Discard (or prune) nodes that are unlikely to be fruitful
- Ordered or best-first search
- Always expand "most promising" node


## Shortest Path Routing



- Example: Double-Bucket Dijkstra algorithm
- Forward and backward search
- Data stored in a "heap" (value-ordered tree)
- Length of heap update path is logarithmic in number of leaves
- Also see Lecture 5 slides

Single Dijkstra Search
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## Heuristic Dynamic Programming: A* and D* Search <br> $\hat{J}_{k_{f}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} J_{i}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{k_{f}} \hat{J}_{i}\left(\operatorname{arc}_{i}\right)$

- Forward search through given nodes
- Each arc bears an incremental cost
- Cost, J, estimated at $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ instant $=$
- Cost accrued to $k$
- Remaining cost to reach final point, $\boldsymbol{k}_{f}$
- Goal: minimize estimated cost by choice of remaining arcs
- Choose arc $_{k+1}$, arc $_{k+2}$ accordingly
- Use heuristics to estimate remaining cost


## Expert Systems

## Expert Systems: Using Signals to Make Decisions

- Program that exhibits intelligent behavior
- Program that uses rules to evaluate information
- Program meant to emulate an expert or group of experts making decisions in a specific domain of knowledge (or universe of discourse)
- Program that chains algorithms to derive conclusions from evidence


## Functions of Expert Systems

- Design
- Conceive the form and substance of a new device, object, system, or procedure
- Diagnosis
- Determine the nature or cause of an observed condition
- Instruction
- Impart knowledge or skill
- Interpretation
- Explain or analyze observations
- Monitoring
- Observe a process, compare actual with expected observations, and indicate system status
- Negotiation
- Propose, assess, and prioritize agreements between parties
- Planning
- Devise actions to achieve goals
- Prediction
- Reason about time, forecast the future
- Reconfiguration
- Alter system structure to maintain or improve performance
- Regulation
- Respond to commands and adjust control parameters to maintain stability and performance


## Principal Elements of a RuleBased Expert System




## Critical Issues for Expert System Development

- System architecture
- Inference or reasoning method (Deduction)
- Knowledge acquisition (Induction)
- Explanation (Abduction*)
- User interface
* "Syllogism whose major premise is true and minor premise is probable"


## Representation of Knowledge for Inference

- Logic
- Predicate calculus, $1^{\text {st. }}$ order logic
- Fuzzy logic, Bayesian belief network, ...
- Search
- Given one state, examine all possible alternative states
- Directed acyclic graph
- Procedures
- Function-specific routines executed within a rigid structure (e.g., flow chart)
- Semantic (propositional) networks
- Model of associative memory
- Tree or graph structure
- Nodes: objects, concepts, and events
- Links: interrelations between nodes
Production (rule-based) systems
- Rules
- Data
- Inference engine


## Basic Rule Structure



- Rule sets values of action parameters
- Rule tests values of premise parameters
- Forward chaining
- Reasoning from premises to actions
- Data-driven: facts to conclusions
- Backward chaining
- Reasoning from actions to premises
- Goal-driven: find facts that support a hypothesis
- Analogous to numerical inversion


## Elements of a Parameter



- Type
- Name
- Current value
- Rules that test the parameter
- Rules that set the parameter
- Allowable values of the parameter
- Description of parameter (for explanation)


## Elements of a Rule

- Type
- Name

- Status
- 0: Has not been tested
- 1: Being tested
- T: Premise is true
- F: Premise is false
- U: Premise is unknown
- Parameters tested by rule
- Parameters set by rule
- Premise: Logical statement of proposition or predicates
- Action: Logical consequence of premise being true
- Description of premise and action (for explanation)


## The Basic Rule: IF-THEN-ELSE

- If $A=T R U E$, then $B$, else $C$

- Material equivalence of propositional calculus, extended to predicate calculus and $1^{\text {st_}}$ order logic, i.e., applied to logical statements
- Methods of inference lead to plans of action
- Compound rule: Logic embedded in The Basic Rule, e.g.,
- Rule 1: If ( $A=B$ and $C=D$ ), then perform action E, else ....
- Rule 2: If ( $A \neq B$ or $C=D$ ), then $E=F$, else ....
- Nested (pre-formed compound) rule: Rule embedded in The Basic Rule, e.g.,
- Rule 3: If $(A=B)$, then [lf $(C=D)$, then $E=F$, else ...], else ....

