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Abstract—The application of low-chirp MQW (multiquantum well)–EA (electro-absorption) modulators to subcarrier multiplexing (SCM) optical transmission systems is studied. The authors show that a third-order predistortion circuit is feasible for compensating the nonlinearity of this type of modulator. The degree of frequency chirping per optical intensity modulation depth of the modulator is theoretically determined to be about 1.4 MHz. A 42-channel AM–SCM signal transmitter with the predistortion circuit realizes both composite second-order distortion (CSO) and composite triple beat distortion (CTB) values under −57 dBc after 200-km-long transmission. The authors confirm dispersion-induced distortion of the MQW–EA modulator is as small as that of the LiNbO3 modulator. Carrier-to-noise ratios (CNR’s) of 45.2 dB for channel 1 and 43.8 dB for channel 42 were measured after 100 km transmission. It is found that the deterioration of a CNR is caused by the cascaded erbium doped fiber amplifiers (EDFA’s), Rayleigh backscattered power and the optical phase noise. Theoretical CNR’s show that the output power of the modulator should be higher to improve CNR.

Index Terms—Electro-absorption modulators, optical communications, subcarrier multiplexing.

I. INTRODUCTION

R ECENT advances in optical amplification technique using erbium doped fiber amplifiers (EDFA’s) have stimulated the investigation of subcarrier multiplexing (SCM) video distribution systems that use fiber star feeders [1]–[5]. These systems will realize economical all-fiber video distribution (AFVD) that allows many subscribers to share large parts of both the transmitter and the transmission line sections. The 1.55-μm EDFA’s would be used in the transmitter sections even though the transmission line sections would retain the conventional 1.3-μm zero-dispersion single-mode fibers already deployed. Under this high wavelength-dispersion condition, SCM transmission systems experience serious performance deterioration due to the dispersion-induced nonlinear distortion caused by the combined action of fiber dispersion and the frequency chirp of the optical transmitter [6], [7].

There are two candidates for reducing dispersion-induced distortion: dispersion compensation fibers (DCF’s) [8]–[10] and a low-chirp optical transmitters. The former, which places appropriate lengths of opposite-sign DCF’s in the transmitter section, is too inflexible in terms of accepting transmission length differences. The application of DCF’s is not suitable for AFVD subscriber networks because the transmission length differs for each subscriber.

The latter candidate, low chirp optical transmitters that reduce dispersion-induced distortion, is beneficial in the sense that dispersion management becomes unnecessary. Low-chirp lasers [11]–[14] based on multiquantum-well (MQW) structures are one of the candidates. The linewidth enhancement factor (κ-parameter) has been reduced to as small as 1.0 [12]. However, the nonlinear distortion generated by the nonlinearity of this type of DFB laser should be reduced to implement practical analog video signal transmission systems [15], [16].

Another low-chirp optical source is the external modulator which generally offers low-chirp and high-speed modulation characteristics [17], [18]. LiNbO3 Mach–Zehnder external modulators [19], [20] have been mainly investigated for SCM transmission systems [21]–[23]. It has already been reported that an 80-channel AM–SCM transmission system employing this type of modulator can successfully achieve 30 km-long transmission with low noise and low distortion [23]. Practical transmission systems that employ this type of modulator need a control electric circuit to offset the fluctuation of the L–V (light power versus voltage) curve in the direction of voltage, i.e., the dc drift effect [24]–[26].

Multiquantum-well electro-absorption (MQW–EA) type optical modulators [27]–[34] have been actively investigated because of the high-efficiency electro-absorption effect, the quantum-confined Stark effect (QCSE). Their high-speed, low-chirp, and low-power consumption characteristics are suitable for application to optical communication systems. MQW–EA external modulators are dc drift free and can be monolithically integrated with DFB lasers [35]–[37]. Furthermore, this type of modulator offers lower power consumption than LiNbO3 Mach–Zehnder type modulators.

