

# Survey of Mineral Industry on Techniques, Parties, Costs, Acreages, and Times For Exploration, Development, and Production of Various Mineral Occurrence Types in the Onshore United States

## A. Introduction

During the conduct of the study, it became apparent that consideration of many critical areas of the Federal onshore mineral laws, such as diligence requirements, acreage limits, length of tenure, ability of industry to pay royalties, roles of prospectors and small exploration groups, assessment work, and other areas, could be greatly improved by collection and presentation of basic data on the techniques, parties, costs, acreages, and times involved in exploitation of various types of mineral deposits,

Such basic data were not available in the detail, completeness, and form required for useful analysis. Therefore, with the assistance of the Advisory Committee for this study, the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) prepared a questionnaire and forms to obtain such data from industry. The questionnaire is reprinted in edited form in section B below. Summary tables for each of the four general categories of mineral occurrence types are presented in section C, followed by the completed forms for each individual mineral occurrence type (the forms are arranged in the same order as the mineral occurrence types in the summary tables).

Each form was filled out by an active mineral explorationist knowledgeable on the particular mineral occurrence type and employed by (or consultant to) one of the larger companies exploring for such occurrences, under the overall direction of the heads of the exploration groups of such companies. The companies involved were AMAX Inc., Anaconda, ASARCO Inc., Homestake Mining Company, Mobil Oil Company, Occidental Minerals Corporation, and Texasgulf Inc. Ray E. Gilbert, a mineral exploration consultant, also contributed data.

The Office of Technology Assessment greatly appreciates the cooperation of these individuals and companies and is particularly appreciative of the efforts of Leo Miller and George Erdosh of Texasgulf Inc., who coordinated the data gathering effort.

The forms were filled out under a very short time constraint and were meant to indicate orders-of-magnitude rather than precise statistics.

## B. The Questionnaire

### OTA Survey of Mineral Industry on Techniques, Parties, Costs, Acreages, and Times for Exploitation of Various Mineral Occurrence Types on Onshore U.S. Land

The office of Technology Assessment of the U.S. Congress is a research arm of the Congress that is charged with providing accurate and objective information to the Congress in areas designated by chairpersons of congressional committees or by OTA'S bipartisan Congressional Board.

OTA is currently engaged in a study of the Federal onshore mineral and mining laws for use by the Congress, particularly the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources and the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. It is expected that the study will be relied upon heavily by those committees and by officials in the executive branch in formulating and considering various proposed revisions to the Federal onshore mining and mineral leasing laws.

Consideration of many critical areas of the laws, such as diligence requirements, acreage limits, length of tenure, ability of industry to pay royalties, role of prospectors and small exploration groups, assessment work, and other areas, could be greatly improved by collection and presentation of basic data on the techniques, parties, costs, acreages, and times involved in exploitation of various types of mineral deposits. This survey is intended to gather such data.

#### 1. The Forms

Table C. 1 lists the mineral occurrence types for which OTA would like to obtain data. [Table C. I has been moved to section C.] Although data on each type is not necessary, it is hoped that all of the more significant types, such as Marine Sedimentary (Oil and Gas) and Vein and Replacement Deposits [Gold, Silver, Copper. Etc. ) will be covered. Complete coverage, of course, would provide the best data source for analysis and use.

The types are divided into four categories which appear to present different sorts of problems in exploitation: surficial, stratabound-extensive. stratabound-discrete, and discordant. A good sample of types from each category is essential to the success of the data gathering effort. General descriptions of each category are:

- Surficial—generally unconsolidated and unburied mineral deposits resulting from weathering or deposition during late geologic time;
- Stratabound-extensive—large laterally continuous mineral deposits confined to a single stratigraphic unit;
- Stratabound-discrete-randomly distributed and/or discontinuous mineral deposits, essentially confined to specific stratigraphic units; and
- Discordant-mineral deposits that transect strata and/or are related to intrusive rocks, volcanic activity, etc.

Form 1 is to be used to provide summary statistics for each of the mineral occurrence types listed in table C.1. Form 1 asks for data on the range (minimum, average, maximum) of cost, acreage, and time required by different individuals and companies for different deposits of the same type. Care should be taken to make sure the ranges include the smallest as well as the largest type of operation. If there is a great variation in cost, acreage, or time between larger and smaller participants, the variation and the reason for it should be noted (e.g., in the Additional Comments column). Only data for onshore mineral exploitation in the United States or similar areas (e.g., Canada) should be used. If no U.S. data is available, foreign data can be used to estimate what the costs, acreages, and times would be in the United States. (Indicate on form, in Additional Comments column, that foreign data was used as basis for estimate. )

The data used for form 1 should include data on failures as well as successes in exploration, development, and production. This should be fairly easy to do since the form is broken down into four exploration stages in addition to development and production, and it is also broken down for each stage into the techniques used. Thus, data can be listed by technique in each stage, whether the technique was successful or not in a particular case, so that a larger sample of data is used which more accurately reflects the overall aggregate costs of a mineral exploitation program or project. (Note that separate listing of the data for successes and failures is not requested; it is merely desired that all available data be used to estimate the range of costs, acreages, and times for a particular technique or stage of exploitation. )

Preferably, the ranges should be for mineral exploitation on Federal land in the Western United States exclusive of Alaska. The Additional Comments column should be used to indicate any substantial variations from this range for areas such as Alaska or the Midwest (if appropriate, simply give percentage increase or decrease). If data is only available for an area other than the Western United States, either estimate the data for occurrences in the Western United States from similar deposits elsewhere or give the data for the other deposits—in either case, explain in the Additional Comments column.

## 2. Detailed Instructions

OTA form 1 is merely an expansion of the mineral exploitation activities, methods, costs, and times tables prepared by Paul Bailly of Occidental Minerals Corporation for various conferences and workshops. An example of one of Bailly's tables, listing 10 different ventures, is attached to this questionnaire [the table has been moved to section C], and it should indicate the distinctions between the two stages of Target identification and the two stages of Target Investigation on form 1. Form 1 also includes the development and production stages, which have their traditional meaning. The Bailly example also illustrates the sorts of techniques (activities or methods) that should be listed and the use of O, F, or L to indicate whether the technique is an Office, Field, or Laboratory method or activity. It is not necessary to list land acquisition as a separate activity on Form 1; however, if land acquisition costs are included, they should be listed separately from all other costs in the appropriate stage.

Explanations and instructions for each column heading on Form 1 are presented immediately below. Form 1 usually calls for minimum, average, and maximum figures.

Main Activities and Methods: see Bailly example.

O.F. or L: Office, Field, or Laboratory—see Bailly example.

