Index

Index

Key: t = table; f = figure	Classical biological techniques compared to genetic engineering, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99,
Accidental escape, 61	101
Acid rain, 36, 37, 36t, 37t	crossbreeding, 4, 47, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
Agriculture, 35-37, 36t, 37t, 57, 98	cross-fertilization, 4, 47, 58-59, 59t, 60t 99, 101
Antibiotic-resistant bacteria. See Antibiotic-resistant	hybrid production, 4, 47, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
diseases	perceived morality of, 4, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
Antibiotic-resistant diseases, 4, 36-37, 36t, 37t, 52, 62,	public awareness of, 59, 59, 59t, 99
62t, 98, 103	public perceptions/opinions of, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
Anti-irivisection, 58, 58t, 101	Cloning
Automation	perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 100
quality of life, 49	Containment
	of genetically engineered products, 52
Bhopal, India	Creation of monsters, 58, 58t, 101
chemical release, 29	Crossbreeding, 4, 47, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
Biotechnology. See <i>also</i> Genetic engineering	Cross-fertilization, 4, 47, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101
agriculture, 25, 57-58	
animal husbandry, 35, 57	Demographic groups, 94
benefits, iii, 60-61, 62t, 81, 102	Disease-resistant crops, 4, 57, 60-62, 61t, 65, 65t, 102,
cancer therapy, 61-62, 61t, 102	104
company	DNA
credibility of risk assessment, 89-90, 90t, 109 large-scale environmental release, 88-89, 89t, 108	perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 48t, 100
fisheries, 57	Endangerment of food supply, 4, 61-62, 62t, 103
government role in, 5, 81, 81t, 105	Environment
innovations, 64, 81-82	public awareness of
morality, public perceptions of, 71, 71t, 104	and education, 36-37, 36t, 98
public acceptance of, 60-61	and science observance, 36-37, 36t, 98
public awareness of, 45	and science understanding, 36-37, 36t, 98
public concerns about, iii, 81, 81t, 107	public concerns about, 3, 35, 37-39, 37t, 41, 58, 58t,
public exposure to, 45	101
public perceptions/opinions of, iii, .5, 9, 35, 4.5, 60-61,	public perceptions/opinions of, 4, 35, 39
61t, 72, 81, 81t, 102, 107	quality, 35, 35t, 98
public support for, 5, 60-61, 61t, 81, 81t, 102, 107	risk to
regulation, 5, 81-83, 84t, 89, 89t, 107, 108	from genetically engineered products, 3-5, 35, 52-53,
research, 5	58, 62-65, 63t, 64t, 65t, 86, 87t, 103, 104, 108
revolution, 3, 9	from technology, 39-40, 41t
risks, iii, 5, 25, 61, 61t, 81, 81t, 107, 102	technological developments and, 39-41, 40t, 41t, 98
understanding concepts of, 45, 47-49, 47t, 48t, 100	Environmental activism
Birth defects in humans, 4, 62, 62t, 72, 73t	and age, 38, 38t
Budget	and attitudes toward technological growth and devel-
balanced, 5	opment, 38, 38t
deficits, 83	and education, 38, 38t
Bureau of the Census	and science interest, 38, 38t
categories for size, 93	and science understanding, 38, 38t
estimates and sample weights, 10, 94,	and political affiliation, 38, 38t
	self-reported, 38, 38t, 111
Cancer	Environmental movement, 35, 38-39, 39t, 99
and genetically engineered products, 52, 60	Environmental problems
Cataclysmic event, 3	public awareness of
Challenger Space Shuttle	and education, 36-37, 36t, 98
accident, 29	and science observance, 36-37, 36t, 98
Chernobyl	and science understanding, 36-38, 36t, 98
nuclear catastrophe, 29, 36	public concern about, 37-39, 37t, 99
Chromosome Chromosome	Environmental Protection Agency
perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 48t, 100	biotechnology regulation, 9

Environmental protection groups	Genetic engineering. See also Biotechnology
