# Appendix D Acknowledgments D

OTA thanks the members of the advisory panel, workshop participants, contractors, and the many individuals and organizations that supplied information for this report. In addition, OTA acknowledges the following individuals for their review of drafts for OTA's study of the Human Genome Project and patenting DNA sequences:

Reid G. Adler Morrison and Foerster Washington, DC

George J. Annas Boston University Schools of Medicine and Public Health Boston, MA

Michael J. Astrue Biogen Cambridge, MA

Ludwig Baeumer World Intellectual Property Organization Geneva, Switzerland

Hollie L. Baker Hale and Dorr Washington, DC

Usha R. Balakrishnan University of Iowa Research Foundation Iowa City, IA Donald R. Baldwin University of Washington Seattle, WA

Zbigniew Bankowski World Health Organization Geneva, Switzerland

Hugo A. Barrera Saldana Facultad de Medicina, U.A.N.L. Monterrey, Mexico

David Beier Genentech Washington, DC

Stephen A. Bent Foley and Lardner Washington, DC

Paul Berg Stanford University Medical Center Stanford, CA

#### 114 | Federal Technology Transfer and the Human Genome Project

Richard E. Bizley Hepworth, Lawrence, Bryer and Bizley Harlow, United Kingdom

Joyce Brinton Harvard University Cambridge, MA

Baruch A. Brody Baylor College of Medicine Houston, TX

Paula Bruening Office of Technology Assessment Washington, DC

Douglas D. Busch The Salk Institute for Biological Studies San Diego, CA

C. Thomas Caskey Merck West Point, PA

Jean-Jacques Cassiman University of Leuven/Campus Gasthuisberg Leuven, Belgium

Aravinda Chakravarti Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, OH

Ellen Wright Clayton Vanderbilt University School of Law Nashville, TN

Michel Cohen-Solal Groupement de Recherches et d'Etudes sur les Genomes Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Diane W. Cox University of Toronto Toronto, Canada Susan E. Cullen Washington University St. Louis, MO

Michele D'Urso International Institute of Genetics and Biophysics Naples, Italy

Manette Dennis Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb and Soffen New York, NY

Hartmut Deyda Federal Ministry of Research and Technology Bonn, Germany

Walter Doerfler University of Cologne Köln, Germany

Daniel Drell U.S. Department of Energy Germantown, MD

Rebecca S. Dresser Case Western Reserve University School of Law Cleveland, OH

Roseanne Dutton University of Southern California Los Angeles, CA

William A. Eklund Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM

Gary B. Ellis Silver Spring, MD

Octavio Espinosa World Intellectual Property Organization Geneva, Switzerland

#### Appendix D Acknowledgments | 115

S.S. Fluss Geneva, Switzerland

Brenda D. Gavin S.R. One, Limited Wayne, PA

Keith I. Gibson Human Genome Mapping Project Resource Centre Harrow Middlesex, United Kingdom

David Givol National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, MD

Alan Goldhammer Biotechnology Industry Organization Washington, DC

Christian Gugerell European Patent Office Munich, Germany

Janis E. Haerer FONET Clearwater, FL

Albert P. Halluin Pennie and Edmonds Menlo Park, CA

Huw G. Hallybone Carpmaels and Ransford London, United Kingdom

Kathi E. Hanna Churchton, MD

William A. Haseltine Human Genome Sciences Rockville, MD Karen Hersey Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA

Marie Hirtle World Health Organization Geneva, Switzerland

Neil A. Holtzman The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions Baltimore, MD

Alfredo Ilardi World Intellectual Property Organization Geneva, Switzerland

Diane Isonaka Darwin Molecular Bothell, WA

Rollin B. Johnson Harvard University Cambridge, MA

Bartha Maria Knoppers University of Montreal Montreal, Canada

Katherine Ku Stanford University Palo Alto, CA

Jeffrey P. Kushan U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC

Betty Wolder Levin Brooklyn College, CUNY Brooklyn, NY

Darryl Macer University of Tsukuba Tsukuba, Japan

#### 116 | Federal Technology Transfer and the Human Genome Project

Tom Major University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT

Paul R. Martin Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, CA

James Martinell U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC

Kenichi Matsubara Osaka University Osaka, Japan

Victor A. McKusick The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD

Kate H. Murashige Morrison and Foerster Washington, DC

Sergio D.J. Pena Latin American Program for the Human Genome Belo Horizonte, Brazil

