Index

Absolute position, 35	CALL. See Center for Army Lessons Learned
"Accidental self-destruction," 8	Callaham, Lt. Commander, 20
Accidents, fratricide due to, 9-10	Casualty report forms, 8
Active systems, 39-40. See <i>also</i> Cooperative question-and-	Casualty surveys. See Combat casualty surveys
answer systems; Noncooperative question-and-answer	Causes of fratricide
systems Air targets, avoiding fratricide of, 49-66	findings, 3
Aircraft identification, history, 54-55	historical review, 7-28
Allied operations, 4, 29	Center for Army Lessons Learned (CALL), 19, 25-26
encryption keys and, 44	CID. See Combat Identification
Amicicide, 8	Civil airborne transponders, 62-63
Antifratricide systems	Mode S systems, 63-65
criteria, 45-46	Civil-military coordination, 62-66
cross-Service coordination, 6	Classification, attack sequence step, 32
doctrine. 47	Combat casualty surveys, 1,21-23
emergence, 2	data, 23
short-term and Iong-term goals, 5-6	Combat Identification (CID), 33
tactical environment awareness vs. IFF, 46	Command and control failures, 10-15, 28
training and simulation, 46-47	Commercial carriers, Mode S system and, 64
Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC)	Communication
antifratricide measures, 46	in battle management, 49-50
fratricide definition, 9	in tactical environment, 34, 71, 79
ARSA-4 system, 65	Communication failures, 28
Attack sequence, 32-33	Commuter carriers, Mode S system and, 64
Avoiding fratricide. See <i>also</i> Antifratricide systems	Congressional issues, 5-6
in air, 49-66	Contests of less than national survival, considerations, 1,
general considerations, 29-48	31
on ground, 69-79	Cooperative question-and-answer systems
at sea, 66-67	advantages and disadvantages, 42
AWACS, 50	aircraft identification and, 56-57
11/11/20, 00	limitations, 59-62
Battle management, 33,49-50	passive cooperative IFF measures, 59
Beacons, 73-75	passive measures, 59
Black boxes, 46	queries, 75
Bradley, Gen. Omar, 13, 15	replies, 75-76
Bryan, C. D. B., 8	Coordination failures, 28
Budd Lights, 73-75,77,78	Cross-Service coordination, 4,6
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

82 | Who Goes There: Friend or Foe?

DARPA Lights, 73-75,78	prevalence of fratricide, 20-22
Decision to attack, attack sequence step, 33	Summary, 26-28
Definition of fratricide, 9	tactical constraints due to fear of fratricide, 18-20,30
Denial, 43,61	training center data, 24-26
Detection, attack sequence step, 32	types of fratricide, 9-18
Direct system of information, 39	Hooker, Gen. Joseph, 17
Dismounted infantry IFF programs, 76-77	Hopkins, Dr. James, 21
Doctrine, 33,47, 79	HRR. See High-resolution radars
Doppler radar, 59,77	Human error, accidents due to, 10
Dye-loaded shells, ordnance identification, 21	
	Identification
Eisenhower, Gen. Dwight D., 14	command and control failures and, 11-12
Electromagnetic spectrum, 40,41	findings, 4
Encryption. See Information security	improved, 30
Engagement ranges, 30,37	Identification failures
Equipment design, 10	examples, 17-18, 37
Europe, Mode S system and, 63	types, 35-36
Examples	Identification of Friend and Foe (IFF), 33
accidents, 9-10	advantages and disadvantages of systems, 42-43
Civil War, 17-18	exchange of location information, 72
command and control failures, 10-15	exploitation, spoofing, and denial, 60-61
fear of fratricide effects, 19-20	frequency allocation and, 66
fire discipline failures, 15-16	information security, 43-45
identification failures, 17-18	and knowledge of tactical environment, 34-35
navigation failures, 16-17	Next Generation IFF, 59-62
World War II, 12-15	range and, 38
Explicit rules of engagement, 37-38	rules of engagement, 35-38
Exploitation, spoofing, and denial, 43,60-61	sources of information, 39-40
	system jamming, 61
FAA. See Federal Aviation Agency	Identification systems, 67-68,72-73
Fatalities. See Losses	IFF. See Identification of Friend and Foe
Fear of fratricide, tactical constraints due to, 18-20, 30	Implicit rules of engagement, 38
Federal Aviation Agency (FAA), joint military ATC	Indirect fire weapons, 22
operations, 65-66	Indirect system of information, 39
FEZs. See Fighter Engagement Zones	Infantry, 75-76
Fighter Engagement Zones (FEZs), 53	Information security, 43-45
Findings, 2-5	frequency bands and, 62
Fire discipline failures, 15-16,28	Mode 4, 57-59
Force ratio, 30	Mode S and peacetime intelligence loss, 65
Fratricide Incident Report, 46	question-and-answer systems, 75-76
Free-fire zones, 12	Interrogators and transponders
Frequency allocation, 65-66	civil airborne transponders, 62-65
Frequency trends, 30-31	Mark X protocol, 56-57
Friendly Fire, 8	Mark XII protocol, 56-62,66-67
Ft. Bliss, Texas, testing, 78	Mark XV protocol, 56-62
	TACIT, 60
General aviation, Mode S system and, 64	
General Officers Steering Committee, 6	Jackson, Gen. Thomas "Stonewall," 17-18
Global position receivers, 78	JADO. See Joint Air Defense Operations
Goto, Adm., 19	Jamming, IFF and, 61-62
Ground targets, avoiding fratricide of, 69-79	Jet engine modulation, 51
Guderian, Gen., 15	JEZs. See Joint Engagement Zones
	Joint Air Defense Operations (JADO), 53,56
High-resolution radars (HRR), 51-53	Joint Engagement Zones (JEZs), 53,56
Historical review of causes	Jungle fighting, 16-17
combat casualty surveys, 1, 21-23	
general considerations, 7-9	Knowledge of tactical environment, 33,34-35,46-47,70-72,
Persian Gulf War, 7-8,26-28	79. See also Communication; Navigation

