I. INTRODUCTION

Continuum elastic theories for plates [1–3] and shells [4,5] have been under development for over a century, but they are still actively explored because of the “extreme mechanics” generated by geometrical nonlinearities [6,7]. Initially, these theories were applied to the mechanics of thin macroscopic structures, where the relevant elastic constants (a Young’s modulus and a bending rigidity) are related to the bulk material properties and the plate or shell thickness. However, these theories have also been successfully applied to describe mechanical properties of microscopic structures, such as viral capsids [8–11], bacterial cell walls [12–15], membranes of red blood cells [16–18], and hollow polymer and polyelectrolyte capsules [19–23]. Note that in these more microscopic examples, the effective elastic constants are not related to bulk mechanical properties but instead depend on details of microscopic molecular interactions.

At the microscopic scale, thermal fluctuations become important, and their effects on flat two-dimensional solid membranes have been studied extensively, starting in the late 1980s. Unlike long one-dimensional polymers, which perform self-avoiding random walks [24,25], arbitrarily large two-dimensional membranes remain flat at low temperatures because of the strong thermal renormalizations triggered by flexural phonons, which result in strongly scale-dependent enhanced bending rigidities and reduced in-plane elastic constants [26–37] (see also books and reviews in Refs. [38–41]). The scale dependence of renormalized elastic constants was confirmed in Monte Carlo simulations [42–49] and experimentally in an ensemble-averaged sense via a related scaling law for the membrane structure function that was extracted from elegant x-ray and light scattering experiments of a solution of spectrin skeletons of red blood cells [50]. Recent advances in growing and isolating freestanding layers of crystalline materials such as graphene, boron nitride, or transition metal dichalcogenides [51] (not adsorbed onto a bulk substrate or stretched across a supporting structure) hold great promise for exploring how flexural modes affect the mechanical properties of individual sheet polymers that are atomically thin. Recent experiments with graphene have in fact observed a nearly 4000-fold enhancement of the bending rigidity [52] and a reduced Young’s modulus [53], although these results may also be influenced by quenched random disorder (e.g., ripples or grain boundaries), which can compete with thermal fluctuations to produce similar effects [40,54–59].

Our focus here is on the statistical mechanics of spherical shells at low temperatures. However, at high temperatures, one might expect a transition from a flat phase to an entropically dominated crumpled ball with the fractal dimension $D_f$ defined as $R_g \propto M^{1/D_f}$, where $M$ is the mass and $R_g$ the gyration radius of the crumpled ball.
A simple Flory-type argument, which takes into account self-avoidance, predicts the fractal dimension $D_f = 5/2$ [40,60]. The crumpling transition at high temperatures was in fact observed in Monte Carlo simulations of phantom membranes without self-avoidance [61,62]. However, a number of simulations with purely repulsive self-avoiding interactions find that the flat phase persists for arbitrarily high temperatures [63–67]. We note that a pair potential with an attractive as well as a repulsive part can produce a compact phase ($D_f = 3$) at low temperatures, which transitions to a flat phase with $D_f = 2$ at high temperatures [68,69]. It is still unclear whether an intermediate crumpled phase with $D_f ≈ 2.5$ exists in a temperature window in between. Despite interesting theoretical progress [70,71], the existence of a crumpled phase with self-avoidance remains unclear at the present time [32,40]. We note that crumpled objects with fractional dimensions can be obtained experimentally by rapidly evaporating a solution containing graphene oxide membranes [72]. Remarkably, the measured fractal dimension $D_f ≈ 2.5–2.7$ is in good agreement with the Flory-type argument, but one should note that such balls may be out of equilibrium and dominated by sticky van der Waals attractions. Similarly, crushing a thin aluminum foil in an attempt to form a ball also produces balls with fractal dimension $D_f ≈ 2.5$ [40,73]. See also the study of forced crumpling of self-avoiding elastic sheets in Ref. [74].

While thermal fluctuations of flat solid sheets are well understood, many microscopic membranes correspond to closed shells, and much less is known about their response to thermal fluctuations. The simplest possible shell is an amorphous spherical shell. This shell was studied by Paulose et al. [75], where perturbative corrections to elastic constants at low temperatures and external pressures were derived and tested with Monte Carlo simulations. Remarkably, these simulations found that, at high temperatures, thermalized spheres begin to collapse at less than half the classical buckling pressure (see Fig. 1). However, it was not possible to quantify this effect because the perturbative corrections to thin-shell theory at zero temperature diverge with shell radius. Here, we go well beyond perturbation theory by employing renormalization group techniques, which enable us to study spherical shells over a wide range of sizes, temperatures, and external pressures. We show that, while spherical shells retain some features of flat solid sheets, there are remarkable new phenomena, such as a thermally generated negative tension, which spontaneously crushes large shells even in the absence of external pressure. We find that shells can be crushed by thermal fluctuations even in the presence of a stabilizing outward pressure. Note that such collapsed shells still remain locally two dimensional ($D_f = 2$) and are thus different from crumpled balls (see Fig. 1). We expect that distant self-avoidance, neglected here, will only become important deep in the collapsed phase.

In Sec. II, we review the shallow-shell theory description of thin elastic spheres [4,5], while in Sec. III, we show how to set up the statistical mechanics leading to the thermal shrinkage and fluctuations in the local displacement normal to the shell. Low-temperature perturbative corrections to quantities such as the effective pressure $p$ (a sum of conventional and osmotic contributions), bending rigidity $\kappa$, and Young’s modulus $Y$ diverge like $\sqrt{\gamma}$, where $\gamma = Y_0R_0^3/\kappa_0$ is the Föppl–von Karman number. A momentum-shell renormalization group is then implemented directly for shells embedded in $d = 3$ dimensions to resolve these difficulties in Sec. IV. At small scales, the bending rigidity and Young’s modulus renormalize like flat sheets; however, at large scales, the curvature of the shell produces significant changes. At low temperatures ($k_BT\sqrt{\gamma}/\kappa_0 \ll 1$), the renormalization is cut off already at the elastic length $\ell_{el} = (\kappa_0R_0^2/Y_0)^{1/4}$. At large temperatures ($k_BT\sqrt{\gamma}/\kappa_0 \gg 1$) and beyond an important thermal length scale $\ell_{th} \sim \kappa_0/k_BT\gamma R_0$, the bending rigidity and Young’s modulus renormalize with length scale $\ell$ like flat sheets with $\kappa_R \sim \kappa_0(\ell/\ell_{th})^\eta$ and $Y_R \sim Y_0(\ell_{th}/\ell)^\nu$, where $\eta \approx 0.8$ and $\nu \approx 0.4$ [27]. However, this renormalization is interrupted as one scales out to the shell radius $R_0$. For zero pressure, we find that shells become unstable to a finite wave-vector mode appearing at the scale $\ell_p \sim \ell_{th}^{\nu}(\ell_{th}/\ell)^{4/(2+\eta)} \propto R_0^{2/(2+\eta)} \ll R_0$. A sufficiently large (negative) outward pressure stabilizes the shell and leads to an alternative infrared cutoff given by a pressure-dependent length scale $\ell_p$. Detailed results for correlation...
functions, renormalized couplings, and the change in the shell radius can be obtained by integrating the renormalization group flow equations out to scales where the thermal averages are no longer singular. In Sec. IV, we also present a simple, intuitive derivation of the scaling relation $\eta_u + 2\eta = 2$, originally derived using Ward identities associated with rotational invariance in Refs. [27,28].

In Sec. V, we use the renormalization group method to study the dependence of the renormalized buckling pressure $p_c$ on temperature, shell radius, and the elastic parameters, which defines a limit of metastability for thermalized shells. The calculated scaling function $\Psi(x)$ defined by $p_c = p_c^0\Psi(k_BT/\kappa_0)$ gives a reasonable description of the buckling threshold found in simulations of thermalized shells [75] with no adjustable parameters. Especially interesting is a result that holds when the pressure difference $p$ between the inside and outside of the shell vanishes, as might be achievable experimentally by creating a hemispherical elastic shell, or a closed shell with regularly spaced large holes. In this case, we find that thermal fluctuations must necessarily crush spherical shells larger than a certain temperature-dependent radius given by $R_{\text{max}} = c(k_0/k_BT)\sqrt{\kappa_0/Y_0}$, where the numerical constant $c \approx 160$. Even shells with a small stabilizing outward pressure can be crushed by thermal fluctuations (see Fig. 5). We conclude in Sec. VI by estimating the importance of thermal fluctuations for a number of thin shells that arise naturally in biology and materials science. For a very thin polycrystalline monolayer shell of a graphenelike material (so that it is approximately amorphous), this radius is only $R_{\text{max}} \approx 160$ nm at room temperature.

II. ELASTIC ENERGY OF DEFORMATION

The elastic energy of a deformed thin spherical shell of radius $R_0$ can be estimated with a shallow-shell theory [4,76], which considers a small patch of spherical shell that is nearly flat. This may seem a limiting description at first, but as discussed below, the shell response to thermal fluctuations is completely determined by a smaller elastic length scale

$$\ell_{\text{el}} = \left(\frac{\kappa_0 R_0^2}{Y_0}\right)^{1/4} \sim \sqrt{R_0 h} \ll R_0, \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa_0$ is the microscopic bending rigidity, $Y_0$ is the microscopic Young’s modulus, and we introduced the effective thickness $h \sim \sqrt{\kappa_0/Y_0}$. For thin shells, we require that $h \ll R_0$ or, equivalently, that the Föppl–von Karman number $\gamma = Y_0 R_0^2/\kappa_0 \gg 1$ [8].