I.S. M, or L: Individual prospector or explorationist, Small firm or group of individuals, Medium-sized firm, or Large firm. For Form 1, give percentage which each group (I, S, M, or L) makes up of those performing each activity (or participating at each stage) of the exploitation of the mineral occurrence type. Do not count, e.g., an individual as an I participant if he or she is funded by an S, M, or L participant. Arbitrary definitions of I, S, M, and L are: I—no more than 2 people working together spending less than \$10,000 per year on mineral exploitation; S—no more than 50 people working together spending less than \$250,000 per year; M—expenditures of less than \$2,500,000 per year; L—expenditures of \$2,500,000 or more per year. **[Note:** Some respondents, instead of listing quantitative percentages of participation, used an “X” to indicate participation by one or more of the four categories of participants.]

Direct Cost; Cost exclusive of land acquisition cost, taxes, etc., which however can be listed separately from all direct costs. Costs should be reported in 1977 dollars (i.e., past costs should be adjusted to current equivalent 1977 figures). Overhead should be reported, but separately from direct costs as either a dollar figure or a percentage of direct costs.

Area Being Investigated, Area Covered: Area in square miles covered by activity or to which technique is applied, which is usually distinct from:

Area Under Cluim, Option, Lease, Etc: Area in acres for which exploitation rights were acquired or optioned prior to activity or use of technique.

Duration of Each Stage: Divided into three categories, each reported in months:

Without Any Delays: Time in months required for activity or method in the absence of any delays due to economics, regulatory restrictions, etc. Includes time lost due to normal climatic change in seasons, although any very significant climatic or seasonal loss in time common in a particular geographic region (e.g. Alaska) should be noted in the Additional Comments column, Also includes any normal delay due to company's inability to fund all possible projects simultaneously,

Delays Due to Economics, Technology (Nonregulatory): Delays in addition to normal delays occasioned by, e.g., drop in metal prices, too low an ore grade, lack of technological development (rather than normal wait for delivery or manufacture of on-the-shelf technology),

Delays Due Solely to Regulation; Delays in addition to normal and nonregulatory delays which do not overlap such delays and are caused by governmental orders, restrictions, or refusals to act (e.g., on permit application).

Additional Comments: Any additional comments. Should be used to describe significant variations in costs, times, or acreages resulting from geographic location (e. g., Alaska) or size of participant (e.g., individual prospector versus large firm),

## C. Tables and Forms

The following pages contain: (1) the Bailly table and the table of illustrative mineral occurrence types that were attached to the questionnaire, (2) summary tables, one for each of the four general categories of mineral occurrence types, and (3) the completed forms, one for each individual mineral occurrence type. The completed forms, including comments, have not been edited by OTA beyond regrouping of numbers where appropriate.

Main Activities and Methods During the Four Stages of Exploration for Ten Successful Ventures Previous to a Decision that a Profitable Surface Mine Can Be Opened

Exploration Stages	Search for a New Porphyry Copper Ore Deposit in the Southwest U.S.A.	Search for Blind Stratiform Lead-Zinc Deposits in the Carbonate Formations	Search for Massive Sulfide Deposits with Silver and Gold in the Brecciated Chert of the Cambrian Shield	Search for a New Iron Deposit in the Precambrian Shield	Search for a New Placer Gold-Tin Deposit in Alaska	Search for a New Phosphate Deposit in the Southeastern Coastal U.S.A.	Search for a New Uranium Formation in the Euxine Basin in Western U.S.A.	Search for a New Coal Deposit in the Western U.S.A.	Search for a New Cement-Grade Limestone Deposit in the U.S.A.	Search for a New Gravel Deposit Near Urban Center in the U.S.A.
RECONNAISSANCE (Stage #1)	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Structural analysis* F Field inspection of area selected from air and/or on ground	O Geological compilation* O Paleogeographic and paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Rock units, contacts, etc. structures	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions
RECONNAISSANCE (Stage #2)	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Structural analysis* F Field inspection of area selected from air and/or on ground	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions
SURFACE INVESTIGATION OF TARGET AREA (Stage #3)	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Structural analysis* F Field inspection of area selected from air and/or on ground	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions
DETAILED THREE-DIMENSIONAL PHYSICAL SAMPLING OF TARGET AREA (Stage #4)	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Structural analysis* F Field inspection of area selected from air and/or on ground	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions	O Geological compilation* O Photogeologic study O Paleogeographic reconstructions

At the end of each stage all results are integrated and areas of interest redefined

Legend: O = Office study; F = Field investigation; L = Laboratory tests

\* = activity of method which is indispensable

Source: Survey of Exploration Methods and Requirements, Table 2, in American Institute of Mining Engineers, Surface Mining, Ch. 2 (1968)

Table C.1 Illustrative Mineral Occurrence Types

SURFICIAL		NONSURFICIAL					
		STRATABOUND-EXTENSIVE		STRATABOUND-DISCRETE		DISCORDANT	
<u>Geologic Environment</u>	<u>Typical Ores</u>	<u>Geologic Environment</u>	<u>Typical Ores</u>	<u>Geologic Environment</u>	<u>Typical Ores</u>	<u>Geologic Environment</u>	<u>Typical Ores</u>
Aluminous Clays and Latenites	"Bauxite, Kaolinite	Bedded Precambrian	"Iron, Copper, Gold	Marine Sedimentary	'011 and Gas, Bromine, Bante	Breccia Pipes	"Uranium, Molybdenum, Copper, Gold, Diamond
Latentes	"Nickel (Cobalt)	Marine	" Phosphate, Iron, 011	Continental Sedimentary (Sandstones and Fossil Placers)	" Uranium (Vanadium), Gold, Titanium	Porphyries	"Copper-Molybdenum, Gold, Tin
Stream Placers	Gold, Silver, Platinum	Sedimentary	Shale, Manganese	Lacustrine Evaporites	" Gypsum, " Trona, " Boron	Pegmatites	Lithium, Fluorine, Beryllium, Rare Earths, Mica, Feldspar, Columbium, Tantalum
Coastal Placers	Tin, Rare Earths, Iron, Gem Stones	Marine Evaporite	" Potassium " Sodium "Sulfur, " Gypsum, Lithium, Mangesium	Fossil Laterites	Bauxite	Veinn and Replacement Deposits	"Gold, "Silver, Copper, Alunite, Mercury, Lead, Zinc, Bante, Fluorine, Tungsten, Molybdenum, Uraium. Iron, Graphite, Gem Stones, Native Sulfur, Gilsonite
	Titanium, Zirconium, Chromium, Rare Earths, Gem Stones	Continental Sedimentary	"Coal, 011 Shale, " Boron, Sodium	Young Tuffs and Related Sedimentary	Beryllium, Mercury, Fluorite, Native Sulfur		
Residual Deposits	Bante, Iron, Manganese, Titanium, Phosphate, Columbium, Vermiculite	Continental Volcanic	Bentonite	Shale Hosted Massive Sulfides	'Copper-Lead-Zinc-Silver	Massive Sulfide Pipes	Copper-Lead-Zinc-Silver (Gold, Pyrite)
Brines in Evaporites	"sodium, " Potassium, "Magnesium, " Boron, Lithium. Tungsten	Stratiform Igneous Complexes	" Iron Chromium Platinum Group Metals Vanadium	Carbonate Stratiform	"Zinc-Lead-Bante-Fluorine (Copper, Cobalt)	Rhyolitic Volcanic	"Tin, Tungsten, Bismuth
Supergene Enrichment	Copper, Silver, Lead, Zinc, Gold, Manganese			Volcanogenic Massive Sulfides	"Copper-Lead-Zinc-Silver (Gold, Pyrite, Bante)	Mafic and Ultra mafic Intrusive	Nickel-Copper, Olivine
				Metamorphic	Garnet, Kyanite, Graphite	Podiform Ultramafic	Chromium, Copper, Iron, Nickel, Asbestos
						Anorthosite Complexes	Titanium, Iron, Vanadium
						Veins in Ultramatic	Asbestos, Talc
						Veins in Meta-morphosed Dolomites	Talc
						Salt Domes	"Sulfur
						Carbonate and Alkalic Complexes	Phosphate, Rare Earths, Iron, Titanium Columbium Copper
* Described in Ad Hoc Geological Committee on Remote Sensing from Space, <i>Geological Remote Sensing from Space</i> (1977)							