Table I compares the performances of a LiNbO3 Mach–Zehnder modulator and an MQW–EA modulator. The κ-parameter of a LiNbO3 modulator can be controlled by the bias voltages applied to its two electrodes. The small chirp condition, instead of the chirp-free condition, is used to reduce dispersion penalty. The κ-parameter of MQW–EA modulators is less than one and the value is small compared...
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>modulator</th>
<th>$\alpha$-parameter</th>
<th>insertion loss</th>
<th>bandwidth</th>
<th>bias voltage</th>
<th>DC drift</th>
<th>LD integration</th>
<th>nonlinearity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiNbO$_3$ modulator</td>
<td>[18], small &amp; controllable</td>
<td>[19] dB</td>
<td>75 GHz</td>
<td>3.6 V</td>
<td>needs control circuit</td>
<td>difficult</td>
<td>third-order (sinusoidal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MQW-EA modulator</td>
<td>[17], [28], $&lt; 1$</td>
<td>[33] dB</td>
<td>50 GHz</td>
<td>0.63 V</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>suitable</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with the value of conventional DFB lasers, 2–7, [17]. The insertion loss of the MQW–EA modulator is at present larger than that of the LiNbO$_3$ modulator. Both modulators have great potential for ultrahigh-speed operation. Low power consumption and dc drift free MQW–EA modulators can be fabricated as integrated optical sources that do not need a dc drift control circuit.

This paper investigates the feasibility of the MQW–EA modulator in SCM transmission systems [38]–[41]. We propose a feasible predistortion linearization method that offsets the nonlinearity of the modulator. Transmission experiments show the validity of the predistortion method. The degree of the modulator’s frequency chirping is theoretically estimated.

We report the nonlinear distortion and CNR characteristics of SCM transmission systems that employ the linearized MQW–EA modulator, 1.3 $\mu$m zero-dispersion single-mode fiber, and EDFA’s. The results of 42-channel AM–SCM signal transmission are presented in the paper. The measured results for distortion are compared with the theoretical results allowing the degree of frequency chirping of the modulator to be determined. The origins of carrier-to-noise ratio (CNR) deterioration and the methods for increasing the CNR are discussed on the basis of the theoretical results considering relative intensity noise (RIN) deterioration due to Rayleigh backscattered power and cascaded EDFA’s.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II analyzes the distortion caused by the nonlinearity of MQW–EA modulators. An electrical predistortion technique that minimizes the nonlinear distortion is proposed and its feasibility is examined using multichannel SCM signals. Section III derives the degree of frequency chirping in MQW–EA modulators. Section IV details an AM–VSB signal transmission experiment. Calculated results based on the theories provided in Section III and theoretical CNR deterioration are included in the discussions. Finally, Section V concludes this paper.

II. PREDISTORTION LINEARIZATION TECHNIQUE

It has been already found that the L–V curve of a LiNbO$_3$ Mach–Zehnder modulator is a sinusoidal curve and third-order nonlinearity is dominant at its inflection point. A predistortion linearization circuit that compensates third-order nonlinear distortion is generally used in conjunction with the modulator. In the case of the MQW–EA modulator, the cause of nonlinearity has not been fully clarified.

We examined the modulator consisting of strained-InGaAsP MQW’s grown on an $n$-type substrate by low pressure MOVPE [29]. The modulator was mounted on a microwave strip line and terminated with a 50 $\Omega$ resistor. Fig. 1 shows the measured optical power output by a typical modulator. A bias voltage, $V_b$, and electrical and optical modulation depths of the modulator, $m_0$ and $m$, are illustrated in the figure. It is found that modulator’s nonlinearity distorts the output signal. Insertion loss of the modulator at the applied voltage of 0 V is about 10 dB.

The power intensity, $P_{\text{out}}$, of the modulator output from the EA modulator can be approximated as a function of the applied voltage $V$ as [28], [30]

$$P_{\text{out}} = P_0 \exp[-(V/V_0)\alpha]$$

where $P_0$ and $V_0$ are the output at the voltage of 0 V and the voltage when the output is $P_0/e$, respectively. Parameter $\alpha$ is 2–4 for MQW type modulators [30]. In the case of single-tone modulation, $V$ in (1) is expressed as

$$V = V_b[1 + m_0 \cos(\omega t)]$$

where $\omega$ is the modulation angular frequency.

