U.S. Department of Education Principal Programs Providing Funds for Technology in Education

Funding for educational technology is available through various programs administered by the U.S. Department of Education. In a few cases, funds are appropriated specifically for educational technology. Other funds are obligated for technolog projects through existing program areas. And some funds are used for technolog activities by recipients of grants and awards that are not designated specifically for educational technology (e.g., grants to States, districts, educational research laboratories and centers). Federal block grants and other grants to States and school districts, such as those for compensatory education for the disadvantaged, mathematics and science education, bilingual education, special education, vocational and adult education, and teacher training, support use of technology at the discretion of States and school districts. Under some programs, grants are awarded and budget decisions are made based on priorities of the Secretary of Education and department administrators.

The following table provides an estimate of levels of funding and support for educational technology within programs administered by the Department of Education. Because funding for educational technolog is not closely monitored and data on local use of Federal grants is limited, most figures are estimated. Where Federal grants to States, districts, schools, or individuals are sources of funding for technology and may be used for technology at local discretion, total appropriations are given (e.g., Chapter 2 block grants, magnet schools assistance), A question mark (?) indicates that OTA was not able to estimate the amount of funding for technology.

Since outlays for technology are often not known until several years after the original appropriation, most figures are estimates of obligations or expenditures for educational technolog for the designated fiscal year. The figures for fiscal year 1989 are department appropriation requests or program estimates based on pending legislation and awards.

	1987 Appropriation ² (in	Technology Estimate millions)	1988 Appropriation (in m	Technolog , Estimate illions)	1989 Request (in mi	Technology Estimate Ilions)
Education Consolidation and Improver	nent Act:					
Chapter 1 Block Grants	. 3,453.50	?	\$3,829.60	?	\$4,060.20	?
Chapter 2 Block Grants	. 500.00	\$150.003	478.70	\$143.603	540.50	\$162.203
Secretary's Discretionary Fund:						
National Diffusion Network	. 10.70	0.31	10.20	0.154	10.20	?
Other discretionary						•
programs ⁵	. 1.50	?	4.70	,	9.50	,
				•	7.50	•
Elementary and Secondary Education A	Act of 1965:					
Title VII—Bilingual Education Act:						
State and Local Grants	. 99.20	76	101.20	?	13.10	?

(continued on next page)

Abbreviations: OB = Obligation; EO = Estimated Obligation

This table is based on review of budget documents, lists of grants and awards, published research and documents, conversations with program staff at the U.S. Department of Education, and estimates provided by various programs in the Department of Education.

²Figures are appropriations or budget requests as indicated unless otherwise noted.

OTA estimates based on a study finding 30 percent of all local Chapter 2 expenditures in the 1984-85 school year were used for technology-related activities. SRI international and Policy Studies Associates, "The Educational Block Grant at the Local Level: The Implementation of Chapter 2 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act in Districts and Schools," prepared for the U.S. Department of Education, January 1986, p. 45.

4Seven projects were funded in 1987 and several are in their final year. OTA estimates that awards for technology-related projects will decrease in 1989.

Educational technology could be a priority area but currently is not. Priorities for 1989 include teacher certification and recruitment and early childhood education, Of the 628 grants awarded to districts in 1987, 228 (or 36 percent) included a technology component.

	1987	Technology Estimate	1988	Technology Estimate	1989	Technology
	Appropriation (in	millions)	Appropriation Estimate (in millions)		Request Estimate (in millions)	
Education for Economic Security Act: Title 117–Mathematics and Science Programs	(,		
State Grants	72.80	?	108.90	?	108.90	?
Technology Competition	0	0	1.00	1.00 (EO)	?	?
Competitions *	3.70	0.53 (OB)	6.60	0.97 (EO)	?	?
Educational TV	3.25	3.25 (OB)	2.25	2.25°(EO)	?	9
Title VII-Magnet Schools Assistance	75.00	?	71.80	?	115.00	
Continuing Resolution-1987						
Star Schools	0	0	19.10	19.10	0	0
Small Business Innovation Research "	1.70	1.70 (OB)	1.70	1.70 (EO)	1.70	1.70 (EO)
Higher Education Act: Title V-C-Leadership in Educational Administration Title V-D-Christa McAuliffe Fellowships for Outstanding	7.20	?	8.20	?	4.40	?
Teachers	2.00	?	1.90	?	1,90	?
Fund for the Improvement of Post-Secondary Education	12.20	?12	11.60	?	13.60	?
Education for the Handicapped Act: State Grants Special Purpose Programs: Technology for Special	1,568.00	?	1,699.80	?	1747.70	?
Education	4.67	4.67	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79
Early Childhood Education Media and Captioning	24.50	0	23.40	0	23.40	0.30 (EO)
Services	13.80	13.80	13.20	13.20	13.20	13.20
Personnel Development	67.70	2.29 (OB)	66.40	1.81 (EO)	66.40	1.2? (EO)
Vocational Education Act (Perkins Act):						
Title II–State Grants	802.90	?	791.80	0	835.20	?
Center	6.00	0.19 (EO)	6.00	?	6.00	?
Demonstrations	0 0	0	9.60 0.13	9.60 (EO) 0.13 (EO)	9.60	9.60 (EO) ?

