## Index

Administrative appeals, 5,65-66,83,95-99, 105 Advisory committees, 20, 80 Allegheny National Forest, 168 Allowable timber sale quantity (ASQ), 18,97, 127-128, 131, 132, 133, 146 Alternative dispute resolution (ADR), 92-94,95,98 Annual reports for national forests, 12, 22, 115 *Report of the Forest Service, 25, 172, 174* RPA, 9,40, 143, 179, 183, 184 Appropriations forest plans and, 12,23,52,86, 169, 182-183 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ permanent, 154, 155-156, 159 resource-oriented, 8, 12, 23, 24-25, 86, 158, 182-183 Arapaho-Roosevelt National Forest, 121

Banzhaf, William, 163 Barrett, Frank, 37 **Beaverhead National Forest, 154** Best Management Practices (BMPs), 70, 116 **Biological diversity** data inadequacies, 6, 123 legal requirements, 6, 115, 119-120, 123 in NFMA planning, 120-121 Biological modeling, 117-118 **Biologists**, 165, 175 Bitterroot controversy, 17,40,54,79,82,87 Bitterroot National Forest, 40,79, 112, 114, 115, 116, 120 Belle Report, 40,42,82,85,86 **Boundary Waters Canoe Area, 39** Budget differing assumptions, 8, 12, 13,23,25, 151-152, 158, 182 effect on planning decisions, 12,23-24 end-results budgeting, 8, 153-154 forest plans and, 8, 12-13,23-27,52,53,86, 151-156, 173-174, 182-183 off-budget funding, 154-156 policy options, 12-13,23-27, 183 reprogramming, 153 resource orientation, 8, 12, 23, 24-25, 53, 86, 169, 182-183 special accounts and trust funds, 8, 12, 13, 23-24, 25-26, 154-156, 182-183 Bumpers, Dale, 144 Bureau of Land Management (BLM), 13,26-27,98, 100, 150, 155. 170

Carter, Jimmy, 78 Chattahoochee/Oconee National Forest, 92 Chequamegon National Forest, 116, 120, 122 Chugach National Forest, 66,92 Church Clearcutting Guidelines, 40 Cibola National Forest, 113, 122 Clarke-McNary Act of 1924,35 Clean Water Act, 70, 102 Clearcutting, 33,4041,79, 135 Cleveland, Grover, 34 Coconino National Forest, 112, 114, 115, 116, 120, 131 Community stability, 7-8, 103, 148-151, 158 Computer models. See Technologies for planning County payments, 7-8, 12, 13,23,26-27, 151, 154, 156 Critical habitat, 71-72

Data collection. See Inventories; Monitoring Database management systems, 130 Dixie National Forest, 112, 115 Dominant use, 4,43-46

Economic analysis. See *also* Budget of economic impacts, 7-8, 148-151 efficiency, 143-147, 156-157 in endangered species determinations, 71 equity, 143, 147-148 legal requirements, 143 technologies for, 7, 130 Ecosystems approach constraint rather than goal in FORPLAN, 7, 135 inventory and monitoring, 10-11, 18-19,21,24-25, 110, 119, 122 MUSYA and, 10,4749 need for, 4,6,9, 10-11, 17-19,21,24-25,28,4749, 110, 119, 122 NEPA and, 10,62 NFMA and, 65 Eisenhower, Dwight D., 37 Eldorado National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 Endangered species. See specific species; Wildlife protection Endangered Species Act of 1973,33,70-72, 101 Engineers, 164-165 Environmental impacts, 61-62,78, 129-130 **Environmental Protection Agency, 70, 121** Fernow. Bernard. 163 Fish arid Wildlife Service, 33,46,71,72,98 Ford, Gerald, 80 Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974. See RPA

