

APPENDIX B: DECENTRALIZED HOSPITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM MODULES

Software Development Approach – DHCP software is being developed incrementally in modules, using a rapid prototyping approach. The software is being written using the Massachusetts General Hospital Utility Multi-Programming System (MUMPS), an interpreted language. An American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard for MUMPS was approved November 15, 1984 and adopted as a Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) effective May 1, 1987.¹ According to the Institute of Computer Sciences and Technology (ICST) at the National Bureau of Standards (NBS), however, MUMPS is not an active part of the current ICST technical program.²

According to NBS,

The MUMPS standard has standardized the static syntax of the language, which consists of such things as the character set, definition of variables, literals, functions and language commands. The standard also presents the MUMPS dynamic syntax in transition diagram form. These diagrams serve as an implementation outline for the MUMPS language. The necessary operations are given, but their detailed implementation is left to the individual implementor.³

One useful characteristic of MUMPS is that implementation-specific (e.g. hardware- or operating-system-specific commands are readily identifiable because they contain the prefix 'Z'^f and are referred to as "Z-calls". The VA software developers have attempted to minimize the amount of implementation-specific software and this code is isolated in the DHCP Kernel, mainly in the File Manager, Task Manager, and input/output control routines.⁴

-
1. See: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards FIPS Publication 125, Nov. 4, 1986 and American National Standard for Information Systems - Programming Language - MUMPS, ANSI/MDC X11.1-1984, Mumps Development Committee, 1984.
 2. Letter to OTA from Allen L. Hankinson, NBS/ICST, Aug. 13, 1987.
 3. Enclosure to Hankinson letter, op. cit.
 4. See: VA RFP # 101-5-87, Mar. 9, 1987, pp. 122-123; and Amendment #5 of the RFP, June 6, 1987.

In the Federal Republic of Germany, users report that they were able to install a subset of the DHCP software (including File Manager and the Kernel) on a personal computer within 2 days, using the VA-recommended installation procedure. These users reported that only 7 of 150 routines in File Manager, for example, were operating-system specific. See: G. Schuller, "Description of the Decision Process to Use the DHCP as Basis of a HCP at a German Medical School," in Procurement of Hospital Information Systems in the Federal Republic of Germany, Vincent M. Brannigan, OTA contractor report, September 1987.

(continued)

Module Descriptions — Up until VA reduced the scope of DHCP in June 1987, DHCP consisted of four phases of software development, embodied in four classes⁵ of software modules:

1. Initial Core, which was installed in the 169 VA medical centers using **DHCP** by **1984**;
2. Full Core, which the VA plans to have installed in the 169 VA medical centers by the end of 1987;
3. Enhanced DHCP, which by June 1987 consisted of 22 modules to support clinical services and some hospital-wide administrative functions; and
4. Comprehensive DHCP, which by June 1987 consisted of 23 additional modules intended for optional use by medical centers to provide automation for clinical or support services.

In June 1987, DHCP was reduced in scope to include only the Initial and Full Core modules, numbering 4 and 2, respectively, plus 8 of the original 22 Enhanced modules. These eight Enhanced DHCP modules met the OMB investment criteria for net benefits over their 10-year lifecycle and thus were approved for nationwide implementation. According to VA, any of the remaining 14 Enhanced modules and the 23 Comprehensive modules would only be added to DHCP as they are considered to be cost-justified and approved by OMB.⁶

Thus, as of June 1987, the official scope of DHCP was defined as Core Plus 8, consisting of the following 14 modules:

CORE:

1. Registration -- patient registration at the VAMC, demographic data in patient file available to all system users;
2. Admission/Transfer Discharge -- admit, transfer, discharge patients, track patient status and location, bed census, ward rosters, generate patient gain and loss statistics;
3. Clinic Scheduling -- schedule inpatient and outpatient clinic visits, eliminate duplicate appointments and claims, identify no-shows, send form letters to patients;
4. Outpatient Pharmacy -- produce prescription labels, check drug interactions and drug profiles, generate drug control data and management reports;

5. See: Veterans' Administration, Department of Medicine and Surgery, Decentralized Hospital Computer Program, n.d.

6. See: U.S. General Accounting Office, Hospital Information Systems: VA Needs to Better Manage its Decentralized System Before Expansion, Appendix VI (Agency Comments), pp. 73, 82.

