Financial Viability of Conrail: Review and Analysis

September 1975

NTIS order #PB-250630



Office of Technology Assessment

The Financial Viability of Conrail

September 1975

UNITED STATES CONGRESSOffice of Technology Assessment

The Financial Viability of CONRAIL

REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF

THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION SUBCOMMITTEE

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT OTA C-19 BY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS, INC,

1701 North Fort Myer Drive

ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 2 2 2 0 9

SEPTEMBER 1975

Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD

OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEXAS, CHAIRMAN CLIFFORD P. CASE, N.J., VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C.
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY, MINN.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.
TED STEVENS, ALASKA

MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ.
GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIF.
CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO
MARVIN L. ESCH, MICH.
MARJORIE S. HOLT, MD.

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO

ii

Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Emilio Q. Daddario, Director

Daniel V. De Simone, Deputy Director

CONRAIL REPORT REVIEW PANEL

Robert Calhoun Prof. Thomas Sutherland Sullivan & Worcester Princeton University

G. Gerald O'Donahoe James Tapley
Harbridge House, Inc. Southern Railway

Lawrence Goldmuntz Prof. Robert Tollison

Economics & Science Planning, Inc. Texas A & M

OTA TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM STAFF

Gretchen S. Kolsrud, Ph.D., Program Manager

Mary E. Ames Larry L. Jenney V. Rodger Digilio Beverly Johnson Thomas E. Hirsch, III Teri Miles

Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., contractor

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEXAS, CHAIRMAN CLIFFORD P. CASE, N.J., VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS.
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C.
HUBERT H. HUMPHREY, MINN.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, M.
TED STEVENS, ALASKA

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO

MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ.
GEORGE E. BROWN, Jr., CALIF.
CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO
MARYIN L. ESCH, MICH.
MARJORIE S. HOLT, MD.

Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO
DIRECTOR

DANIEL V. DE SIMONE
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

September 12, 1975

Honorable 01 in E. Teague Chairman of the Board Office of Technology Assessment U. S. Congress Washington, D. C . 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to the requests* of Senator Schweiker of the OTA Board on February 26, 1975, and Senator Warren G. Magnuson, Chairman, Senate Commerce Committee on March 20, 1975, I am pleased to submit an initial report: The Financial Viability of CONRAIL - Review and Analysis.

Prepared by the Office of Technology Assessment, with the assistance of an ad hoc task force of consultants knowledgeable in rail industry operations and problems and a contractor, the report is part of an OTA review of the United States Railway Association's Plan for restructuring the bankrupt Northeast railroads.

It is anticipated that the report will be used as back-ground for hearings planned for mid-September by the Senate Commerce Committee and for hearings to be held by the House Committees on Commerce and Appropriations.

ILIO Q. DADDARIO Director

* See Appendix

ECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD

OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEXAS, CHAIRMAN LIFFORD P. CASE, N.J., VICE CHAIRMAN

DWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS. MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ. RNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C. GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIF. IMBERT H. HUMPHREY, MINN. CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO ICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA. MARVIN L. ESCH, MICH. MARJORIE S. HOLT, MD. ED STEVENS, ALASKA EMILIO Q. DADDARIO

Congress of the United States OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

WASHINGTON, D.C. 0510

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO DANIEL V. DESIMONE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

SEP 15 1975

The Honorable Warren G. Magnuson Chairman, Committee on Commerce United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On behalf of the Board of the Office of Technology Assessment, we are pleased to forward a report: Financial Viability of CONRAIL .

This study is a part of a review of the United States Railway Association's Plan for restructuring the bankrupt Northeast railroads. This report considers the Final System Plan submitted to Congress on July 26, 1975.

This report is being made available to your Committee in accordance with Public Law 92-484.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

Chairman

Clifford P. Case Vice Chairman

Technology Assessment Board Technology Assessment Ecard

Preface

In 1973, the financial disarray of the Northeast and mid-West railroads led to the passage of the Rail Reorganization Act of 1973. The Act established the United States Railroad Association (USRA) to develop a plan for a Consolidated Rail Corporation (CONRAIL) to be formed of the financially distressed railroads. On February 26, 1974, USRA issued a Preliminary System Plan for CONRAIL, and on 26 July USRA submitted the Final System Plan to Congress.

This review and others in the series were prepared in response to requests from the Senate Commerce Committee. Originally intended to deal with the Preliminary System Plan, these reviews are based on the Final System Plan to maximize their utility to the Congress.

This review was accomplished in a two month period by OTA'S Transportation Assessment Group supported by Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc. and a task force of individuals knowledgeable in railroad problems. Contact was maintained with authorizing, appropriations and budget committees of both the Senate and the House as well as the GAO, Library of Congress and the Congressional Budget Office.

The brief period of time precluded a rigorous assessment, Instead, the major issues have been identified, frameworks have been developed for their consideration and the data have been organized to allow for thorough review.

ix