Appendixes

Appendix A.-Summary Analysis Tables for Crop Farms

Table A-1 .—Comparison of Selected Farm Commodity and Income Tax Policy Scenarios on Representative Corn-Soybean Farms jn East Central Illinois

			А	Iternative	Scenari	os ⁼	_	
Criteria		II	III	lv	V	VI	VII	_ VII!
Moderate size (640 ;cres):					-			
Probability of survival ,	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000) .,	703,0	743.0	703.0	568.0	593.0	669.0	563.0	719,0
Ending farm size (acres)	902.0	904.0	902.0	824.0	837.0	907.0	834.0	893.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	23.2	29.9	23.2	10.2	11.8	19.1	11,1	19.0
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	11,6	9.8	11.6	0.7	0,7	8.6	0.0	11.7
Large size (982 acres):								
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100,0	100,0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	975.0	970.0	991.0	645.0	693.0	801,0	622.0	852.0
Ending farm size (acres)	1,374.0	1,364.0	1,388.0	1,139,0	1,180.0	1,355,0	1,134.0	1,217.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	24.3	22.9	26.4	14,3	5.2	8.0	1,1	24,9
Annual government payment (\$1,000), .	22.6	16.6	24.3	1,0	1,0	7.8	0.0	21.9
Very large size (1,630 acres):								
Probability of survival .,	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100,0	100,0	100,0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000).	1,267.0	1,348,0	1,266,0	991.0	1,033,0	1,056,0	1,036,0	1,044,0
Ending farm size (acres)	1,945.0	1,932.0	1,942.0	1,856.0	1,859.0	1,908.0	1,876,0	1,784.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	51.8	62.2	52.4	31.1	35.1	34.7	34.8	54.4
Annual government payment (\$1,000)		19.3	25.3	1.7	1.7	0,0	0.0	23.3

Table A.2.—Comparison of Selected Farm Commodity and Income Tax Policy Scenarios on Representative Irrigated Row Crop Farms in South Central Nebraska

			Al	ternative	Scenarios	3 ^a		
Criterl a	I	· II	111	lv ·	V	VI	" VII	VIII
Moderate size (672 acres):								
Probability of survival	100,0	100.0	100.0	92.0	100.0	100.0	90.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000).		736,0	670.0	260.0	476.0	670.0	264.0	628.0
Ending farm size (acres)	921.0	909.0	921.0	882.0	870.0	921.0	808.0	917.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000) .,	26.8 17.3	31.0	26.8 17.3	-9.8 1.0	10.6 1.0	26.8 17.3	- 11.4	26.8 17.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	17.3	14,5	17.3	1.0	1.0	17.3	0.0	17.7
Large size (920 acres): Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)					1.084.0		750.0	1,269.0
Ending farm size (acres)			1,257.0	1,242,0	,	,		1,234.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	58.4	60.9	57.4	0.1	35.7	37.4	-0.5	58.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	24.1	19.3	23.9	1,3	1.3	15.3	0.0	24.4
Very large size (2,085 acres):								
Probability of survival .,	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	2,259.0	2,374.0	2,407.0		1,863.0			2,072.0
Ending farm size (acres)								2,330.0
Annual net farm Income (\$1,000)		127.3	134.6	1.3	88.0	10,8	-0.1	112.8
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	35.9	31.5	49.6	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	35.9

*The Scenar)os are

^{1—}Continuation of the 1981 Farm BIII and 1983 Federal Income tax provisions

^{1—}Continuation of the 1981 Farm bin and 1905 Federal micronic tax provisions

II —A 20° c Acreage Reduc[lon (n 19861992

III — No Farm Program Payment Limitation in 1983-1992

IV— No Price Support and No Deficiency Payment in 1983-1992

V—No Target Price/Deficiency Payment in 1983.1992

VI —Target Farm Program Benefits to farms that produce less t~an \$300,000 in program crops

VII—NO Farm Program (n 1983.1992
VIII —Reduced Income Tax Benef(ts and the Base Farm Program

The Impact of Price Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 5 from Scenario 6

The Impact of Income Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 1
The Impact of Income Supports with a \$50,000 Payment Limitation can be found by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 4

Table A-3.—Comparison of Selected Farm Commodity and Income Tax Policy Scenarios on **Representative Southern Plains Wheat Farms**