5. Clinical Laboratory -- support chemistry, hematology, microbiology, anatomic pathology, blood bank, order laboratory tests and receive results, patient laboratory profile, provide collection lists and specimen labels, work lists, report generation;
6. Inpatient Pharmacy-- unit dose, ward stock, and intravenous dispensing, tracks drugs, offers many of same functions as outpatient pharmacy;

ENHANCED MODULES:

(Note: Implementation schedules depend on development status, management priorities, funding, and available computer capacity.)

7. Radiology (available, scheduled for implementation in 1987);
8. Dietetics (available, scheduled for implementation in 1987);
9. Medical Records Tracking (under development, scheduled for implementation in 1987);
10. IFCAP (Fiscal and Supply -- in Beta test, scheduled for implementation in 1987);
11. Decentralized Medical Management System (DMMS --under development, scheduled for implementation in 1988);
12. Surgery (in Beta test, scheduled for implementation in 1988);
13. Mental Health (available, scheduled for implementation in 1989); and
14. Nursing (in verification, scheduled for implementation in 1989).

Appendix table B-1, reproduced from appendix 111 of the July 1987 GAO report on DHCP, shows the status of the previously-planned modules that are not included in Core Plus 8.

APPENDIX TABLE B-1

| Modules by Priority | Status as of June 1987 When the Scope of the DHCP Program Was Reduced |
|--|--|
| Enhanced | |
| 1. Management Support | Under development |
| 2. Medicine | Under development |
| 3. Department of Veterans Benefits Interface | Available |
| 4. Fee Basis | Under development |
| 5. Social Work | Available |
| 6. Engineering | Available |
| 7. Dentistry | Available |
| 8. Rehabilitation Medicine | Under development |
| 9. Extended Care/Geriatrics | Under development |
| 10. Nuclear Medicine | Planned |
| 11. Personnel | Under development |
| 12. Readjustment Counseling/Outreach | Planned |
| 13. Operating System Enhancements | Under development |
| 14. Message Handling/Switching | Under development |
| Comprehensive | |
| 1. Audiology and Speech Pathology | Planned |
| 2. Prosthetics | Under development |
| 3. Orthotics | Planned |
| 4. Optometry | Planned |
| 5. Podiatry | Planned |
| 6. Library Service | In Beta test |
| 7. Medical Media | Planned |
| 8. Building Management | Planned |
| 9. Voluntary Service | Planned |
| 10. Recreation Service | Planned |
| 11. Chaplain Service | Planned |
| 12. Canteen Service | Planned |
| 13. Gastroenterology | Planned |
| 14. Oncology | Under development |
| 15. Neurology | Planned |
| 16. Pulmonary Service | Planned |
| 17. Patient Monitoring | Planned |
| 18. Pacemaker Registry | Under development |
| 19. Space Management | Planned |
| 20. Employee Health | Planned |
| 21. Parking Management | Planned |
| 22. Security/Police Service | Planned |
| 23. Research Administrative Support | Under development |

"prototype development of each DHCP application module is performed in a medical facility designated as an Alpha test site. A subsequent Beta test is performed at other site(s) to evaluate the software in a production environment.

Following the Beta test, the software is verified for both technical and functional adequacy by an Information Systems Center other than the center that developed the software

SOURCE: U.S. General Accounting Office, Hospital ADP Systems: VA Needs to Better Manage Its Decentralized System Before Expansion, GAO/IMTEC-87-28, July 1987, D, 67,