For a nearly flat patch of spherical shell, it is convenient to use the Monge representation near the South Pole to describe the reference undeformed surface

$$X_u(x,y) = \hat{x} \hat{e}_x + y \hat{e}_y + w(x,y) \hat{e}_z, \quad (2)$$

where $w(x,y) \approx (x^2 + y^2)/(2R_0)$, and then decompose the displacements of a thermally deformed shell configuration $X_d(x,y)$ into tangential displacements $u_i(x,y)$ and radial displacements $f(x,y)$, such that

$$X_d = X_u + u_i \hat{i}_i + u_k \hat{i}_k + f \hat{n}, \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{i} = [(\hat{e}_x + (\partial_i w) \hat{e}_r)]/\sqrt{1 + (\partial_i w)^2}$ is a unit tangent vector, $\hat{n} = [(\hat{e}_z - (\partial_i w) \hat{e}_r)]/\sqrt{1 + \sum_j (\partial_j w)^2}$ is a unit normal vector that points inward from the South Pole, and $i \in \{x,y\}$. Note that positive radial displacements $f(x,y)$ correspond to shrinking of the spherical shell. With this decomposition, the free energy cost of shell deformation can be described as [76]

$$F = \int dxdy \left[ \frac{\kappa_0}{2} (\nabla^2 f)^2 + \frac{\delta_0}{2} u_{ij}^2 + \mu_0 u_{ij}^2 - p_0 f \right], \quad (4)$$

where summation over indices $i,j \in \{x,y\}$ is implied. The first term describes the bending energy with a microscopic bending rigidity $\kappa_0$, and the next two terms describe the in-plane stretching energy with two-dimensional Lamé constants $\delta_0$ and $\mu_0$; the corresponding Young’s modulus is $Y_0 = 4\mu_0(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)/(2\mu_0 + \lambda_0)$. The last term describes the external pressure work, where $p_0$ is a combination of hydrostatic and osmotic contributions. We assume that the interior and exterior of the spherical shell are filled with a fluid such as water, which can pass freely through a semipermeable shell membrane on the relevant time scales. Additionally, there may be nonpermeable molecules inside or outside the shell giving rise (within ideal solution theory) to an osmotic pressure contribution $k_BT(c_{\text{out}} - c_{\text{in}})$ [77]. Here, $c_{\text{out}}$ and $c_{\text{in}}$ are the concentrations of such molecules outside and inside the shell, respectively. Note that for $p_0 > 0$, introduction of thermal fluctuations into Eq. (4) requires that we deal with the statistical mechanics of a metastable state—a macroscopic inversion of the shell (“snap-through” transition) can lower the free energy [78], although often with a very large energy barrier.

In the shallow-shell approximation, the strain tensor is [76]

$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i f)(\partial_j f) - \delta_{ij} \frac{f}{R_0}, \quad (5)$$

where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. The first term describes the usual linear strains due to tangential displacements. The second describes similar in-plane strains due to displacements in the direction of the surface normals; this nonlinear term makes the analysis of thin plates and shells quite challenging [38]. The last term of Eq. (5), which linearly couples radial deformations $f(x,y)$ to the sphere curvature $1/R_0$, tells us that spherical shells cannot be bent without...
strecthing, a striking change from flat plates where
$R_0 \to \infty$. The importance of this stretching can be esti-
mated by considering a small radial deformation of
amplitude $f_0$ over some characteristic length scale $\ell'$, such
that the nonlinear term $(\partial \delta f)(\partial \delta f)/2$ in the strain
tensor $u_{ij}$ is negligible. The bending energy cost scales as $\sim \kappa_0 f_0^2/\ell'$,
while the stretching energy cost scales as $\sim Y_0 f_0^2/R_0^2$. The
bending energy dominates for deformations on small scales
$\ell' \ll \ell'_d$, while the stretching energy cost dominates for
deformations on large scales $\ell' \gg \ell'_d$, where the transition
elastic length scale $\ell'_d$ was defined in Eq. (1).

III. THERMAL FLUCTUATIONS

The effects of thermal fluctuations are reflected in
correlation functions obtained from functional integrals such as [38,39,75]

$$\langle f_0 \rangle = \langle f(r_1) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D[u,r] f(r_1) e^{-F/k_B T}, \quad (6a)$$

$$G_{ff}(r_2 - r_1) = \langle \delta f(r_1) \delta f(r_2) \rangle,$$

$$G_{ff}(r_2 - r_1) = \frac{1}{Z} \int D[u,r] \delta f(r_1) \delta f(r_2) e^{-F/k_B T}, \quad (6b)$$

$$Z = \int D[u,r] e^{-F/k_B T},$$

where $T$ is the ambient temperature, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s
constant, $r \equiv (x,y)$, and $\delta f(r) = f(r) - f_0$. Here, $f_0$
represents the uniform part of the fluctuating contraction or
dilation of the spherical shell. One can define similar
correlation functions for tangential deformations $u_i(x,y)$, but they are not the main focus of this study.

Besides separating tangential deformations $u_i(r)$ and
radial displacements $f(r)$, it is also useful to further
decompose radial displacements as $f(r) = f_0 + \tilde{f}(r)$,
where $f_0$ is the uniform part of the fluctuating radial
displacement defined in the above paragraph. The quantity
$\tilde{f}(r)$ is then the deformation with respect to $f_0$, such that
$\langle 1/A \int d^2 r \tilde{f} \rangle = 0$, where $A$ is the area. Finally, it is
convenient to integrate out the in-plane phonon degrees of
freedom $u_i(r)$ as well as $f_0$ and study the effective free
energy for radial displacements. The effective free energy
then becomes [75]

$$F_{eff} = -k_B T \ln \left( \int D[u,r] f_0 e^{-F/k_B T} \right), \quad (7a)$$

$$F_{eff} = \int d^2 r \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \kappa_0 \nabla^2 \tilde{f} \right)^2 - \frac{p_0 R_0}{2} \left( \nabla \tilde{f} \right)^2 + \frac{Y_0}{R_0^2} \left( \tilde{f} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{Y_0}{8 \mu R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right]^2 - \frac{2 \mu}{R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right],$$

$$+ \frac{Y_0}{8} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right]^2 - \frac{Y_0}{2 R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right],$$

$$+ \frac{2 \mu}{R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right],$$

$$+ \frac{Y_0}{8 \mu R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right]^2 - \frac{2 \mu}{R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right],$$

$$+ \frac{Y_0}{8} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right]^2 - \frac{2 \mu}{R_0} \left[ P_{ij} \partial_i \tilde{f} \partial_j \tilde{f} \right] \quad (7b)$$

where $P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \partial_j / \nabla^2$ is the transverse projection
operator. From the effective free energy above, we see
that an inward pressure $p_0$ acts like a negative surface
tension $\sigma = -p_0 R_0/2$. (A negative outward pressure
$p_0 < 0$ would stabilize the shell, similar to a conventional
surface tension.) The two terms that involve both the
Young’s modulus $Y_0$ and radius $R_0$ are new for spherical
shells, and they arise from the coupling between radial
displacements and in-plane stretching induced by the
Gaussian curvature [see Eq. (5)]. Note that the last term of
Eq. (7b) breaks the symmetry between inward and
outward normal displacements $\tilde{f}(x,y)$ of the shell.

Functional integrals similar to those in Eqs. (6) and (7a)
determine the average contraction of a spherical shell

$$\langle f_0 \rangle = \langle f(r_1) \rangle = \frac{p_0 R_0^2}{4(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)} + \frac{R_0}{4} \langle |\nabla \tilde{f}|^2 \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where the first term, controlled by the bulk modulus
$\mu_0 + \lambda_0$, describes the usual mechanical shrinkage due to
an inward external pressure $p_0 > 0$, and the second
describes additional contraction due to thermal fluctua-
tions. This additional shrinking arises because nonuniform
radial fluctuations $\tilde{f}(r)$ at fixed radius would increase the
integrated area, with a large stretching energy cost. The
system prefers to wrinkle and shrink its radius to gain
entropy while keeping the integrated area of the convoluted
shell approximately constant.

The effective free energy for radial displacements $\tilde{f}(r)$ in
Eq. (7b) suggests that the Fourier transform of the corre-
lation function $G_{ff}(q) = \int (d^2 r / A) e^{-q \cdot r} G_{ff}(r)$ can be represented as [75]

$$G_{ff}(q) = \langle |\tilde{f}(q)|^2 \rangle,$$

$$G_{ff}(q) = \frac{k_B T}{A |\kappa_R(q)| q^4 - \frac{1}{2} p_R(q) R_0 q^2 + \frac{Y_R(q)}{R_0}}, \quad (9)$$

where $A$ is the area of a patch of spherical shell. The
functional form in Eq. (9) above is dictated by quadratic
terms in Eq. (7b); the effect of the anharmonic terms is to
replace bare parameters $\kappa_0$, $Y_0$, and $p_0$ with the scale-
dependent renormalized parameters $\kappa_R(q)$, $Y_R(q)$, and
$p_R(q)$, as was shown previously for solid flat membranes
in the presence of thermal fluctuations [38,39]. Note that the
last term in the denominator of Eq. (9) suppresses radial
fluctuations due to the stretching energy cost and makes
them finite even for long-wavelength modes (small $q$).
Conversely, the amplitude of long-wavelength fluctuations
diverges more strongly in the limit $R_0 \to \infty$ of large shells.

Before we discuss the renormalizing effect of non-
lineairities in Eq. (7b), it is useful to note that for large
inward external pressure $p_0 > 0$, the denominator in Eq. (9)
can become negative for certain wave vectors $q$, which
indicates that these radial deformation modes \( \tilde{f}(q) \) become unstable [75]. If we neglect nonlinear effects and replace the renormalized couplings \( \kappa_R, Y_R, \) and \( p_R \) by their bare values, the minimal value of external pressure \( p_c^0 \), where these modes first become unstable, is

\[
p_c^0 = \frac{4\sqrt{\kappa_0 Y_0}}{R_0^2},
\]

which corresponds to the classical buckling pressure for spherical shells [76]. The magnitude of the wave vectors \( q_c \), for the unstable modes at the critical external pressure \( p_c^0 \) is [79]

\[
q_c = \left( \frac{Y_0}{\kappa_0 R_0^2} \right)^{1/4} = \varepsilon_c^{-1}.
\]

When these ideas are extended to finite temperatures, this threshold becomes a limit of metastability, and we expect hysteresis loops as the external pressure is cycled up and down [80].