- Identification of key attributes and outcomes
- Taxonomies developed by experts
- First principles of science and mathematics
- Trial and error
- Probability theory and fuzzy logic
- Simulation and empirical results



## Example of On-Line Code Modification

- Execute a decision tree
- Get wrong answer
- Add logic to distinguish between right and wrong cases
- If Comfort Zone = Water,
- then Animal = Hippo,
- else Animal = Rhino
- True, but Animal is Dinosaur, not Hippo
- Ask user for right answer
- Ask user for a rule that distinguishes between right and wrong answer: If Animal is extinct, ...


## Decision Rules

## Representation of Data

- Set
- Crisp sets
- Fuzzy sets
- Schema
- Diagrammatic representation
- A pattern that represents elements (or objects), their attributes (or properties), and relationships between different elements
- Object (or Frame)
- Hierarchical data structure, with inheritance
- Slots: Function-specific cells for data
- Scripts [usage]: frame-like structures that represent a sequence of events
- Database
- Spreadsheets/tables/graphs
- Linked spreadsheets


## Structure of a Frame (or Object)

- Structure array in MATLAB
- Structure or property list in LISP
- Object in C++
- Ordered set of computer words that characterize a parameter or rule
- An archetype or prototype
- Object-oriented programming: Express Rules and Parameters as Frames
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## Example, Fillers, and Instance of a Frame

## Application-Specific

Frame


Generic Fillers


Instantiation


## Inheritance and Hierarchy of Frame Attributes

- Legal fillers: Can be specified
by
- Data type
- Function
- Range
- Inheritance property
- All instances of a specific frame may share certain properties or classes of properties
- Hierarchical property
- Frames of frames may be legal
- Inference engine
- Decodes frames
- Establishes inheritance and hierarchy
- Executes logical statements
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## Animal Decision Tree: Forward Chaining

## - What animal is it?

## Premise Parameter: Size

Rule 1:

Action Parameter: None
Premise Parameter: Sound Rule 2:

Action Parameter: Animal
Premise Parameter: Neck Rule 3:

Action Parameter: Animal
Premise Parameter: Trunk
Rule 4:
Action Parameter:
Animal
Premise Parameter: Comfort Zone
If 'Water', Animal = Hippo [END]
Else, Animal = Rhino [END]
If 'Squeak’, Animal = Mouse [END]
Else, Animal = Squirrel [END]

If 'Long', Animal = Giraffe [END]
Else, test ‘Trunk'

If 'True', Animal = Elephant [END]
Else, test 'Comfort Zone'

Rule 5:

If 'Small', test 'Sound'
Else, test 'Neck’

Action Parameter: Animal



## Animal Decision Tree: Parameters

| Type: | Object Attribute | Type: | Object Attribute |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Animal | Name: | Neck |
| Current Value: | Variable | Current Value: | Variable |
| Rules that Test: | None | Rules that Test: | 3 |
| Rules that Set: | $2,3,4,5$ | Rules that Set: | None |
| Allowable Values: | Mouse, Squirrel, Giraffe, | Allowable Values: | Long, Short |
|  | Elephant, Hippo, Rhino | Description: | Neck of Animal |
| Description: | Type of Animal |  |  |
|  |  | Type: | Object Attribute |
| Type: | Object Attribute | Name: | Trunk |
| Name: | Size | Current Value: | Variable |
| Current Value: | Variable | Rules that Test: | 4 |
| Rules that Test: | 1 | Rules that Set: | None |
| Rules that Set: | None | Allowable Values: | True, False |
| Allowable Values: | Large, Small | Description: | Snout of Animal |
| Description: | Size of Animal |  |  |
|  |  | Type: | Object Attribute |
| Type: | Object Attribute | Name: | Comfort Zone |
| Name: | Sound | Current Value: | Variable |
| Current Value: | 2 | Rules that Test: | 5 |
| Rules that Test: | 2 | Rules that Set: | None |
| Rules that Set: | None | Allowable Values: | Water, Dry Land |
| Allowable Values: | Squeak, No Squeak | Description: | Habitat of Animal |
| Description: | Sound made by Animal |  |  |

