## Homework 4.

- 1. Show that for any sentences  $\phi, \psi$ , the sentence  $-(\phi \rightarrow \psi)$  is logically equivalent to the sentence  $\phi \& \psi$ .
- 2. Is logical implication symmetric? That is, if  $\phi$  implies  $\psi$  then does  $\psi$  imply  $\phi$ ? Explain your answer.
- 3. Translate the following into sentence logic form. Choose a (distinct) capital letter for each elementary component sentence, and clearly designate your choices.
  - (a) Alice will go to law school only if she is admitted to Yale or Harvard.
  - (b) Unless we reduce the incidence of child abuse, future crime rates will increase.
  - (c) Plasma televisions are a technological marvel, but they are expensive.
  - (d) A necessary condition for a successful business venture is good planning.
  - (e) Ozone depletion in the atmosphere is a sufficient condition for increased cancer rates.
- 4. Show that the sentence connective "It was true that ..." is not truth-functional.
- 5. Suppose that the sentence connective  $\circ$  has the truth table given below.

P	Q	$P \circ Q$
Т	Т	F
Т	F	Т
$\mathbf{F}$	Т	Т
$\mathbf{F}$	F	$\mathbf{F}$

Do " $\circ$ " and "-" form a truth-functionally complete set of connectives? Justify your answer.

6. Is the *exclusive* sense of "or" associative? That is, is " $(\phi \text{ or } \psi)$  or  $\chi$ " logically equivalent to " $\phi$  or  $(\psi \text{ or } \chi)$ "? Justify your answer using truth-tables.