Some insights into the statistical mechanics associated with Eqs. (7a) and (7b) follow from calculating the renormalized bending rigidity, Young’s modulus, and effective pressure at long wavelengths via low-temperature perturbation theory in \( k_BT/\kappa_0 \). When the external pressure is zero, Paulose et al. found that [75]

\[
k_R \approx \kappa_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{61}{4096} \frac{k_BT}{\kappa_0} \sqrt{\gamma} \right], \\
Y_R \approx Y_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{256} \frac{k_BT}{\kappa_0} \sqrt{\gamma} \right], \\
p_R \approx p_c^0 \frac{k_BT}{24\pi} \frac{1}{\kappa_0} \sqrt{\gamma},
\]

where \( \gamma = Y_0 R_0^2/\kappa_0 \) is the Föppl–von Karman number and the critical pressure parameter \( p_c^0 \) is given by Eq. (10). Perturbation theory reveals that thermal fluctuations enhance the bending rigidity and soften the Young’s modulus. However, the corrections to \( k_R \) and \( Y_R \) are multiplied by \( \sqrt{\gamma} \), which diverges as the radius \( R_0 \) of the thermalized sphere tends to infinity. Especially striking is a similar divergence in the effective pressure \( p_R \); see Eq. (12c). Evidently, even if the microscopic pressure difference \( p_0 \) between the inside and outside of the sphere is zero, thermal fluctuations will nevertheless generate an effective pressure that eventually exceeds the buckling instability of the sphere for sufficiently large \( R_0 \). A naive estimate for the critical radius \( R_{\text{max}} \) can be obtained by requiring that the renormalized pressure \( p_R \) becomes equal to the buckling pressure \( p_c^0 \) in Eq. (12c), which leads to

\[
R_{\text{max}} \approx c |\kappa_0/k_BT| \sqrt{\kappa_0/Y_0}, \quad \text{with} \quad c = 24\pi \approx 75.
\]

Evidence in this direction already appears in the computer simulations of Ref. [75], where amorphous thermalized spheres already begin to collapse at less than half the classical buckling pressure (see also Fig. 1, where the pressure is 36% of \( p_c^0 \)). Similar perturbative divergences in the bending rigidity and Young’s modulus of flat membranes of size \( R_0 \) (here, the corrections diverge with \( \gamma \) rather than \( \sqrt{\gamma} \) [81]) can be handled with integral equation methods [26,29], which sum contributions to all orders in perturbation theory, or alternatively, with the renormalization group [27]. We take the latter approach in the next section.

### IV. Perturbative Renormalization Group

The effect of the anharmonic terms in Eq. (7b) at a given scale \( \varepsilon_c = \pi/q_c \) can be obtained by systematically integrating out all degrees of freedom on smaller scales (i.e., larger wave vectors). Formally, this renormalization group transformation proceeds by splitting radial displacements \( \tilde{f}(r) \) into slow modes \( \tilde{f}_s(r) = \sum_{|q|<q_c} e^{iqr} \tilde{f}(q) \) and fast modes \( \tilde{f}_f(r) = \sum_{|q|>q_c} e^{iqr} \tilde{f}(q) \), which are then integrated out as

\[
F_{\text{eff}}(\varepsilon_c) = -k_BT \ln \left( \int D[f_s] e^{-F_{\text{eff}}/k_BT} \right).
\]

These functional integrals can be approximately evaluated with standard perturbative renormalization group calculations [81] and lead to an effective free energy with the same form as in Eq. (7b), except that renormalized parameters become scale dependent; i.e., they are replaced by \( \kappa_R(\varepsilon_c), Y_R(\varepsilon_c) \) and \( p_R(\varepsilon_c) \).

To implement this momentum-shell renormalization group, we first integrate out all Fourier modes in a thin momentum shell \( \Lambda/b < q < \Lambda \), where \( a = \pi/\Lambda \) is a microscopic cutoff (e.g., the shell thickness) and \( b \equiv \varepsilon_c/a = \varepsilon_c^f \), with \( s \ll 1 \). Next we rescale lengths and fields [27,54],

\[
r = br',
\]

where the field-rescaling exponent \( \zeta_f \) is chosen to simplify the resulting renormalization group equations. We find it convenient to work directly with a \( D = 2 \)-dimensional spherical shell embedded in \( d = 3 \) space, rather than introducing an expansion in \( \epsilon = 4 - D \) [27]. Finally, we define new elastic constants \( \kappa', Y' \), and a new external pressure \( p' \), such that the free-energy functional in Eq. (7b) retains the same form after the first two renormalization group steps. It is common to introduce \( \beta \) functions [81], which define the renormalization flow of elastic constants.
It is not possible to calculate these $\beta$ functions exactly, but one can use diagrammatic techniques [81] to obtain systematic approximations in the limit $s \ll 1$. To one-loop order (see Fig. 2), the renormalization group flows are given by

$$\beta_\kappa = \frac{d\kappa'}{ds} = 2(\zeta_f - 1)\kappa' + \frac{3k_B T Y'\Lambda^2}{16\pi D^3} - \frac{3k_B T Y'^2\Lambda^2}{8\pi R^2 D^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{I_{e1}}{D^2} + \frac{I_{e2}}{D^4} \right],$$

$$\beta_Y = \frac{dY'}{ds} = 2\zeta_f Y' - \frac{3k_B T Y'^2\Lambda^6}{32\pi D^5},$$

$$\beta_p = \frac{dp'}{ds} = (2\zeta_f + 1)p' + \frac{3k_B T Y'^2\Lambda^4}{4\pi R^3 D^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{I_p}{D^2} \right].$$

\begin{align*}
\beta_R &= \frac{dR'}{ds} = -R', \quad (15d)
\end{align*}

where we introduced the denominator term

$$D = \kappa'\Lambda^4 - \frac{p'R'\Lambda^2}{2} + \frac{Y'}{R^2}. \quad (16)$$

The derivation of recursion relations in Eq. (15) is given in Appendix A, where we also provide detailed expressions for $I_{e1}$, $I_{e2}$, and $I_p$ in Eq. (A8). The $\beta_Y$ recursion relation in Eq. (15b) describes changes in the quadratic “mass” proportional to $Y$ in Eq. (7b). Similarly, we can calculate the recursion relations for the cubic and quartic terms in Eq. (7b), and we find that the only significant change is that the $2\zeta_f Y$ term now becomes $(3\zeta_f - 1)Y$ and $(4\zeta_f - 2)Y$, respectively. To ensure that the free energy retains the same form after the first two steps in the renormalization procedure, we choose $\zeta_f = 1$ so that these three terms renormalize in tandem. The final results are independent of the precise choice of $\zeta_f$, as illustrated in Appendix B for thermalized flat sheets.

The scale-dependent parameters $\kappa'(s)$, $Y'(s)$, and $p'(s)$, obtained by integrating the differential equations in Eqs. (B5) up to a scale $s = \ln(\ell/a)$ with initial conditions $\kappa'(0) = \kappa_0$, $Y'(0) = Y_0$ and $p'(0) = p_0$, are related to the scaling of propagator $G_{ff}(q)$ as [81]

$$G_{ff}(q|\kappa_0, p_0, Y_0, R_0, A) = \langle |f(q)|^2 \rangle = e^{2\zeta_f} \langle |f(q')|^2 \rangle = e^{2\zeta_f} G_{ff}(q e^s|\kappa'(s), p'(s), Y'(s), R_0 e^{-s}, A e^{-2s}), \quad (17)$$

where we explicitly insert the rescaled momenta $q' = q e^s$, the rescaled radius $R' = R_0 e^{-s}$, and the rescaled patch area $A' = A e^{-2s}$. By replacing the left-hand side in Eq. (17) with the renormalized propagator $G_{ff}(q)$ in Eq. (9), we find the scale-dependent renormalized parameters

$$\kappa_R(s) = \kappa'(s) e^{(2-2\zeta_f)s} = \kappa'(s), \quad (18a)$$

$$Y_R(s) = Y'(s) e^{(-2\zeta_f)s} = Y'(s) e^{-2s}, \quad (18b)$$

$$p_R(s) = p'(s) e^{(-1-2\zeta_f)s} = p'(s) e^{-3s}, \quad (18c)$$

where we used $\zeta_f = 1$, and parameter $s$ is related to the length scale $\ell = ae^s$ or, equivalently, to the magnitude of wave vector $q \equiv \pi/\ell$.

Note that by sending the shell radius to infinity ($R_0 \to \infty$) and the pressure $p_0 \to 0$, such that the product $\sigma = -p_0 R_0/2$ remains fixed in Eq. (7b), we recover the renormalization flows for solid flat membranes with the addition of a tension $\sigma$ [54,82]. However, for spherical shells with finite $R_0$, thermal fluctuations renormalize and effectively increase the external pressure [see Eq. (15c)], in striking contrast to the behavior of flat membranes. Note, in

FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams contributing to the renormalization flows of Eq. (15). (a) Four-point and (b) three-point vertices describe the quartic and cubic terms in the free energy, Eq. (7b). Legs represent radial displacement fields $f(q)$, and slashes on legs correspond to spatial derivatives, which lead to additional factors of wave vectors in the Fourier space. The red part of the three-point vertex in (b) connects to a field without a slash, while the blue parts connect to derivative terms. The four-point vertex carries a factor $Y$, while the three-point vertex carries a factor $Y/R$. (c–i) One-loop diagrams that contribute to the renormalization flows of (c–g) the bending rigidity $k_R$, (f–g) the external pressure $p_R$, and (g) the Young’s modulus $Y_R$ in the propagator $G_{ff}(q)$ in Eq. (9). Diagrams (h) and (i) describe one-loop contributions to the renormalization flows of the Young’s modulus $Y_R$ associated with three-point and four-point vertices, respectively. The connected legs in these diagrams represent the propagators $G_{ff}(q)$, with wave vectors $k$ restricted to the momentum shell $\Lambda/b < k < \Lambda$. 
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particular, that an effective pressure is generated by Eq. (15c), even if the macroscopic pressure \( p_0 \) vanishes.

