

Department of Economics  
Princeton University  
S500, First Half.  
Homework 4

1. Consider the following experiment: Four fair<sup>1</sup> coins are tossed independently and we only observe the total number of “Heads”.

- (a) Describe the sample space of this experiment.
- (b) Characterize the smallest sigma-algebra that contains the following two events separately:

$$\{ 1 \text{ Head showed up} \}, \{ 2 \text{ Heads showed up} \}$$

From now on, we will denote the resulting sigma-algebra by  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

- (c) For the sigma-algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , characterize the probability measure  $P : \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that results from the fact that the four coins are fair. [Hint: Because the coins are fair and tossed independently, we have for example that  $P((H, H, T, T)) = 1/2 \cdot 1/2 \cdot 1/2 \cdot 1/2 = 1/16$ . The same argument applies to all possible toss outcomes.]
- (d) Consider the function  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} X(0 \text{ Heads showed up}) &= 10; & X(1 \text{ Head showed up}) &= 0; & X(2 \text{ Heads showed up}) &= -1; \\ X(3 \text{ Heads showed up}) &= 5; & X(4 \text{ Heads showed up}) &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Is  $X$  a random variable on the sigma-field  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ? If it is, compute its cdf  $F_x$  and compute  $E[X]$  using the probability measure  $P$  you found in part (c). If  $X$  is not a random variable on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , find the smallest sigma-algebra such that  $X$  is a random variable. For this new sigma-algebra, characterize the probability measure  $P$  that incorporates the fact that the four coins are fair and compute  $F_x$  and  $E[X]$  using this probability measure.

- (e) What general features must be shared by all functions  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are measurable on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ?

---

<sup>1</sup>We say that a coin is fair if it has equal probability (equal to 1/2) of falling “Heads” or “Tails”.

2. Show the following

- (a) Suppose  $F$  is an algebra defined over some set  $\Omega$ . Show that  $A \in F, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$ .
- (b) Suppose  $F_1, F_2$  are algebras defined over some set  $\Omega$ . Show that  $F_1 \cap F_2$  is also an algebra.

Hint: Use de Morgan's Laws.

3. Let  $(\Omega, F, P)$  be a probability space. Suppose  $X$  is a random variable defined on  $(\Omega, F, P)$ , and that it has a cdf  $F_x$  given by

$$F_x(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, 0) \\ 1 - e^{-x} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/4) \\ 1/6 + 1/3 \cdot x & \text{if } x \in [1/4, 1/2) \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, 3/4) \\ x & \text{if } x \in [3/4, 1) \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Compute  $E[X]$ ,  $\text{Var}[X]$  and  $m(t) = E[e^{tX}]$  for a fixed  $t > 0$ . Is  $m(t)$  differentiable at  $t = 0$ ? If it is, compute  $m'(0)$ .

4. We call the smallest sigma-algebra that contains a subset  $M$  of  $X$  the sigma-algebra generated by  $M$ .

(a) In the definition of the Borel sigma-algebra, if we replace the open sets by the closed ones will we get the same sigma-algebra ?

(b) Let  $M$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . What is sigma-algebra generated by  $M$  ?

(c) Let  $A = \{(p, q) : p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Prove that sigma-algebra generated by  $A$  is the Borel sigma-algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

5. A measure  $\mu$  is function defined on a sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  over a set  $X$  such that:

\*  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,

\* (countable additivity) if  $(A_i)$  are disjoint pairwise then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = \sum_i \mu(A_i)$ . The triple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is called the measure set, and the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $\mu$ -measurable. Prove that for any sequence  $(A_i), i \in N$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

(a) If  $(A_i)$  is increasing in  $\mathcal{B}$  (i.e.  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  for any  $i < j$  and  $i, j \in N$ ) then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = \sup_i \mu(A_i) = \lim_i \mu(A_i)$

(b) If  $(A_i)$  is decreasing (i.e.  $A_i \supseteq A_j$  for any  $i < j$ ) and there exists  $k$  such that  $\mu(A_k) < \infty$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_i A_i\right) = \inf_i \mu(A_i) = \lim_i \mu(A_i)$$

(c) Give an example where (b) is wrong if all  $\mu(A_i)$  are infinite.