Alain Pompidou Scientific Counselor of the Prime Minister Paris, France

Alfred Poulos Women's and Children's Hospital North Adelaide, Australia

Issac Rabino Empire State College, SUNY New York, NY

Martin Rachmeler University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA Lisa Raines Genzyme Washington, DC

Sir Dai Rees Medical Research Council London, United Kingdom

Philip R. Reilly Shriver Center for Mental Retardation Waltham, MA

Lee W. Rivers National Technology Transfer Center Wheeling, WV

Marcia L. Rorke Mohawk Research Rockville, MD

Patricia Schreck SmithKline Beecham King of Prussia, PA

Randy Scott Incyte Palo Alto, CA

William K. Seltzer University of Colorado School of Medicine Denver, CO

Bennett M. Shapiro Merck Research Laboratories Rahway, NJ

David Shapiro The Nuffield Foundation London, United Kingdom

Jay M. Short Stratagene La Jolla, CA

# Appendix D Acknowledgments | 117

Alan E. Smith Genzyme Framingham, MA

James Sommercorn Oncogenetics Phoenix, AZ

Louis G. Tornatzky Southern Technology Council Research Triangle Park, NC

Albert Tramposch World Intellectual Property Organization Geneva, Switzerland

David Trickett Fairfax, VA

Charles E. Van Horn U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC

Dominique Vandergheynst Commission of the European Communities Brussels, Belgium

Gerald F. Vovis Collaborative Research Waltham, MA James A. Wachta Rackemann, Sawyer and Brewster Boston, MA

Charles F. Warren U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, DC

Thomas G. Wiggans Cytotherapeutics Providence, RI

Claude Wolff Embassy of France Washington, DC

Mariana Wolfner Cornell University Ithaca, NY

Michael S. Yesley Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM

Donald E. Yorde Medical College of Wisconsin Milwaukee, WI

#### WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

# Commercial Biotechnology and Patenting Human DNA Sequences January 7, 1994

Marilyn Hartig, *Workshop Chair* Bristol-Myers Squibb Princeton, NJ

David Beier Genentech Washington, DC

Robert M. Cook-Deegan National Academy of Sciences Washington, DC

David Galas Darwin Molecular Bothell, WA

Jay George Oncor Med Gaithersburg, MD

William Haseltine Human Genome Sciences Rockville, MD

Patricia Schreck SmithKline Beecham King of Prussia, PA Randy Scott Incyte Palo Alto, CA

Bennett Shapiro Merck Rahway, NJ

James Sommercorn Oncogenetics Phoenix, AZ

Timothy J. Gaul Bristol-Myers Squibb Princeton, NJ

Gerald F. Vovis Collaborative Research Waltham, MA

Gerald M. Walsh Biocryst Birmingham, AL

**Note:** OTA appreciates and is grateful for the valuable assistance provided by the workshop participants. The workshop participants do not, however, necessarily approve, disapprove, or endorse this report. OTA assumes full responsibility for the report and the accuracy of its contents.

# Index

# Α

Access to care, 76, 163-164 Access to information, 125-127, 130-132, 207-211 Access to records, 115 Administrative activities, 83 providers, 83-84 providers and payers, 84-87 Administrative simplification, 31-32, 80-83 Advanced Research Projects Agency, 170 Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 72, 73, 127, 129, 131, 154, 157, 188, 203 AHCPR. See Agency for Health Care Policy and Research AHSC. See American Hospital Supply Corp. AITN. See Arizona-International Telemedicine Network AMA. See American Medical Association American Hospital Supply Corp., 93 American Medical Association, 155, 156, 157 American Self-Help Clearinghouse, 25 American Society for Testing and Materials, 68, 138 American Telemedicine Association, 179, 187 Analytic Systems Automated Purchasing, 93 ANSI, 68 ANSI X12, 69 Arizona-International Telemedicine Network, 173 ARPA. See Advanced Research Projects Agency Artificial neural networks, 51-52 ASAP. See Analytic Systems Automated Purchasing ASTM. See American Society for Testing and Materials Australia, telemedicine, 176-177 Authentication, 116 Automated data collection, 46, 50-51