Before discussing the detailed renormalization group predictions for spherical shells, it is useful to recall that for flat membranes with no tension, thermal fluctuations become important on scales larger than thermal length [26–28,54,82]

\[
\ell_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi^3 \kappa_0^2}{3k_B T Y_0}},
\]

and the renormalized elastic constants become strongly scale dependent,

\[
\kappa_R(\ell) \sim \begin{cases} 
\kappa_0 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
\kappa_0 (\ell/\ell_{th})^\eta & \ell_{th} \ll \ell,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y_R(\ell) \sim \begin{cases} 
Y_0 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
Y_0 (\ell/\ell_{th})^{-\eta_s} & \ell_{th} \ll \ell,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \eta \approx 0.80–0.85 \) [26–29,54,82] and the exponents \( \eta \) and \( \eta_s \) are connected via a Ward identity \( \eta_s + 2\eta = 2 \) associated with rotational invariance [27,28]. In the one-loop approximation used here for 2d membranes embedded in three dimensions, we obtain [82] \( \eta = 0.80 \), which is adequate for our purposes. In the absence of an external tension, the renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R \) can become very large, and the renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R \) can become very small for large solid membranes in the flat phase, as seems to be the case for graphene [52,53]. However, positive external tension acts as an infrared cutoff, and the renormalized constants remain finite beyond a tension-induced length scale [46,82].

Although the scaling relation \( \eta_s + 2\eta = 2 \) originally arose from a Ward identity [27,28], an alternative derivation provides additional physical insight: Suppose we are given a two-dimensional material (graphene, MoS\(_2\), the spectrin skeleton of red blood cells, etc.) with a 2d Young’s modulus \( Y_0 \) and a 2d bending rigidity \( \kappa_0 \). With these material parameters, we associate the elastic constants of an equivalent isotropic bulk material with 3d Young’s modulus \( E_0 \), 3d Poisson’s ratio \( \nu_0 \), and thickness \( h \) by [78]

\[
\kappa_0 = \frac{E_0 h^3}{12(1-\nu_0)}, \quad Y_0 = E_0 h. \quad (21)
\]

When thermal fluctuations are considered, we obtain the scale-dependent, 2d elastic parameters displayed in Eq. (20), \( \kappa_R(\ell) \approx \kappa_0 (\ell/\ell_{th})^\eta \) and \( Y_R(\ell) \approx Y_0 (\ell/\ell_{th})^{-\eta_s} \), where \( \ell_{th} \ll \ell \ll L \), \( L \) is the system size, and the corresponding scale-dependent 2d Poisson’s ratio \( \nu(\ell) \) remains of order unity [27]. From these results and Eq. (21), we can define a scale-dependent effective thickness \( h_{\text{eff}}^2(\ell) \sim \kappa_R(\ell)/Y_R(\ell) \) so that

\[
h_{\text{eff}}^2(\ell) \sim h^2(\ell/\ell_{th})^{\eta_s+\eta}. \quad (22)
\]

For a 10 \( \mu \text{m} \times 10 \mu \text{m} \) square of graphene, where \( \ell_{th} \approx 1 \text{ nm} \) at room temperature, this thermal amplification (assuming \( \eta + \eta_s \approx 0.8 + 0.4 = 1.2 \)) converts an atomic thickness to an effective thickness, whose ratio to the size of graphene sheet matches that of the ordinary writing paper, suggesting that room-temperature graphene ribbons and springs can be studied with simple paper models [52]. To determine a scaling relation between \( \eta \) and \( \eta_s \), we note that an alternative definition of the effective thickness follows from [38]

\[
h_{\text{eff}}^2(\ell) = (f(\ell^2)) \ell, \quad (23)
\]

where the average is evaluated over an \( \ell \times \ell \) patch of the membrane, so \( q \ll \pi/\ell \) in the integration. Requiring similar scaling of Eqs. (22) and (23) with \( \ell \) leads to \( \eta_s + 2\eta = 2 \).

By rewriting the renormalization group flows in Eq. (15) in dimensionless form, it is easy to see that the renormalized parameters can be expressed in terms of the following scaling functions of ratios of important length scales and of \( p_0/p_{\text{el}}^0 \), where \( p_{\text{el}}^0 \) is the classical buckling pressure in Eq. (10),

\[
\kappa_R(\ell) = \kappa_0 \Phi_x \left( \frac{\ell}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{p_0}{p_{el}^0}, \frac{a}{p_{el}^0} \right), \quad (24a)
\]

\[
Y_R(\ell) = Y_0 \Phi_Y \left( \frac{\ell}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{p_0}{p_{el}^0}, \frac{a}{p_{el}^0} \right), \quad (24b)
\]

\[
p_R(\ell) = p_{el}^0 \Phi_p \left( \frac{\ell}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{p_0}{p_{el}^0}, \frac{a}{p_{el}^0} \right). \quad (24c)
\]

We expect that the scaling functions above are insensitive to the choice of microscopic cutoff \( a \) (e.g., shell thickness or a carbon-carbon spacing in a large spherical buckyball), provided this cutoff is much smaller than other relevant lengths \( a \ll \ell_{th}, \ell_{el} \). In principle, we could evaluate renormalized parameters on the whole interval \( \ell \in [a, R_0] \), but for some values of bare parameters \( \kappa_0, Y_0, p_0 \), the renormalization flows in Eq. (15) diverge, when denominators become zero. This singularity indicates the buckling of thermalized spherical shells, which occurs when the renormalized external pressure \( p_{\text{el}}(\ell^*) \) reaches the renormalized critical buckling pressure

\[
p_{\text{el}}R(\ell^*) = \frac{4\sqrt{\kappa_R(\ell^*) Y_R(\ell^*)}}{R_0}, \quad (25)
\]

where \( \ell^* \) corresponds to the length scale of the unstable mode. In order for the shell to remain stable in the presence
of thermal fluctuations, the renormalized pressure \( p_R(\ell) \) has to remain below the renormalized critical buckling pressure \( p_{Rc}(\ell) \) for every \( \ell \in [\ell_0, R_0] \).

Figure 3 displays some typical flows of renormalized parameters. We find that for spherical shells, the renormalized elastic constants initially renormalize in the same way as for flat membranes [see Eq. (20)], but these singularities are eventually cut off by the Gaussian curvature. At low temperatures \( (\ell_0'/\ell_0 \ll 1) \) and small inward pressures \( p_0 \), the corrections to renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R(\ell)/\kappa_0 \) and renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R(\ell)/Y_0 \) grow as \( (k_B T/\kappa_0)^2/\kappa_0 \), while the renormalized pressure \( p_R(\ell) - p_0 \) grows as \( k_B T Y_0^2 \ell^4/(\kappa_0^2 R_0^2) \). The renormalization is cut off at the elastic length scale \( \ell_0 '[\text{Fig. } 3(a)] \), where the \( Y'/R^2 \) term starts dominating over the \( \kappa' \Lambda^4 \) term in denominators \( D \) of the recursion relations in Eqs. (15). This cutoff gives rise to corrections of size \( (k_B T/\kappa_0)^2/\kappa_0 \) [see Eq. (12)] for spherical shells, in contrast to the corrections of size \( (k_B T/\kappa_0) Y_0 L_0^2/\kappa_0 \) for flat sheets of size \( L_0 \).

At high temperatures \( (\ell_0'/\ell_0 \gg 1) \) and small external pressures \( p_0 \), the corrections to the renormalized parameters \( \kappa_R(\ell) \), \( Y_R(\ell) \), \( p_R(\ell) \) initially still grow in the same way as described above for low temperatures. However, a transition to the new regime happens at the thermal length scale \( \ell_0 = \kappa_0/\sqrt{k_B T} Y_0 \), where corrections to the renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R(\ell_0)/\kappa_0 \) and the renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R(\ell_0)/Y_0 \) become of order unity and the renormalized
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**FIG. 3.** Typical renormalization group flows at various microscopic pressures \( p_0 \) and ratios of \( \ell_0' = (k_B T/Y_0)^{1/4} \) to \( \ell_0 = \sqrt{16\pi^2 \kappa_0}(3k_B T Y_0) \). (a) Spherical shells at low temperature with \( \ell_0'/\ell_0 = 10^{-2} \), \( R_0'/\ell_0 = 1 \), \( a/\ell_0 = 10^{-6} \), and zero external pressure \( p_0 = 0 \). In this case, there is practically no renormalization of the elastic constants \( \kappa_R \) and \( Y_R \), while the renormalization of the thermally generated external pressure \( p_R(\ell) \) is cut off at \( \ell \approx \pi \ell_0' \). (b,c) Spherical shells at high temperature with \( \ell_0'/\ell_0 = 10^2 \), \( R_0'/\ell_0 = 10^4 \), \( a/\ell_0 = 10^{-6} \), and (b) zero external pressure \( p_0 = 0 \) or (c) large stabilizing outward pressure \( p_0 = 100 \). In both of these cases, the elastic constants \( \kappa_R \) and \( Y_R \) initially renormalize in the same way as flat membranes [see Eq. (20)]. In case (b), even in the absence of external pressure \( p_0 = 0 \), this large shell buckles because the thermally generated inward pressure \( p_R(\ell) \) eventually reaches the renormalized critical buckling pressure \( p_{Rc}(\ell) \). In case (c) with a large outward pressure \( p_0 < 0 \), spherical shells remain stable and the renormalization of elastic constants is cut off at the scale \( \ell_0' \), \( \ell_0' = \sqrt{16\pi^2 \kappa_0}/(3k_B T Y_0) \) [not shown], the renormalization of \( \kappa \) and \( Y \) is completely suppressed. (d–f) Heat maps of (d) the renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R(\ell_0) \), (e) the renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R(\ell_0) \), and (f) the thermally induced part of renormalized external pressure \( p_R(\ell_0) - p_0 \) evaluated at the scale of the shell radius \( R_0 \). In (d–f), we used \( R_0'/\ell_0 = 10^2 \) and \( a/\ell_0 = 10^{-6} \). The large black buckled region is a direct consequence of thermal fluctuations, and its border, denoted with a solid cyan line, corresponds to the critical buckling pressure in Eqs. (34) and (35) displayed as the solid black curve in Fig. 5. Note that both positive (inward) and negative (outward) pressures appear along the y axis.
pressure is $p_R(\ell_{th}) - p_0 \sim p_0^0(\ell_{th}/\ell)^2 \ll p_0^0$. On scales larger than the thermal length scale, the renormalized parameters scale according to