The second-order and third-order intermodulation distortion, IMD2 and IMD3, caused by two RF signals can be theoretically calculated using the expansion coefficients of (1)

$$\text{IMD2} = \left[\frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0}\right]^2$$

$$\text{IMD3} = \left[\frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0} \cdot \frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0}\right]^3$$

$$\text{IMD4} = \left[\frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0} \cdot \frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0} \cdot \frac{\alpha(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1} - (\alpha - 1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-1}m_0V_b}{2V_0}\right]^4$$
and (4) shown at the bottom of the page. The derivations of (3) and (4) are shown in Appendix A.

Fig. 2 shows experimental and calculated results for IMD2 and IMD3 in the case \( m_0 = 15.2 \% \) and \( m_0 = 3.04\% \) as functions of the bias voltage. The IMD2 calculation considers the contribution of fourth-order nonlinearity. The modulation depths were determined at the bias voltage of 0.95 V and the electrical power was kept constant regardless of the bias voltage. This means the value of \( m_0V_b \) in (3) and (4) is constant regardless of the change of \( V_b \). In the calculation the values of \( V_b \) and \( m_0V_b \) were assumed to have the same values as recorded in the measurement: \( V_b = 1.19 \) V and \( m_0V_b = 0.95m_0 \) V. The value of \( a \) is chosen to fit the measured results: \( a = 2.4 \) for \( m_0 = 3.04\% \) and \( a = 3.2 \) for \( m_0 = 15.2\% \). Calculated results well reflect the experimental ones and the feasibility of (1) as an approximate equation of the L–V characteristics is shown. Calculated results in Fig. 2 show that the second-order distortion can be minimized by adjusting the bias voltage so that the optical output power is around half the value recorded when the applied voltage is 0 V. A low-distortion optical transmitter can be constructed by selecting the appropriate bias voltage and using the predistortion circuit that compensates third-order distortion.

The proposed predistortion linearization method has two parts.

1) Setting the bias voltage to achieve around one half of the optical output yielded when the bias voltage is 0 V so that the second-order distortion is minimized for the modulation depth of the target system.

2) Under the above bias voltage condition, applying the predistortion circuit to compensate third-order distortion.

We examined the feasibility of our predistortion technique through the transmission of multichannel SCM carriers. Fig. 3 shows CTB improvement under the optimum bias voltage condition for 42 channel AM–VSB carrier transmission as a function of AM band frequency. The inset illustrates the predistortion circuit examined here. We constructed the circuit using a push-pull operated diode circuit [23]. The predistortion circuit yields a 9 to 12 dB improvement in CTB which was held to under \(-60 \) dBc over the entire AM band. The measured back-to-back CSO results were less than \(-60 \) dBc regardless of the predistortion circuit used.

The electric predistortion linearization circuit shown in Fig. 3 was designed to compensate the third-order distortion, CTB, caused by the nonlinearity of the modulator [21]–[23]. The original SCM signal is split and one part is directly input to the modulator through a delay line. The other part is used to generate CTB. The CTB signal is input to the modulator so as to cancel the distortion generated by the modulator and this minimizes the CTB of the transmitter. From Fig. 3 it is shown that our predistortion technique is adaptable to multichannel SCM signal transmission.

III. FREQUENCY CHIRPING OF MQW–EA MODULATORS

The degree of dispersion-induced distortion depends on the degree of frequency chirping of the optical transmitter. We theoretically estimate the frequency chirping of an MQW–EA

From (3), the bias voltage \( V_{min} \) which gives the minimum IMD2 is given by (see Appendix A)

\[
V_{min} = \left( \frac{a-1}{a} \right) \frac{V_0}{a}.
\]

Under the bias voltage condition of (5), for \( a = 2\)–4

\[
P_{opt} = e^{-\frac{m_0V_b}{2}} P_0 \approx 0.61P_0 - 0.47P_0. \tag{6}
\]

This means \( V_{min} \) is around the value that gives half the optical output recorded when the bias voltage is 0 V (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 2 shows that the second-order distortion can be minimized by adjusting the bias voltage so that the optical output power is around half the value recorded when the applied voltage is 0 V. A low-distortion optical transmitter can be constructed by selecting the appropriate bias voltage and using the predistortion circuit that compensates third-order distortion.