# В

Brigham and Women's Hospital, 46

#### С

Canada, telemedicine, 176 CancerNet. 131 Cards, 55, 99-100 CBA. See cost-benefit analysis CDC. See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CD-ROMs, 131 CEA. See cost-effectiveness analysis Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 73, 103 CHESS. See Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System CHI. See Consumer health informatics CHIN. See Community Health Information Network CHMIS. See Community Health Management Information System Claims inquiry, 87 Claims services, 91 Claims submission, 86 Client-server architecture, 66-67 Clinical decision support, 52-54, 124-142, 197-200 applications of, 197-200 effectiveness and safety, 138-140, 153-155 evaluation, 140-142 information content, 136-138 standards, 136-138, 155-158 technology development, 135-136 Clinical information systems, 35-38 Clinical literature, 126 Clinical practice guidelines, 127-129 Clinical practice improvement, 134 Clinical protocols, 127-129, 133-134 Clinical research, 126 Clinical Telemedicine Cooperative Group, 179, 181, 203Cochrane Collaboration, 131 Communications primer, 63-65

Community Health Information Network, 16, 63, 95-96, 108-109

#### 226 | Bringing Health Care Online: The Role of Information Technologies

**Community Health Management Information** System, 16, 95-96 Community networking, 26, 95-103 Community Services Network, 26 COMPASS, 172 Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System, 25, 174 ComputerLink, 25 Computer software, 67-68 Confidentiality, 114-118, 183 Congressional interest, 9 Connect System, 25, 175 Consumer health informatics, 24-26 Consumer information sources, 208 Continuous quality improvement, 134, 144 Coordination, 78 Cost containment, 11-13 Cost-benefit analysis, 12-13 Cost-effectiveness analysis, 12-13 Cost management, 5-6 Cost reduction, 16, 75 CPT-4, 155, 156, 157 CQI. See Continuous quality improvement

# D

Databases, 42 Data distillation, 49-54 Data security, 115-118, 183 Data sets, maximum, 120 Decision support system. See Clinical decision support Demand management system, 24, 65 DEMPAQ. See Developing and Evaluating Methods to Promote Ambulatory Care Quality Department of Commerce, 203-204 Department of Defense, 188, 189, 204 Department of Health and Human Services, 9, 121, 159, 183, 202-203 Department of Veterans Affairs, 179, 188, 190, 205 Developing and Evaluating Methods to Promote Ambulatory Care Quality, 145, 154 DHHS. See Department of Health and Human Services DICOM, 58 Direct data entry, 87 Dxplain, 139

#### Ε

East Carolina University School of Medicine, 170-171, 174 EDI. *See* Electronic data interchange EDI standards. *See* Standards EFT. *See* Electronic funds transfer Electronic commerce, 79, 82

Electronic data interchange, 81-83, 87-95, 103-122 cost savings, 104-109 system costs, 103 Electronic funds transfer, 92 Electronic health information sources, 207-211 Electronic house call, 168, 173-175 Electronic order entry, 93 Electronic publishing, 132 Electronic signatures, 114 Eligibility, 87 Encounter reports, 87 Encryption, 116 Enrollment, 87 Enterprise information systems, 35 Enterprise networks, 102-103 EOE. See electronic order entry Evidence-based medicine, 131 Expert systems, 52-54, 132-133

#### F

FDA. *See* Food and Drug Administration Fee-for-service plan, 81, 143 Financial institutions, 92-93 Food and Drug Administration, 67-68, 76, 141, 154 Forms, standardized, 93

