An Assessment of Alternative Economic Stockpiling Policies

August 1976

NTIS order #PB-273191

An Assessment of Alternative Economic Stockpiling Policies



UNITED STATES CONGRESS Office of Technology Assessment

August 1976

OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Emilio Q. Daddario, Director Daniel V, De Simone, Deputy Director

MATERIALS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

James Boyd, Chairman Materials Associates

Earl H. Beistline University of Alaska

Seymour L, B1um The MITRE Corp.

Lloyd M, Cooke* Union Carbide Corp.

Frank Fernbach

United Steelworkers of America

Edwin A. Gee*

E, I. du Pent de Nemours & Co., Inc.

N. Bruce Hannay Bell Laboratories

Bruce M. Hannon

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

* Members of Subcommittee on Economic Stockpile Policy.

William J. Harris, Jr,

Association of American Railroads

General Electric Co.

George A. Watson*

Julius J. Harwood

James A, Kent

Elburt Osborn

R. Talbot Page

N. E. Promisel

Lois Sharpe

Ford Motor Co.

Hans H. Landsberg

Michigan Technological University

Carnegie Institution of Washington

Resources for the Future, Inc.

Resources for the Future, Inc.

Independent Consultant

League of Women Voters

Ferroalloys Association

MATERIALS PROGRAM

John B, Wachtman, Jr., Program Manager
Albert E. Paladino, Program Manager
Harry H. Herman, Jr., Project Leader
James L. Holt, Project Leader
Gary L. Thomas, Staff

Frank Huddle, Consultant (Congressional Research Service)

CONTRACTORS

Ultrasystems, Inc. . Robert R. Nathan Associates . The Futures Group

CONSULTANTS

Leonard Fischman, Resources for the Future, Inc. Earl Hayes, Materials Associates

Jacob Levin, Materials Specialist

Max Osias, Materials Specialist Jonathan Rintels, Attorney at Law John Tilton, Pennsylvania State University

J, H. Westbrook

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD

OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEXAS, CHAIRMAN CLIFFORD P. CASE. N. J., VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS. ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C. HUBERT H. HUMPHREY, MINN. CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER. PA. TED STEVENS. ALASKA

MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ. GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIF. MARVIN L. ESCH, MICH. MARJORIE S. HOLT, MD. EMILIO Q. DADDARIO

Congress of the United States

OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

AUG 23 1976

Simcerrelly.

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO DIRECTOR DANIEL V. DESIMONE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Committee on Science and Technology U. S. House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Board of the Office of Technology Assessment, we are pleased to forward a report: An Assessment of Alternative Economic Stockpiling Policies.

The report concludes OTA'S assessment of the attributes and ramifications of a national program to acquire, hold and dispose of materials for selected economic (nondefense) purposes.

This assessment was performed in accordance with your request to the Office of Technology Assessment dated December 13, 1974. An earlier summary of this report was transmitted to the Committee in February 1976.

Sincerely,

Olin E. Teague Chai rman

Encl osure

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT BOARD

OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEXAS, CHAIRMAN CLIFFORD P. CASE, N. J., VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD M. KENNEDY, MASS. MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ. ERNEST F. Hollings, S.C. ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, S.C. GEORGE E. BROWN, JR, CALI HUBERT H. HUMPHREY, MINN. CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER. PA. MARVIN L. ESCH. MICH. TED STEVENS, ALASKA

GEORGE E. BROWN, JR, CALIF. MARJORIE S. HOLT, MD. EMILIO Q. DADDARIO

Congress of the United States Office of Technology Assessment WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO DIRECTOR DANIEL V. DESIMONE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

AUG 19,1975

The Honorable 01 i n E. Teague Chairman of the Board Office of Technology Assessment Congress of the United States Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The enclosed report, "An Assessment of Alternative Economic Stockpiling Policies, " presents OTA'S analysis of the impacts of implementing one or a combination of several alternative materials stockpiling policies for economic (nondefense) purposes.

The assessment was requested by the Chairman and the Ranking Minority Member of the House Science and Technology Committee, and was prepared by the Material's Program staff, under the supervision of Dr. A. E. Paladino, with the assistance of the OTA Materials Advisory Committee, personnel from three major contractors, and several consultants.

The report specifically: (1) delineates the possible legislative options which the Congress may want to consider in deliberating the issue of economic stockpiling; (2) presents the economic, political, social, institutional, and legal impacts of five economic stockpiling policies; and (3) suggests possible management and operational quidelines for establishing and operating an economic stockpile.

While the request for the assessment originated in the House Committee on Science and Technology, it has been extensively used by the National Commission on Supplies and Shortages (NCSS) and the Joint Committee on Defense Production, Subcommittee on Materials Availability. In separate briefings of both staff and the Commissioners, OTA project personnel have made concerted efforts to assist the Commission in evaluating the complex nature and impact of economic stockpiling--including coordinating with NCSS staff presentations for the August 1976 Engineering Foundation Conference in Henniker, New Hampshire, on the "Engineering Implications of Chronic Materials Scarcity. "

OTA project personnel also have assisted the staff of the Joint Committee on Defense Production, Subcommittee on Materials Availability through several detailed briefings and discussions, as well as during the planning of their hearings conducted June 8-9, 1976 on the "Purposes and Organization of Economic Stockpiling."

Sincerely,

EMILIO Q. DADDARIO Director

Encl osure

PREFACE

This assessment is an analysis of the attributes and consequences of a national economic stockpile program to acquire, hold, and dispose of materials for various public purposes. The assessment is one element of a broad consideration of materials-related problems being undertaken by the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) in support of the policymaking activities of Congress. Related projects in the OTA Materials Program concern materials information systems, recycling and resource recovery, conservation, and minerals accessibility on Federal lands.

The present assessment was requested by the House Committee on Science and Technology which asked for an analysis of the "legislative options in the uses of a national stockpile to assist in the development and use of materials technology for public purposes." The principal objective of the assessment is to provide data and information for Congress to use in considering, first, the attributes and consequences of an economic stockpile implemented as a possible national strategy for discouraging or counteracting materials supply and price problems, and second, what methods are required to establish and operate such a stockpile. While the assessment is in response to the House Committee on Science and Technology, the results will also provide information and analyses useful to Congress at large, as well as to the National Commission on Supplies and Shortages.

The assessment focuses primarily on materials problems related to sudden discontinuities in the long-range supply/demand of a given material, resulting in complete or partial disruptions and abrupt price changes. The study specifically excludes an analysis of food commodities, which are being analyzed in another OTA assessment, and concentrates on metals and minerals.

One of the major propositions of the study is that economic stockpiling policy can and should be made independently of specific materials properties or characteristics. In contrast, the usual approach for analyzing materials stockpiling has been to start with specific materials and then develop public policies to satisify their individual requirements. Furthermore, economic stockpiling policy should be made and implemented in full consideration of the expected benefits and costs of such action.

This Final Report was prepared by the Office of Technology Assessment materials program staff, with contributions from: (1) an Advisory Committee comprised of individuals drawn from the materials field, academia, labor,

public interest groups, and private industry; (2) several private contractors; as well as (3) numerous other private and public agencies. The Advisory Committee provided advice and critique throughout the assessment, but does not necessarily approve, disapprove, or endorse the report, for which OTA assumes full responsibility.