Index

Aaronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co., 46	patents' impact on commercialization of, 8,55
Agency for International Development (AID), use and	see also DNA
regulation of genetically altered animals by, 16, 109	Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI)—PTO, 41
Agreements, international	Ex parte Allen decision by, 93
concerning biological inventions, 21, 155-159	utility patent ruling by, 11, 31-32, 74-75, 79
see also individual agreements	Bolar Pharmaceutical Co., 58-59
Agricultural Research Service (ARS)USDA, use and	Boyer, Herbert, 56
regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 106	Brave New World, 31
Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection. See Northern	Brazil, patent law in, 164
Regional Research Laboratory	Breeding
Agriculture	European laws concerning plant, 159
impacts of plant protection on U. S., 84-87	gene insertion techniques use in future, 13,97-98
proprietary protection variation among crops used in, 79,82-	PPA encouragement of, 9-10,71-72,85
84	PVPA encouragement of. 10-11,72-73,85-87
transgenic animal patenting's effects on, 136-137	British Patent Act of 1977, 161
see also individual crop types	Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit
Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration	of Microorganisms for the Purpose of Patent Procedure, 18,
(ADAM HA), use and regulation of genetically engineered-	141, 142, 144, 147, 158-159
animals by. 105	Bulgaria, intellectual property law in, 163
American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal	Burger, Warren, 49, 125
Care, 105	Burroughs-Wellcome (PLC)U. K., 55
American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex, 93	Burroughs Wencome (120) C. III, 25
American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), 141-142, 147, 149,	California, 83
150 Animal and Diant Health Impraction Compies (ADIJIS)	beef cattle production in, 117
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)	milk production in, 116
(USDA), use and regulation of genetically engineered	California, University of (San Francisco), 30,56
animals by, 107 Animals	Canada, patent law in, 164-165
history of patenting, 32	Canadian Patent Act, 164
intellectual property protection's economic impacts on four	Canadian Patent Office, 164
classes of, 17, 119-123	Cattle
see also Cattle; Livestock; Poultry; Transgenic animals	beef, 117
Animal Welfare Act of 1985, 103, 104, 107, 133	dairy, 116-117
Annas, George, 91	see also Livestock
Applications, patent	Cetus, stock offering by, 55
requirements for, 40-41	Chakrabarty, Ananda, 31,52
time required for PTO examination of, 9, 60	Charles River Biotechnical Services, 121
Argentina, patent law in, 164	Chickens. See Poultry
Aristotle. 99	Chile, intellectual property law in, 164
Arizona. beef cattle production in, 117	Cohen, Stanley N., 56
Armstrong Nurseries, Inc. v. Smith et. al., 72	Cohen-Boyer patent, 30,56
Asgrow Seed Co. v. Kunkle Seed Co., Inc. et. al., 75	Commercialization, patents' impact on biotechnology, 8,55
Asilomar Conference, 30	Commission of the European Communities, 161, 162
Australia, intellectual property law in, 162	Congress, U.S.
Australian Patent Office, 162	issues and options for, 22-26
D E 22	patent policies adopted by, 30,32,55
Barnes, F., 23	subject matter patentability intended by, 5, 37, 39
Baylor College of Medicine (Texas), 23 Beef. See Cattle; Livestock	Contracting. See Licensing
Belgium, national patent law of, 161	Cooperative State Research Service (CSRS)—USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 106-
Biotechnology	107
definition of, 3	Coordinated Framework for Regulation of Biotechnology,
intellectual property protection strategies in industry	103
involving plant, 84	Copyright Office, U. S., subject matter policy of, 43
patent activity in emerging areas of, 55-56	Copyrights, basic concepts and workings of, 5,43-44
patent litigation related to, 8-9.56-60	Corcoran, Elizabeth, 27

```
Corn, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry for
                                                                     du Pont de Nemours & Co., E. I., 12,32, 121
     hybrid, 82
Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, U.S. (CCPA), deposit
     decision by, 143
                                                                       of animal patenting on the Third World, 135-136
Courts. See Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, U. S.; Liti-
                                                                       of biotechnology-related R&D, 55
     gation; Supreme Court, U.S.