$$\kappa_R(\ell) \sim \kappa_0(\ell/\ell_{th})^\eta, \quad Y_R(\ell) \sim Y_0(\ell/\ell_{th})^{-\eta}, \quad p_R(\ell) - p_0 \sim p_0^0(\ell_{th}/\ell)^2(\ell/\ell_{th})^{2\eta},$$

where $\eta = 0.8$ and $\eta_a = 0.4$ are the same exponents as for flat sheets. If the external pressure $p_0$ is properly tuned, such that the renormalized pressure $p_R(\ell)$ remains small, then the renormalization gets cut off at the length scale $\ell^*$, where the $Y'/R^2$ term starts dominating over the $\kappa'\Lambda^4$ term in denominators of recursion relations in Eqs. (15). This scale is given by

$$\ell^* \sim \ell_{th}^{4/(4-\eta_a)} + \ell_{th}^{4/(2+\eta)} \propto R_0^{2/(2+\eta)},$$

where we used the exponent relation $\eta_a + 2\eta = 2$. Because of this cutoff, we now find renormalized bending rigidity $\kappa_R(R_0) \propto R_0^{2/(2+\eta)}$ and the renormalized Young’s modulus $Y_R(R_0) \propto R_0^{-2\eta/(2+\eta)}$, which is again different from flat sheets of size $L$ [i.e., $\kappa_R(L) \propto L^0$, $Y_R(L) \propto L^{-\eta}$]. Note that in the absence of a microscopic pressure ($p_0 = 0$), thermal fluctuations generate a renormalized pressure $p_R(\ell^*) \sim p_0^0(\ell_{el}/\ell_{th})^{(6\eta-4)/(2+\eta)}$, which is of the same order as the renormalized buckling pressure $p_{\ell}(\ell^*) = 4\sqrt{\kappa_R(\ell^*)}Y_R(\ell^*)/R_0^2 \sim p_0^0(\ell_{el}/\ell_{th})^{(6\eta-4)/(2+\eta)}$. Numerically, we find that at zero external pressure, the renormalized pressure $p_R(\ell^*)$ is actually large enough to crush the shell [see Fig. 3(b)]. In fact, spherical shells can only be stable if the outward pressure is 

$$p_{0,\text{min}} = -C_1p_0^0\left(\frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}\right)^{(6\eta-4)/(2+\eta)},$$

$$= -C_2p_0^0\left(\frac{k_BT}{\kappa_0}\right)^{(3\eta-2)/(2+\eta)},$$

where we find $C_1 \approx 0.10$, $C_2 \approx 0.047$, and $(3\eta-2)/(2+\eta) \approx 0.14$. For large outward pressures ($p_0 \ll p_{0,\text{min}} < 0$), the renormalization gets cut off at a pressure length scale $\ell_p$ given by

$$\frac{\ell_p}{\ell_{th}} \sim \left(\frac{p_0^0}{p_0}\right)^{1/(2-\eta)} \left(\frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}\right)^{2/(2-\eta)} \sim \left(\frac{k_BT\ell_{th}}{p_0\kappa_0}\right)^{1/(2-\eta)},$$

when the $p'\Lambda^2$ term starts dominating over the $\kappa'\Lambda^4$ and $Y'/R^2$ terms in denominators of recursion relations in Eq. (15). As can be seen from Fig. 3(c), the Young’s modulus $Y_R(\ell)$ stops renormalizing at the length scale $\ell_p$, while the renormalization of bending rigidity still continues until the $Y'/R^2$ term in denominators of recursion relations in Eq. (15) starts to dominate. Note that for sufficiently large internal pressure $p_0 \ll -k_BT Y_0/(R_0\kappa_0)$, the cutoff length scale $\ell_p$ becomes smaller than the thermal length scale $\ell_{th}$ and the effects of thermal fluctuations are completely suppressed.

In Fig. 3, we also present heat maps of (d) the renormalized bending rigidity $\kappa_R(R_0)$, (e) the renormalized Young’s modulus $Y_R(R_0)$, and (f) the thermally induced part of renormalized external pressure $p_R(R_0) - p_0$ evaluated at the scale of shell radius $R_0$, as a function of $p_0/p_0^0$ and $\ell_{el}/\ell_{th} \ll \sqrt{k_BT}/\kappa_0 Y_0^{1/4}$. These are the renormalized parameters that one could measure in experiments by analyzing the long-wavelength radial fluctuations described by Eq. (9), once the thermal fluctuations are cut off by either the elastic length ($\ell_{el}$) or a sufficiently large outward pressure ($p_0 < 0$), which stabilizes the shells. Although the scaling functions in Eq. (24) could, in principle, depend directly on the shell size $R_0$, this is not the case because the renormalization group cutoffs at $\ell_p$ or $\ell_{el}$ intervene before $\ell = R_0$.

In experiments, one could also measure the average thermal shrinking of the shell radius $\langle f_0 \rangle$ [see Eq. (8)], relative to its $T = 0$ value, which is related to the integral of the correlation functions in Eq. (9),

$$\langle f_0 \rangle \approx \frac{p_0R_0^2}{4(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)} + \frac{R_0}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq q G_{jj}(q) A,$$

$$\langle f_0 \rangle = \frac{p_0R_0^2}{4(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)} + k_BT R_0 \Phi_f \left(\frac{R_0}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{p_0}{p_0^0}, \frac{\alpha}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{\alpha}{p_0^0}\right).$$

Here, $A$ is the area of the patch that defines shallow-shell theory; it drops out of the scaling function defined by the second line—see Eq. (9). Note that the integral above diverges logarithmically for $q \leq \pi/a$, i.e., at distances close to the microscopic cutoff $a$, where $G_{jj}(q) \approx k_BT/(A\kappa_0 q^4)$. This divergent part can be subtracted from the scaling function $\Phi_f$ defined in the second part of Eq. (30); the remaining piece, which we call $\Theta_f$, is approximately independent of the microscopic cutoff $a$ and the shell size $R_0$. Figure 4(a) shows, via a heat map, how the scaling function $\Theta_f$ depends on the other important parameters, $\ell_{el}/\ell_{th} \ll \sqrt{k_BT}/\kappa_0 Y_0^{1/4}$ and $p_0/p_0^0$. The average shrinking of the shell radius can then be expressed as

$$\langle f_0 \rangle = \frac{p_0R_0^2}{4(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)} + k_BT R_0 \left[ \ln \left(\frac{\ell_{th}}{\alpha}\right) + \Theta_f \left(\frac{\ell_{el}}{\ell_{th}}, \frac{p_0}{p_0^0}\right) \right].$$

(31)
small outward pressures, the usual linear response term controlled by the bulk modulus \((\mu_0 + \lambda_0)\) is dominated by a nonlinear thermal correction \(\sim |p_0|^{\eta/(2-\eta)} \sim |p_0|^{0.67}\). A similar breakdown of Hooke’s law appears in the nonlinear response to external tension for thermally fluctuating flat membranes with the same exponent \(\eta/(2-\eta)\) [82]. The importance of the nonlinear contribution is determined by the condition \(p^* \lesssim 1\), where

\[
p^* = \frac{|p_0| R_0 \kappa_0}{k_B T Y_0^3}.
\]

An alternative renormalization group matching procedure [83] also exploits scaling relations such as Eq. (17) but instead integrates the recursion relations out to the intermediate scale \(\ell^c\) defined by Eq. (27) and then matches onto perturbation theory to calculate corrections beyond that scale. We have checked that there are only order-of-unity differences from the results described here.

V. BUCKLING OF SPHERICAL SHELLS

By systematically varying the bare external pressure \(p_0\) as an initial condition in our renormalization group calculations, we identified the critical buckling pressure \(p_c\) for spherical shells in the presence of thermal fluctuations. In agreement with the scaling description embodied in Eqs. (24), we found that the critical buckling pressure can be described with a scaling function that depends on a single dimensionless parameter

\[
p_c = p_0^{3/2} \Psi \left( \frac{\ell_{th}}{\ell_{el}} \right) = p_0^{3/2} \Psi \left( \frac{k_B T}{\kappa_0} \sqrt{\frac{Y_0 R_0^2}{\kappa_0}} \right),
\]

where \(\Psi(x)\) is a monotonically decreasing scaling function with

\[
\Psi(x) \approx \begin{cases} 1 - 0.28x^{0.14} & x \ll 1 \\ -0.047x^{(3\eta-2)/(2+\eta)} & x \gg 1. \end{cases}
\]

The small \(x\) behavior comes from a fit to our numerical calculations. The \(\eta\)-dependent power law \(\sim x^{0.14}\) for large \(x\) matches the minimal stabilizing pressure \(p_{0,\text{min}}\) introduced in Eq. (28). Note that thermal fluctuations lead to a substantial reduction in the critical buckling pressure \(p_c\) and that \(\Psi(x)\) becomes negative for \(x \gtrsim 160\) (see Fig. 5). A remarkable consequence is that, even when the pressure difference vanishes \((p_0 = 0\)\), spherical shells are only stable provided they are smaller than

\[
R_{\text{max}} \approx 160 \frac{\kappa_0}{k_B T} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_0}{Y_0}}.
\]

Larger shells are spontaneously crushed by thermal fluctuations. The condition of zero microscopic pressure

\[
\frac{p_0}{\kappa_0} \sim \left( \frac{p_0}{p_0^*} \right)^{\eta/(2-\eta)} \ll 1
\]

Finally, we find that for large shells with \(\ell_{th} \ll \ell_{el}\) that are under a stabilizing outward pressure \((p_0 < 0)\), the renormalization procedure leads to a nonlinear dependence of the average shell radius shrinkage \(\langle f_0 \rangle\) with internal pressure \(|p_0|\) as [see Fig. 4(b)]

\[
\langle f_0 \rangle \approx -\frac{|p_0|R_0^2}{4(\mu_0 + \lambda_0)} + \frac{k_B TR_0}{8\pi\kappa_0} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\ell_{th}}{\ell_{el}} \right) \right. + \left. \frac{1}{\eta} \right] - C \frac{k_B 2 R_0}{\kappa_0} \left( \frac{|p_0|R_0\kappa_0}{k_B T Y_0} \right)^{\eta/(2-\eta)},
\]

where \(C \approx 0.3\) and the dimensionless combination \(|p_0|R_0\kappa_0/k_B T Y_0 \sim (|p_0|/p_0^*)^{\eta/(2-\eta)}\(\ell_{th}/\ell_{el}\)). For sufficiently

\[
(p_0/R_0 \kappa_0/k_B T Y_0) \sim |p_0|^{0.67}
\]