We examined the feasibility of our predistortion technique through the transmission of multichannel SCM carriers. Fig. 3 shows CTB improvement under the optimum bias voltage condition for 42 channel AM–VSB carrier transmission as a function of AM band frequency. The inset illustrates the predistortion circuit examined here. We constructed the circuit using a push-pull operated diode circuit [23]. The predistortion circuit yields a 9 to 12 dB improvement in CTB which was held to under \(-60 \) dBc over the entire AM band. The measured back-to-back CSO results were less than \(-60 \) dBc regardless of the predistortion circuit used.

The electric predistortion linearization circuit shown in Fig. 3 was designed to compensate the third-order distortion, CTB, caused by the nonlinearity of the modulator [21]–[23]. The original SCM signal is split and one part is directly input to the modulator through a delay line. The other part is used to generate CTB. The CTB signal is input to the modulator so as to cancel the distortion generated by the modulator and this minimizes the CTB of the transmitter. From Fig. 3 it is shown that our predistortion technique is adaptable to multichannel SCM signal transmission.

\[
\text{IMD3} = \left[ -\frac{\alpha^2(V_b/V_0)^{2\alpha-2} + 3\alpha(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{\alpha-2} - (a-1)(a-2)(V_b/V_0)^{2-\alpha}}{8V_0^2} \right]^2 (m_0V_b)^2. \tag{4}
\]
modulator. Assuming that the $\alpha$-parameter is independent of $m$, the phase $\phi$ of the light output by the EA modulator is related to the $\alpha$-parameter as [17]

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{\alpha}{2P_{\text{opt}}} \frac{dP_{\text{opt}}}{dt}.$$  \hfill (7)

We estimated the frequency chirping of the modulator from FM efficiency. FM efficiency $\beta$ is defined as frequency chirping per intensity modulation depth of each carrier. Usually $\beta$ is expressed as frequency chirping per injection current. In this paper we evaluate the frequency chirping of a current-driven DFB laser and voltage-driven external modulators. Therefore, we express FM efficiency as the frequency chirping per modulation depth, $\beta$ (Hz/%) is defined as

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot 100m} \frac{d\phi}{dt}_{\text{0-peak}}.$$  \hfill (8)

Note $\beta$ is usually expressed as 0-peak value. We use (1) as $P_{\text{opt}}$ in (7). Substituting (7), (1) and (2) into (8) yields

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha \omega}{400\pi} \left( \frac{m_{0}}{m} \right) \left( \frac{V_{b}}{V_{0}} \right)^{a} \left[ 1 + m_{0} \cos(\omega t) \right]^{b-1} \sin(\omega t) \left|_{\text{0-peak}} \right.$$  \hfill (9)

In the case of composite modulation, the total electrical and total optical modulation depths [42], [43] are applied to (9) instead of $m_{0}$ and $m$, respectively. If $m_{0} \ll 1$ and $m_{0} \approx m$, (9) reduces to

$$\beta \approx \frac{\alpha \omega}{400\pi} \left( \frac{V_{b}}{V_{0}} \right)^{a} \sin(\omega t) \left|_{\text{0-peak}} = \frac{\alpha \omega}{400\pi} \left( \frac{V_{b}}{V_{0}} \right)^{a}. \right.$$  \hfill (10)