                                                                       of farmer's exemption prohibition, 86
Culture depositories. See International Depositary Authorities
                                                                       of on-farm agricultural seed and plant use, 78
Czechoslovakia, intellectual property protection in, 163
                                                                       of private plant breeding, 9, 11,71,73, 85-87
                                                                       of royalty collection from animal patents, 121-122
Darwin, Charles, 98, 100
                                                                       of seed industries, 82, 84
Data collection
                                                                       of transgenic animal patenting on consumers, 115, 123
  on Federal regulation of genetically altered animals, 16, 102-
                                                                       of transgenic animal patenting on inventors, 16-17, 119-120,
                                                                          123, 115
  on post-Chakrabarty biotechnology-related patent activity,
                                                                       of transgenic animal patenting on users and
                                                                          producers (licensees), 115, 120-122, 123
  on seed and plant industries', universities', and nurseries'
                                                                       of transgenic animal research, 94-95
     opinions concerning intellectual property protection, 11,
                                                                     Endangered Species Act, 103, 110
     78,80-81
                                                                     Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. (EPA), use and
Delta & Pine Land Co. v. Peoples Gin Co., 75
                                                                          regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 108
Department of Agriculture, U.S. (USDA), 24,70
                                                                     Ethics, involved in animal patenting, 17-18,30-31, 127-137
  deposit issues and, 147, 149
  egg pricing link to market reports from, 116
                                                                     Europe, 147
  farmer's exemption abuses, limitations and, 25,79
                                                                       animal patent applications in, 23, 156, 158
  pork production estimate by, 117
                                                                       intellectual property laws of counties in Eastern, 162-163
                                                                       patentable subject matter in, 22, 160 UPOV formation in, 10,73
   PVPA administration by, 7, 11,74
  soybean planting survey by, 79, 83
  use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16,
                                                                       see also European Common Market
                                                                     European Common Market (EC), 162
                                                                       European Patent Convention and, 158
Department of Commerce, U.S. (DOC), 147
Department of Defense, U.S. (DoD), 127
                                                                       see a/so Europe: individual member counties of
Department of Energy, U.S. (DOE), use and regulation of
                                                                    European Patent Convention (EPC), 21,23
     genetically engineered animals by, 110-111
                                                                       animal patenting opposition by members of, 135
Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. (DHHS), 147
                                                                       national patent laws in member countries of, 161-162
Deposit
                                                                       patentable subject matter in member countries, 160-161
                                                                       purpose and workings of, 158
  access to samples on, 148-149
  considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 82
                                                                       see also individual signatories of
  issues involving, 18-21, 142-147
                                                                     European Patent Office (EPO), 21,23, 148, 157, 158, 160
  maintenance, 147-148
                                                                    Ex parte Allen, 30,33,93
  methods for plant and animal, 149-150
                                                                     Ex parte Grayson, 93
                                                                     Ex parte Hibberd