Forest managers. See *also* Human resources accountability, 65-66, 87-88, 173, 174-175, 176 constraints, 85-86 effects of bias favoring outputs, 11, 21, 172, 173 forest plan implementation, 52, 174 NFMA and, 65-66 Forest plans as a baseline for RPA planning, 9-10, 13-14,27-28, 180-184 development, 17-21,63-64, 173-174 implementation, 9,21-23,52, 173-174 purpose, 4, 179 Forest Service. See *also* Human resources

-203-

agency vs. employee values, 9, 169-171, 176 history and organization, 3, 35 public involvement efforts, 81-86,91 response to social change, 8, 169-170 Foresters, 163-164, 166-167, 175 FORPLAN. See *also* Linear programming criticisms of, 3, 7, 127, 136-137, 138 description, 7, 127, 132-133 development and selection, 7, 18, 131-132, 138 as an economic efficiency model, 7, 144-147, 156-157 future options, 11,20-21, 139 as a planning tool, 136-137, 138, 139 strengths and weaknesses, 7, 18, 20, 133-136, 138, 156-157 timber focus, 18, 117-118

General Accounting Office (GAO), 8,96,97,98, 153 Geographic information systems (GIS), 6, 11, 118, 129, 130, 138 Gila Wilderness, 38 Goals and objectives achieving, 49-53 government ownership rationale, 42-43 historical development, 34-42 setting, 50, 63 Government ownership rationale, 42-43 Grazing, 35-37,60

Hatfield, Mark, 77
Human resources diversity, 137, 167-169, 175-176 employee vs. agency values, 9, 169-171, 176 foresters, 163-164, 166-167, 175 interdisciplinary teams. See Interdisciplinary approach performance and rewards, 171-175, 176 professionalism, 84-85, 163-167 professionalism, 84-85, 163-167
Humphrey, Hubert, 39,40,41,79-80

Idaho Panhandle National Forest, 113, 114, 116, 122 Impact assessment technologies, 129-130 IMPLAN, 7,20, 130, 138, 148, 150 Indicator concept, 121 Indicator species, 6, 121-123 Information base, 10-11, 18-19,51-52 **INFORMS**, 130-131 Input-output analysis, 7, 20, 130, 138, 148, 149 Integrated systems, 130-131 **Interagency cooperation, 102-103** Interdisciplinary approach, 8-9,22,40,55,62,63,80, 86, 109, 111,115,118,119,131, 133-135,138,143,152, 167-169, 176. 179 Inventories compliance with requirements, 114 funding and, 11, 111 integrated, multiresource, 6, 109, 110, 117 legal requirements, 111-112 objectives, 112 policy options, 18-19,51 problems with, 6, 10-11, 18-19,21,51, 110-111, 112-114,

117-118, 123 quantity and quality of data, 113 statistical requirements, 110-111 timeliness of data, 51, 113-114 use of. 109 Investment, 147 Jefferson National Forest, 92 Johnson, Norman, 134 Joint production, 4,42,4346 Judicial review, 5-6, 99-101 Klamath National Forest, 154 Knowledge-based systems, 130 Knutson-Vandenberg (K-V) Fund, 8,23-24,25, 26, 154, 155, 156. 159 Land and resource allocation technologies, 129 Legal framework. See also specific laws concerns about, 59-60, 72-73 Forest Service mission and authority, 60-66 legal constraints, 66-72 Legislative direction, 10, 18 Leisz, Douglas, 132 Leonard, George, 149 Linear programming, 6, 128-129, 131, 132, 137-138. See also FORPLAN Litigation, 3,5-6, 17,33,73,79,99-101, 105, 174 Local concerns, 103. See also National vs. local planning Lolo National Forest, 168 Management indicator species. See Indicator species McClure, James, 77 **Mission** '66, 37 Monitoring compliance, 115-116 funding, 111 indicator species, 122-123 integrated, multiresource, 6, 109, 110, 117 legal requirements, 115 levels of, 116-117 policy options, 11-12,21-23 problems with, 6, 11,21-23, 110-111, 115-117 public involvement, 12, 22-23 purpose of, 6,21, 109 statistical requirements, 110-111 Monongahela decision, 17,33,40,54,61, 79, 82 Monongahela National Forest, 40,79,92 Mt. Hood National Forest, 154 Multiple use, 4.35, 43-46 Multiple-Use Sustained-Yield Act of 1%0 (MUSYA), 3,4,5, 17, 18,35-38,41,43,46,61, 78, 101, 143 Multiple-Use Sustained Yield Calculator (MUSYC), 131 Nantahala/Pisgah National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (N EPA), 3,4,20, 78-79,97, 165 National Forest Management Act of 1976 (NFMA) criticisms of, 17, 33, 50, 77

