

Lecture 5: Competitive Equilibrium in the Growth Model

ECO 503: Macroeconomic Theory I

Benjamin Moll

Princeton University

Fall 2014

Competitive Eqm in the Growth Model

- Recall two issues we are interested in regarding resource allocation problems
 - ① efficient allocations
 - ② decentralized equilibrium allocations
- So far did (1). Now consider (2).
- Focus on particular decentralized equilibrium concept: **competitive equilibrium**
 - see Lecture 1
 - benchmark notion of decentralized eqm, but not only one

Competitive Eqm in the Growth Model

- Static economies: one way to formulate CE
- Dynamic economies: **three** ways to formulate CE
 - ① “Arrow-Debreu CE” (ADCE)
 - ② “Sequence of Markets CE” (SOMCE)
 - ③ “Recursive CE” (RCE)
- Outcomes same for all three. Just different representations.
- Begin with ADCE
 - extension of static CE
 - but defining commodities as pairs of goods \times time

Preliminaries

- Detail to consider in economy with capital: who owns capital?
 - households who then rent it to firms?
 - firms who own capital who are in turn owned by households?
 - reality: see some of each
- Turns out this is of no substantive importance in this setting
 - lecture: assume capital owned by HH and rented to firms
 - homework: other extreme
- Also assume single “stand-in” firm
 - homework: show that this is harmless
- We also go back to discrete-time formulation

ADCE

- **Definition:** An ADCE for the growth model are sequences $\{c_t^h, h_t^h, k_t^h, k_t^f, h_t^f, p_t, w_t, R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ s.t.

- ① (HH max) Taking $\{p_t, w_t, R_t\}$ as given, $\{c_t^h, h_t^h, k_t^h\}$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\{c_t^h, h_t^h, k_t^h\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t^h + k_{t+1}^h - (1 - \delta)k_t^h) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (R_t k_t + w_t h_t) \\ & c_t^h \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq h_t^h \leq 1, \quad k_{t+1}^h \geq 0, \quad k_0^h = \bar{k}_0 \end{aligned}$$

- ② (Firm max) Taking $\{p_t, w_t, R_t\}$ as given, $\{k_t^f, h_t^f\}$ solves

$$\max_{\{k_t^f, h_t^f\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (p_t F(k_t^f, h_t^f) - w_t h_t^f - R_t k_t^f) \quad k_t^f \geq 0, \quad h_t^f \geq 0.$$

- ③ (Market clearing) For each t :

$$k_t^h = k_t^f, \quad h_t^h = h_t^f, \quad c_t^h + k_{t+1}^h - (1 - \delta)k_t^h = F(k_t^f, h_t^f)$$

Comments

- Single budget constraint for HH
- Prices take care of discounting implicitly
- Everything happens at $t = 0$

Simplifying

- An ADCE for the growth model are sequences

$$\{c_t, h_t, k_t, p_t, w_t, R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{ s.t.}$$

- (HH max) Taking $\{p_t, w_t, R_t\}$ as given, $\{c_t, h_t, k_t\}$ solves

$$\max_{\{c_t, h_t, k_t\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (R_t k_t + w_t h_t)$$

$$c_t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq h_t \leq 1, \quad k_{t+1} \geq 0, \quad k_0 = \bar{k}_0$$

- (Firm max) Taking $\{p_t, w_t, R_t\}$ as given, $\{k_t, h_t\}$ solves

$$\max_{\{k_t, h_t\}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (p_t F(k_t, h_t) - w_t h_t - R_t k_t) \quad k_t \geq 0, \quad h_t \geq 0.$$

- (Market clearing) For each t :

$$c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t = F(k_t, h_t)$$

(k and h markets clear implicitly)

Characterizing ADCE

- First Welfare Theorem applies, so could simply use fact that ADCE allocation = planner's allocation
- But will later consider environments with various distortions (taxes, monopoly power, financial frictions) in which this fails
- \Rightarrow want to know how to solve for ADCE even when First Welfare Theorem fails. Consider such method now.
- General idea:
 - for each piece of ADCE: max problem \Rightarrow necessary conditions
 - + market clearing

Characterizing ADCE

- Necessary conditions for **consumer problem** ($h_t = 1$ wlog)

$$c_t : \quad \beta^t u'(c_t) = \lambda p_t, \quad \lambda = \text{multiplier on b.c.} \quad (1)$$

$$k_{t+1} : \quad \lambda p_t + \lambda[-p_{t+1}(1 - \delta) - R_{t+1}] = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (R_t k_t + w_t) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{TVC} : \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \beta^T u'(c_T) k_{T+1} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{initial} : \quad k_0 = \bar{k}_0 \quad (5)$$

- Necessary conditions for **firm problem**

$$p_t F_k(k_t, h_t) = R_t \quad (6)$$

$$p_t F_h(k_t, h_t) = w_t \quad (7)$$

- Market clearing**

$$c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t = F(k_t, h_t) \quad (8)$$

Characterizing ADCE: TVC?