Table C.2 (Cont'd)

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (Months) of Each Stage								Additional Comments				
			Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Without Any Delays				Plus Non-Regulatory Delays					Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min		Avg	Max		
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	1. Aluminous clays and laterites-kaolinite and bauxite	1	4	20	75	1.7K	5.7K	12.3K	20%	3	6	20	1920	3.8K	12.8K	24	36	60	3	12	30	5	14	20							
	2. Laterites-nickel	—	—	—	X	4542	8081	14262	15%	1	2	2.5	2K	3K	6K	24	36	48	18	24	36	24	36	48							
	3. Stream Placers: gold, silver, tin	—	X	X	—	120	—	1000	—	1	—	10	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—					
	4. Coastal Placers: titanium	0	0	50	50	49	345	1662	5%	1	5	10	640	6.4K	16K	12	24	50	1	2	5	4	6	24							
	5. Residual deposits: phosphate	0	0	35	65	100	200	460	36	3	5	12	600	1K	8K	6	12	36	3	6	10	1	6	20							
	6. Brines in evaporites	—	—	—	—	55	340	1.2K	36	4	12	100	1200	7.6K	60K	9	24	42	—	—	—	0	6	60							
	7. Supergene enrichment: copper, silver, etc.	0	0	12	88	750	3500	6300	1200	1	3	10	1K	5K	10K	27	54	186	8	42	192	5	30	72							
	8. Supergene enrichment: silver	—	—	—	X	—	1000	—	250	—	2	—	—	2K	—	—	18	—	—	6	—	—	—	—	—						
	Total																														
Stage 5: Development	1. Aluminous clays and laterites-kaolinite and bauxite	1	2	5	92	75K	280K	361K	10%	1	2	6	1.9K	3.8K	12.8K	36	48	60	6	12	24	12	36	—							
	2. Laterites-nickel	—	—	—	X	23K	30K	35K	20%	0.5	1	1.5	2K	3K	6K	36	48	63	—	—	—	12	18	24							
	3. Stream Placers: gold, silver, tin	—	X	X	—	—	10K	50K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	4. Coastal Placers: titanium	0	0	50	50	—	—	—	—	5	10	15	3.2K	6.5K	10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	5. Residual deposits: phosphate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	6. Brines in evaporites	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	7. Supergene enrichment: copper, silver, etc.	0	0	5	95	5135	81K	502K	1290	1	2	10	1K	5K	10K	48	75	156	42	66	144	48	78	108							
	8. Supergene enrichment: silver	—	—	—	X	—	3600	—	900	—	2	—	—	2K	—	—	36	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	Total																														
Stage 6: Production	1. Aluminous clays and laterites-kaolinite and bauxite	1	2	5	92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.9K	3.8K	12.8K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	2. Laterites-nickel	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	3. Stream Placers: gold, silver, tin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	4. Coastal Placers: titanium	0	0	50	50	—	—	—	—	5	10	15	3.2K	6.4K	10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	5. Residual deposits: phosphate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	6. Brines in evaporites	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	7. Supergene enrichment: copper, silver, etc.	0	0	5	95	—	—	—	—	—	2	10	1K	5K	10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	8. Supergene enrichment: silver	—	—	—	X	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	2K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—						
	Total																														

Sheet 1 of 2

**Table C.3 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics for Stratabound Extensive Mineral Occurrence Types**

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments
			I				Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation							
			I	S	M	L								Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max		
Regional Appraisal	1 gold-uranium-base metal	3	20	35	42	1	9	45	1	5	1K	300K	—	—	—	0.2	2	10	0.8	3.5	6	0	0	0			
	2 Marine sedimentary phosphate	0	10	40	50	2	6	12	3	10K	100K	1000K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	3 Marine evaporite-polash	15	25	30	30	5	10	20	15%	1K	1000K	1000K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	4 Continental sedimentary coal	12	20	33	35	3	10	14	15%	100	140	200	—	—	—	3	8	10	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	5 Stratiform igneous complex	—	—	—	X	5.3	15.3	36.2	195	500	40K	100K	—	—	—	6	18	42	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	1 gold-uranium-base metal	1	20	35	44	1	30	180	3	5	40	500	—	—	—	0.2	2	24	0	0.5	0	0	0	0			
	2 Marine sedimentary phosphate	0	10	40	50	8	21	48	8	500	2K	10K	—	—	—	2	6	14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	3 Marine evaporite-polash	15	25	30	30	3	6	8	15%	200	4K	8K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	4 Continental sedimentary coal	20	23	28	29	3	9	12	15%	50	00	140	—	—	—	2	6	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	5 Stratiform igneous complex	—	—	—	X	319	607	1075	372	—	—	—	10K	20K	50K	12	27	90	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	1 gold-uranium-base metal	0	20	35	45	15	110	430	12	0.3	2	7	200	1K	4K	1	7	20	1	0	1	0	4	12			
	2 Marine sedimentary phosphate	0	5	10	85	3	9	24	3	4	0.2	200	25K	76K	13K	2	5	14	—	—	—	0	4	100			
	3 Marine evaporite-polash	15	20	35	35	0	0	0	0	200	5	0	800	1K	8K	15K	6	12	18	8	16	20	36	60	?		
	4 Continental sedimentary coal	0	10	45	45	20	160	275	15%	25	5	70	640	1K	5K	6	12	18	—	—	—	3	4	6			
	5 Stratiform igneous complex	—	—	—	X	1172	2355	5744	1005	10	20	50	10K	15K	25K	16	24	48	1	6	12	1	3	6			
Stage 3: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	1 gold-uranium-base metal	0	10	40	50	285	1800	7400	180	0.3	2	7	200	1K	4K	16	50	78	7	19	35	12	24	72			
	2 Marine sedimentary phosphate	0	0	40	60	260	1191	4295	55	4	12	200	25K	76K	13K	12	30	60	—	—	—	0	24	100			
	3 Marine evaporite-polash	2	10	38	50	420	840	1010	15%	200	500	800	1K	8K	15K	12	18	24	18	24	30	24	36	42			
	4 Continental sedimentary coal	0	10	45	45	25	40	60	15%	—	—	—	5K	10K	25K	6	12	24	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	5 Stratiform igneous complex	—	—	—	X	2810	4735	7654	1435	4	10	20	2560	6.4K	13K	24	36	48	3	9	18	3	3	18+			