	Alternative Scenarios ^a								
Criteria		II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	
Moderate size (1,280 acres):									
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	76.0	100.0	100.0	48.0	100.0	
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	803.0	1,032.0	811<0	283.0	426.0	761.0	189.0	710.0	
Ending farm size (acres)		1,955.0	1,901.0	1,565.0	1,648.0	1,910.0	1,478.0	1,757.0	
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	2.6	18.3	3.1	-33.6	-21.4	-0.9	-41 .6	-8.3	
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	30.9	31.5	31.6	2.5	2.5	27.7	0.0	29.4	
Large size (1,920 acres):									
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	90.0	96.0	32.0	100.0	
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	. 1,028.0	0 1,359.0	1,117.0	294.0	475,0	696.0	179.0	833.0	
Ending farm size (acres)	2,765.0	2,890.0	2,755.0	2,234.0	2,339.0	2,618.0	2,093.0	2,499.0	
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	9.0	28.5	17.3	-52.5	-34.9	- 17.6	-67.9	-21.8	
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	39.0	39.1	44.7	4.2	3.7	16.2	0.0	37.3	
Very large size (3,200 acres):									
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	92.0	100.0	
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	1,936.0	2,204.0	2,231.0	1,096.0	1,412.0	1,087.0	925.0	1,657.0	
Ending farm size (acres)	4,218.0	4,365.0	4,483.0	3,552.0	3,834.0	3,494.0	3,472.0	3,805.0	
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)		59.5	78.4	-7.8	15.6	- 13.6	- 25.1	28.1	
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	44.2	45.0	76.9	5.8	5.9	0.0	0.0	44.1	

Table A-4.—Comparison of Selected Farm Commodity and Income Tax Policy Scenarios on Representative General Crop Farms in the Delta of Mississippi

	Alternative Scenarios ^a							
Criteria	1	II	III	lv	V	VI	VII	VIII
Moderate size (1,443 acres):								
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)			1,881.0					1,533.0
Ending farm size (acres)	2,009.0	2,057.0	2,093.0	1,625.0	1,645.0	1,581.0	1,590.0	1,913.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)		92.6	64.6	- 14.2	-6.9	- 16.3	-17.6	29.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	48.2	45.2	75.4	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	47.9
Large size (3,119 acres):								
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	2,940.0	3,280.0	4,418.0	2,482.0	2,537.0	2,433.0	2,454.0	3,139.0
Ending farm size (acres)								3,135.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	38.3	65.1	147.9	-20.6	-8.2	-28.9	- 25.1	21.8
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	49.9	49.1	160.6	4.7	4.8	0.0	0.0	49.9
Very large size (6, 184 acres):								
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value ofending net worth (\$1,000)	5,45	0.0 6,117	7.0 7,728	.0 5,135.	0 5,175.0	4,964.0	5,079.0	5,902.0
Ending farm size (acres)	6,248.0	6,254.0	6,530.0	6,270.0	6,245.0	6,242.0	6,267.0	6,203.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	41.8	118.2	277.1	- 19.7	-0.6	- 42.9	-32.4	5.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	49.9	49.8	277.9	7.9	7.9	0.0	0.0	49.9

III-No Farm Program Payment Limitation in 1983.1992,

IV-NO Price Support and No Deficiency Payment in 1983.1992
V-No Target Price/Deficiency Payment in 1983.1992.
Vi-Target Farm Program Benefits to farms that produce less than \$300,000 In program crops.

VII–NO Farm Program In 1983-1992 VIII —Reduced Income Tax Benefits and the Base Farm Program

The Impact of Price Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 5 from Scenario 6.

The Impact of Income Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 1

The Impact of Income Supports with a \$50,000 Payment Limitation can be found by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 4

Table A-5.—Comparison of Selected Farm Commodity and Income Tax Policy Scenarios on Representative Texas Southern High Plains Cotton Farms

			Αl	ternative	Scenario	s ª		
Criteria	1	II	III	lv	٧	VI	VII	VIII
Moderate size (1,088 acres):								
Probability of survival		94.0	94.0	56.0	68.0	92.0	42.0	88.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000) .,	564.0	648.0	601.0	242.0	301.0	564.0	167.0	516.0
Ending farm size (acres)		558.0 1,63	5.0 1,648.	0 1,216,0	1,274.0	1,558.0	1,213.0	1,565.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)		13.3	11.9	-28.9	-21.7	8.2	-40.6	-6.0
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	26.0	22.2	29.5	1.3	1.1	25.9	0.0	25.8
Large size (3,383 acres):								
Probability of survival .,		94.0	94.0	72.0	82.0	86.0	62.0	88.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)					1,055.0	1,191.0	801.0	1,226.0
Ending farm size (acres)		89.0 4,455	5.0 4,577.	0 3,748.0	3,857.0	3,985,0	3,649.0	3,965.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)		53.6	83.3	- 14.8	3.6	12.9	-39.7	- 7.2
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	38.0	35.1	83.3	3.2	3.0	16.8	0.0	37.9
Very large size (5,570 acres):								
Probability of survival	94.0	96.0	98.0	92.0	96.0	88,0	78.0	94.0
Present value of ending net worth (\$1,000)	. 3,027	7.0 3,489,0	4,047.0	2,367.0	2,645.0	2,287.0	2,066.0	2,583.0
Ending farm size (acres) 6	5,002.0	6,047.0	6,514.0	5,781.0	5,848.0	5,727.0	5,736.0	5,746.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)		100,6	170.6	-3.2	31.0	- 13.9	-40.5	- 15.6
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	40.2	39.1	135.8	4.8	4.6	0.0	0.0	40,4

