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Abstract

Monkeys with inferior temporal (IT) cortex lesions were compared with normal and operated control monkeys on a series of two-choice visual discrimination problems. In some problems, the discrimimanda were different patterns or objects whereas, in other problems, the discrimimanda were identical patterns or objects presented in different orientations. The animals with IT lesions were significantly impaired in learning to discriminate the different patterns and objects; however, they were not impaired in learning to discriminate stimuli which differed only in orientation by 60° or more. These results help to specify further the role of the IT cortex in visual associative learning by demonstrating that there are some types of visual discriminations which are not sensitive to IT lesions.

Bilateral ablation of the inferior temporal (IT) cortex in monkeys produces an impairment in visual learning while leaving basic visual thresholds, such as for visual acuity, unchanged (see reviews by Gross, 1973; Dean, 1976). The severity of impairment in visual discrimination learning following IT lesions depends on the difficulty of the discrimination task as measured by the number of trials normal monkeys require to learn it. The harder the task for normal monkeys, the greater the deficit for animals with IT lesions. Recently, however, we discovered a type of visual discrimination that animals with IT lesions acquire as readily as normal animals, namely, tasks in which the discrimimanda are two identical patterns differing in orientation by either 180°, e.g., A versus V, or 90°, e.g., A versus -> (Gross, 1978). This sparing of impairment on orientation discriminations occurred even on tasks the normal animals found relatively difficult. By contrast the animals with IT lesions were impaired in discriminating stimuli differing in orientation if the stimuli were line segments (e.g., l versus —) rather than patterns.

The purpose of the present study was to analyze further this intriguing phenomenon of an absence of impairment on orientation discriminations by monkeys with IT lesions. First, we wanted to know whether the sparing of impairment also held for the discrimination of orientation differences other than 90 or 180°. Second, we wanted to determine whether the sparing of impairment would occur with three-dimensional objects as well as two-dimensional patterns. Third, we wanted to reevaluate the presence of impairment when the discrimimanda are rotated line segments.

Materials and Methods

Subjects and surgery

The subjects were 13 experimentally naive cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) weighing between 2.3 and 3.5 kg during initial training. Five monkeys were given bilateral lesions of IT cortex (IT group), three were given bilateral lesions of lateral striate cortex and served as the operated control group (S group), and five remained unoperated (U group). The S and U groups will be referred to collectively as the control groups.

The lesions of the IT cortex (i.e., cytoarchitectonic area TE of von Bonin and Bailey, 1947) were intended to begin 10 mm anterior to and parallel with the ascending portion of the inferior occipital sulcus. The lesion was to extend for 10 mm anteriorly, bounded dorsally by the fundus of the superior temporal sulcus and ventrally by the medial bank of the occipitotemporal sulcus.

The lesions of lateral (macular) striate cortex were intended to begin 2 to 3 mm posterior to the lunate sulcus and to extend caudally and parallel to the sagittal fissure for approximately 15 mm. The dorsal limit of the lesion was intended to begin 5 mm from the sagittal fissure and to extend ventrally and parallel with the lunate sulcus for approximately 20 mm. The lesion was intended to remove the representation of approximately the central 6° of the visual field. The surgical methods for both lesions have been described previously (Gross, 1978).

Histology

The methods of perfusing, sectioning, staining, and reconstructing the lesions have been described elsewhere (Cowey and Gross, 1970). Reconstructions of the extent of the IT lesions are shown in Figure 1. Both the IT and the lateral striate lesions were approximately as intended.

Behavioral apparatus and procedures

Pattern discrimination. Pattern discrimination training was carried out in a sound-insulated chamber. Two translucent Gerbrands keys, 3.2 cm in diameter and 30.7 cm apart (center to center), were mounted on one wall of the chamber. The discrimimanda were projected onto the keys by rear projection readout units (series 10, Industrial Electronics Engineers, Inc.). An automatic liquid dispenser was mounted on the same wall 25.6 cm below the level of the keys. The interior of
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on this task (and all subsequent tasks in the chamber) was 90 correct responses in a 100-trial session. The final phase of pretraining was the same as the second phase except that the intertrial interval was increased to 5.9 sec.

Object discrimination. Object discrimination training was carried out in a Wisconsin General Test Apparatus (WGTA). In the WGTA, an opaque screen separated an illuminated compartment containing the animal’s cage from an illuminated compartment containing a form board. The form board contained two food wells which were 35.5 cm apart (center to center) and located 20 cm from the animal’s cage. A one-way screen allowed the experimenter to observe the subject’s response when the opaque screen was raised. Training was conducted in a darkened room with masking noise.

The animals were trained on the object discriminations for 50 trials/day, 7 days each week, while partially food deprived. On each training trial, the food wells were covered by the discriminanda which were mounted on black 10.5 × 9.0 cm plaques. The discriminanda could be displaced only in the anterior-posterior direction. Reward for displacing the correct stimulus was a raisin in the food well. The left-right spatial position of the stimuli was balanced according to a predetermined, pseudo-random schedule. On all problems the animals were trained to a criterion of 45 correct responses in any 50 consecutive trials.