Table C.3 (Cont'd)

[illegible]

**Table C.4 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics for Stratabound Discrete Mineral Occurrence Types**

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done By				Direct Cost (\$000)				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments
			S			M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation						
			1	2	3										Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	
Regional Appraisal	1 oil and gas	—	—	—	—	110	350	600	20	1K	5K	40K	—	—	—	1	6	12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	2 Continental sedimentary uranium	—	—	X	—	75	125	250	25	4K	6K	10K	—	—	—	6	15	24	1	2	3	—	—	—	—		
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	0	10	30	60	12	6	12	3	10K	100K	100K	—	—	—	1	3	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	4 Fossiliferite	2	3	15	80	100	286	660	20%	1K	20K	100K	—	—	—	12	21	62	4	14	30	2	10	12	—		
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-copper	0	10	30	60	2.5	8	15	10%	2K	15K	25K	—	—	—	1.5	3.5	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	—	X	15	29	51	15%	4K	12K	30K	—	—	—	1.75	3.5	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	7 Carb. Strat. Ba-F	8	17	28	47	9	18	28	15%	7.5K	10K	15K	—	—	—	7	10	14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	8 Carb. Strat. Pb-Zn-Cu	6	14	30	50	40	98	18	28	15%	7.5K	10K	15K	—	—	—	7	10	14	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	0	30	30	40	95	150	14	10K	100K	100K	50K	—	—	—	6	14	28	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	10 Metamorphic	0	50	40	10	7	20	35	3	1K	10K	50K	—	—	—	2	5	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Detailed Reconnaissance	1 oil and gas	—	—	—	—	1300	6.5K	22K	—	1K	5K	40K	—	—	—	12	36	60	1	2	6	0	2	6	—		
	2 Continental sedimentary uranium	—	—	X	—	150	400	700	80	2K	4K	6K	—	—	—	9	15	30	1	3	5	1	3	5	—		
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	—	10	30	60	8	21	48	8	4	20	1K	—	—	—	2	6	14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	4 Fossiliferite	5	10	25	60	50	230	980	27%	10	40	100	—	—	—	6	15	40	0	12	12	0	6	0	—		
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-copper	0	10	30	60	12.5	81	137	10%	2K	15K	25K	—	—	—	2	10	15	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	X	X	60	122	244	15%	2K	3K	8K	—	—	—	2.5	5.5	12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	7 Carb. Strat. Ba-F	8	17	31	44	11	18	19	15%	100	200	400	—	—	—	1	3	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	8 Carb. Strat. Pb-Zn-Cu	7	14	25	55	112	369	781	15%	100	200	400	200	300	500	3	6	13	0	6	12	4	18	32	—		
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	0	30	30	40	25	65	130	13	100	200	400	—	—	—	4	8	15	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	10 Metamorphic	0	50	40	10	10	20	40	4	500	2K	5K	—	—	—	2	4	5	—	—	—	0	1	3	—		
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	1 Marine sedimentary oil and gas	—	—	—	—	600	1100	1800	—	—	—	—	50K	200K	1.5M	12	24	60	1	2	6	—	—	—	—		
	2 Continental sedimentary uranium	—	—	X	—	175	475	850	95	8	30	150	5K	20K	100K	6	12	24	1	3	5	1	2	3	—		
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	0	5	30	65	5	25	50	6	4	12	100	1.2K	76K	60K	2	5	14	—	—	0	6	60	—			
	4 Fossiliferite	5	5	15	75	80	160	360	15%	6	12	40	3.8K	7.7K	26K	6	12	36	0	2	6	4	12	0	—		
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-copper	0	10	30	60	9	20	72	10%	—	—	—	1.0K	1K	10K	1	2	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	X	X	40	59	101	15%	10	15	40	6K	8K	12K	3.5	6	9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	7 Carb. Strat. Ba-F	9	25	33	33	20	75	140	15%	5	9	17	2K	4K	4K	4	10	12	1	2	2	2	6	12	—		
	8 Carb. Strat. Pb-Zn-Cu	4	14	40	27	46	75	95	15%	5	10	20	2K	7K	12K	12	12	12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	0	30	30	40	25	50	95	10	10	25	60	1.5K	4K	10K	5	12	25	—	—	—	0	8	16	—		
	10 Metamorphic	0	50	40	10	21	37	58	7	3	5	15	500	1K	5K	3	5	10	—	—	—	0	1	5	—		