L-band system, 56,62 Land targets, avoiding fratricide of, 69-79 Lessons learned. See Center for Army Lessons Learned Location information exchange, 72 Long-range weapons, 22 IFF and, 38 Losses	Passive systems, 39-40. See also Cooperative question-and answer systems; Noncooperative systems Patriot missile, 53,56 Persian Gulf War equipment, 70 fratricide during, 1,2,7-8,26-28,69 friendly fire incidents, 1991, 27
comparison of numbers, 31 fraction of deaths due to fratricide, 1,2, 31	Positive identification, 38 Prevalence of fratricide, 20-22 Psychological effects, 1-2, 31-32
Malfunctions, 9-10	Pyle, Ernie, 13
Mark X protocol, 56-57	D 1 5150
Mark XII protocol, 56-59	Radar, 51-53
limitations, 59-62 onboard ships, 66-67	Radio-emission intercept, 50-51
Mark XV protocol, 56-59	Record keeping, 8 Pamotaly, Monitored, Battlefield, Sansor, System, (PEM)
improvements, 59-62	Remotely Monitored Battlefield Sensor System (REM-BASS), 78
McNair, Lt. Gen. Leslie, 14	Resource allocation, 5
Medical records, 21	Rules of engagement, 5, 33, 35-38
Mercer, Lt. Col. George, 7,8	Rules of engagement, 3, 33, 35-36
MEZs. See Missile Engagement Zones	S-band system, 62
Mid-air collision prevention, 65	Sa'adah, Dr. David, 21, 22
MILES. See Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System "Misadventure," 8	SARTIS. See Shipboard Advanced Radar Target Identifica- tion System
Misidentification, 18, 28	Schrader, Lt. Col. Charles, 8,22
Missile Engagement Zones (MEZs), 53	Scott, Adm., 19
Mitchell, Gen. William "Billy," 11	Sea targets, avoiding fratricide of, 66-67
Mock combat. See Training and simulation	Selective Identification Feature (SIF), 57
Mode S systems, 63-65	Sensor networks, 71
Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System (MILES), 24-25	Sensor systems, 77-78 Severity, measuring, 31-32 Shiphord Advanced Roder Torget Identification System
National Training Center (NTC), 9,24-26	Shipboard Advanced Radar Target Identification System (SARTIS), 56
simulations, 46	Ships, identification, 66-67
Navigation, in tactical environment, 34-35,70-71,79	Short-range weapons, IFF and, 38
Navigation failures, 16-17,28	Short-term versus long term goals, 5-6
Next Generation IFF (NGIFF), 59-62	SIF. See Selective Identification Feature
No-fire zones, 12	Simulations. See Training and simulation
Noncooperative systems	Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio System
advantages and disadvantages, 42-43, 44	(SINCGARS), 71
future of, 50	Situational awareness. See Knowledge of tactical environ-
ground targets and, 77-78	ment
radar, 51-53	Small Lightweight Global Positioning Receivers (SLGRs),
radioemission intercept, 50-51	78
surface-to-air missiles, 53, 56 NTC. See National Training Center	Sources of identification information. See Identification of Friend and Foe; Knowledge of tactical environment
Observer/terrest anarray transmission results 20.40 See also	Spoofing, 43,60
Observer/target energy transmission routes, 39-40. See <i>also</i> Cooperative question-and-answer systems; Noncooperative	Submarines, identification, 66-67 Surface-to-air missiles, noncooperative IFF and, 53,56
question-and-answer systems	TACIT See Time Authenticated Cryptographic
Occurrence patterns, 8-28	Interrogator/Transponder
Operation COBRA, 12-14	Tactical constraints due to fear of fratricide, 18-20
Operation Desert Storm. See Persian Gulf War	Tactical environment. See Knowledge of tactical environ-
Operation QUEEN, 14	ment
Operation TOTALIZE, 14-15	TCAS. See Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System
Opposing forces, mock combat, 24-26	Technical developments
Ordnance identification, 21, 30-31	beacons, 73-75

84 Who Goes There: Friend or Foe?

findings, 4
infantry identification, 77
information security, 43-44
resource allocation for, 5
review, 78
weapon and ordnance improvements, 30-31
Tempo of battle, 30
Thermal Identification Device (TID), 73-75,78
Time Authenticated Cryptographic Interrogator/Transponder (TACIT), 60
TRADOC. See Army Training and Doctrine Command
Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS), 65-66
Training and simulation, 4-5
antifratricide systems, 46-47

NTC mock combat, 24-26 review, 79 Transponders. See Interrogators and transponders Types of fratricide, 9-18 Washington, Col. George, 7,8 WDMET. See Wound Data and Munitions Effectiveness Weapons

aim and ordnance delivery, 33 misidentification due to similarities between, 18 range, 30, 38 types causing injury, 22

Wound Data and Munitions Effectiveness (WDMET), 22