To avoid the animals’ use of extraneous cues such as dirt or scratches on the stimuli, two sets of stimuli were made for each discrimination problem, and the members of each set were randomly interchanged during training. For some of the discriminanda, the orientation of the stimuli was changed between the rewarded and unrewarded positions at the end of each training day.

Preoperative learning and postoperative retention. All subjects were initially trained in the automatic test chamber. After pretraining was completed, the subjects were trained to discriminate the patterns (shown in Fig. 2) until the criterion was reached. The subjects were assigned to one of three groups in a fashion that equated the performance of the groups on this task as equally as possible. Within 2 weeks after reaching the learning criterion, the subjects received their designated surgical treatment.

Approximately 4 weeks after reaching the original criterion, the IT and U groups were retrained on the discriminations. The animals with lateral striate lesions were retrained after an additional 4 weeks to allow their residual vision to approach an asymptotic level.

Postoperative learning. Following the completion of the postoperative retention task, the subjects were trained on a series of 30 two-choice visual discrimination tasks. Two of the unoperated animals and two of the animals with IT lesions (IT-2 and IT-4) were trained only on the first 10 discrimination problems. The first task was designated problem 1, the second problem 2, and so on. Problems 1 to 10 involved two-dimensional discriminations of patterns of or of line segments and were trained in the automatic test chamber. Problems 11 to 22 were three-dimensional object discriminations and were trained in the WGTA. The discriminanda in problems 4, 10, and 24 were the pairs of different patterns shown in Figure 3. Problems 5, 6, 8, and 26 involved the discrimination of identical patterns differing in orientation by 180° (Fig. 4, top). Problems 2, 3, and 7 involved identical patterns differing in orientation by 90° (Fig. 4, middle). Problems 27 and 30 involved identical patterns differing in orientation by 45° (Fig. 4, bottom left). Problems 23, 25, and 29 involved identical patterns differing in orientation by 30° (Fig. 4, bottom right). Problems 1, 9, and 28 involved identical line segments differing in orientation by either 180 or 90° (Fig. 6).

The three-dimensional discriminanda were small colored toys such as cars, animals, and airplanes. Problems 11, 12, and 13 involved pairs of different objects. Problems 14, 17, and 18 involved pairs of identical objects differing in orientation by 120°. Problems 15, 18, and 21 involved pairs of identical objects differing in orientation by 60°. Problems 16, 20, and 22 involved pairs of identical objects differing in orientation by 90°.

Statistical analysis. On each individual problem a one-tailed Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the significance of the differences between the groups. Data were not pooled across problems.

Results

Postoperative retention

Postoperative retention of the discriminations learned prior to surgery was assessed by the savings measure

preoperative errors – postoperative errors

preoperative errors + postoperative errors
and is summarized in Figure 2. The S group showed only slightly poorer savings than the U group, but the difference was significant \((U = 0, p = 0.018)\). As expected, the IT group was very severely impaired, with four of the five animals showing negative savings. The IT group was significantly impaired relative to both the U \((U = 0, p = 0.004)\) and the S groups \((U = 0, p = 0.018)\).

**Postoperative acquisition**

Different patterns (Fig. 3). On all three problems (problems 4, 10, and 24) involving different patterns as discriminaanda, every IT animal made more errors than did every control animal. The difference between the IT and U groups was significant at the 0.05 level for each problem \((U = 0)\), as was the difference between the IT and S groups. Furthermore, the severity of the IT deficit remained similar across the approximately 18 months between problem 4 and problem 24. The performance of the two control groups was very similar on all three problems \((U \geq 3)\).

Rotated patterns (Fig. 4). On all problems in which the discriminaanda differed only in their orientation by 180° (problems 5, 6, 8, and 26) or by 90° (problems 2, 3, and 7), the performance of the IT group was indistinguishable from that of both control groups \((U \geq 3)\). The performance of the two control groups was also very similar \((U \geq 4)\) on all of these problems with the exception of the first, problem 2. On that task, the S group was significantly poorer than the U group \((U = 1, p = 0.036)\).

By contrast, on both problems in which the discriminaanda differed by 45° and on all three problems in which they differed by 90°, every IT animal made many more errors than did every control animal; the group differences were significant at the 0.05 level in all cases. The performance of the two control groups was indistinguishable on all five problems \((U \geq 4)\). Note that this difference between the large and small angle of rotation problems occurred even though the difficulty of the different types of problems, as measured by the performance of the unoperated animals, overlapped. Indeed the two most difficult problems (problems 2 and 6) were a 180° and a 90° rotation on both of which the IT group was unimpaired.