The Elementary and Secondary School Improvement Amendments of 1988 (Public Law 100-297) revises Title II, authorizes a new program for foreign language education, and eliminates the restriction on the use of Title 11 funds for computer education only after mathematics and science needs have been met. Now, in addition to using Title II funds for preservice training, mservice training, teacher retraining, and minority recruitment, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) may use Title 11 funds for teacher training In technology as part of a mathematics and science program. LEAs may also use Tide 11 funds to purchase computers and other telecommunications equipment and to provide grants to individual teachers for Innovative projects in mathematics and science. In addition, States may use their share of Title II funds for demonstrations and exemplary programs for instructional materials and equipment in mathematics and science, as well as to provide technical assistance.

Grants for programs of national significance in mathematics, science, computer education, and critical foreign languages are also appropriated under Title IL The new law gives the Secretary of Education discretion to award grants to support foreign language education separately and focuses the programs of national significance on mathematics and science. Budget figures reflect Title 11 as originally enacted.

8The Department of Education estimates that 18 to 20 percent of funds for field-initiated competition and 10 percent of funds for critical foreign language are used

for applications of technology.

Includes SI million for Square One TV, currently under review.

No data on the percent of magnet school funds used for technology is available, although a recent OTA estimate suggests that 25 percent is used for mathematics and science magnet schools. Technology could be a component in these and other magnet school programs. See U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, Educating Scientists and Engineers: Grade School to Grad School, OTA-SET-377 (Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, June 1988).

1 Funding is based o a percentage of the U.S. Department of Education's external research budget.

¹² Some awards support curriculum development and teacher trainin activities that could be applied to elementary and secondary education. While educational technology was one of the Fund for the Improvement of Post-Secondary Education's (FIPSE) priorities from 1981 to 1985, it is no longer a priority area. Of 176 new and continuing projects funded by FIPSE in 1987, 41 (23 percent) revolved technology.

	1987 Appropriation (in	Technology Estimate millions)	1988 Appropriation	Technology Estimate millions)	1989 Request (in mi	Technology Estimate Ilions)
Adult Education Act:						
Grants to States	106.00	?	115.40	!	148.20	?
State Grants to Local						
Education Agencies 13		2.86		3.11		3.99
State Discretionary Grants 13		1.51		1.65		2.11
Field Initiated Research		0	1.90	0.90-1.25 (EO)	2.00	.90-1 .25 (EO)
Office of Educational Research and Imp	rovement:					
Field Initiated Research	0.60	0.06 (OB)	0.50	,	1.00 (EO)	
National Research and						
Development Centers ¹⁴	17.50		17.50		17.50 ¹⁶	
All Centers excluding						
Educational Technology						
Center 15		1.00 (EO)		1.00 (EO)		1.00
Educational Technology						
Center		2.00		0.90 (EO)		.00 (EO)
Regional Educational						
Laboratories .	17.00	1.8 (EO)	17.00	1,23 (EO)	17.00	.15 (EO)
Technology Conference and						
"What Works"	0	0	0	0	0.10	0.10
Educational Resources						
Information Network						
(ERIC) 17	5.70	0.29 (OB)	5.70	0.30	5.70	
Center for Statistics	9.10	?	13.40	1	20.00	?

^[3] A minimum of 10 percent of the grants awarded to States must be set aside for training, research, demonstration, and evaluation. The remaining State grant

the use of technologyin the future.

16 Two newcenters are proposed in the Secretary's 1989 budget, One center will study the needs of at-risk students. A second smaller center will study a range of educationalissues including the teaching and learning of civics and citizenship, exam] nation< and assessment of education reform initiatives, research into student

motivation, and studies of costs and productivity1 n education.

15 One of the 16 ERIC clearinghouses focuses on educational technology. It is based at Svracuse University and its budget is reflected in the technology estimate column.