^{*}The Scenar!os are

I—Continuation of the 1981 Farm BIII and 1983 Federal income tax provisions

I—Continuation of the 1981 Farm BIII and 1983 Federal income tax provisions

II—A 20%0 Acreage Reduction in 1986.1992

III—No Farm Program Payment Limitation In 1983.1992

IV—NO Price Support and No Deficiency Payment in 1983.1992

V—No Target Price/Deficiency Payment In 1983.1992

VI —Target Farm Program Benefits to farms that produce less than \$300,000 in program crops

VII—NO Farm Program In 1983.1992

VII —Reduced Income Tax Benefits and the Base Farm Program

The Impact of Price Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 5 from Scenario 6

The Impact of Income Supports can be derived by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 1

The Impact of Income Supports with a \$50,000 Payment Limitation can be found by subtracting Scenario 6 from Scenario 6

Table A-6.—Comparison of Selected Financial Bailout Scenarios for Three Representative Corn-Soybean Farms in East Central Illinois^a

	Alternative 640	Scena -acre Fa			ive Scen 982-acre F			ive Scena ,630-acre f	
Criteria	lx	х	xl	lx	х	хI	lx	Х	хI
Probability of survival	80.0	72.0	84.0	88.0	80.0	90.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth									
(\$1,000)	. 271.0	291.0	299.0	579.0	588.0	654.0	822.0	872.0	831.0
Ending farm size (acres)	653.0	689.0	662.0	1,046.0	1,062.0	1,073.0	1,795.0	1,740.0	1,712.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	-0.9	-3.3	3.8	2.0	-3.5	7.8	30.6	27.9	36.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000) .	. 8.9	8.9	9.1	19.2	18.9	19.0	23.0	22.8	22.8

Table A-7.—Comparison of Selected Financial Bailout Scenarios for Three Representative Irrigated Row Crop Farms in South Central Nebraska^a

	Alternative Scenarios for 672-acre Farm				ive Scen 20-acre F		Alternative Scenarios for 2,083-acre Farm		
Criteria	lx	Х	xl	· lx	Х	xl	lx	Х	xl
Probability of survival	96.0	86.0	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
(\$1,000)	. 353.0	334.0	387.0	871.0	876.0	893.0	1685.0	1820.0	1714.0
Ending farm size (acres)	. 5.9	822.0 2.9 16.8	854,0 11.3 17.0	1,195.0 22.6 23.0	1,146.0 16.7 22.6	1,205.0 28.2 22.9	2,399.0 58.9 36.0	2,392.0 77.2 36.0	2,421.0 72.1 36.1

Table A-8.—Comparison of Selected Financial Bailout Scenarios for Three Representative Southern Plains Wheat Farms^a

		ive Scen 280-acre l				arios for Farm		ive Scena ,200-acre l	
Criteria	lx	х	xl	lx	Х	xl	lx	Х	xl
Probability of survival	86.0	98.0	100.0	40.0	70.0	80.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
(\$1,000)	. 289.() 1,434.0		383.0 1,552.0			406.0 2,118.0			1,348.0 3,891.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000) Annual government payment (\$1,000)	. –22.5	-21 .2	- 14.3 26.8	-37.9 34.8	-35.1 35.2	-24.1 35.6	17.1 43.9	12.4 44.1	27.5 44.0

Table A-9.—Comparison of Selected Financial Bailout Scenarios for Three Representative General Crop Farms in the Delta of Mississippi^a

		ive Scen 443-acre			ive Scen 1 19-acre		Alternative Scenarios for 6,184-acre Farm			
Criteria	lx	х	xl	lx	х	xl	lx	Х	xl	
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Present value of ending net worth										
(\$1,000)	1,563.0	1,656.0	1,545.0	3,237.0	3,431.0	2,968.0	5,259.0	5,840.0	4,990.0	
Ending farm size (acres)									6,453.0	
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	35.5	29.4	37.7	30.1	20.4	33.8	3.7	-14.8	5.4	
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	48.4	48.4	48.3	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	

[&]quot;The Scenarios are"

IX—Continuation of the 1981 Farm BIII and the 1983 Federal tax provisions for a highly leveraged farm

X-Restructure of debt for a highly leveraged farm.