  PPA and, 72
  PTO policy on, 18-20,26, 143-146, 148
                                                                       biotechnology R&D encouragement from ruling on, 85
Depositories. See International Depositary Authorities
                                                                       utility patent use ruling in, 11, 30, 33,74-75, 93
Design patents
  as type of subject matter patent, 38
                                                                     Farmers, PVPA exemption for, 11,25,73-74,79,83,86
  see also Patents; Subject matter
                                                                     Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), 58-59,103,108
Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 7-8,31,33,93
                                                                     Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA),
  biotechnology R&D encouragement from ruling in, 54,55,
     85-87
                                                                    Federal Meat Inspection Act, 107
  details of decision in, 51-54
                                                                    Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), national patent law of,
  ethical questions raised by, 130-137
                                                                          149, 161
  patent activity following, 8-9,55-56,60
                                                                    Feldman v. Aunstrup, 144
DÑA (Deoxyribonucleic acid)
                                                                    First, Neal, 23, 113
                                                                    Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS)—Department of the Interior,
  as copyright subject matter, 43-44
  development of technology for recombinant, 51
                                                                          use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by,
  Federal Government research involving recombinant, 16,
     102-110
                                                                    Food and Drug Administration, U.S. (FDA), 59
  NIH guidelines for research involving recombinant, 105
                                                                       Center for Veterinary Medicine, 107
  techniques for producing transgenic animals, 12-13,93-98
                                                                       effect on patent term of regulatory review by, 41
  see also Biotechnology
                                                                       patent infringement and drug approval-related testing
Duffey, William, 49
                                                                         required by, 58
```

use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 108 Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS)—USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 107-108	Intellectual property, 4-7,37,46-47 comparison of various forms of protection for, 11-12, 75-78 economic impacts on four classes of animals from protecting,
France, national patent law of, 161	17, 119-123
Funk Bros. Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co., 93	effect on U.S. agriculture of protecting plant, 84-87 history of plant protection-related, 9-12, 70-75
Genentech, Inc., stock offering by, 30,55 General Electric Co., 31, 52	international agreements concerning protection of, 21-22, 155-159
General Hospital Corp., The (Massachusetts), 23	plants and, 9-12,69,87-89
Genetically engineered animals. See Transgenic animals Genetic engineering. See Biotechnology	U.S. seed and plant industry criteria for choosing protection for, 11, 78-84
Georgia, "phony peach disease" in, 71	see also Copyrights; Patents; Trademarks; Trade secrets
German Democratic Republic (G.D.R.). patent law in, 163 Germplasm, impact of plant protection on exchange of, 25-26,	International Depositary Authorities (IDAs), 18, 20, 141-142, 144, 145-146, 149.158-159
87	impact of deposit of patented animals on, 20-21, 150
Godown, Richard, 113	role of, 19, 146-147
Government, U.S. Federal	International searching authority (ISA), 157
genetically altered animal regulation by, 16, 102-110 milk price supports, 17, 116	International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV)
patent rights for inventions made with assistance from, 43	breeders' rights protection through, 10,73, 165
post-Chakrabarty events and trends in patent policy of, 8-9, 54-55	workings and purpose of, 159, 161, 163, 164 Inventions Secrecy Act, 127-128
see also individual agencies of	In Vitro International, Inc. (IVI), 142, 149
Government Patent Policy Act of 1980,8,43,55	
Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 103, 105	Japan
Guidelines	biotechnology deposit and, 147
Patent and Trademark Office deposit, 18-20, 143-146, 148	patent law in, 163-164
for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules (NIH),	Japanese Patent Office, 22, 163, 164