Sheet 2 of 2

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$'000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments
		I	J	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation							
																	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max		
																												Min	
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	1 Marine sedimentary-oil and gas	—	—	—	—	—	1K	5K	20K	50	10	50	200	16K	24K	128K	1	3	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	2 Continental sedimentary-uranium	—	—	X	—	—	700	2K	4.4K	330	2	4	8	5K	20K	100K	30	45	72	3	6	12	10	20	50				
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	0	0	30	70	—	55	340	1.2K	36	4	12	100	12K	76K	60K	9	36	60	—	—	—	0	6	60				
	4 Fossiliferite	1	4	20	75	—	17K	5.7K	12.3K	20%	3	9	40	19K	3.8K	12.8K	24	36	60	3	12	30	5	14	20				
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-copper	0	5	20	75	—	24	231	668	10%	—	—	—	—	100	1K	10K	6	17	40	—	—	2	9	18				
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	X	X	—	10.7K	14K	24.8K	15%	1	2	2.5	4K	7K	9K	36	60	100	24	36	60	24	36	48				
	7 Carb. Strat.-Ba, F	4	20	37	39	—	530	980	1550	15%	3	5	10	500	1K	4K	6	12	24	2	4	6	6	12	24				
	8 Carb. Strat.-Pb-Zn-Cu	0	13	42	45	—	3K	13.9K	30K	15%	3	7	15	19K	4.5K	9.6K	21	29	160	9	36	60	12	12	24				
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	0	10	35	55	—	137	27.5	523	38	2	5	10	1K	4K	10K	8	15	36	—	—	—	0	6	24				
	10 Metamorphic	0	50	40	10	—	45	105	203	15	1	2	4	300	500	5K	6	12	30	—	—	—	0	4	12				
Stage 5: Development	1 Marine sedimentary-oil and gas	—	—	—	—	—	555	5.3K	31K	67	4	15	50	13K	4.5K	16K	4	14	39	5	6	9	0	—	—	2			
	2 Continental sedimentary-uranium	—	—	X	—	—	—	60K	—	—	2	4	8	5K	20K	100K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	—	—	—	—	—	75K	280K	361K	10%	—	2	6	19K	3.8K	12.8K	9	14	20	3	5	10	6	17	—				
	4 Fossiliferite	1	2	5	92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-copper	0	0	20	80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	—	X	—	95K	120K	145K	20%	0.25	0.5	0.75	4K	7K	9K	18	24	30	—	—	—	12	18	24				
	7 Carb. Strat.-Ba, F	2	22	38	38	—	362	4658	8510	15%	1	2	6	500	1K	4K	18	36	90	4	7	12	6	18	48				
	8 Carb. Strat.-Pb-Zn-Cu	0	14	43	43	—	2002	5010	7020	15%	3	7	15	19K	4.5K	9.6K	12	24	36	24	30	40	12	20	36				
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	0	0	30	70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	10 Metamorphic	0	30	50	20	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
Stage 6: Production	1 Marine sedimentary-oil and gas	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	7	25	13K	4.5K	16K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	2 Continental sedimentary-uranium	1	—	X	—	—	—	11K	11K	11K	2	4	8	5K	20K	100K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	3 Lacustrine evaporite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	4 Fossiliferite	1	2	5	92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19K	3.8K	12.8K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	5 Shale Hosted massive sulfide Copper	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	6 Shale Hosted massive sulfide-Pb-Zn-Ag	—	—	—	20	80	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	7 Carb. Strat.-Ba, F	—	—	—	—	—	—	11K	11K	11K	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1				
	8 Carb. Strat.-Pb-Zn-Cu	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—				
	9 Volc. Mass. Sulfide	—	—	—	45	45	—	11K	11K	11K	—	7	15	19K	4.5K	9.6K	120	300	200	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	10 Metamorphic	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			



Table C.5 (Cont'd)

[illegible]

Sheet 1 of 3

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence TypeGeologic Environment: Surficial Aluminous Clays & Laterites  
Typical Ores: Koolinite & Bauxite for U.S. of America

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O. F. or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Delays (months) or less						Additional Comments			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation								
														Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Geological Completion	O	0	5	10	85	15	50	150	20%	1K	10K	100K				2	6	12							
	Photogeological studies (rock units, weathering)	O	0	5	10	85	20	50	100	20%	1K	20K	100K				6	10	12							
	Land use completion	O	0	0	20	80	10	40	60	20%	1K	2K	50K				1	2	4	2	4	6				
	Field inspections of several selected areas	F	5	5	25	65	30	70	200	30%	100	1K	20K				2	6	18	0	4	12	0	6		
	Compilation and evaluation	O	5	5	25	65	10	40	80																	
Total							85	200	590	25%							6	10	18	2	4	12	0	6		
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Geological mapping	F	1	4	20	75	20	40	100	20%	10	40	100				4	12	24	0	0	0	0	6		
	Soil geochemistry	F	1	4	20	75	10	20	40	10%	10	40	100				4	12	24	0	0	0	0	6		
	Drilling and sampling	F	5	10	25	60	20	150	800	30%	5	20	50				6	12	36	0	0	0	2	6		
	Compilation and evaluation of data	O	5	10	25	60	10	40	80	30%	10	40	100				2	4	8							
	Total							60	250	1020	27%						6	15	40	0	2	12	0	6		
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	(Land acquisition)	F	10	20	20	50	40	200	4000	10%	6	12	40	3840	7680	25600	4	12	36	0	2	4	4	12		
	Preliminary Feasibility study	O	5	5	5	85	40	80	200	10%	2	4	8				2	6	12	0	2	4	4	12		
	Preliminary Environmental studies (eco, legal, Political)	F	5	5	5	85	40	80	200	20%	20	80	200				6	12	36	0	2	6	4	12		
	Total							80	160	360	15%						6	12	36	0	2	6	4	18		



Sheet 2 of 3

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Surficial Aluminous Clays & Laterites  
Typical Ores: Kaolinite & Bauxite for U.S. of America