X1-Irrterest rate subsldy (buy-down) in the first two years for a highly leveraged farm

Table A-IO—Comparison of Selected Financial Bailout Scenarios for Three Representative Texas Southern High Plains Cotton Farms^a

		ve Scen 088-acre f			ive Scen 383-acre			ve Scena ,570-acre F	
Criteria	lx	х	xl	lx	Х	xl	lx	Х	xl
Probability of survival	64.0	66.0	72.0	56.0	50.0	60.0	66.0	64.0	66.0
Present value of ending net worth									
(\$1,000)	04.0	314.0	343.0	604.0	600.0	733.0	1,310.0	1,356.0	1,619.0
Ending farm size (acres)	1,414,0	1,434.0	1,443.0	3,770.0	3,841.0	3,821.0	5,733.0	5,976.0	5,772,0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000) .,	-5,4	-6.4	1.3	-9.1	-21 .2	6.9	-41 .8	-57.3	-6.3
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	24.4	24.8	24.7	36.8	36.4	37.2	41.1	41.3	41.6

"The scenar!os are

Table A-n.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios Assuming No New Technology for Three Representative Corn"Soybean Farms in East Central Illinois^a

	Alternative Scenarios for 640-acre Farm				ive Scen 982-acre F		Alternative Scenarios for 1,630-acre Farm			
Criteria	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	Xlv	
Probability of suwival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.	
(\$1,000)	. 699.0	589.0	561.0	862.0	604.0	540,0	915.0	694.0	672.0	
Ending farm size (acres)	902.0	837.0	850.0	1,392.0	1,190.0	1,116,0	1,899.0	1,801.0	1,796.0	
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	23,0	11.7	10.8	23.9	3.3	-0.8	25.3	9.8	6.1	
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	11,6	0.7	0.0	22.9	1.0	0.0	22.9	1.7	0.0	

Table A-12.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios Assuming No New Technology for Three Representative Irrigated Row Crop Farms in South Central Nebraska^a

		Scen			ive Scen 20-acre F			ive Scena ,085-acre	
Criteria	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv
Probability of survival	100.0	100.0	90.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0
(\$1,000)	670.o	475.0	263.0	1,230.0	985.0	671,0	1,812.0	1,388.0	680.0
Ending farm size (acres)		870.0	808.0	1,257.0	1,221.0	1,226.0	2,402.0	2,240.0	2,107.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	26.7	10.6	- 11.4	53.9	30.3	-2.6	77.5	51.0	- 10.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	17.3	0.9	0.0	23.9	1,3	0.0	35.7	3.0	0.0

Table A-I 3.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios Assuming No New Technology for Three Representative Southern Plains Wheat Farms

		tive Scer ,280-acre			ive Scen ,920-acre			ive Scenar ,200-acre Fa	
Criteria	X11	X111	Xlv	XII	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv
Probability of~urvival .,	100.0	90.0	32.0	100.0	Z4.O	10.0	100.0	82.0	28.0
Present value of ending net worth									
(\$1,000)	. 726.0	325.0	134.0	780,0	229.0	81.0	1,131.0	562.0	220.0
Ending farm size (acres)	1,859.0	1,632.0	1,430.0	2,605.0	2,304.0	2,048.0	3,699.0	3,542.0	3,322.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	⁻ 1,3	-28.9	-46.8	- 10.9	-52.9	<i>−</i> 77.1	-2,1	- 45.4	- 85.8
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	30.7	2.5	0.0	38.1	3.9	0.0	43.7	5,9	0.0

^{*}The Scenarios are

IX -Continuation of the 1981 Farm BIII and the 1983 Federal tax provisions for a highly leveraged farm

X—Restructure of debt for a highly leveraged farm XI—Interest rate subsidy (buy-down) In the first two years for a highly leveraged farm

X11 —Continuation of the 1981 Farm Bill and the 1983 Federal tax provisions, assuming no new technology scenario
XI If—No Target Price/Deficiency Payment Program, assuming no new technology scenario
XIV— Deficiency plus diversion payments and any other government payments received for government loans and storage costs