103-104, 105, 109, 110	Jefferson, Thomas, 35,37,54
see also Policy; Regulation	V C CC 01
Haman Laurana Gar Ouranna	Karny, Geoff.91
Harvard mouse. See Oncomouse	Kewanee Oil v. Bicron Corp., 46
Harvard University, 12,23,30,32,98,99, 121	Kim Brothers v. Hagler, 72
Health Research Extension Act, 104	Kinsman, John, 113
History of intellectual property lays 4, 12, 27	Loony Act 104
of intellectual property law, 4-12, 37 of plant property protection, 9-12, 70-75	Lacey Act, 104 Lanham Act. See Trademark Act of 1946
of species integrity philosophy, 14, 98-102	Leder, Philip, 99
Hoffman-La Roche, 55	Legislation
Hungary, patent law in, 163	language changes in patent, 37
Huxley, Aldous, 31	worldwide national patent, 161, 165
110/10/9, 710/00/9, 01	see <i>also</i> individual statutes
Immunex (Washington State), 23	Licensing
Incentives, economic, for private plant breeding research, 9, 11,	considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 81-
71,73,85-87	82
Industry	impacts of animal patenting on users/producers, 120-122
choosing and managing plant protection by seed and plant,	Linnaeus, Carolus, 98
78-82	Litigation
features of dairy, 116-117	biotechnology-related patent infringement. 8-9,58-59
features of poultry, 115-116	considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 79-
features of red meat production, 117	81
intellectual property criteria considered by, 11, 78-84	post-Chakrabarty patent, 8-9,56-60
R&D expenditures by biotechnology, 55	see <i>also</i> individual legal cases
Infringement, patent	Livestock
definition of and remedy for,41	economic impacts of transgenic animal patenting on
litigation involving, 8-9, 58-59	production of, 17, 120, 121-122, 123
In re Lundak, 144	overview of major market sectors producing/using, 115-117
In re Wakefield, 93	see also Animals; Cattle; Poultry; Transgenic animals
Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers University (IMRU), 142	Locke, John, 130
Integrated Genetics, Inc. (Massachusetts), 23	Luminus PTY Ltd. (Australia), 23

Mexico, intellectual property protection in, 165 Microinjection, viability of gene insertion using, 13,95-97 Minnesota, milk production in, 116 Models, animals as disease, 119, 120, 123 Monitoring. See Regulation Morality. See Ethics	process protection available using (prior to 1980),51 protection of rights granted by, 8-9,41-43,58-59 term of, 5, 11,39,41,70,73, 148-149 see also Design patents; Plant patents; Process patents; Utility patents Penguin Books, 44
	People's Republic of China (P.R.C.), patent law in, 165
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), use	Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, 60
and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 110	Pharmaceutical Proteins Ltd. (U.K.), 23
National Council of Churches, 132, 134	Pharmaceuticals, transgenic animals used in production of, 17,
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 108, 110	
National Institutes of Health (NIH), use and regulation of	119-120, 121, 123
genetically engineered animals by, 16, 102-105	Plant Patent Act of 1930 (PPA)
National Science Foundation (NSF), use and regulation of	goals and strategy of, 9-10,25,30,31,33,71-72, 85
genetically engineered animals by, 16, 108-109	judicial interpretation of, 72
Netherlands, The, 148	plant patents authorization by, 69-70,73,75,76
New York State, milk production in, 116	Supreme Court's analysis of, in <i>Chakrabarty</i> ruling, 8,54
North Dakota, beef cattle production in, 117	Plant patents
Northern Regional Research Laboratory (NRRL)USDA, 141,	definition of, 69-70
142	protection available using PVPCs and utility patents v., 76-77
Novelty, as requirement for patent issuance, 39-40	as type of subject matter patent, 39
0111 1 6 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Plants
Oklahoma, beef cattle production in,l 17	definitions of asexually and sexually produced, 60
Oncomouse	history of intellectual property protection relating to, 9-12,
marketing of, 121	31-32,70-75
patenting of, 12,23,30,32,98,99	methods for depositing, 149
Origin of Species, The, 100	Plant Variety Protection Act of 1970 (PVPA), 24,30,31
Owens-Corning, 44	amendments to, 11,30, 80
Pun-American Plant CO. v. Matsui, 72	farmers exemption under, 11,25,73-74,79,83,86
Paris Union Convention	goals and strategy of, 10-11,25,72-74
description, workings, and purpose of, 155-157	judicial interpretation of, 75 research exemption under, 11, 73, 82
members of, 156	seed deposit required under, 20, 149
priority right of, 144, 156	Supreme Court's analysis of, in <i>Chakrabarty</i> ruling, 8,54
Parke Davis Co., 141	USDA administration of, 7, 11,74
Pasteur, Louis, 7,30,31,51, 142	Plant Variety Protection Certificates (PVPCs), 25
Patent Act of 1952, congressional intent expressed in Commit-	definition of, 70
tee Reports accompanying, 4-5, 37	exclusions to, 11, 73-74
Patent and Trademark Amendments. See Government Patent	protection available using plant patents and utility patents v.