We estimated the $\alpha$-parameter of the modulator by measuring its relative sideband strength [28], [30], [31]. Fig. 4 shows the experimental results and calculations [28], [30] for two different modulators as a function of $m_{0}$. From this figure the value of the $\alpha$-parameter is estimated to be about 0.6. Using $\alpha = 0.6$ we estimated the frequency chirping of the MQW–EA modulator according to (9). Total electrical modulation depth ($M_{0}$) and total optical modulation depth ($M$) are given as follows:

$$M_{0} = \sqrt{N m_{0}^{2}/2} \hfill (11)$$
$$M = \sqrt{N m^{2}/2} \hfill (12)$$

where $N$ is channel number and $N = 42$ in our experiment. The values of $V_{0}, V_{b}, m_{0}$ and $m$ were the same as recorded in the transmission experiment described in Section IV: $V_{0} = 0.85$ V, $V_{b} = 0.63$ V and $m_{0} = m = 0.04$. For $a = 2$–4, the value of $\beta$ is calculated to be 1.5–1.6 MHz/% at the modulation frequency of 421.25 MHz ($\omega = 2\pi \cdot 421.25$ (Mrad/s)) which is the carrier frequency of the forty-second channel. It is found that the value of $\beta$ for the modulator is quite small compared to usual DFB lasers which have values of several dozens of MHz/%.

Dispersion-induced distortion, CSO, at frequency $f_{d}$ is expressed using $\beta$ as

$$\text{CSO} \approx (200\pi)^{2} C m^{2} (f_{d} DL \beta)^{2}/c^{2}.$$  \hfill (13)

$C$, $D$, and $L$ are the composite number, fiber dispersion, and fiber length, respectively. $\lambda$ and $c$ indicate the wavelength and the speed of light in a vacuum, respectively. The derivation of (13) is shown in Appendix B.

IV. A 42-CHANNEL AM–VSB SIGNAL TRANSMISSION EXPERIMENT

A. Experimental Set-Up

We conducted an AM–SCM transmission experiment to examine the feasibility of our predistortion technique and the validity of our theoretical estimation of the frequency...
chirping of the modulator. Furthermore CNR dependence on transmission length was evaluated both experimentally and theoretically. Fig. 5 shows the experimental setup for the 42-channel AM–VSB transmission experiment. An Er-YAG laser was used as the optical source and its wavelength and linewidth were 1.555 μm and 20 kHz, respectively.

In order to increase the threshold optical power of stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) [44], the optical power was distributed over a number of optical subcarrier by single-tone phase-modulation. The modulation depth and frequency of the LiNbO3 waveguide phase modulator were 0.85 and 1 GHz, respectively. As a result the threshold optical power increased up to 10 dBm for 100 km-long transmission, which is higher by as much as 3 dB than the power of no phase modulation condition.

The optical modulation depth of the AM–VSB carriers ranging from 91.25 MHz to 421.25 MHz was adjusted to 4% per channel. The carrier allocation was based on the frequency arrangement of Japanese CATV systems. The measured RIN’s of the light input to the transmitter EDFA and the output light were −156 and −147 dB/Hz, respectively. We examined AM–VSB signal transmission using two cascaded EDFA’s. The power of two EDFA’s output to 1.3 μm zero-dispersion SMF (nondispersion-shifted fiber, NDSF) was 10 dBm. A launch power of 10 dBm allows us to neglect the CSO degradation by self-phase modulation [45]. Different transmission distances were used in the distortion measurements (200 km) and CNR measurements (100 km). To accurately estimate the value of the modulator’s frequency chirping, a 200 km-long transmission experiment was conducted.

B. Results and Discussions

Measured CSO and CTB are shown in Fig. 6 as a function of transmission length. It is found from the figure that the MQW–EA modulator transmitter has a range of 200 km if both CSO and CTB ≤ −57 dBc. Directly modulating a conventional DFB laser yields a much shorter transmission range. Measured CSO with a LiNbO3 modulator is also plotted in the figure. It is found that the LiNbO3 modulator’s frequency chirping is of the same degree as that of the MQW–EA modulator.