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$'000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (months) of each stage						Additional Comments			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation						
														Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Detailed Drilling and assaying	F	1	4	20	75	700	+500	3000	30%	6	12	40	1920	3840	12.8K	6	12	24	2	3	12	2	6	12	
	Metallurgical Testing	F	1	4	20	75	100	200	400	10%	6	12	40				3	12	24	0	2	4				
	Reserve calculation	O	5	10	25	60	20	40	80	10%	6	12	40				1	2	4	0	2	3				
	Environmental Studies	F	1	4	20	75	100	400	1000	20%	6	12	40				12	12	12	0	2	3	2	6	12	
	Preliminary Mine Planning	O	1	4	20	75	20	40	80	10%	6	12	40				2	4	6	0	2	3				
	Compilation of Data & Feasibility Study	O	1	4	20	75	20	100	200	10%	6	12	40				2	4	6	0	1	11				
	(Land Acquisition)	F	5	10	25	60	(300)	(2K)	(4K)	10%	6	12	40				12	12	12	0	6	12	0	6		
	Fill-in Drilling & Assaying	F	1	4	20	75	200	1000	2000	30%	3	6	20	1920	3840	12.8K	4	6	8	1	2	4	2	4	8	
	Bulk Sampling & Metallurgical Testing	F	5	10	25	60	100	700	1500	20%	3	6	20				4	8	16	0	2	4	1	6		
	Mining Tests	F	1	4	20	75	100	400	1000	20%	3	6	20				8	16	32	0	2	3	2	6		
	Preliminary Engineering for Plant, etc.	O	1	4	20	75	50	300	700	10%	3	6	20				4	6	8	0	1	2				
	Final Feasibility study	O	1	4	10	85	100	300	700	10%	3	6	20				6	8	12	0	1	2				
	Environmental Studies	F	1	4	10	85	100	400	1000	20%	3	6	20				12	12	12	0	1	2				
	Legal Work	O	1	4	10	85	100	300	600	10%	3	6	20				6	8	12	0	1	2				
Total							1710	5680	12.3K	20%							24	36	60	3	12	30	5	14	20	
Stage 5: Development	Mine Construction	F	1	2	5	92	20K	50K	100K	10%	1	2	6				24	36	60	6	12	24	12	36		
	Mill Construction	F	1	2	5	92	20K	50K	100K	10%							18	24	36	6	12	24	12	36		
	Infrastructure Construction	F	1	2	5	92	20K	50K	100K	10%							12	24	36	6	12	24	12	36		
	Permits	O	1	2	5	92	50	100	200	10%				1920	3840	12.8K	12	24	36				12	36		
	Environmental studies & work	F/O	1	2	5	92	15K	30K	60K	10%							24	36	48	6	12	24	12	36		
	Geological & Technical studies & Drilling	F/O	1	2	5	92	100	300	600	20%							12	18	24	6	12	24	12	24		
	Total						75.2K	280K	361K	10%							36	48	60	6	12	24	12	36		





Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Surficial — Stream Placers  
Typical Ores: Gold, Tin, Silver, Diamonds

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by			Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead	Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments		
			S	M	L		Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation	
														Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg			Max
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	1. Regional appraisal mainly literature to locate largest areas of alluvium first countrywide, then state wide. 2. Locate most favored areas from study of source rocks. 3. Visit the area to ascertain land position who holds lands and what portion of it is available for what price. Total	O	x	x		1	5	1	1	10	10	10	3 mos						The greatest time consumer could be 3, i.e. picking up land		
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	This stage would probably be omitted unless the taking of a few widely-spaced large samples from natural exposures in cliff faces in streams incised in the alluvium can be regarded as detailed reconnaissance. Total	F	x			1	5	15										Generally speaking, large companies are no longer interested in placers other than perhaps tin in Malaysia and in Russia for two reasons: 1) Insufficient dollars in the ground, and, 2) Unlikelihood of being environmentally allowed to disrupt ground. **I really think one can forget about any future major placer operations in view of the politician's attitude toward preservation of the status quo of the environment resulting from pressure from vociferous, well organized, intelligent, but ignorant minority groups. So, unless the above comments are noted and action taken by politicians, then the time taken in completing this form is wasted and is costing us dollars.			
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	As described under stage 2; detailed reconnaissance. Total	F	x			4	42	42	1	10	10							At the end of this stage, we would know whether to proceed or get out.			
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Drilling with churn-type drill on a grid pattern. Initially the grid lines could be as much as 1/2 to 1 mile apart with individual holes 500 to 1000 ft apart. This drill pattern would be closed pattern. Total	F	x	x		120	1000	1000	11 to 10									At the end of this stage, assuming success, we would be considering the cost of a dredge & a dredging operation.			
Stage 5: Development	NEW GOLD OR SILVER PLACER built since the 50s other than by the Russians who incidentally had sent over one of California's Yuba Dredges on which their present dredges are no doubt patterned.																	NOTE: As previously stated, it would appear that even if a dredging operation finally cleared the environmentalists, the time and costs involved in doing this would be considerable and very few companies will be game to try.			
Stage 6: Production			x															A medium sized gold dredge would shift approximately 8 000 to 12 000 yards in a 24 hour period, which is about 300 000 yards for a 30 day month. With a grade of 1 100 oz Au. cubic yard, which is higher than average by possibly a factor of 2, the average monthly ounces of gold recovered would be 3 000, which at a gold price of \$140/ounce = \$420 000 gross per month, or \$5 040 000 per annum. We might net 15% of this. NOTE: In Alaska, or elsewhere where permafrost exists, the dredging year is 180 days.			



Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Surficial — Residual Deposits Typical Ores: Phosphate										Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments			
Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead			Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation		
		I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max		
<b>Stage 1: Regional Appraisal</b>																							
Geologic appraisal, regional area selection	O		10	30	60	5	8	15	2	10K	100K	1000K	—	—	—	2	3	4					
Air photo, topographic and geologic map studies of selection	O					5	8	15	2	10K	100K	1000K				2	3	4					
<b>Total</b>						10	16	30	4							4	6	8					
<b>Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance</b>																							
Geochemical and/or soil surveys	F		10	30	60	15	25	45	5	100	1K	10K	2K	4K	8K	2	3	6					
Geologic reconnaissance mapping	F					15	25	40	5	100	1K	10K	2K	4K	8K	2	3	6					
Overburden check drilling	F					20	30	60	5	100	1K	10K	2K	4K	8K	3	4	8					
<b>Total</b>						50	80	145	15							7	10	20					
<b>Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area</b>																							
Detailed geologic mapping	F		30			10	25	35	5	3	5	12	600	1K	8K	1	2	3					
Detailed geochem and/or soil survey	F					10	30	40	6	3	5	12	600	1K	8K	1	2	3					
Trenching	F					10	25	50	5	3	5	12	600	1K	8K	1	2	3					
Analyses	L					5	10	15	2	—	—	—				1	2	3					
<b>Total</b>						35	90	140	18							2	5	12					
<b>Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area</b>																							
Overburden grid drilling	F		35			40	70	150	10	3	5	12	600	1K	8K	3	8	18					
Deep trenching or shaft sinking	F		35			20	60	150	12							2	5	15					
Engineering and economic feasibility studies	O					10	20	40	4							2	5	10					
Pilot plant operation	F					30	50	120	10							2	5	15					
<b>Total</b>						100	200	460	36							6	12	36					
<b>Total</b>																							



Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Surficial — Supergene Enrichment

