Problem 1: Image compression and remembering John Horton Conway

In remembrance of John Conway\footnote{John Conway (1937–2020) was an English mathematician and a Professor of Mathematics at Princeton University. He is known for his fundamental contributions to numerous areas of mathematics. You may enjoy reading about Conway \url{here}, or playing his \url{Game of Life} \url{here}.}, we study the problem of compressing the following image of him.
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Figure 1: John Horton Conway. The image to be compressed.

To do this compression, we introduce you to the concept of singular value decomposition (SVD) which is arguably one of the most important topics in computational linear algebra. This question covers one application of this concept in image processing, but the SVD has many other applications, notably in statistics (principal component analysis).

Let $A$ be a real $m \times n$ matrix of rank $r$. (Recall that the rank of $A$ is the number of linearly independent columns of $A$.) The singular value decomposition of $A$ is a decomposition of the form

\[ A = U \Sigma V^T, \]

where $U$, $\Sigma$, and $V$ are respectively $m \times m$, $m \times n$, and $n \times n$; $U$ and $V$ are orthogonal matrices (i.e., satisfy $U^T U = I$ and $V^T V = I$), and $\Sigma$ is a matrix with $r$ positive scalars $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ on
the diagonal of its upper left $r \times r$ block and zeros everywhere else. The scalars $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ are called the singular values of $A$. They are given as

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{i\text{-th eigenvalue of } A^T A},$$

and by convention they appear in descending order:

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_r.$$

The columns of $U$ and $V$ are respectively called the left and right singular vectors of $A$ and can be obtained by taking an orthonormal set of eigenvectors for the matrices $AA^T$ and $A^TA$. In Matlab, the command `svd` handles these eigenvector computations for you and outputs the three matrices $U, \Sigma, V$. In Python, this can be done by `np.linalg.svd`.

First, you need to answer some very basic questions about the SVD.

1. (a) Show that eigenvalues of $A^TA$ are always nonnegative. (Hence singular values are well-defined as real, nonnegative scalars.)

(b) Show that if $A$ is symmetric then the singular values of $A$ are the same as the absolute value of the eigenvalues of $A$.

What does this have to do with optimization? Let $A$ be a real $m \times n$ matrix with an SVD given by $A = U \Sigma V^T$ defined as above. For a positive integer $k \leq \min\{m, n\}$, we let $A_{(k)}$ denote an $m \times n$ matrix which is an “approximation” of the matrix $A$ obtained from its top $k$ singular values and singular vectors. Formally, we have

$$A_{(k)} : = U_{(k)} \Sigma_{(k)} V_{(k)}^T,$$

where $U_{(k)}$ has the first $k$ columns of $U$, $V_{(k)}$ has the first $k$ columns of $V$, and $\Sigma_{(k)}$ is the upper left $k \times k$ block of $\Sigma$.

2. Consider the optimization problem:

$$\min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \text{rank}(B) \leq k} \|A - B\|_2.$$

Here, $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix defined as $\|C\|_2 = \max_{\|x\|_2 = 1} \|Cx\|_2$.

Show that the matrix $A_{(k)}$ is an optimal solution to the optimization problem above.

---

2By an orthonormal set of vectors we mean a collection of vectors that are pairwise orthogonal and each have 2-norm equal to one.
(Hint: You may want to first prove that the spectral norm of a matrix is *unitarily invariant*, i.e., it does not change when the matrix is multiplied from left or right by an orthogonal matrix. You may also want to use the following fact from linear algebra: For any matrix $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\text{rank}(E) + \dim \text{null}(E) = n$.)

In words, the result you are proving states that among $m \times n$ matrices of rank at most $k$, the matrix $A_{(k)}$ obtained from truncating the SVD best approximates $A$ in the spectral norm. The benefit in approximating a matrix with low-rank matrices is that low-rank matrices admit a much more succinct representation. It turns out that the same result holds for the Frobenius norm; i.e., the matrix $A_{(k)}$ is an optimal solution to the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \text{rank}(B) \leq k} \|A - B\|_F.$$ 

(Recall that the Frobenius norm of a matrix is defined as $\|C\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} C_{ij}^2}$.) Let’s see how this applies to our image compression problem.

