Homework 1.

- Represent the logical form of each of the following sentences. First identify the elementary component sentences, and abbreviate each with a (distinct) capital letter. (We have suggested letters after each sentence.) Then translate the original sentence using the logical connectives ∨, &, -, → for the words "or", "and", "not", "if...then...". Use parentheses to indicate the order of precedence of the different logical connectives.
 - (a) If Wittgenstein wrote the *Tractatus* then he did not invent the printing press. (W, I)
 - (b) It's just not true that if Jane Austen wrote it then it has a happy ending. (W, H) [Does this sentence admit an alternative symbolization?]
 - (c) Hegel was either a great philosopher or a raving lunatic. (G, R)
 - (d) Hegel was neither a great philosopher nor a great historian. (G, H)
 - (e) Sieglinde will survive, and either her son will gain the Ring and Wotan's plan will be fulfilled or else Valhalla will be destroyed. (S, G, F, D)
 - (f) Wotan and Alberic will not both be satisfied. (W, A)
- 2. Represent the logical form of the following arguments. (We have suggested letters for the elementary sentences.)
 - (a) Aquinas and Occam were not both great philosophers. But Occam was not a great philosopher. So, Aquinas was a great philosopher. (A, O)
 - (b) If according to the law a fetus is not a person, then nobody can be legally charged for murdering a fetus. Somebody can be legally charged for murdering a fetus. Therefore, according to the law a fetus is a person. (P, C)
 - (c) Either I will join Ivy and become a robber baron, or I will join Terrace and become a writer. If I become a writer, I will be poor. So, either I will become a robber baron or I will be poor. (I, R, T, W, P)

3. Prove that the following argument forms are valid. You may use the following rules of inference: MPP, & I, & E, \lor I, DN.

(a) (1)
$$P \& Q / P \lor Q$$

(b) (1) $(A \lor B) \rightarrow T$
(2) $Z \rightarrow A$
(3) $T \rightarrow W$
(4) Z / W
(c) (1) $A \rightarrow B$
(2) $C \rightarrow A$
(3) $W \rightarrow Z$
(4) $C \& W / (B \lor D) \& (Z \lor E)$
(d) (1) $(F \rightarrow G) \rightarrow -F$
(2) $D \rightarrow (F \rightarrow G)$
(3) $(A \rightarrow D) \& A / G \lor -F$
(e) (1) $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow D)$
(2) $(F \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$
(3) $A \rightarrow (F \rightarrow A)$
(4) $A \& C / D \& B$
(f) (1) $(U \lor W) \rightarrow (- -T \rightarrow R)$

- (2) U & H(3) T & -H / U & R

- 4. Give informal counterexamples to the following invalid argument forms. (i.e., give arguments with these forms that have true premises and a false conclusion)
 - (a) (1) $P \lor Q$ / P
 - (b) (1) $(P \& Q) \to R \qquad / P \to R$

(c) (1)
$$P \to (Q \lor R) \qquad / P \to Q$$

5. Is the following argument form valid? If you think it is valid, try to explain your intuition. If you think it is invalid, give an informal counterexample.

$$(A \lor B) \to (C \& D) \qquad / A \to C$$