Policy Act of 1980	76,77
Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. (PTO)	seed deposit required for issuance of, 20, 149
animal patenting policy of, 12,93	Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO)USDA, 70
biotechnology patents issued by, 3,29	Plato, 99
classes of utility patents used by, 39	Poland, intellectual property law in, 163
deposit guidelines, 18-20,26, 143-146, 148	Policy
enablement policy of, 26	copyright subject matter, 43
as international searching authority, 157	NÎH genetically altered animal, 103-104, 105
post-Chakrabarty patent activity by, 8-9,55-56,60	post-Chakrabarty events and trends in Federal patent, 8-9,54-
use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 102	55
Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), 157	transgenic animal patenting and environmental, 136
Patents	Poultry
arguments against issuance of transgenic animal, 130-137	economic impacts of transgenic animal patenting on produc-
arguments for issuance of transgenic animal, 127-130	tion of, 17, 120, 121-122, 123
barriers to issuance of, 37-40	sector overview, 115-116
basic concepts, workings, and requirements of, 4-5,37-43	Poultry Products Inspection Act, 103, 107
definition of, 31	Prather, R. S., 23
economic impacts of transgenic animal, 16-17, 115, 117-123	Process patents
effect on biotechnology commercialization of, 8,55	protection (prior to 1980) available using, 51
history of plant, animal, cell, and micro-organism,31 -32	see also Patents
method for obtaining, 40-41	Public Health Service Act, 108

Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Technology Transfer Act of 1986,8,55, 105 Laboratory Animals, 104, 133 Texas, beef cattle production in, 117 Tomatoes, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry Quigg, Donald J., 93, 125 for, 83-84 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 104, 108 Regulation Trademark Act of 1946,5,44 of genetically altered animals by Federal Government agen-Trademarks, basic concepts and workings of, 5,44-46 cies, 16, 102-110 Trade secrets, 25 see also Guidelines as alternative to patent use, 17, 118-119, 120, 123.129 Republic, 99 basic concepts and workings of, 7,46 Research plant protection available using plant patents, utility patents, Chakrabarty decision's impact on biotechnology-related, 8, and PVPCs v., 77-78 Transgene (F.R.G.), 23 exemption to PVPA, 11, 73, 82 Transgenic Animal Patent Reform Act (HR 4970), 24,30,32, Federal Government recombinant DNA, 16, 102-110 122 gene insertion techniques used in transgenic animal produc-Transgenic animals tion, 13, 92-98 arguments against patenting, 18, 130-137 plant protection methods use influence on seed and plant, 84arguments for patenting, 18, 127-130 economic impacts from patenting, 16-17, 115.117-123 PPA encouragement of, 9-10,71-72, 85 impact on species integrity from, 13-14, 98-102 PVPA encouragement of, 11, 72-73, 85-87 relationship of germplasm exchange, patent protection, and, methods and impacts of depositing, 20-21, 150 royalty collection from patents on, 121-122 Rich, Judge, 52 techniques for producing, 12-13,92-98 Rifkin, Jeremy, 125 see also Animals; Cattle; Livestock; Poultry Rob], J. M., 23 Treaties. See Agreements, international Roche Products Inc., 58-59 Romania, patent protection in, 163 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (U. S. S. R.) deposit access in, 148 Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation v. Genentech, 59 intellectual property law in, 163 Sculley, John, 91 United Kingdom (U.K.), national patent law of, 161-162 South Dakota, beef cattle production in, 117 United Nations (U.N.), 155 Soybeans, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry United States (U.S.). See Government, U.S. Federal for, 82-83 U.S. Government Principles for the Care and Use of Vertebrate-Species Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training, 104 impacts of transgenic animals on integrity of, 13-14, 98-102 Utility, as requirement for patent issuance, 39 right to exist, 15, 101-102 Utility patents, 25 Standards. See Guidelines; Regulations definition of, 70 Stanford University (California), 30,56 plant protection using, 11,74-75 Stewart, Timothy A., 99 protection available using plant patents and PVPCs v., 76-77 Subject matter three classes of, 39 categories for copyright protection, 43 see also Patents jurisdiction and patentability, 37-39 obviousness and patentability, 40 Virus-Serum Toxin Act, 104 Subject matter patents. See Design patents; Plant patents; Utility patents Wisconsin, milk production in, 116 Supreme Court, U, S., 11,74 World Council of Churches, 131 biotechnology ruling by, 8-9,31,51-54 World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), 142, 144, subject matter patentability limited by, 37, 39, 130-131 155, 157 test for determining obviousness expressed by, 40 trade secret law decisions by, 46 Yoder Brothers Inc. v. California-Florida Plant Corp. et al., 72 Swiss Federal Intellectual Property Office, 21, 160, 161 Yugoslavia, patent protection in, 163 Switzerland, national patent law of, 161