credibility and risk assessment, 5, 89-90, 89t, 90t, 109	anti-vivisection, 58, 58t, 101
public perceptions/opinions of, 5, 38-39, 39t	benefits, 5, 25, 57, 61-62 61t, 102
Environmental release	birth defects, 61-62, 62t, 103
altered organisms, 4, 35, 57, 61, 61t, 84-86, 86t, 109	cancer treatment, 60, 61t, 102
large-scale commercial, 4, 57, 87-88, 88t, 89t, 108	compared to crossbreeding and cross-fertilization, 59,
public support for	60t, 99, 101
and perceived environmental risks, 4, 86-88	consequences for humans, 52, 52t, 61-62, 62t, 103
and perceived human risks, 86-88	creation of monsters, 52, 52t, 103
and perceived value, 86	dangers of products, 51-53, 52t, 53t, 103
in local community, 4, 85-87, 86t, 87t, 108	disease-resistant crops, 61-62, 61t, 102
regulation	drug development, 5, 81, 81t, 107
by external scientific body, 88, 89t, 108	endangerment of food supply, 4, 61-62, 62t, 103
by governmental agency, 5, 88, 89t, 108	environmental applications, 5, 57-65, 62t, 63t, 64t, 65t,
by product manufacturer, 88, 89t, 108	101, 103, 104
small-scale experiment], 4, 84-87, 86t, 87t, 108	environmental harm, 61-65, 62t, 63t, 64t, 65t, 101,
Environmental spokespersons	103, 104
public perceptions/opinions of	farm productivity, 60-62, 61t, 102
and education, 38-39, 39t, 99	frost-resistant crops, 61-62, 61t, 102
and science observance, 38-39, 39t, 99	herbicide-resistant plants, 35
and science understanding, 38-39, 39t, 99	"ice-minus" bacteria, 35, 84-86, 87t
Eugenics, 47	impacts compared to other innovations, 49-50, 49t,
	50t, 97
Field testing, small-scale experimental	larger game fish, 65, 65t, 102, 104
altered organisms, 81, 84-87, 86t, 87t, 108	meaning of, see public understanding of and perceived
public perceptions/opinions of, 84-87, 86t, 87t, 108	meaning of
public support for	more productive farm animals, 60, 61t, 102
and opposition to genetic engineering, 85, 86t, 108	perceived meaning of, 45, 47-49, 46t, 47t, 48t, 100
and perceived benefits, 85, 86t, 108	perceived morality of, 5, 57-59, 58t, 101
and perceived morality of genetic engineering, 85-87,	public approval/support for
86t, 87t, 107	and age, 82, 82t, 107
and perceived risks, 85-87, 86t, 107	and education, 82, 82t, 107
and political affiliation, 85-87, 86t, 87t, 107	and geographic distribution, 82, 82t, 107
and religiousness, 85-87, 86t, 87t, 107	and perceptions of danger, 82, 82t, 107
in local community, 4, 85-86, 87t, 107	and perceptions of morality, 57-59, 58t, 101
to clean up environmental pollutants, 84-85, 86t, 108	and religiousness, 82, 82t, 107
to increase agricultural productivity, 84-85, 86t, 108	and sex, 82, 82t, 107
Food and Drug Administration	public awareness of
biotechnology regulation, 9	and age, 45, 46t, 100
Food supply. See Endangerment of food supply	and education, 45, 46t, 57, 57t, 100, 101
Forney, Robert, C.	and science observance, 45, 46t, 100
public and technical information, 1	and science understanding, 45, 46t, 100
Frost-resistant crops, 4, 57, 60, 61t, 65, 65t, 102, 104	public expectations of, 5
Como	public exposure to
Gene	through newspapers and magazines, 45
perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 100	public perceptions/opinions of, 5, 9, 45, 49-50, 57, 57t,
Gene splicing, 57-60, 57t, 58t, 99, 100, 101	60, 61t, 80-81, 82t, 101, 102, 107
Genetic alteration	public understanding of, 3, 45, 46t, 47-48, 47t, 100
of animal cells, 3, 57, 57t, 101	quality of life, 3, 5, 45, 49-50, 49t, 50t, 52, 61, 72 rainfall patterns, 61-62, 62t, 103
of bacteria, 3 of human cells, 4	regulation, 81, 81t, 87, 89t, 107, 108
of plant cells, 3, 57, 57t, 101	risks, 5, 25, 61-62, 62t, 103
public perceptions/opinions of, 81t, 82-82, 82t, 107	social utility, 60, 61
Genetic disease. See <i>also</i> Human cell manipulation	therapeutic applications, 60, 81, 81t, 107