Table A-14.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios Assuming No New Technology for Three Representative General Crop Farms in the Delta of Mississippi^a

		ive Scen 443-acre l				narios for Farm		ive Scena ,184-acre l	
Criteria	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv
Probability of suwival	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Present value of ending net worth									
						2,354.@			4,714.0
Ending farm size (acres)	2,006.0	1,638.0	1,587.0	3,343.0	3,148.0	3,119.0	6,322.0	6,277.0	6,261.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	38.6	- 7.3	- 18.3	34.0	- 11.9	- 29.9	15.1	-27.5	-57.7
Annual government payment (\$1,000)		1.9	0.0	49.9	4.8	0.0	49.9	7.9	0.0

Table A-15.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios Assuming No New Technology for Three Representative Texas Southern High Plains Cotton Farms^a

			arios for Farm			arios for Farm		ive Scena ,570-acre	
Criteria	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv	X11	X111	XIv
Probability of survival	92.0	68.0	42.0	88.0	78.0	60.0	94.0	90.0	76.0
(\$1,000)	. 552.0	290.0	161.0	1,325.0	966.0	738.0	2,807.0	2,322.0	1,843.0
Ending farm size (acres)	1,590.0	1,280.0	1,206.0	4,273.0	3,818.0	3,633.0	5,960.0	5,816.0	5,724.0
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)			-41 .0	25.4	-3.6	-45.5	47.0	0.2	-65.9
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	26.3	1.1	0.0	37.9	3.0	0.0	40.5	4.8	0.0

[&]quot;The Scenarios are:

XII—Continuation of the 1981 Farm Bill and the 1983 Federal tax prowslons, assuming no new technology scenario
XIII—NO Target Price/Deficiency Payment Program, assuming no new technology scenario,
XIV—Deficiency plus diversion payments and any other government payments received for government loans and storage costs

Table A-16.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios for a New Entrant on a Representative 640 Acre Corn"Soybean Farm in East Central Illinois^a

		Alternative Scenarios for 640-acre Farm				
Criteria	ΧV	XvI	XvII			
Probability of survival Present value of ending net	2.0	0.0	4.0			
worth (\$1 ,000)	221.0	202.0	197.0			
Ending farm size (acres) . Annual net farm income	640.0	640.0	640.0			
(\$1,000)	. –56.9	- 61 .1	-62,8			
(\$1,000)		9.6 6.1	0.0			

Table A-18.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios for a New Entrant on a Representative Southern Plains Wheat Farm^a

	Alternative Scenarios for 1,280-acre Farm					
Criteria	χV	XvI	XvII			
Probability of survival	2.0	0.0	0.0			
worth (\$1,000)	39.0	26,0	45.0			
Ending farm size (acres) Annual net farm income	. 1,280.0	1,280.0	1,280.0			
(\$1,000)	94.	2 -103.2	- 121,9			
(\$1,000)	18.1	7.4	0,0			

Table A-17.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios for a New Entrant on a Representative 672 Acre Irrigated Row Crop Farm in South Central Nebraska^a

	Alternative 672-a	Scenar acre Far	
Criteria	ΧV	XvI	XvII
Probability of survival Present value of ending net	84.0	42.0	6.0
worth (\$1 ,000)	187.0	106.0	356.0
Ending farm size (acres) ., Annual net farm income	674.0	666.0	672.0
(\$1,000)	. –19.2	- 35.8	- 56.6
(\$1,000)	14.5	1.2	0.0

Table A-19.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios for a New Entrant on a Representative 1443 Acre General Crop Farm in the Delta of Mississippi^a

		/e Scena 13-acre Fa	
Criteria	χV	XvI	XvII
Probability of survival Present value of ending net	100.0	76,0	62.0
worth (\$1,000)	985,0	395.0	319.0
Ending farm size (acres) Annual net farm income	. 1,830.0	1,459.0	1,443.0
(\$1,000)	18	.8 -76.8	-91 .3
(\$1,000)	47.3	2.3	0.0

Table A-20.—Comparison of Selected Policy Scenarios for a New Entrant on a Representative Texas Southern High Plains Cotton Farm

	Alternative Scenarios fo 1,088-acre Farm				
Criteria	X V	ΧvI	XvII		
Probability of survival	50.0	16.0	10.0		
worth (\$1,000) Ending farm size (acres)			41.0 1,126.0		
Annual net farm income (\$1,000)	35.7	-66.5	- 84.9		
Annual government payment (\$1,000)	21.7	2.0	0.0		

^{*}The Scenanos are

XVII—NO Farm Program In 1983.1992

XV —Continuation of the 1981 Farm Bill and the 1983 Federal tax provisions

XVI—NO Target Prtce/Deficiency Payment Program in 19811992