Solid lines in Fig. 6 are the CSO degradation calculated by (13). Calculation parameters are shown in Table II. The calculated lines demonstrate that the MQW–EA modulator’s frequency chirping is as small as 1.4 MHz/%. This value is in good agreement with the theoretical value of 1.5–1.6 MHz which confirms that the frequency chirping of the modulator is as small as 1.4 MHz/%. The measured CNR dependence on transmission length is shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). CNR’s of 45.2 dB for channel 1 [Fig. 7(a)] and 43.8 dB for channel 42 [Fig. 7(b)] were measured after 100 km transmission. CNR deteriorates due to the RIN degradation caused by the noise figures (NF’s) of the cascaded EDFA’s [3], [5], Rayleigh backscattered power [5], [46], [47] and the optical phase noise [48]. Rayleigh backscatter is generated by the combined action of the laser light and the fiber refractive index inhomogeneities along the transmission path. Backscattered light causes the signal-double backscatter noise that happens when the backscattered light is scattered again by the fiber refractive index inhomogeneities toward the receiver [5]. The optical phase noise of an optical transmitter deteriorates the RIN of the transmission system by
the FM–AM noise conversion in the dispersive single-mode fiber.

If we assume $RIN_0$ as the RIN of the modulator output light and $RIN_1$ as the RIN caused by the influence of the noise figures (NF’s) of cascaded EDFA’s and Rayleigh backscattered noise, CNR is expressed as follows:

$$\text{CNR} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha P_{\text{rec}}}{P_{\text{out}}} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here, $\tau$, $P_{\text{rec}}$, $J_3$, indicate O/E conversion efficiency, received optical power, and thermal noise current of the receiver amplifier, respectively. $B$ denotes bandwidth. We consider a transmission system with a transmitter amplifier (EDFA$_0$) and $n_r$ repeater amplifiers (EDFA$_1$, EDFA$_2$, ..., EDFA$_{n_r}$) under random polarization. If it is assumed that $L$ and $L_n$ are the transmission length and the interval between the $n$th repeater amplifier and the $(n+1)$th repeater amplifier or the receiver,

respectively, after the $n$th amplifier ($0 \leq n \leq n_r$) $RIN_1$ at frequency $f$ is approximately given by \cite{3}, \cite{5}, \cite{47}

$$RIN_1 \approx \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{2\Delta f \cdot NF_k}{P_{\text{in}}} + \frac{2}{\pi \Delta f \Delta f^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{S_{\text{cor}}}{2\Delta f} \right)^2} \times \left[ e^{-2\alpha L} - (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-2\alpha L_k} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Note if $n = 0$, the terms, $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{-2\alpha L_k}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_k$ equal zero. $\Delta f$ and $\Delta f$ denote the unmodulated linewidth of the laser light and the energy of the light, respectively. $P_{\text{in}}$ and $NF_k$ are the input power to the $k$th EDFA and the noise figure of the $k$th EDFA, respectively. $S_{\text{cor}}$, $\alpha$, and $\alpha'$ are the fraction of scattering captured by the fiber, the proportion of the signal scattered per unit length, and fiber loss per unit length, respectively \cite{5}, \cite{46}, \cite{47}. In (15), we ignore the reflection backscatter effect caused by the multiple reflection of backscattered light in the fiber.

The solid lines in Fig. 7(a) and (b) show calculated CNR deterioration using (14) and (15) assuming the same calculation parameters with measurement which are shown in Table III. The discrepancy between calculated and measured values in Fig. 7(b) is considered to be caused by the optical phase noise. The influence of the optical phase noise is serious at high channel number \cite{48}, and our results reflect the fact. The influence of the optical phase noise should be reduced by narrowing the linewidth of the MQW–EA modulator input light which was broadened to up to 37 MHz (the linewidth of the center subcarrier) by the chirping of the phase modulator in our experiments.

