Table IV

Examples of Changes in State and Local Educational Programs and Practices Resulting from State Minimum Competency Programs

Reports of changes in state education policy attributed to minimum competency programs range from the general comment of the Connecticut office that results have been used constantly to improve programs, to the listing of extensive changes by states such as Florida and Georgia. Florida attributes these changes to the minimum competency program: a 1976 Educational Accountability Act resulting in improvements in kindergarten through postsecondary education — including initiation of a state compensatory education program, a college sophomore testing program, increased high school graduation requirements, a new primary education program, a new middle school education program, and changes in the principal and teacher certification examinations. Georgia cites the adoption of policies dealing with changes in certification and staff development and the establishment of public school standards by the state board of education as direct consequences of this program. North Carolina states that students simply no longer graduate without minimum competencies.

Examples provided of changes in school programs and practices include greater emphasis on writing in the schools, examination and restructuring of curricula and programs, increased attention to remedial education, improved student performance as measured by achievement tests, use by school districts of state-developed support materials such as spelling lists, more local curriculum development and evaluation, and improved methods of diagnosing student needs in school systems.

The few states that report an impact of the minimum competency program on state curriculum and instructional support cited better definition of the basic skills and developmental skills required in the minimum competencies program and their incorporation into the curriculum frameworks and guides of state departments.

Table IV

Examples of Changes in State and Local Educational Programs and Practices Resulting From Stat. Minimum Competencies Program

	Type of Change Noted				
		-72	Chaha Cumpi gulum		
			State Curriculum, Instructional Support		
State	State Education Policy	School Programs, Practices			
Alabama	First grade graduation requirements in 1983 for 1985.	Redeveloped curriculum often becomes part of school policy.	N.C. were incorporated into course of study.		
Alaska - No prod	gram				
Arizona	N	N	N		
Arkansas		must be implemented by 1987-88; mastery or need to be involved students have 2 years to show			
california	N	Y: Parent conference required t tie curriculum to assessment.	o N		
Colorado	N	N	N		
	Constant use of resyktts improv	ement of programs			
· Lwat Set	No	No concrete evidence	No concrete withence		
District of Columbia	N	N: Already tied to curriculum	N		
Florida	Y: :n 1976 Education Accountability, Act; once implemented, started a long-term series of improvements from Kinderarten thru post-secondary, e.g., initiation of a state compensatory education program, initiation of college sophomore testing program; Increased high school graduation requirements; new primary education program; new middle school education program; principals certification exam: teachers certification exam.		Y: Curriculum frameworks which establish content for all h.s. courses.		
Seorgia	Y: Policies added dealing with changes in certification and staff development based on need identfied by lower test scores in some grades; public school standards established by board - added. Schools having to meet new standards as a result of test scores.	and evaluation.	Y: Just adopted because of testing all grade levels in all subjects - specified a minimum of what objectives must be taught.		

SOURCE: Data Complied for the Office of Technology Assessment by Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory, 1985.

Table IV

Examples of Changes in Stat. and Local Educational Programs and Practices Resulting From State Minimum Competencies Program

	Type of Change Noted		
			State Curriculum,
State	State Education Policy	School Programs, Practices	Instructional Support
Hawii	undergoing serious review.	N	N
114411	midelyothy bellous leview.		.,
Idaho	N	Y	N
Illinois - Not	applicable		
Indiana - Noproc	 ram		
Iowa - No program			
Kansas	N	N	N
Kentucky	Same as for state assessment		
Lousiana	N N	N	Change reported, example not reco
Maine - No program ram			
	Special Education limited English proficiency are included unless specified.	Consideration of program requirments.	
	2. More instructional support.		Development of state framework
Massachusetts	N	N	พ
Michigan - No program			
Minnesota - No program			
Mississippi	N	teaching of writing and cope and sequence of subject.	N
Missouri	Changes made in 1984 and 1985. 1986 - grades will be withheld at 9th grade if failed.	Look at currculum	N
Montana - No program			
Mehraska	;1	Some spelling programs now use list from state developed spelling test. Schools report v d work from lower half of students.	
	N	Morwre courses offered for remedial math, writing. Writing test has ifluenced writing curriculum better results.	N
	1		I

Table IV
Examples of Changes in State and Local Educational
Programs and Practices Resulting From State Minimum Competencies Program

	Type of Change Noted		
State	State Education Policy	School Programs, Practices	State Curriculum, Instructional Support
New Hampshire -	I No program		
New Jersey	Several policies changed.	State certification based on results. Compulsory education funding based on results.	Graduation requirements were revised.
New Mexico		Despite secure items, changed each year, scores have improve This implies changed school practices.	d.
New York		Teaching of writing now emphasized in schools as a result of competency test.	N
North Carolina	Students no longer graduate w Specific funding for remediation a year to work on progam) .	vithout minimum competencies was provided (average \$8 miibhion	N
Notrh Dakota - No	program		
	N: New program)	N	И
Oklahoma No pro	 Operanies		
	Pending a movement toward minimum competency testing.	num N	
Pennsylvania	N	Y: Many districts have hired additional teachers in reading and math since they had to crea remedial programs (had to crea new or different programs); so districs have creative prevent programs and others have begun to review reading and math programs to see how they reflect objectives being tested.	te te me ive
Phode Island - No	proqram		
South Sarolina	1984: Shifting of lithrade tests to 10th grade in 1906. Science is an additional area to be tested. Diploma requirement.	Because of funds for compensa- tory education and tests based on objectives defined by legis- lature, Specific objectives an skills are given by grade to teachers and students with Sample test items.	-

Table IV

Examples of Changes in State and Local Educational Program and Practices Resulting From State Minimum Competecies Program

	Type of Change Noted		
State	State Education Policy	School Programs, Practices	State Curriculum, Instructional Support
South Dakota -	No program		
Tennessee - Not	"available for interview		
Texas	Legislature has changed requirements.	Accreditation change affected local programs.	Same bill that changed accreditat changed state curriculum.
Utah		Remedial help increased due to test. Consequently bottom 50 has improvedtheir scores.	n
Vermont	NR	NR	NR
Virginia	Emphasis used to be on pupils with lower scores, now shifting away from that.	Many schoolsgive a pre-test to screen thoseto receive special tutoring before 10th grade test	
Washington - No program			
∵onsin - Not a	o program yet in place; see Table V 		