Typical Ores: Cu, Ag, Pb, Zn, Au, Mn

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, L, or F	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$000) of Method, Excluding Overhead					Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated					Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.					Without Any Delays					Plus Non-Regulatory Delays					Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulatory					Additional Comments
		Done by					Overhead					Investigated					Lease, Etc.					Delays					Delays										
		O	L	F	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max								
		O	L	F	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max								
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Geologic Compilation	O	10	10	30	50	1	3	5	1	1	100	1K	0	0	0	1	3	6	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0								
	Structural Studies	O	10	10	30	50	1	3	5	1	1	100	1K	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0									
	Compilation of Previous Mining Activity	O	10	10	30	50	1	3	5	1	1	50	100	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0										
	Field Survey	F	5	5	40	50	1	3	5	1	1	100	200	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1										
	Total						4	2	20	4							4	8	5	4	4	4															
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Surface Mapping of rocks	F	5	10	25	60	5	15	25	5	1	3	10	100	1K	10K	2	6	12	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0									
	Rock Soil Geochem	F	5	10	25	60	10	25	50	5	2	10	50	100	1K	10K	2	3	12	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0										
	Petrog. mineralogic studies	L	0	5	10	85	2	3	5	1	1	1	5	—	—	—	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0										
	Geophysics (IP, SPI, Mag)	F	0	5	10	85	10	50	100	5	5	5	10	100	2K	10K	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0										
	Field inspection of Anomalies	F	0	10	40	50	5	15	20	10	5	7	10	100	2K	10K	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0										
Total						32	108	200	26							7	15	33	5	5	5		1	2													
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Detailed geologic and alteration mapping	F	0	10	40	50	5	25	50	10	1	3	10	100	1K	10K	1	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									
	Detailed Surface geochemistry	F	0	10	40	50	5	25	50	10	1	3	10				1	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0										
	Preliminary Drilling	F	0	5	20	75	50	75	200	25	1	2	5				3	8	12	1	5	12	1	3	6												
	Total						60	125	300	45							5	15	22	1	5	12	1	3	6												
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Drilling	F	0	0	20	80	100	750	2000	250	1	—	10	—	5K	0K	12	30	96	1	6	36		6	2												
	Prelim Metallurgical Testing	L	0	0	20	80	50	250	300	50	1	3	10				3	10	12	1	6	36	0	0													
	Drilling underground workings for bulk sample	F	0	0	10	90	200	500	1000	200	1	1	2				3	18	36	2	12	48	1	6	20												
	Final Metallurgical Environmental and support studies		0	0	10	90	200	1500	2000	500	1	3	10				6	15	18	2	12	48		12	24												
	Feasibility Study	O	0	0	5	95	200	500	1000	200	1	3	10				12	15	24	2	6	24		6	12												
Total						750	35K	6.3K	1.2K							27	54	186	8	42	192	5	30	72													



Sheet 2 of 2

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Surficial — Supergene  
Typical Ores: Cu, Ag, Pb, Zn, Au, Mn

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) of Each Stage								Additional Comments
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays	Plus Non-Regulatory Delays	Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation								
Stage 5: Development	Permits	O				80	10	25	50	15	1	3	10	x	5K	10K	12	18	48	6	12	36	12	18	24		
	Mine Mill Design and Engineering	L	O	O	O	90	25	150	300	25	1	3	5				12	18	36	12	18	36	12	18	24		
	Site Preparation	F	O	O	O	90	100	1K	2K	250	1	2	5				12	15	24	12	18	36	12	18	24		
	Construction of Mine Mill	F	O	O	O	95	5000	80K	500K	1000	1	2	5				12	24	48	12	18	36	12	24	36		
	Total	I					5 1K	81 2K	502K	1290							48	75	156	42	66	144	48	78	108		
Stage 6: Production	Operating Permits	O			5	95	25	50	100	50		3	0	K	5K	OK	12	24	36	6	12	36	6	18	36		
	Labor Negotiations	O			5	95	25	50	100	75							6	10	12	6	12	36	6	12	36		
	Town Site	F			5	95	200	500	1K	200	1	2	3				12	18	24	6	12	36	6	12	36		
	Mine Mill Shutdown	F	O		5	95	200	500	1K	500							3	6	12	6	12	36	6	10	12		
	Total						450	1 1K	2 2K	825							21	48	84	24	48	144	24	52	130		







Geologic Environment: Stratabound Extensive-Marine Evaporite  
Typical Ores: Potash

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation					
														Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max			
																							Min	Avg	
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Compilation of geologic literature, mapping	O	10	20	35	35																			
	Oil, gas well log studies	O	15	25	30	30																			
	State and Federal Survey visits	O	15	25	30	30																			
	Basin analyses	O	15	25	30	30					500K	1000K	1000K												
	Choice of basin to be investigated	O	15	25	30	30	5	10	20	15%	1K	4K	8K	—	—	—	NA	—	—	—	NA	—			
	Total						5	10	20	15%															
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Detailed well log analysis	O	15	25	30	30	2	3	4		1K	4K	8K	—	—	—									
	Determination of property	O							15%																
	Distribution, ownership	F	15	25	30	30	1	3	4		200	500	800	—	—	—	NA				NA				
	Total						3	6	8	15%															
	Option to lease property	O	15	20	35	35	(5)	(20)	(75)		200	500	800	1K	8K	15K	6	12	18	8	16	20			
	Federal Ground	F	25	25	25	25	(4)	(10)	(16)		200	500	800	1K	8K	15K	NA	NA	NA	—	—	—	—	—	
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	File Prospecting Permit								15%															Issuance of Prospecting Permits or even competitive leases is practically non-existent. Carlsbad N.M. Area has best near-term chance for exploring Federal ground for Potash	
	State Ground	O	25	25	25	25	(0.3)	(2)	(3.2)		200	500	800	500	4K	7.5K	1	2	3			1	3	3	
	Total						0	0	0								6	12	18	8	16	20	36	60	
	Baseline Environ. Study	O	0	5	45	45	20	40	60								12	18	24	—	—	—	—	—	
	Dir. drilling and radiometric logging	F	2	10	38	50	100	200	700		200	500	800	1K	8K	15K	12	18	24	18	24	30	24	36	42
	Assay costs									15%															
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Solution Mining Potential	F	0	10	90		250	500	1000								6	12	18	12	18	24	24	30	42
	Drilling greater than 3,500 ft. depth; less than 9,000 ft. depth; Engineer Feasibility	O	0	5	45	45	50	100	250								6	12	18	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total						420	840	1010	15%							12	18	24	18	24	30	24	36	42

Issuance of Prospecting Permits or even competitive leases is practically non-existent. Carlsbad, N.M. Area has best near-term chance for exploring Federal ground for Potash

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?

?