perceived frequency of	unforeseen/unintended consequences, 58, 58t, 101
and education, 70, 70t, 113	vaccines, 60-62, 61t, 102
and geographic location, 70, 70t, 113	Genetic manipulation
and race, 70, 70t, 113	applications, 50-51, 51t, 57, 57t, 101
and sex, 70, 70t, 113	belief that "it is better not to know how," 5, 82-83,
self-reported incidence 69-70, 70t, 113	82t, 85-86, 85t, 107
	-=:, == ==; ==:

of animal cells, 4, 50-51, 51t, 57, 57t, 101	herbicide-resistant crops, 35
of bacteria, 50-51, 51t, 101	herbicide-resistant weeds, 4, 61-62, 62t, 103
of human cells, 4, 9, 50-51, 51t, 71-72, 71t, 101, 105	"ice-minus" bacteria, 35, 65, 65t, 84, 105
of plant cells, 4, 50-51, 5lt, 57, 57t, 62 101	increased farm production, 4, 64
perceived morality of, 4, 57-58, 57t, 71-72, 71t, 101,	larger game fish, 4, 65, 65t, 104
105	likelihood of danger, 52-53, 53t, 103
public acceptance of	more effective pesticides, 57, 65, 65t, 104
and age, 82-83, 82t, 107	more productive farm animals, 60
and education, 82-83, 82t, 107	mutations, 52, 52t, 61-62, 62t, 103
and religiousness, 5, 51, 51t, 82-83, 82t, 83t, 101,	new diseases, 52, 52t, 103
107	"oil-eating" bacteria, 4, 57, 65, 65t, 104
and science exposure, 51, 5lt	perceived morality of, 4
and science understanding, 51, 51t	public awareness of risks
public awareness of	and education, 51-53, 52t, 53t, 103
and education, 57-58, 57t, 101	and science exposure, 51-53, 52t, 53t, 103
Genetic material	and science understanding, 51-53, 52t, 53t, 103
alteration, 9	
identification, 9	public concern, 53, 81, 81t, 87, 107
	public perceptions/opinions of, 4-5, 45-53, 52t, 53t, 57,
manipulation, 9	61, 65, 103
transfer, 9	public support/approval for 4-5
Genetic research, 63-66, 64t, 107	quality of life, 5
Genetic testing	rainfall patterns, 62, 62t, 103
fetal testing, 74-75, 76t, 105, 106	regulation, 5, 9, 87-88, 89t, 108
prenatal testing, 75, 76t, 105, 106	risks, 3-5, 51-52, 62-65, 63t, 64t, 65t, 103, 104
public acceptance of	side effects, 52, 52t, 103
and education, 76t, 77t, 107	unforeseen consequences, 52, 52t, 103
and religiousness, 74-77, 75t, 76t, 107	Government
Genetic therapy	biotechnology regulation, 5, 9, 88-90, 89t, 90t, 108
perceived morality of, 72-73,	credibility, 5, 88-90, 89t, 90t, 108
public acceptance of	research funding, .5, 83-84, 84t, 85t, 107
and age, 75-77, 76t, 106	risk assessment, 5, 89-90, 90t, 108
and education, 75-77, 76t, 106	Greenhouse effect, 36-37, 36t, 37t, ,98
and race, 75-77, 76t, 106	
and religiousness, 75-77, 76t, 106	Health hazards
germ line cells, 4-5, 73-74, 74t, 105	and genetically engineered products, 52
somatic cells, 4-5, 73-74, 74t, 105	Herbicide-resistant crops, 35
Genetically altered organisms	Herbicide-resistant weeds, 4, 62, 62t, 103
agricultural use, 4, 36-37, 36t, 98	Human cell manipulation
environmental impacts, 9, 36-37, 69	benefits, 4-5, 72-73, 105
Genetically engineered products	birth defects, 4, 69-70, 70t, 113
acceptable risk level, 62-64, 63t, 64t, 101	compared to animal cell manipulation, 50-51, 51t, 101
accidental escape, 61	compared to bacteria manipulation, 50-51, 5lt, 101
antibiotic-resistant diseases, 4, 62, 62t, 103	compared to plant cell manipulation, 50-51, 5It, 101
benefits, 4, 61-62, 62t, 103	diagnostic tools, 69, 74-75, 75t, 106
birth defects, 4, 62, 62t, 103	eugenics, 72
cancer, 52, 52t, 60, 61, 102, 103	expected effects on quality of life, 51, 72
chemical wrarfare, 52, 52t, 103	expected personal benefits, 72, 105
containment, 52	fatal diseases, 4-5, 69-70, 70t, 72-73, 73t, 76-77, 77t,
danger to animals or humans, 51-52, 52t, 53t, 103	105, 106, 113
disease-resistant crops, 4, 60-61, 65, 61t, 65t, 102, 104	first successful therapeutic application, 9, 69