#### G

General Accounting Office (GAO), 108 Grassroots computer networking, 26-27

# Η

Handwriting recognition, 46-47 Harvard Community Health Plan, 174 HCFA. See Health Care Financing Administration Health care expenditures, 5-6 Health Care Financing Administration, 13, 17, 19, 36, 87, 90, 104, 110, 112, 119, 121, 145, 154, 155, 157, 171, 177, 178, 188, 191, 202-203 Health care reform, 6 Healthcare Open Systems and Trials consortium, 72 Health Evaluation through Logical Processing System, 52-54, 133, 137 Health Informatics Standards Planning Panel, 72 Health information, life cycle, 34-42 Health information, regulatory environment for, 112-115.121 Health information sources, electronic, 207-211 Health maintenance organization, 8, 81 Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set, 145-147, 154 HEDIS. See Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set HELP system. See Health Evaluation through Logical Processing system

High-Performance Computing and Communications Program, 73, 179, 203

- HISPP. See Health Informatics Standards Planning Panel
- HL7 standard, 70, 84
- HMO. See Health Maintenance Organization

Hospital information systems, 37

- HOST. See Healthcare Open Systems and Trials consortium
- HPCC. See High-Performance Computing and Communications Program

#### L

ICD-9-CM standard, 70, 107, 156, 157 Identifiers, 111-112, 120-121 IITF. See Information Infrastructure Task Force Iliad. 139 Imaging systems, 41 compression, 56-58 techniques, 55 IMSystem, 146-149 Indian Health Service, 159 Industry fragmentation, 109-110 Information Infrastructure Task Force, 8, 25, 62, 160, 181, 188, 189, 201 Information needs, complexity of, 110 Information Network for Public Health Officials, 103 Information sources, electronic, 207-211 Information technologies, 29-78 adoption levels, 2, 3 challenges and opportunities, 2 compression, 54-58 data distillation, 49-54 data security, 60-62 display and retrieval, 58-60 distributed computing, 66-68 high-bandwidth communications, 62-66 object-oriented software, 67-68 storage, 54-58 INPHO. See Information Network for Public Health Officials Integrated delivery system, 84 Integration of health services, 6, 8 Internet, 63, 207-211 Islands of automation, 1, 30-34

#### J

Job roles. *See* Workforce effects Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO), 38, 146, 184

#### Κ

Kansas University Medical Center, 168-170 Knowledge-based systems, 52-54, 132-133

# L

LDS Hospital, 129, 133 Legacy systems, 35 Legislative activities, 9-10, 122, 160, 187, 188 Lewin-VHI, 104 Liability, physician, 184 Licensing, physician, 183-184 Little, Arthur D., Inc., 104

## М

Managed care, 6, 7, 81, 143 Mayo Clinic, 170 MDIS. *See* Medical Diagnostic Imaging Support Medicaid, 8, 87, 154, 155, 177 Medical College of Georgia, 166-168 Medical Diagnostic Imaging Support, 204 Medical informatics, 30 Medical Information Bus, 50-51 Medical logic modules, 137 Medicare, 8, 87, 112, 114, 121, 154, 155, 177 Medicare Transaction System, 110, 112 Meditel, 139 MEDLINE, 130 MIB. *See* Medical Information Bus MTS. *See* Medicare Transaction System

#### Ν

NACHA. See National Automated Clearing House Association NASA. See National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 73, 159, 170, 189, 204-205 National Automated Clearing House Association, 92 National Center for Health Services Research, 153. 154 National Committee for Quality Assurance, 145 National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics, 156, 157, 158 National Consortium for Telemedicine Evaluation. 179-180 National Council for Prescription Drug Programs, 69.76.95 National Information Infrastructure, 8, 103, 160, 181, 188, 201 National Information Infrastructure Testbed, 188, 189 National Institutes of Health, 73

#### 228 Bringing Health Care Online: The Role of Information Technologies

National Library of Medicine, 72, 131, 137, 153, 155, 157, 158, 159, 179, 188, 190, 203 National Networks of Libraries of Medicine, 130 National provider file, 112 National Provider Identifier, 112, 121 National Science Foundation, 73 National Telecommunications and Information Administration, 171, 203-204 National Uniform Billing Committee, 93 NCPDP. See National Council for Prescription Drug Programs NCQA. See National Committee for Quality Assurance Networks, 79-122 Neural networks, 51-52 NIH. See National Institutes of Health NII. See National Information Infrastructure NLM. See National Library of Medicine Norway, telemedicine, 176 NPI. See National Provider Identifier NSF. See National Science Foundation NUBC. See National Uniform Billing Committee