economic analysis requirements, 143 forest plan requirements, 63-64 guidelines for timber management, 18,64-65, 127-128 inventory requirements, 111-112 legislative history, 3, 17,33,4042,63,79-80, 179 management discretion, 65-66 monitoring requirements, 115 national RPA planning and, 9-10, 13, 34, 41, 49, 63, 179-184 public involvement and, 3,65,77,79-81 regional office role in planning, 183 regulations implementing, 80-81 National Forest System creation, 34-35 trust fund concept, 5,48 National forests Allegheny National Forest, 168 Arapaho/Roosevelt National Forest, 121 **Beaverhead National Forest, 154** Bitterroot National Forest, 40, 79, 112, 114, 115, 116, 120 Chattahoochee/Oconee National Forest, 92 Chequamegon National Forest, 116, 120, 122 Chugach National Forest, 66,92 Cibola National Forest, 92, 113, 122 Coconino National Forest, 112, 114, 115, 116, 120, 131 Dixie National Forest, 112, 115 Eldorado National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 Idaho Panhandle National Forest, 113, 114, 116, 122 Jefferson National Forest, 92 Klamath National Forest, 154 Lolo National Forest, 168 Monongahela National Forest, 40,79,92 Mt. Hood National Forest, 154 Nantahala/Pisgah National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 Nebraska National Forest, 92 Nicolet National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 **Ochoco National Forest, 86 Ouachita National Forest, 112, 120 Rio Grande National Forest, 92** San Juan National Forest, 112, 113, 115, 116, 120 **Shoshone National Forest, 121** Siskiyou National Forest, 112, 113, 114, 115, 120 **Tongass National Forest, 66, 155** White Mountain National Forest, 80 Willamette National Forest, 154 National Park Service, 35, 37, 98, 166 National vs. local planning, 9-10, 13-14,27-29, 179-184 Nebraska National Forest, 92 Nicolet National Forest, 112, 115, 116, 120 Nixon, Richard, 78 Nonuse values. See Outputs and uses vs. nonuse values

0 & c Act of 1937,47 Ochoco National Forest, 86 Office of Management and Budget (OMB), 134, 144, 153 Old-growth forests, 5,17,33,44,51,53,100, 101,120,131,133, 135, 138. See also Timber resources management Organic Act of 1897,3,4,5,33,34-35, 40-42,60-61, 101, 148 Organizational factors, 8-9, 163-176 Ouachita National Forest, 120 Outputs and uses vs. nonuse values

bias favoring outputs, 4,6,9, 10, 17-18,28,4749, 163-164, 172-173 in FORPLAN, 7, 18, 135 MUSYA and, 18 NFMA and, 18 policy options, 10-11, 14, 17-21,28-29 setting values, 144, 145-146 Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT), 13,26-27 Performance rewards, 171-175 Pinchot, Gifford, 47,60, 163, 164 Policy options, 10-14, 17-30 Present net value (PNV), 130, 132, 144 Presidential Statement of Policy, 9,40, 179 Privatization of Federal lands, 44-45 Professionalism, 85, 163-167 **Public involvement** accountability and, 22, 87-88 cooperation and collaboration, 86-95 diversity and, 167 Forest Service efforts, 81-86,91 ineffectiveness, 4-5, 20, 81 legal requirements, 20,77-81, 104 means, 5 measuring effectiveness, 91-95 models of effectiveness, 88-91 in monitoring, 12, 22-23 NEPA and, 20,62,77,78-79 NFMA and, 3, 20, 65, 77, 79-81, 181 policy options, 11, 20 reasons for, 5, 50-51, 87-88 reasons for difficulties, 83-86, 104, 166-167 State, tribal, and local governments, 101-103 Reagan, Ronald, 81