FIG. 4. Heat map depicting the average thermal shrinking of the shell radius \(\langle f_0 \rangle\), as described by the scaling function \(\Theta_f(\ell_{th}/\ell_{el}, p_0/p_0^*)\) [see Eq. (31)]. (a) Contours of the scaling function \(\Theta_f\) are shown with \(R_0/\ell_{el} = 10^2\) and \(a/R_0 = 10^{-6}\). The solid cyan line corresponds to the critical buckling pressure in Eqs. (34) and (35) displayed as the solid black curve in Fig. 5. (b) Nonlinear response for large membranes \(\ell_{th} \ll \ell_{el}\) under large outward pressure \(p_0 < 0\) [see Eq. (32)]. Here, the parameter on the \(y\) axis \(C_0 \approx k_B T / (8\pi\kappa_0) \ln (\ell_{th}/a) + 1/\eta\), whereas \(\ell_{th}/\ell_{el} = 10^1\), \(R_0/\ell_{el} = 10^3\), and \(a/R_0 = 10^{-6}\).
and shell sizes $R$ in the presence of thermal fluctuations.

p buckling pressure of Ref. [75] (see Fig. 5). Note that at small temperatures in reasonable agreement with the Monte Carlo simulations or spheres which (like whiffle balls) have a regular array of effects could arise at low temperatures for the amorphous and can escape over a small energy barrier of the order $k_B T$ in a pressurized sphere exist in a shallow energy minimum external pressure because the metastable modes embodied thermalized spherical shells will buckle at a slightly lower practice, we expect that even perfectly homogeneous was determined by identifying deformation modes, for dependent critical buckling pressure obtained in this paper is due to shell imperfections [84]. Similar with macroscopic spherical shells, where the lower buckling pressures. This also happens in experiments similar buckling thresholds to spheres as long as the $pc$ becomes negative, thermal fluctuations spontaneously crush spherical shells even at zero or some-
tions spontaneously crush spherical shells even at zero or some-
What negative external pressures. The temperature-dependent critical buckling pressures obtained via numerical renormalization group methods are in reasonable agreement with the Monte Carlo simulations of Ref. [75] (see Fig. 5). Green arrows point to the locations in parameter space $(k_B T/\kappa_0)\sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2/\kappa_0}$ and $p_0/p_0$, that correspond to the snapshots of spherical shells from the simulations shown in Fig. 1. Because for large temperatures $T$ (or equivalently for large shells $R_0$) the critical buckling pressure $p_c$ becomes negative, thermal fluctuations spontaneously crush spherical shells even at zero or some-

\[ \frac{k_B T}{\kappa_0} \sqrt{\frac{Y_0 R_0^2}{\kappa_0}} = \left[ 12 \left( 1 - \nu_0^2 \right)^{3/2} \right] \frac{k_B R_0}{E_0 h^{12}}. \]

Thus, this critical dimensionless parameter varies as the inverse fourth power of shell thickness $h$. For thermal fluctuations to become relevant at room temperature, shells that are only a few nanometers thick may be required. For such shells, thermal fluctuations renormalize elastic constants in the same direction as for flat solid membranes [see Eq. (20) and Figs. 3(d) and 3(e)]; i.e., bending rigidity gets enhanced, in-plane elastic constants get reduced, and all elastic constants become scale dependent. However, in striking contrast to flat membranes, where an isotropic external tension does not get renormalized [82], thermal fluctuations can strongly enhance the effect of an inward pressure $p_0$. As a consequence, spherical shells get crushed at a lower external pressure than the classical zero-temperature buckling pressure (see Fig. 5). In fact, shells that are larger than $R_{\text{max}} \approx 160(k_0/k_B T)\sqrt{\kappa_0/Y_0}$ become unstable even at zero or slightly negative external pressure. Such large shells can be stabilized by a sufficiently large outward pressure $p_0 < 0$, which cuts off the renormalization of elastic constants [see Fig. 3(b)]. We then find that the shell size increases nonlinearly with internal pressure with a universal exponent characteristic of flat membranes [see Eq. (32) and Fig. 4]. Note that for sufficiently large outward pressure $p_0 \lesssim -k_B T Y_0/R_0 k_0$, the renormalization is completely suppressed, and we recover the behavior of classical shells at zero temperature.

How do these results impact the physics of currently available microscopic shells? Shells of microscopic organisms come in various sizes and shapes, and they need not be perfectly spherical. Therefore, we just report some characteristic parameters at room temperature $T = 300$ K, where the radius $R_0$ is identified with half a characteristic shell diameter. For an “empty” viral capsid of bacteriophage $\phi 29$ (water inside and water outside) with $R_0 \approx 20–25$ nm, $h \approx 1.6$ nm, and $E_0 \approx 1.8$ GPa [9], we find that thermal fluctuations have only a small effect.
When a capsid of bacteriophage φ29 is filled with viral DNA, the capsid is under a huge outward osmotic pressure \( p_0 < -6 \text{ MPa} = -60 \text{ atm} \), which completely suppresses thermal fluctuations \( p^* = |p_0| R_0 \kappa_0 / (k_B T Y_0) \sim 7 \); see Eq. (33)). For gram-positive bacteria, which have a thick cell wall, thermal fluctuations can be ignored; e.g., for *Bacillus subtilis* with \( R_0 \approx 0.4 \mu \text{ m}, h \approx 30 \text{ nm}, \) and \( E_0 \approx 10-50 \text{ MPa} \) \cite{15}, we obtain \( (k_B T / \kappa_0) \sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2 / \kappa_0} \approx 10^{-3} \). For gram-negative bacteria with thin cell walls, one might have evolved to the regime with large turgor pressure \( \Delta \mu \approx 0 \) atm \cite{15}, and dimensionless pressure is \( \kappa_0 / \kappa \approx 40 \gg 1 \). Note that bacteria regulate osmotic pressure via mechanosensitive channels; hence, they might have evolved to the regime with large turgor pressure in order to protect their cell walls from thermal fluctuations. Somewhat similar to bacteria are nuclei in eukaryotic cells, where genetic material is protected by a nuclear envelope with \( \kappa_0 \approx 0.4 \mu \text{ m}, h \approx 30 \text{ nm}, \) and \( E_0 \approx 30 \text{ MPa} \) \cite{15}, we obtain \( (k_B T / \kappa_0) \sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2 / \kappa_0} \approx 8 \). However, bacteria are under a large outward osmotic stress called turgor pressure, which completely suppresses thermal fluctuations; e.g., for *E. coli* \( p_0 \approx -0.3 \text{ MPa} = -3 \text{ atm} \) \cite{15}, and dimensionless pressure is \( p^* = |p_0| R_0 \kappa_0 / (k_B T Y_0) \approx 40 \gg 1 \). Note that bacteria regulate osmotic pressure via mechanosensitive channels; hence, they might have evolved to the regime with large turgor pressure in order to protect their cell walls from thermal fluctuations. Somewhat similar to bacteria are nuclei in eukaryotic cells, where genetic material is protected by a nuclear envelope with \( R_0 \approx 8 \mu \text{ m}, h / R_0 \approx 10^3-10^2, \) and \( E_0 \approx 10^4 \text{ Pa} \) \cite{85}, such that \( (k_B T / \kappa_0) \sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2 / \kappa_0} \approx 10^4-10^7 \). When cells are attached to a substrate, densely packed genetic material generates a large outward osmotic pressure \( p_0 / E_0 \approx -8 \times 10^{-2}, \) which suppresses thermal fluctuations \( p^* = |p_0| R_0 \kappa_0 / (k_B T Y_0) \sim 3-300 \). However, upon detachment of cells from the substrate, the cell volume shrinks because of the release of traction forces, and the resulting cytoplasm osmotic pressure crushes cell nuclei \cite{85}, a phenomenon that could be influenced by thermal fluctuations.

Thermal fluctuations definitely play an important role in red blood cell membranes. The red blood cell membrane is composed of a lipid bilayer with bending rigidity \( \kappa_0 \approx 6-40 k_B T \) \cite{17,18} and an attached spectrin network, which contributes to a Young’s modulus \( Y_0 \approx 25 \mu \text{ N/m} \) \cite{16,18}, giving the composite system a resistance to shear. For a characteristic size of \( R_0 \approx 7 \mu \text{ m}, \) we find \( (k_B T / \kappa_0) \sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2 / \kappa_0} \approx 2-40 \). Here, we neglect interesting nonequilibrium effects in living cells, where ATP can be burned to turn spectrin into an “active” material \cite{86}. Note that by treating red blood cells with mild detergents, which lyse the cells, one can produce red blood cell “ghosts” that are composed of spectrin skeleton alone. Such membranes have smaller bending rigidity and exhibit much larger fluctuations, confirming the scale dependence of elastic constants via x-ray and light-scattering experiments in Ref. \cite{50}.

As discussed in Ref. \cite{75}, artificial microscopic shells have also been constructed from polyelectrolytes \cite{22}, proteins \cite{87}, and polymers \cite{88}. Such microcapsules can be made extremely thin, with the thickness of several nanometers, where thermal fluctuations can become relevant. For example, microcapsules with \( h \approx 6 \text{ nm} \) thickness were fabricated from reconstituted spider silk \cite{87} with \( R_0 \approx 30 \mu \text{ m} \) and \( E_0 \approx 1 \text{ GPa} \), where we find \( (k_B T / \kappa_0) \sqrt{Y_0 R_0^2 / \kappa_0} \approx 3 \). Similar polymersomes can be made 10 times larger with \( R_0 \approx 300 \mu \text{ m} \) while being thinner than \( 10 \text{ nm} \) \cite{88}. Polycrystalline shells or hemispheres of graphene provide a particularly promising candidate for observing the effects of thermal fluctuations on solid membranes with a spherical background curvature. Indeed, with graphene parameters \( (\kappa_0 = 1.1 \text{ eV}) \) and \( Y_0 = 340 \text{ N/m} \) \cite{90}], the maximum allowed radius when \( p_0 = 0 \) at room temperature, from Eq. (36), is \( R_{\text{max}} \approx 160 \text{ nm} \).