Download the file `conway.jpg` into your Matlab path. You can read this in by typing:

1. `A = imread('conway.jpg');`
2. `A = im2double(A);`
3. `A = rgb2gray(A);`

If you are using Python, you may wish to use the package pillow and numpy:

1. `from PIL import Image`
2. `import numpy as np`
3. `image = Image.open('conway.jpg')`
4. `A = np.array(image, dtype=float) / 255.0`
5. `A = np.dot(A, [0.2989, 0.5870, 0.1140])`

The result is a $1120 \times 960$ matrix $A$, with each entry representing a single pixel in the picture with a number between 0 and 1. To upload this picture on Instagram for example, you would need to upload $1120 \times 960 = 1075200$ numbers (pixels).

3. For $k = 40, 80, 120, 160$, use Matlab to compute $A_{(k)}$ as defined above. Report the value of $\|A - A_{(k)}\|_F$ in each case. (Include your code for this part and the next.)

4. Use the commands `subplot` and `imshow` (you need to import the `matplotlib` package in Python) to produce on the same figure the original image, as well as your compressed
images $A_{(k)}$ for $k = 40, 80, 120, 160$. Label your subplots. In addition, produce two separate plots demonstrating (i) $\|A - A_{(k)}\|_F$ versus $k$, and (ii) “total savings” versus $k$. Total savings is to be interpreted as the answer to the question: How many fewer numbers do you need in order to store $A_{(k)}$ than you did to store $A$? Explain why this number is equal to $mn - (n + m + 1)k$. How much are you saving for $k = 160$?

5. Use the Matlab function `imwrite` or the Python command `image.save` to create two images from `imshow(A)` and `imshow(A_{(160)})`. Can you tell them apart?

Problem 2: True or False?
If “True,” provide a proof. If “False,” provide a counterexample and justify why your counterexample is valid.

1. A point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a local minimum of a quadratic (i.e., degree-2) polynomial $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there are no descent directions at $\bar{x}$.

2. A point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a local minimum of a cubic (i.e., degree-3) polynomial $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there are no descent directions at $\bar{x}$.

3. Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed convex set and $c$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the problem of minimizing $c^T x$ over $\Omega$. If this problem has a finite optimal value, then it has an optimal solution.

Problem 3: Norms, dual norms, and induced norms (see notes)

1. Let $Q \in S^{n \times n}$ and assume $Q \succ 0$. Show that

   $f(x) = \sqrt{x^T Q x}$

   is a norm.

2. Show that $Q^{-1}$ exists and is positive definite. Show that the dual norm of $f$ is given by

   $g(x) = \sqrt{x^T Q^{-1} x}$.

   (Hint: You may want to bring in $\sqrt{Q}$, i.e., a matrix whose square is $Q$. If you do, you have to first prove that this matrix exists.)

---

3We recall that a direction $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a descent direction for the function $p$ at the point $\bar{x}$ if there exists a scalar $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that $p(\bar{x} + \alpha d) < p(\bar{x})$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$. 

4
3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Prove the following expression for its induced 2-norm:

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{max}}(A^T A)}.$$ 

**Problem 4: Properties of positive semidefinite matrices**

Prove or disprove the following statements. All matrices are symmetric, $n \times n$, and with real entries.

(a) Suppose $A \succeq 0$. Then the largest entry in absolute value of $A$ must be on the diagonal.

(b) If $A \succeq 0$ and $\text{trace}(A) = 0$, then $A = 0$.

(c) If $A \succeq 0, B \succeq 0$, and $A + B = 0$, then $A = B = 0$.

(d) If $A \succeq 0, B \succeq 0$, and $AB = 0$, then $A = 0$ or $B = 0$.

(e) Suppose $x^T A x \geq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$, then either $A \succeq 0$ or $A \succeq 0$. (Here, “$\succeq$” denotes an entrywise nonnegativity constraint.)

---

4In other words, the largest entry of $|A|$ must be on the diagonal, where $|A|$ is the matrix whose entries are the absolute values of those of $A$. 