- As before, can think of TVC (4) as coming from finite horizon problem

$$\max_{\{c_t, h_t, k_t\}} \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t u(c_t) \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^T p_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^T (R_t k_t + w_t h_t), \quad k_{t+1} \geq 0$$

- Denote multipliers by λ, μ_t , necessary conditions at $t = T$ are

$$\beta^T u'(c_T) = \lambda p_T$$

$$\lambda p_T = \mu_T \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta^T u'(c_T) k_{T+1} = 0$$

$$\mu_T k_{T+1} = 0$$

Characterizing ADCE

- Use (1) at t and $t + 1$

$$\frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} \quad (9)$$

- From (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} &= \frac{R_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + 1 - \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})} &= \frac{R_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + 1 - \delta \end{aligned}$$

- From (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R_t}{p_t} &= F_k(k_t, 1) = f'(k_t) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})} &= f'(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Characterizing ADCE

- Recall

$$\frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})} = f'(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta \quad (10)$$

- (10) + TVC (4) + initial condition (5) + market clearing (8)
= same set of equations as for SP problem
- Hence: ADCE allocation is the same for the SP problem
- How get prices?
 - can always normalize one price to unity: wlog set $p_0 = 1$
 - get R_0, w_0 from (6) and (7) at $t = 0$
 - get p_1 from (9) given c_0, c_1, p_0
 - get R_1, w_1 from (6) and (7) at $t = 1$ given p_1
 - ...

Aside: Walras' Law

- Q: Why didn't we use budget constraint (3)?
- A: because it is implied by the other equations, in particular firm's problem + market clearing (8) \Rightarrow (3)
- Firm's problem and market clearing are

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (p_t F(k_t, h_t) - w_t h_t - R_t k_t) = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t = F(k_t, h_t) \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (11) gives (3):

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} [p_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) - w_t h_t - R_t k_t] = 0$$

- This is “Walras' Law” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Walras'_law
 - very general: all budget constraints \Rightarrow resource constraint
 - useful check when writing models: if Walras' Law doesn't hold, you did something wrong (e.g. forgot term in mkt clearing)

Steady State ADCE

- **Definition:** A St.st. ADCE is a value of k^* and an ADCE for the economy with $\bar{k}_0 = k^*$ s.t. $k_t = k^*$ (and $c_t = c^*$) for all t .

- Clearly from (9)

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = f'(k^*) + 1 - \delta$$

- $\Rightarrow k^*$ same as in SP problem
- Question: what do you think prices look like in a st.st. ADCE?
 - $p_t = \text{constant?}$
 - $R_t = \text{constant?}$
 - $w_t = \text{constant?}$

Steady State ADCE

- Let's work it out
- Have

$$\frac{u'(c^*)}{\beta u'(c^*)} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} \Rightarrow \frac{p_{t+1}}{p_t} = \beta$$

- normalizing $p_0 = 1$

$$p_t = \beta^t$$

- Also

$$\frac{R_t}{p_t} = F_k(k^*, 1), \quad \frac{w_t}{p_t} = F_h(k^*, 1)$$

- Summary:

- $R_t/p_t, w_t/p_t$ constant
- R_t, w_t, p_t decreasing at rate β
- So prices are not constant in st.st. ADCE
 - prices implicitly reflect discounting of future values
 - price of future output is lower
 - return to future work is lower

Alternative Pricing Convention

- Denote factor prices relative to output price in each period
- Write budget constraint as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (c_t + k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t (\tilde{R}_t k_t + \tilde{w}_t h_t)$$

- This formulation is useful for thinking about real rates of return and interest rates in ADCE
 - no explicit credit market in ADCE
 - but can infer implicit real interest rate on one-period ahead borrowing and lending

Alternative Pricing Convention

- Denote real interest rate by r_t
- Definition: $1 + r_{t+1}$ = amount of consumption you can get tomorrow by giving up unit of consumption today
 - giving up one unit today saves p_t
 - with this you buy p_t/p_{t+1} tomorrow

$$\Rightarrow 1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$$

- In steady state, $1 + r_t = 1/\beta$
- Real rate of return on capital: from HH max. w.r.t. k_{t+1}

$$p_t = p_{t+1}\tilde{R}_{t+1} + p_{t+1}(1 - \delta)$$

- buy 1 unit of k today, get $p_{t+1}\tilde{R}_{t+1} + p_{t+1}(1 - \delta)$ tomorrow
- must equal cost of doing so p_t

$$1 + r_{t+1} = \tilde{R}_{t+1} + 1 - \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tilde{R}_t = r_t + \delta$$

- Terminology: rental rate \tilde{R}_t = “user cost of capital” $r_t + \delta$