**Different objects** (Fig. 5). As had been the case with the different pattern problems, on all three problems in which the discriminaanda were different three-dimensional objects, the IT group was severely and significantly impaired relative to both control groups \((U = 0, p = 0.05)\), whereas the control groups did not differ from each other \((U \geq 3)\).

Rotated objects (Fig. 3). On all six tasks on which the discriminaanda were identical objects differing in orientation by 120° (problems 14, 17, and 19) or 60° (problems 15, 18, and 21), there were no significant or even suggestive differences among the group \((U \geq 2)\). By contrast, on the three problems in which the objects differed in orientation by 30° (problems 16, 20, and 22), the IT group was severely impaired relative to the other groups \((U = 0, p = 0.05)\) which did not differ from each other \((U \geq 3)\).

Rotated line segments (Fig. 6). On the discrimination of horizontal and vertical lines (problem 1), the IT group made more errors (median = 84) than did either the U or the S groups (median = 58 and 78, respectively), but the differences among the groups were not statistically significant. However, on both of the oblique line discriminations (problems 9 and 28) the IT group made many more errors than did the other two groups \((U = 0, p = 0.05)\) which did not differ from each other \((U \geq 2)\).

**Discussion**

In a previous study (Gross, 1978), we showed that monkeys with IT lesions were, paradoxically, not impaired in learning to discriminate two identical patterns differing in orientation by 90° or 180°, although, as expected, they were severely impaired in discriminating two different patterns (Gross, 1978). In the present study we replicated and extended these findings in several ways. First, we confirmed the previous results. The animals with IT lesions were not impaired on any of the seven discrimination tasks involving a 180° or 90° difference in the
Figure 4. Errors made on the problems on which the discriminanda were identical patterns in different orientations. See also the legend to Figure 2.
orientation of identical patterns, although they were very deficient on all four discriminations involving different patterns. This result was not an artifact of problem difficulty because some of the rotation problems were actually much more difficult for the control animals than were some of the “different” problems. Second, we extended the previous finding of the absence of the IT deficit on rotated two-dimensional patterns to the case of rotated three-dimensional objects. The animals with IT lesions did not differ from controls on any of six problems in which the stimuli were identical objects rotated 120 or 60°, although they were severely impaired on all three problems involving different objects. Again, the difficulty of the rotated and different problems overlapped for the control animals. Third, we found that the absence of the IT deficit on rotated discriminations requires at least a certain amount of rotation. The animals with IT lesions were severely impaired in all 7 cases in which the discriminations differed by 45° (patterns) or 30° (patterns and objects), but not in any of the 13 cases in which the discriminations differed by 60° or more.

In the previous study (Gross, 1978) we had found one condition in which the animals with IT lesions had been impaired in discriminating stimuli differing only in orientation, namely, when the stimuli were line segments rather than patterns. In the current study, the IT animals were severely impaired on two of three rotated line segment problems.

In summary, there is a class of stimuli the discrimination of which is not impaired by IT lesions, namely, patterns and objects that differ from each other only in their orientation by 60° or more. This sparing was not an artifact of problem difficulty, since many of these problems were as difficult for the normal animals as were the problems in which the animals with IT lesions were impaired. This sparing did not occur because the IT deficit had declined with time or training since the difference between rotated and different problems remained constant across the 18 months of postoperative training on the 30 problems.

Why was the IT deficit eliminated by the use of rotated but otherwise identical patterns as discriminations, and why did the angular difference have to be 60° or more? As previously suggested (Gross, 1978), the control animals may have initially
monkeys with IT lesions are unimpaired on positional or landmark discrimination (Pohl, 1973), they may have solved the large orientation difference problems, but not the small ones as positional or landmark discriminations. There is good evidence that the neural mechanisms underlying pattern and positional discrimination are different (Ungerleider and Mishkin, 1982). Not only do IT lesions impair pattern discrimination and not positional discrimination, but the opposite is true of posterior parietal lesions.

This hypothesis (i.e., that the sparing of impairment on discrimination of stimuli differing in orientation is because such problems are solved with a positional discrimination mechanism and not a pattern discrimination mechanism, and that the IT cortex is only needed for the latter) fails to explain the IT deficit on the rotated line segment problems. Such problems should be solvable with a positional mechanism. As noted above, the processing of line segment appears to be different from that of objects and patterns.

Since the early 1950’s, it has been clear that IT lesions impair visual discrimination (e.g., Mishkin and Pribram, 1954), but essentially nothing was known about what type of visual discrimination except the harder the task for normal animals, the greater the IT deficit. This and our previous study (Gross, 1978) provide the first specification of the visual stimuli that require the IT cortex for their discrimination. We have shown that the IT cortex is required for discriminating different visual patterns, not the same patterns differing markedly in orientation. The results also add further support to the indication from electrophysiological studies of the IT cortex that the processing of complex patterns by the IT cortex is different from that of line segments (Gross et al., 1972; Desimone et al., 1984).
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