The dashed lines in Fig. 7(a) and (b) were calculated assuming that the optical power input to the transmitter EDFA is 5 dBm by means of (14) and (15); this means that the effect of phase noise is not considered. The figures show that the transmitter EDFA input power of 5 dBm achieves a CNR of 49 dB entire AM band after 50 km transmission,
which is quite a feasible transmission distance for subscriber networks. Considering video quality, in 1990 the Electronic and Industries Association of Japan (EIAJ) evaluated the relation of CNR value to mean opinion score (MOS) as scaled by the International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR). The EIAJ measured CNR value of 49 dB corresponds to a MOS of 4.5 which is graded as the mean of “Excellent” (MOS of five) and “Good” (MOS of four). The EDFA input power of 5 dBm can be achieved by reducing the insertion loss of the modulator, or using a high power optical source or an integrated DFB laser/modulator transmitter. The insertion loss of 8 dB has been reported and further improvement is possible by shortening the waveguide length or increasing the coupling efficiency by using optical fibers. The output of the integrated light source can be increased by improving the efficiency of the MQW structure of the DFB laser section.

V. CONCLUSION

The feasibility of the MQW–EA modulator for SCM transmission was examined. It was shown that the nonlinearity of the modulator is well canceled by the proposed third-order predistortion linearization technique. From a 42-channel AM–VSB signal transmission experiment, the frequency chirping of the modulator was determined to be as small as 1.4 MHz/% and the feasibility of the modulator in reducing dispersion-induced distortion was clarified. We verified the degree of dispersion-induced distortion of the MQW–EA modulator is as small as that of the LiNbO3 modulator by means of the AM–SCM signal transmission experiment. The CNR degradation was caused by the RIN degradation due to Rayleigh backscattered power, cascaded EDFA’s and the optical phase noise. Increasing the input optical power to the transmitter EDFA, that is the optical power output from the MQW–EA modulator, is essential in increasing the CNR.

APPENDIX A

DERIVATION OF (3) TO (6)

The relation of a modulator input signal \( c_{\text{in}} \) and the output signal \( c_{\text{out}} \) is

\[
 c_{\text{out}} = K_1 c_{\text{in}} + K_2 c_{\text{in}}^2 + K_3 c_{\text{in}}^3 + \cdots \tag{A1}
\]

where \( K_1, K_2, \) and \( K_3 \) are the linear (first-order) coefficient, the second-order distortion coefficient, and the third-order distortion coefficient, respectively. In the case that two RF signals have modulation angular frequencies of \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) and amplitudes of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \), respectively. \( c_{\text{in}} \) is given by

\[
 c_{\text{in}} = A_1 \cos(\omega_1 t) + A_2 \cos(\omega_2 t). \tag{A2}
\]

The substitution of (A2) into (A1) yields second-order intermodulation distortion coefficient (IMC2) and third-order intermodulation coefficient (IMC3) as follows:

\[
 \text{IMC2} = K_2 A_1 A_2 \cos((\omega_1 \pm \omega_2) t) \tag{A3}
\]

\[
 \text{IMC3} = (3/4) K_3 A_1^2 A_2^2 \cos((2\omega_1 \pm \omega_2) t), \tag{A4}
\]

Here, we ignore the contribution of more than third-order terms. We assume \( A_1 = A_2 = A \). IMD2 and IMD3 are given by

\[
 \text{IMD2} = \left( \frac{\text{IMC2}_{\text{peak}}}{K_1 A} \right)^2 \tag{A5}
\]

\[
 \text{IMD3} = \left( \frac{\text{IMC3}_{\text{peak}}}{K_1 A} \right)^2. \tag{A6}
\]

Equation (1) can be developed around the bias voltage \( V_b \) as shown in (A7) at the bottom of the page. The value of \( A \) in our experiment is \( \eta_0 V_b \) and the value is constant regardless of the change of \( V_b \) (see Section II). From (A7) \( K_1, K_2, \) and \( K_3 \) are determined as

\[
 K_1 = -\frac{a(V_b/V_0)^{a-1}}{V_0} P_0 \exp[-(V_b/V_0)^a] \tag{A8}
\]

\[
 K_2 = \frac{a^2 (V_b/V_0)^{2a-2} - a(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{a-2}}{2V_0^2} P_0 \times \exp[-(V_b/V_0)^a] \tag{A9}
\]

and (A10) shown at the bottom of the page. IMD2 and IMD3 are then expressed using (A5) and (A6) as follows:

\[
 \text{IMD2} = \left[ \frac{[a^2 (V_b/V_0)^{2a-2} - a(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{a-2}]/2V_0^2}{-a(V_b/V_0)^{a-1}/V_0} (\eta_0 V_b) \right]^2 \tag{A11}
\]

and (A12) shown at the top of the next page.