## Animal Decision Tree: Rules

Type:
Name:
Status:

Parameters Tested
Parameters Set:
Premise:
Action:
Description:

Type:
Name:
Status:
Parameters Tested
Parameters Set:
Premise:
Action:
Description:

Type:
Name:
Status:
Parameters Tested:
Parameters Set:
Premise:
Action:
Description:

Rule 1
Variable (e.g., untested, being tested, tested and premise $=$ T/F/unknown) Size None
Size = Large or Small
Test 'Sound' OR Test 'Neck'
Depending on value of 'Size', test 'Sound' or 'Neck'

## If-Then-Else

Rule 2
Variable
Sound
Animal
Size = Large or Small
Set value of 'Animal' AND END
Depending on value of 'Sound', identify 'Animal' as 'Mouse' or 'Squirrel'

## If-Then-Else

Rule 3
Variable
Neck
Animal
Neck = Long or Short
Set value of 'Animal AND END
OR Test 'Trunk'
Depending on value of 'Neck',
identify 'Animal' as 'Giraffe' or test 'Comfort Zone'
Type:
Name:
Status:
Parameters Tested:
Parameters Set:
Premise:
Action:
Description:

## If-Then-Else

Rule 4
Variable
Trunk
Animal
Trunk = True or False
Set value of 'Animal' AND END OR Test ‘Comfort Zone'
Depending on value of 'Trunk', identify 'Animal' as 'Elephant' or test 'Comfort Zone'

## If-Then-Else

Rule 5
Variable
Comfort Zone
Animal
Comfort Zone = Water or Dry Land Set value of 'Animal' AND END Depending on value of 'Comfort Zone', identify ‘Animal' as 'Hippo' or 'Rhino'

## Animal Decision Tree: Programs

## - Procedural Sequence of Rules

- Rule1(Size, Rule2, Rule3)
- Rule2(Sound, Animal, Animal)
- Rule3(Neck, Animal, Rule4)
- Rule4(Trunk, Animal, Rule5)
- Rule5(Comfort Zone, Animal, Animal)


## - Declarative Sequence of Rules

- BasicRule(Size, Sound, Neck)
- BasicRule(Sound, Animal, Animal)
- BasicRule(Neck, Animal, Trunk)
- BasicRule(Trunk, Animal, Comfort Zone)
- BasicRule(Comfort Zone, Animal, Animal)


## Animal Decision Tree: Procedural Logic

## Simple exposition of decision-making

Rigid description of solution

```
If Size \(=\) Big
    Then If Sound = Squeak
            Then Animal = Mouse
            Else Animal = Squirrel
            Endlf
    Else If Neck = Long
                            Then Animal = Giraffe
            Else If Trunk = True
                                    Then Animal = Elephant
                                    Else If Comfort Zone = Water
                                    Then Animal = Hippo
                                    Else Animal = Rhino
                                    Endlf
                Endlf
            Endlf
```

Endlf

## Bayesian Belief Network

- Related events, $E_{i}$, within a contextual domain
- Conditional dependence of events that may (or not) be observed
- Probability of unobserved event (hypothesis), $H$, to be predicted



## Network of Conditional and Unconditional Probabilities



- Conditional probabilities known
- Prior estimates of unconditional probabilities given
- When event, $E_{i}$, occurs with probability, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{i}\right)$, update estimates of all unconditional probabilities, including $\operatorname{Pr}(H)$

See Supplemental Material for equations

## Decision Making Under Uncertainty Aircraft Flight Through Microburst Wind Shear




## Probability of Microburst Wind Shear (FAA)

| OBSERVATION | D SHEAR |
| :---: | :---: |
| PRESENCE OF CONVECTIVE WEATHER NEAR FLIGHT PATH: |  |
| With obser torna | HIGH |
| With catio | HIGH |
| With | MEDIUM |
| With | MEDIUM |
| With | MEDIUM |
| - With radar | MEDIUM |
| - With | MEDIUM |
| ONBOARD WINDSHEAR DETECTION SYSTEM ALERT <br> (Reported or observed). $\qquad$ HIGH |  |
| PIREP OF AIRSPEED LOSS OR GAIN: |  |
| - Less | MEDIUM |
| LLWAS ALERT/WIND VELOCITY CHANGE: |  |
| - $\quad 20 \mathrm{kn}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { MEDIUM } \end{aligned}$ |
| FORECAST OF CO | LOW |