Recreation, 9,35,36-37,46, 172 Red-cockaded woodpeckers, 17,33, 53,70 **Reforestation Trust Fund, 26, 154** Regional office role in RPA and NFMA planning, 183 Report of the Forest Service, 25, 172, 174 **Resource allocation technologies, 129** Resource Capability System (RCS), 131 **Resource scheduling technologies, 128-129** Resource simulation models, 6, 129-130 **Rio Grande National Forest**, 92 Road construction, 143, 157 Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE I), 40, 68 Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE II), 68-69, 114 Robertson, Dale, 59,72,82-83, 179, 182 **RPA** (Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974) legislative history, 3,3940,63, 179 policy options, 13-14,27-29 purpose, 3,39-40,49, 179 regional office role in planning, 183 relationship to NFMA planning, 9-10, 13, 34, 41, 49, 63, 179-184 RPA Assessment, 9, 143, 179, 181, 184 RPA Program, 9,34,49, 143, 152, 173, 179, 180, 181-182, 183, 184

San Juan National Forest, 112, 113, 115, 116, 120 Scientific conservation paradigm, 163-164, 165-166 **Shoshone National Forest**, 121 Siskiyou National Forest, 112, 113, 114, 115, 120 Society of American Foresters (SAF), 163, 164 Special accounts and trust funds, 8, 12, 13,23-24,25-26, 154-156, 182-183 Spotted owl, 5, 17, 18-19,33,51,53,70, 100, 101, 102 State, tribal, and local government involvement, 101-103, 105-106 State responsibilities, 101-102 Strategic planning principles, 4,34,49-53,54-55 Sustained yield, 4,4749, 149 Sustained Yield Forest Management Act, 47 Targets, 9-10, 11, 13, 14, 19,27,28-29, 172-173, 179-180, 182 **TEAMS**, 130-131 Technologies for planning. See also FORPLAN policy options, 11, 20-21 relevant planning decisions, 127-128 types, 6-7, 128-131 Ticknor, William, 164, 166 **Timber Assessment Market Model**, 146 Timber Resource Allocation Model (Timber RAM), 131, 132 **Timber resources management** administrative appeals, 96,97-98 clearcutting, 33, 40-41, 79, 135 community stability and, 7-8, 148-151 county payments, 7-8, 12, 13, 23, 26-27, 151, 154, 156 emphasis on, 10-11, 12, 17-18, 23, 35, 110, 117, 163-164,

170, 172, 184 litigation, 33,4041, 100 NFMA guidelines, 18,64-65, 127-128 policy options, 10-11, 17-21 receipts, 151, 154, 158 'rich' and 'poor' forests, 154 technologies for, 6, 18, 117-118 trends, 35-37 Timber Sale Program Information Reporting System (TSPIRS), 25 Timber Salvage Sale Fund, 8,24,25,26, 154 **Tongass National Forest, 66, 155** Trust fund concept of National Forest System, 5,48,55 User fees, 60 Wallinger, Scott, 164 Watershed protection, 18,22,35,46,60,70, 153, 172 Weeks Law, 35 White Mountain National Forest, 80 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,67-70, 102 Wilderness Act, 38-39,66-67, 101-102 Wilderness areas, 37,68-69, 133, 146, 154, 172

Wildlife protection, 18, 22,46, 60, 70-72. See also Biological diversity; Inventories; Monitoring; specific species Willamette National Forest, 154

Winding Stair Mountain National Recreation and Wilderness Area Act, 120-121