We expect that many features described in this paper for spherical shells also carry over to nonspherical shells with a background curvature. Here, we briefly sketch how one could analyze the effects of thermal fluctuations on a small patch of shell with arbitrary constant principal curvatures \( 1/R_x \) and \( 1/R_y \), where \( R_x = R_y = R_0 \) corresponds to a spherical patch of radius \( R_0 \), and a cylinder of radius \( R_0 \) with the axis in the \( x \) direction is described by \( R_x \to \infty \) and \( R_y = R_0 \). The strain tensor in Eq. (5) generalizes to

\[
\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i f_j + \partial_j f_i - \partial_i f_j \frac{f_j}{R_k^2}),
\]

and the effective free-energy functional for normal undulations \( f \) in Eq. (7b) becomes

\[
F_{\text{eff}} = \int d^2 r \left( \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0 (\nabla^2 f)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij} (\partial_i f_j + \partial_j f_i) \right) + \frac{Y_0}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} P_{ij}^T (\partial_i f_j + \partial_j f_i) - \frac{P_{ij}}{R_k} \right]^2,
\]

where \( P_{ij}^T = \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \partial_j / \sqrt{2} \) is the transverse projection operator and the \( \sigma_{ij} \) tensor describes the stress inside the shell due to the external load, such as external pressure. To capture the effects of thermal fluctuations, one would need to analyze the renormalization group flows of the effective free energy for normal undulations in Eq. (39). As for spherical shells, we expect that thermal fluctuations effectively produce negative tensions, which spontaneously crush shells even for zero external stresses at sufficiently high temperatures or at sufficiently small curvatures \( 1/R_x \) and \( 1/R_y \). For example, for a cylinder oriented along the \( x \) axis with no external load \( (\sigma_{ij} \equiv 0) \), one can show that thermal fluctuations effectively generate an axial compression \( \sigma_{R_x x} < 0 \), while \( \sigma_{R_x y} \approx \sigma_{R_y x} \approx 0 \). These results arise because small undulations \( f(x) \) along the cylinder axis involve the stretching of the shell, while small undulations \( f(y) \) in the azimuthal direction require no stretching (only bending). As a consequence, we expect that in, e.g., carbon...
nanotubes, thermal fluctuations significantly reduce the critical axial load, while the critical shear load and pressure load are practically unmodified. These expectations are consistent with the results of molecular dynamics simulations for carbon nanotubes [91]. Similar to spherical shells, we expect that nanotubes of sufficiently large radius $R_{\text{max}} \sim (\kappa_0/k_B T) \sqrt{\kappa_0/Y_0}$ are spontaneously crushed because of thermally generated axial compression, even in the absence of external loads. The value of the numerical prefactor would require detailed renormalization group calculations, but a rough extrapolation of our results for spherical shells suggests that, for carbon nanotubes at room temperature, the critical radius is on the order of $R_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \, \text{nm}$.

In conclusion, we have found that thermalized shells can behave in significantly different ways compared to flat membranes. In shells, thermal fluctuations effectively generate negative tension, which spontaneously crushes large shells, while even infinitely large flat membranes remain stable. Note that shells remain locally two dimensional just above the crushing instability and do not necessarily correspond to the crumpled phase with fractal dimension 2 < $D_f < 3$ (see Fig. 1). We expect that distant self-avoidance will only become important deep in the crushed phase. Thermalized shells are also quite different from fluctuating ring polymers, which retain their integrity at low temperatures [92] but transition to a swollen-coil state with fractal dimension $D_f = 1.591 \approx 5/3$ when the persistence length becomes much smaller than the polymer ring size [25].

We hope this paper will stimulate further experimental, numerical, and theoretical investigations of the stability and mechanical properties of these fascinating thermalized shells and also the analysis of postbuckling behavior where steric interactions become important.
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**APPENDIX A: RENORMALIZATION GROUP RECURSION RELATIONS FOR SPHERICAL SHELLS**

In this appendix, we derive the renormalization group recursion relations displayed in Eqs. (15). We start by rewriting the free energy in Eq. (7) in Fourier space as

$$ F'/A = \sum_{q} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \kappa_0 q^4 - \frac{p_0 R_0 q^2}{2} + \frac{Y_0}{R_0} \tilde{f}(q) \tilde{f}(-q) \right], \quad (A1a) $$

$$ F'_{\text{int}}/A = \sum_{q_i = q_j = q_k = q_l = q \neq 0} \frac{Y_0}{8} \left[ q_1 P^T_{ij}(q) q_2 ] [ q_3 P^T_{ij}(q) q_4 ] \right] \times \tilde{f}(q_1) \tilde{f}(q_2) \tilde{f}(q_3) \tilde{f}(q_4) $$

$$ + \sum_{q_1 = q_2 = q_3} \frac{Y_0}{2 R_0} \left[ q_1 P^T_{ij}(q_1) q_3 \right] \tilde{f}(q_1) \tilde{f}(q_2) \tilde{f}(q_3), \quad (A1c) $$

where $A$ is the area, $\tilde{f}(q) = \int (d^2 r / A) e^{-i q \cdot r} \tilde{f}(r)$, and $P^T_{ij}(q) = \delta_{ij} - q_i q_j / q^2$ is the transverse projection operator. Note that the sums over wave vectors can be converted to integrals in the shallow-shell approximation as $\sum_{q} \rightarrow A \int d^2 q / (2\pi)^2$.

To implement the momentum-shell renormalization group, we first integrate out all Fourier modes in a thin momentum shell $\Lambda/b < q < \Lambda$, where $a = \pi / \Lambda$ is a microscopic cutoff and $b = e^s$ with $s \ll 1$. Next we rescale lengths and fields [27,54]

$$ r = b^s r', \quad (A2a) $$

$$ q = b^{-1} q', \quad (A2b) $$

$$ \tilde{f}(q) = b^{3s} \tilde{f}'(q'), \quad (A2c) $$

where the field rescaling exponent $\xi_f$ will be chosen to simplify the resulting renormalization group equations. Finally, we define new elastic constants $\kappa', Y'$, and external pressure $p'$, such that the free-energy functional in Eq. (A1) retains the same form after the first two renormalization group steps.

The integration of Fourier modes in a thin momentum shell $\Lambda/b < q < \Lambda$ is formally done with a functional integral

$$ F'_{\text{eff}}[\{q\}] = -k_B T \ln \left[ \int D[\tilde{f}(k)] e^{-\langle F_0[\{q(k)\} + F_{\text{int}}[\{q(k)\}] / k_B T \rangle} \right], $$

$$ F'_{\text{eff}}[\{q\}] = F_0[\{q\}] - k_B T \ln \langle e^{-F_{\text{int}}[\{q(k)\}] / k_B T} \rangle_{0,k}, \quad (A3) $$

where $q < \Lambda / b$ and we introduced the average

$$ \langle O \rangle_{0,k} = \frac{\int D[\tilde{f}(k)] O e^{-F_0[\{k\}]}}{\int D[\tilde{f}(k)] e^{-F_0[\{k\}]}}. \quad (A4) $$

The term involving a logarithm in Eq. (A3) can be expanded in terms of the cumulants
where \((O)^{(c)} = \langle O \rangle\), \((O^2)^{(c)} = \langle O^2 \rangle - \langle O \rangle^2\), etc. The infinite series in Eq. (A5) above can be systematically approximated with Feynman diagrams [81]; Fig. 2 displays all relevant diagrams to one-loop order. The contributions of the diagrams in Figs. 2(c)–2(i) are

\[
\frac{F'_{\text{eff}}[[\{q\}]](c)}{A} = \sum_{q} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}(q) \tilde{f}(-q) \int_{\Lambda/b < |k| < \Lambda} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} A Y G_{ff} \left( k + \frac{q}{2}, k - \frac{q}{2} \right) \left[ q_i P_{ij}^T \left( k, \frac{q}{2} \right) \right]^2, \quad (A6a)
\]

\[
\frac{F'_{\text{eff}}[[\{q\}]|(d-g)}{A} = \sum_{q} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}(q) \tilde{f}(-q) \int_{\Lambda/b < |k| < \Lambda} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k_B T R^2}{2} G_{ff} \left( k + \frac{q}{2}, k - \frac{q}{2} \right) \times \left[ q_i P_{ij}^T \left( k, \frac{q}{2} \right) \right]^2 - \left[ q_j P_{ij}^T \left( k, \frac{q}{2} \right) \right]^2 \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( k_i - \frac{q_i}{2} \right) P_{ij}^T \right]^2, \quad (A6b)
\]

\[
\frac{F'_{\text{eff}}[[\{q\}]|(h)}{A} = \sum_{q_2, q_3, q_4} \frac{Y}{2 R} \left[ q_{1t} P_{ij}^T(q_1) q_{3j} \tilde{f}(q_2) \tilde{f}(q_4) \right] \int_{\Lambda/b < |k| < \Lambda} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{-1}{2 k_B T R^2} G_{ff} \left( k + \frac{q}{2}, k - \frac{q}{2} \right) \times \left( k_i - \frac{q_i}{2} \right) P_{ij}^T \left( k, \frac{q}{2} \right), \quad (A6c)
\]

\[
\frac{F'_{\text{eff}}[[\{q\}]|(i)}{A} = \sum_{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4} \frac{Y}{8} \left[ q_{1t} P_{ij}^T(q_1) q_{2j} \tilde{f}(q_2) \tilde{f}(q_3) \tilde{f}(q_4) \right] \int_{\Lambda/b < |k| < \Lambda} \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{-1}{2 k_B T R^2} G_{ff} \left( k + \frac{q}{2}, k - \frac{q}{2} \right) \times \left( k_i - \frac{q_i}{2} \right) P_{ij}^T \left( k, \frac{q}{2} \right), \quad (A6d)
\]

where \(G_{ff}(q) = k_B T / [\Lambda(k q^4 - p R q^2/2 + Y/R^2)]\), and subscripts \((c), (d-g), (h),\) and \((i)\) describe contributions from the corresponding diagrams in Fig. 2. The integrands in the equations above must now be expanded for small wave vectors \(q\). The relevant contributions to \(k', p',\) and \(Y'\) are related to terms that scale with \(q^4, q^2,\) and \(q^0\) in Eqs. (A6a) and (A6b), respectively. The contributions to three-point and four-point vertices are described by Eqs. (A6c) and (A6d), respectively, and here it is enough to keep only the \(q^0\) terms in the integrands.