## Bayesian Rules of Inference for Situation Assessment and Decision Making (Stratton and Stengel)



- Boxes represent unconditional probabilities
- Arrows represent conditional probabilities

http://www.youtube.com/watch? $v=d K w y U 1 R w P t o$


## Explanation in Machine Learning

- Expert Systems
- Explanation of decisions is built-in
- Structure relies on causal relationships
- Best applied to problems with welldefined nodes and rules
- Replace rules with neural networks?
- Neural Networks
- Explanation of classification is ambiguous
- Structure is mechanistic
- Best applied to problems with graphical or semantic solutions
- Restructure neural modules to reflect defined purpose?



# Next Time: <br> State Estimation 

## Supplementary Material

## Example: Probability Spaces for Three Attributes

- Probability of an attribute value represented by area in diagram


Attribute \#2
6 possible values


Attribute \#3 4 possible values


## Example: Decision, given Values of Three Attributes



## Bayesian Belief Network Relationships

| $H:$ Hypothesis |
| :--- |
| $E_{i}: i^{\text {th }}$ Piece of Evidence |

- Conditional probability of hypothesis, $\boldsymbol{H}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(H \mid E)=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(E \mid H)}{\operatorname{Pr}(E)} \operatorname{Pr}(H)
$$

- Unconditional probability of evidence, $E_{1}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid H\right) \operatorname{Pr}(H)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid \neg H\right) \operatorname{Pr}(\neg H)
$$

- Probability of hypothesis, $H$, conditioned on $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{1} \wedge E_{2}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \wedge E_{2} \mid H\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \wedge E_{2}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}(H)
$$

## Bayesian Belief Network Relationships

- Probability of $E_{2}$ conditioned on $E_{1}$ and $H$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H \wedge E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H\right)
$$

- Probability of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ conditioned on $H$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \wedge E_{2} \mid H\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid H\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H\right)
$$

- Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{1} \wedge E_{2}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid H\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \wedge E_{2}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}(H) \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H\right)}{\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)} \operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian Belief Network Relationships

- Pre- and post-hypothesis conditional probability
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid H\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{2} \mid \neg H\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(\neg H \mid E_{1}\right)$
- Probability of hypothesis, H, conditioned on observation of post-hypothesis event
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid E_{1}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(H \mid \neg E_{1}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(\neg E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)$


## Evolution of a Wind Shear Advisory



- Local failure analysis
- Set of hypothetical models of specific failure
- Global failure analysis
- Forward reasoning assesses failure impact
- Backward reasoning deduces possible causes



## Heuristic Search

- Local failure analysis
- Determination based on aggregate of local models
- Global failure analysis
- Determination based on aggregate of local failure analyses
- Heuristic score based on
- Criticality of failure
- Reliability of component
- Extensiveness of failure
- Implicated devices
- Level of backtracking
- Severity of failure
- Net probability of failure model


## Mechanical Control System



## Local Failure Analysis

- Frames store facts and facilitate search and inference
- Components and up-/downstream linkages of control system
- Failure model parameters
- Rule base for failure analysis (LISP)

Local Failure Model \#1
The cause of Nodes 9-2 (1.0) \& 17-2 (1.0) being down
MAY be that Node 8-2 (1.0) is down
Local Failure Model \#2
The cause of Nodes 9-3 (1.0) \& 17-3 (1.0) being down
MAY be that Node 8-3 (1.0) is down
Local Failure Model \#3a
The cause of Nodes 17-2 (1.0), 9-2 (1.0) \& 18-2 (1.0) being down
MAY be that Node 7-2 (0.67) is down
This IMPLICATES Nodes $8-2,15,3, \& 11-2$
Local Failure Model \#4
The cause of Nodes $5(1.0) \& 16$ (1.0) being down
MAY be that Node 2 (1.0) is down

## Global Failure Analysis