\[
 P_{\text{opt}} = P_0 \exp[-(V_b/V_0)^a] \times \left[ 1 - \frac{(V_b/V_0)^{a-1}}{V_0} (V - V_b) + \frac{a^2 (V_b/V_0)^{2a-2} - a(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{a-2}}{2V_0^2} (V - V_b)^2 \right.
\]

\[
 + \frac{a^3 (V_b/V_0)^{3a-3} + 3a^2(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{2a-3} - a(a-1)(a-2)(V_b/V_0)^{a-3}}{6V_0^3} \times (V - V_b)^3 + \cdots \right]. \tag{A7}
\]

\[
 K_3 = \frac{-a^3 (V_b/V_0)^{3a-3} + 3a^2(a-1)(V_b/V_0)^{2a-3} - a(a-1)(a-2)(V_b/V_0)^{a-3}}{6V_0^3} \times P_0 \exp[-(V_b/V_0)^a]. \tag{A10}
\]
In (A11), equating IMD2 = 0 gives the bias voltage \( V_{\text{bias}} \) of the inflection point.

\[
V_{\text{IMD}2=0} = \left( \frac{a-1}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} V_0. \tag{A13}
\]

Substituting (A13) to (1) yields the optical output at the inflection point.

\[
P_{\text{opt}} = e^{-\frac{\alpha L}{a}} P_0 \tag{A14}
\]

**APPENDIX B**

**DERIVATION OF (13)**

B.

CSO degradation due to the combined action of frequency chirping and fiber dispersion is given by [7]

\[
CSO = Cm^2 \bar{p}^2 (dp/dI)^2 \frac{A^2 + (2\pi f_c)^2 E^2}{G^2 + (2\pi f_c)^2 H^2} \tag{A15}
\]

where \( \bar{p}, dp/dI \) are averaged output power of the modulator, and optical slope efficiency, respectively. \( f_c \) and \( f_d \) indicate the carrier and distortion frequency, respectively. The coefficients \( A, E, G, \) and \( H \) are given as

\[
A = \alpha_a \frac{1}{2} \bar{p} \frac{dp}{dI}^2 \tag{A16}
\]

\[
E = \alpha_a DL \frac{dp}{dI} \frac{\chi^2}{c} \tag{A17}
\]

\[
G = \alpha_a \frac{dp}{dI} \tag{A18}
\]

\[
H = \bar{p} DL \frac{dp}{dI} \frac{\chi^2}{c} \tag{A19}
\]

\( \alpha_a, dp/dI, \) and \( \bar{p} dp/dI^2 \) are system attenuation coefficient, frequency chirping, and optical superlinearity of the modulator, respectively. In our experiment, \( A \approx 0 \) because the modulator is operated near its inflection point to minimize second-order nonlinearity (see Section II). Furthermore, the value of \( \alpha_a \) is about 0.2 even in the case of 200 km-long transmission because of cascaded EDFA’s (see Section IV).

If we assume linear optical output near the bias point, \( dv/dI \) and \( dp/dI \) can be written using \( \beta, V_b \) and the impedance \( Z \) of the device including the drive circuit as

\[
\frac{dv}{dI} = \frac{100\beta}{(V_b/Z)} \tag{A20}
\]

\[
\frac{dp}{dI} = \frac{\bar{p}}{(V_b/Z)}. \tag{A21}
\]

Using (A20) and (A21) and assuming \( A = 0 \), we can rewrite (A15) as

\[
CSO = \frac{Cm^2 \bar{p}^2 (f_d f_c)^2}{1 + \alpha_a^2 (200\pi f_c DL \beta/c)^2} \approx (200\pi)^2 Cm^2 (f_d DL \beta/c)^2. \tag{A22}
\]
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