endangering food supply 4, 61-62, 62t, 103	genetic diseases, 4, 69-70, 70t, 113
environmental applications, 9, 35-37, 57-65, 61t, 62t,	germ line cells, 4-5, 73-74, 74t, 105
63t, 64t, 65t, 98, 101-104	human gene therapy, 47-48, 67-77
extinction of plants or animals, 4, 61-62, 62t, 103	National Institutes of Health, involvement of, 9
field testing	perceived morality of
large-scale commercial, 4-5, 81, 87-89, 88t, 108	and education, 4, 71-72, 71t, 105
small-scale experimental, 4, 81, 84, 85-88, 86t, 87t,	and knowledge about genetic engineering, 71-72,
108	71t, 105
frost-resistant crops, 4, 6.5, 65t, 104	and religiousness, 71-72, 71t, 105
health hazards, 52	quality of life, 71-72

public acceptance of and objective, 4, 69, 72-73, 73t, 105 public perceptions/opinions of, 4, 50-51, 67-77, 71t, 73t, 74t, 75t, 76t, 77t, 105, 107 regulation of, 69 single-gene defects, 69 somatic cells, 4-5, 73-74, 74t, 105	Pesticides, 4, 65, 65t, 104 Population samples age, 10, 93-94 demographic characteristic, 94 education, 10, 94 estimates, 9, 93-94 race, 10,
Human gene manipulation. See Human cell manipulation Human gene therapy. See <i>also</i> Human cell manipulation <i>and</i> Genetic therapy perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 48t, 100	sex, 10 unweighed, 10 variance, 10 weighted, 10, 94 Public health officials
"Ice-minus bacteria," 35, 84, 86, 87t, 108	credibility and risk assessment, 89-90, 90t, 109
In vitro fertilization perceived understanding of, 47, 47t, 48t, 100	Public interest groups credibility and risk assessment, 89-90, 90t, 109
Industrial trade association credibility and risk assessment, 89-90, 89t, 109	Radiation/radioactive discharges 36-37, 36t, 37t, 98
Large-scale/commercial environmental release, 4, 87-89, 88t, 109	Random digit dialing (RDD), 93-94 Recombinant DNA technology. See <i>also</i> Genetic engineering
Lincoln, Abraham	altered plants and animals, 57, 57t, 101
public opinion, 1	moral beliefs, 57-58, 58t
Louis Harris & Associates, iii, 3, 9, 13-14, 38-39, 39t Miller, Jon D.	products, 9 public awareness, 57, 57t, 101 Research in genetic engineering/biotechnology
Americans and scientific communication, 1	government funding, 5, 81, 83-84, 84t, 107
Molecular biology	government regulation, 5, 9, 88, 89t, 108
advances, 9	public acceptance of, 83-84, 84t, 107
Monoclinal antibodies perceived understanding of, 47-48 , 47t, 48t, 100	public support for and education, 83, 84t, 107
Morality	and perceived morality of genetic manipulation, 5,
of animal cell manipulation, 4, 57-59, 58t, 59t, 60t, 99, 101, 50-51, 51t, 101	83-84, 84t, 107 and perceived risks of genetically engineered prod-
of bacteria manipulation, 4	ucts, 5, 83, 84t, 107
of crossbreeding, 4, 58-59, 59t, 60t, 99, 101 of cross-fertilization, 4, 57-59, 59t, 60t, 101,	and political affiliation, 5, 83, 84t, 107 and religiousness, 83, 84t, 107
of genetic engineering, 5, 57-58, 58t, 60t, 101	Revolution
of human cell manipulation, 4, 50-51, 51t, 101	computer, 9
of plant cell manipulation, 4, 57-59, 58t, 59t, 60t, 99, 101	industrial, 9 medical, 69
Mutations	scientific, 3, 9
and genetically engineered products, 52, 52t, 61-62,	Rhine River
62t, 103	chemical spill, 29 Risk information assessment
National Institutes of Health	from company making product, 89, 90t, 109
biotechnology regulation, 9	from environmental groups, 5, 89-90 90t, 109
National Science Foundation	from Federal agencies, 5, 89-90 90t, 109
surveys, 10, 18, 31, 32t Nature	from local officials, 89, 90t, 109 from news media, 89, 90t, 109
meddling with, 4, 58, 58t, 82-83, 83t, 101	from public health officials, 89, 90t, 109
News media	from university scientists, 89, 90t, 109
credibility and risk assessment, 89-90, 90t, 109	from unspecified public interest groups, 89, 90t, 109