#### 0

Office of Rural Health Policy, 171, 172, 179, 188, 190, 202 OncoLink, 63, 131 Optical character recognition (OCR), 42, 46 Order entry, electronic, 93 Oregon ED-NET, 172 Organizational change, 3-4 ORHP. *See* Office of Rural Health Policy

# Ρ

PACS. See Picture Archiving and Communications Systems Patient data management systems, 58-60 Patient information, entering and retrieving, 129-132 Patient information, limitations, 124-125 Patient records computer-based, 41-42 contents, 39 paper-based, 38-41 storage, 41 weaknesses, 40-41 Patients, information for, 208 Pattern recognition, 49-51 PDQ, 131 Pen and quill laws, 4, 43 Performance assessment, 142-152 Performance Indicator Projects. See Report cards Personal digital assistants, 45 Pharmaceutical benefit managers, 76

Pharmaceutical industry EDI, 94-95 Picture Archiving and Communications Systems, 58, 173, 204 Portable computers, 45 Practice guidelines. See Clinical practice guidelines Practice management systems, 84 Prisons, telemedicine, 175 Privacy, 17, 114-118, 183 Privacy Act, 117, 118 Professional organizations and journals, 210 Protocols. See Clinical protocols Provider assessment. See Performance assessment Providers, access to information, 125-127 Public health networking, 103 Public Health Service, 145 Publication bias, 126

# Q

QMR, 139 Quality of care, 18-20, 123-158 Clinical decision support, 124-142 Performance assessment, 142-152

# R

REACH-TV, 171 Regenstrief Medical Record System, 36, 133 Regional networking, 95-103 Regulatory environment for health information, 112-115, 121 Reimbursement, telemedicine, 177-178, 191 Remittance advice, 86 Report cards, 144-150 RODEO NET, 171-172 Rural area networks, 181-182 Rural Utilities Service, 188, 203

# S

Scope of the study, 10-11 SCORPIO, 130 Security. See Data security Shared decision support, 24 SNOMED, 72 Software, 67-68 Space Technology Applied to Rural Papago Advanced Health Care, 159, 203, 204 Speech recognition, 46-47 Standards, 13-14, 17, 68-72, 77, 110-111, 136-138, 210 DICOM, 58 EDI, 88-89, 110-111, 119 Forms, 93 HL7, 70, 84 ICD-9-CM, 70, 107, 156, 157 Identifiers, 111-112, 120-121

Messaging, 42, 68-69, 70, 72, 136 of care, 126 STARPAHC. *See* Space Technology Applied to Rural Papago Advanced Health Care Structured data entry, 42 SUPPORT model, 139

# Т

Tablet computers, 45 Telecommunications and Information Infrastructure Assistance Program, 203-204 Telemedicine, 20-23, 159-191, 209 Access, 163-164 Applications, 165-177 Costs, 161-163, 180 Development costs/financing, 185 Evaluation, 178, 179 Executive branch activities, 201-207 Government role, 188-191 Home-based, 173-175 Human infrastructure, 186 IITF subgroup on, 160, 189, 190-191, 201 Legal/regulatory, 181, 183-184 Other countries, 176-177 Other sites, 175-176 Potential effects, 160-165 Quality of care, 164-165 Research, 178-179, 190 Technology and implementation, 185-186

Telecommunications infrastructure, 179-180 Teleconsultations, 166-172 Teleradiology and telepathology, 172-173 Telemedicine Information Exchange, 190, 203 Texas Telemedicine Project, 168 Tiber Group, 104 TIE. *See* Telemedicine Information Exchange TIIAP. *See* Telecommunications and Information Infrastructure Assistance Program Tri-Service Telemedicine Testbed Project, 204

#### U

Unified Medical Language System (UMLS), 72, 137, 155, 157 Urban telemedicine, 176 User interfaces, 99, 101

#### V

Value-added network (VAN), 83, 92

#### W

Wisconsin Health Information Network (WHIN), 63
Workforce effects, 4, 5
Workgroup for Electronic Data Interchange (WEDI), 93, 104, 116, 117
World Health Organization (WHO), 157
World Wide Web (WWW), 63, 207