After the integration of Fourier modes in a thin momentum shell \(\Lambda/b < k < \Lambda\), where \(b = e^s\) with \(s \ll 1\), rescaling fields, momenta, and lengths according to Eq. (A2), we find the recursion relations

\[
\beta_x = \frac{dx'}{ds} = 2(\zeta - 1)\beta + \frac{3 k_B T Y' \Lambda^2}{16 \pi D^2} - \frac{3 k_B T Y'^2 \Lambda^2}{8 \pi R^2 D^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{I_1}{D^2} + \frac{I_2}{D^4} \right], \quad (A7a)
\]

\[
\beta_y = \frac{dy'}{ds} = 2 \zeta \beta y' - \frac{3 k_B T Y'^2 \Lambda^2}{32 \pi D^4}, \quad (A7b)
\]

\[
\beta_p = \frac{dp'}{ds} = (2 \zeta + 1) \beta + \frac{3 k_B T Y'^2 \Lambda^4}{4 \pi R^2 D^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{I_p}{D^2} \right], \quad (A7c)
\]

\[
\beta_R = \frac{dR'}{ds} = -R', \quad (A7d)
\]

where we introduce a denominator factor \(D\) and the results of various integrations as
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I_{\kappa_1} = \frac{1}{48} \left[ -\frac{4 Y'^2}{R'} + 8 \frac{Y'}{R'^2} (2 p'R' A^2 - 9 \kappa' A^4) \\
- (5 p'^2 R'^2 A^4 - 32 p'R' \kappa A^6 + 36 \kappa'^2 A^8) \right]. 
\quad (A8a) \\
I_{\kappa_2} = \frac{1}{768} \left[ -\frac{24 Y'^3 \kappa' A^4}{R'^6} + \frac{Y'^2}{R'^2} (9 p'^2 R'^2 A^4 - 76 p'R' \kappa' A^6 + 268 \kappa'^2 A^8) \\
+ 204 p'R'^2 \kappa^2 A^{10} + 160 \kappa'^3 A^{12} \\
+ (p'^4 R'^4 A^8 - 12 p'^3 R'^3 \kappa' A^{10} + 56 p'^2 R'^2 \kappa'^2 A^{12} \\
- 96 p'R'^3 \kappa A^{14} + 60 \kappa'^4 A^{16}) \right], 
\quad (A8b) \\
I_p = \frac{1}{48} \left[ \frac{Y}{R'^2} (3 p'R' A^2 - 16 \kappa' A^4) \\
+ (-p'^2 R'^2 A^4 + 7 p'R' \kappa' A^6 - 8 \kappa'^2 A^8) \right]. 
\quad (A8c) \\
The \beta_Y recursion relation in Eq. (A7b) describes changes in the quadratic “mass” proportional to \( Y \) in Eq. (A1). Similarly, we can calculate the recursion relations for the cubic and quartic terms in Eq. (A1). The only significant change is in the effect of rescaling: The \( 2 \zeta_f Y \) term now becomes \((3 \zeta_f - 1) Y \) and \((4 \zeta_f - 2) Y \), respectively.

**APPENDIX B: INDEPENDENCE OF RENORMALIZATION GROUP RESULTS ON THE CHOICE OF \( \zeta_f \)**

In this section, we illustrate the insensitivity of the renormalization procedure to the precise choice of the field rescaling factor that appears in \( \tilde{f} (q) = b^{\zeta_f} \tilde{f} (q') \). Specifically, we demonstrate that for a flat thermalized sheet, we show that the renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R (\ell) \) and renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R (\ell) \) are identical, when we choose either \( \zeta_f (s) = 1 \), as we did, for convenience, with spherical shells, or we choose \( \zeta_f (s) \) such that the \( \kappa' (\ell) \equiv \kappa_0 \) remains fixed, as is the case in the usual renormalization group procedure [54,82].

The recursion relations for flat sheets are [54,82]

\[ \beta_k = \frac{dk'}{ds} = 2 (\zeta_f - 1) \kappa' + \frac{3 k_B T Y'}{16 \pi \kappa' A^2}, \quad (B1a) \]

\[ \beta_Y = \frac{dY'}{ds} = (4 \zeta_f - 2) Y' - \frac{3 k_B T Y'^2}{32 \pi \kappa'^2 A^2}. \quad (B1b) \]

The scale-dependent parameters \( \kappa' (s) \) and \( Y' (s) \), which are obtained by integrating the differential equations in Eqs. (B5) up to \( s = \ln (\ell / a) \) with initial conditions \( \kappa' (0) = \kappa_0 \) and \( Y' (0) = Y_0 \), are related to the scaling of propagator \( G_{ff} (q) \) according to [81]

\[ G_{ff} (q|\kappa_0, \Lambda) = e^{\int_0^{\zeta_f (s)/L} Y' (s) \kappa' (s) A^{-2s}}, \quad (B2) \]

where \( G_{ff} (q|\kappa, A) = k_B T / [A \kappa q^4] \), and we explicitly wrote the rescaled momenta \( q' = q e^s \) and the rescaled patch area \( \Lambda' = A e^{-2s} \). By replacing the left-hand side in Eq. (B2) with the propagator \( G_{ff} (q) = k_B T / [A (\kappa_R (q) q^4)] \), we find the renormalized bending rigidity

\[ \kappa_R (s) = \kappa' (s) e^{\int_0^{2 \zeta_f (s)/L} ds}. \quad (B3) \]

From a similar scaling relation for the four-point vertex, we find

\[ Y_R (s) = Y' (s) e^{\int_0^{2 \zeta_f (s)/L} ds}. \quad (B4) \]

First we choose \( \zeta_f (s) \equiv 1 \), which leads to the recursion relations

\[ \frac{dk'}{ds} = \frac{3 k_B T Y'}{16 \pi \kappa' A^2}, \quad (B5a) \]

\[ \frac{dY'}{ds} = \frac{3 k_B T Y'^2}{32 \pi \kappa'^2 A^2}. \quad (B5b) \]

By integrating the differential equations in Eqs. (B5) up to \( s = \ln (\ell / a) \) with initial conditions \( \kappa' (0) = \kappa_0 \) and \( Y' (0) = Y_0 \), we find (see Fig. 6)

\[ \kappa' (\ell) \sim \begin{cases} \kappa_0 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
\kappa_0 (\ell / \ell_{th})^{4/5} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}. \end{cases} \quad (B6a) \]

\[ Y' (\ell) \sim \begin{cases} Y_0 (\ell / a)^2 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
Y_0 (\ell_{th} / a)^{2} (\ell / \ell_{th})^{4/5} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}. \end{cases} \quad (B6b) \]

where \( \ell_{th} = \kappa_0 / \sqrt{k_B T Y_0} \). Upon removing scaling factors according to Eqs. (B3) and (B4), we obtain our final scale-dependent renormalized elastic constants

\[ \kappa_R (\ell) \sim \begin{cases} \kappa_0 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
\kappa_0 (\ell / \ell_{th})^{4/5} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}. \end{cases} \quad (B7a) \]

\[ Y_R (\ell) \sim \begin{cases} Y_0 & \ell \ll \ell_{th}, \\
Y_0 (\ell / \ell_{th})^{-2/5} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}. \end{cases} \quad (B7b) \]

where we recognize the usual scaling exponents \( \eta = 4/5 \) and \( \eta_a = 2/5 \), which satisfy identity \( \eta + 2 \eta_a = 2 \).

A more conventional choice [27,54] is to take \( \zeta_f (s) \) such that the \( \kappa' (s) \equiv \kappa_0 \) remains fixed. Upon setting \( \beta_k = 0 \) in Eq. (B1a), we find...
\[ \zeta_f(\ell) \equiv 1 \]

\[ \zeta_f(\ell) = 1 - \frac{3k_BTY'(\ell)}{32\pi\kappa_0^2\Lambda^2} \]

\[ \frac{dY'(s)}{ds} = 2Y'(s) - \frac{15k_BTY'(s)^2}{32\pi\kappa_0^2\Lambda^2}. \]

By integrating the differential equations in Eqs. (B8) up to \( s = \ln(\ell/\ell_0) \) with initial condition \( Y'(0) = Y_0 \), we find a fixed point, which is reached at the thermal scale, \( \ell \sim \ell_{th} \) (see Fig. 6), such that

\[ \zeta_f(\ell) \sim \begin{cases} 1 & \ell \ll \ell_{th} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}, \end{cases} \]

\[ Y'(\ell) \sim \begin{cases} Y_0(\ell/a)^2 & \ell \ll \ell_{th} \\ \frac{64\pi\kappa_0^2\Lambda^2}{15k_B^2} & \ell \gg \ell_{th}. \end{cases} \]

By taking into account scaling factors in Eqs. (B3) and (B4), it is easy to see that the value of exponent \( \zeta_f = 3/5 \) at the fixed point leads to the scaling exponents \( \eta = 2 - 2\zeta_f = 4/5 \) and \( \eta_u = 4\zeta_f - 2 = 2/5 \). From these relations, one also finds the identity \( \eta_u + 2\eta = 2 \) regardless of the precise value of \( \zeta_f \). From Fig. 6, we see that the renormalized bending rigidity \( \kappa_R(\ell) \) and the renormalized Young’s modulus \( Y_R(\ell) \) are identical to the ones obtained in Eq. (B7) with the choice of \( \zeta(\ell) \equiv 1 \).