Nuclear power	Robots
and quality of life, 49, 49t, 97 Nuclear powerplants, 36-37, 36t, 37t, 98	and quality of life, 49, 49t, 97
Tractour powerpiums, ou or, out, ort, ou	Sample regions, 93
Office of Technology Assessment	Sampling error, 10, 94, 94t
"New Developments in Biotechnology" series, iii	Sampling technique, 9, 93-94, 94f
"Oil-eating" bacteria, 4, 57, 65, 104	Science and technology. See <i>also</i> Biotechnology <i>and</i>
Organ transplants and quality of life, 49, 49t, 97	Genetic engineering benefits, iii, 3, 5, 25-28, 26t, 31, 39, 41, 81, 96
and quainty of inc, 10, 10t, 01	2

control over, 31, 32t, 97	and science policy concern, 20
environmental consequences, 35-41, 40t, 41t	and science policy involvement, 21
government policy, 3, 5	and science understanding, 20
growth and development	and scientific expertise, 20
public acceptance of, 5, 25, 30, 31t, 53, 96	and sex, 20, 20t
public opinions/expectations of	definition, 3, 20
and age, 25-26, 39	proportion of public, 3, 20-21, 20t
and education, 25-27, 30, 31t, 32t, 39	Science optimism, 28
and political affiliation, 31, 32t	Science policy concern
underlying sentiment against, 39	related to age, 19, 19t
new developments	related to education, 19, 19t
public expectations, 3, 82	related to science interest, 20
occupations in household, 17t	related to science understanding, 19-20, 19t
public awareness of	self-reported, 19, 19t, 95
and education, 14t, 16t	Science understanding
and science observance,	decline in, 13
public concerns, 3, 13, 19, 19t, 29, 31, 37-39, 41, 95	related to age, 13, 14t 48
public confidence/optimism, 28-29	related to education, 13, 14t, 48
public interest, 3, 13-16, 15t, 16t, 95	related to science exposure, 17-18, 18t, 48-49
public perceptions/opinions of, iii, 3, 5, 10, 25-30, 39	self-rating, 13, 14t, 95
public understanding, 3, 13, 14t, 16t, 95	Scientific "attentiveness," 15-16, 19-20
regulation, 5, 25, 31, 32t, 97	Solar energy
risks, 3, 5, 25-31, 27t, 30t, 39, 41, 96, 97	and quality of life, 49, 49t, 97
risks v. benefits, 27-28, 28t, 29t, 97	Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA), 14t, 70t,
sources of information, 15-18, 17t, 18t, 111	82t, 93
unforeseen consequences, 29	Survey artifact, 53
Science exposure	Survey methodology, 9-10, 93-94
and science understanding, 17, 18t, 95, 111	Survey questionnaire, 9
degree of, in the public, 16-18, 17t, 18t, 111	
through discussions, 17-18, 17t, 111	Technological developments
through involvement in scientific groups and organiza-	impact of environment, 9, 35-36, 39, 41
tions, 17, 18t, 111	perceived risks and benefits
through jobs, 17-18, 17t, 111	and age, 25-26, 26t, 28, 96
through reading, 16-18, 17t, 111	and education, 25-28, 26t, 96
Science interest	and science observance, 27, 26t, 96
and science observance, 20	and science understanding, 27, 26t, 96
and scientific expertise, 15-16, 16t, 18, 20, 95	and sex,
decline in, 14-15, 28-29	public perceptions/opinions of, 25-31, 26t, 41, 53, 64, 96
measures of, 17	
related to age, 14 related to education, 14, 18	Technological restraint
self-rating, 14-15, 15t, 16t, 95	public perceptions/opinions of
Science involvement	and education, 30-31, 3 It, 32t, 96, 97
and education, 17	and political affiliation, 31, 31t, 32t, 96, 97
through jobs, 17	Telephone interviews, 9, 93 Three Mile Island
through scientific groups and organizations, 15	nuclear accident, 29, 36
Science observance	nuclear accident, 29, 30
and age, 20, 20t	U.S. Department of Agriculture
and education, 20-21 20t	biotechnology regulation, 9
and political advocacy, 21, 21t	University scientists
and political philosophy, 21, 21t	credibility and risk assessment, 89-90, 90t, 109
and science interest, 20	
·	