Sheet 2 of 2

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Extensive-Stratiform Igneous Complex  
 Tertiary Ore: Iron Chromium Platinum Nickel

Form 1  
 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
 for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) or each stage						Additional Comments
			S M L				Min Avg Max Overhead				Min Avg Max				Min Avg Max				Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to eg		
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Geologic Mapping	F					11	28	42	28	10	20	50	3	8	12	0	2	6						
	Geochemical Survey	F					7	11	21	11				2	3	6									
	EM IP Surveys	F					25	70	100	70				3	8	12									
	Prelim Envir Impact	F					4	7	11	7				1	2	3									
	Petrographic Mineralogic Studies	L					4	4	7	4				1	1	2									
	Geologic Compilation Reserve Computation	O					21	35	63	35				2	3	6									
	Diamond Drilling	F					1K	2K	5K	650				12	18	24	1	4	6	1	3	6			
	Geophysical D/H Logging	F					100	200	500	200				2	3	4									
	Total						1172	2355	5444	1005				16	24	48	1	6	12	1	3	6			
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Areas	Drilling Logging	F					1K	1500	2K	500				12	18	24									
	Core Analysis	L					4	4	7	4				1	1	2									
	Geophysical D/H Logging	F					20	20	20	20				3	3	3									
	Reserve Calculations	O					7	14	21	14				2	3	6									
	Prelim Metallurgical Tests	L					25	50	100	50				1	3	6									
	Prelim Feasibility Investment	O					4	7	11	7				1	2	3									
	Plant Site Invest	F					4	7	11	7				1	2	3									
	Envir Impact Study	F					21	63	84	63				6	18	24				0				inf	
	Shaft Sinking	F					1500	2K	3300	200				11	11	11	2	5	2	2					
	Met Testing	L					200	1K	2K	500				3	6	12									
	Feasibility Study	O					25	70	100	70				2	6	12									
	Total						2810	4735	7654	1435				24	36	48	3	9	18	3	3	18+			

[illegible]



Geological Environment: Stratabound Discrete-  
Typical Ores: Uraninite, Coffinite (Open Pit)

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploration Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$'000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead					Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (months) of each stage						Additional Comments		
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation					
																	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min		Avg	Max
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	1. Select Basin	O			100		25	50	100	10		Western U.S.		0	0	0	3	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2. Literature Exam	O			100		25	25	50	5		Western U.S.		0	0	0	2	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	3. Field check for proper host rock alteration & possible mineralization	F			100		25	50	100	10		4K	6K	10K	0	0	0	3	6	12	1	2	3	0	0	
	Total						75	125	250	25					6	15	24	1	2	3						
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	1. Subsurface study of an area by use of gamma & electric logs; map major paleo drainage	O					25	25	50	5		2K	4K	6K	0	0	0	3	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	
	2. Conduct regional geo-physical programs	F			100		100	350	600	70		2K	4K	6K	0	0	0	6	12	24	1	2	3	1	2	3
	3. Select best areas from above activities plus what land is available. Best geological prospect not always available	O			100		25	25	50	5		1K	2K	3K	0	0	0	2	4	6	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Total						150	400	700	80					9	15	3	1	3	5	1	3	5			
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	1. Examination of area for surface showings of host rock alteration & mineralization	F			100		25	50	100	10		4K	6K	10K	0	0	0	3	6	12	1	2	3	0	0	0
	2. Check land for previous activity & possible staking by competitors	O			100		25	25	50	5		500	1K	2K	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
	3. Local geophysical program	F			100		25	50	100	10		200	400	600	0	0	0	3	6	9	0	1	2	0	1	2
	4. Possible radiometrics, geochemical or radon programs	F			100		100	350	600	70		2K	4K	6K	0	0	0	6	12	24	1	2	3	1	2	3
	5. Acquisition of land by staking or by negotiation	F			100		150	1200	750	40		8	30	150	5K	20K	100K	4	6	12	1	2	3	1	2	3
	Total						175	475	850	95					6	12	24	1	3	5	1	3	5	1	2	3

Sheet 2 of 2

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-  
Confinital Sedimentary (Sandstone)  
Typical Ores: Uraninite, Coffinite (Open Pit)

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead			Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments		
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation								
														Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max			
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	1 Some broad-spaced drilling	F			100		50	250	500	50	8	30	150		5K	20K	100K	6	9	12	1	2	3	1	2	3		
	2 Grid Drilling on close-spaced pattern	F			100		500	1K	2.5K	200		2	4	8				24	36	48	2	4	6	1	2	4		
	3 Establish field camp, roads, etc.	F			100		25	50	100	10								2	4	6	1	2	3	1	2	3		
	4 Calculation of reserves	O			100		25	50	100	10								2	4	6	1	2	3	0	0	0		
	5 Engineering studies	O			100		50	100	200	20								6	12	24	2	4	6	1	2	3		
	6 Environmental studies & permits	O			100		50	200	400	40								6	9	12	1	2	3	6	12	36		
	Total						1.5K	2K	4.4K	33%																		
Stage 5: Development	1 Continuous drilling	F			100		1K	1.5K	2K	300	4	8	16		5K	20K	100K											
	2 Establish permanent camp			100		2K	4K	6K			2	4	8															
	3 Filing of state reports & permits			100		250	500	750																				
	4 Mine development			100			32K																					
	5 Mine plant			100			2K																					
	6 Mill Construction			100			15K																					
	7 Transportation			100			5K																					
	Total						60K																					
Stage 6: Production	1 Mining			100		12.5K					2	4	8		5K	20K	100K											
	2 Milling			100		35K																						
	3 Rehabilitation of Environment			100		5K																						
	Total						53K																					

Any one of these stages may be delayed by 6 mos. - 2 yrs. by non-regulatory or regulatory reasons.

Lifetime of property

Form 1

Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Lacustrine Evaporite

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments			
																	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays				Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation		
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Study of geological literature & maps; selection of geologically economically and politically favorable target regions	O	10	30	60		2	6	12	3	10K	100K	1000K				1	3	6							
	Total						2	6									1	3	6							
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Study of geological literature and maps; selection of specific target areas	O	10	30	60		3	9	18		4	20	K					3	6							
	Surface geologic study & sampling	F					5	12	30	4	4							4	12							
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Drilling sampling	F		30		70	8	100	250	5	4	12	100	1.2K	7.6K	60K	1	18	24		0	6	60			
	Analyses of samples	L					5	10	50	1							1	18	24							
	Beneficiation studies	L					5	50	250	5							1	18	24							
	Feasibility study	O					10	50	100	5				2.5K	7.6K	60K	3	12	18							
	Marketing study	O					12	30	60	5							2	6	12							
	Pilot beneficiation	F					15	100	500	15							3	6	18							
	Total						55	340	1.2K	36							9	36	60		0	6	60			

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	D, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead			Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage												Additional Comments
																	Without Any Delays				Plus Non-Regulatory Delays				Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation				
			I	S	M	L		Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max			
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Geological Compilation	O	0	5	10	85	15	50	150	20%	1K	10K	100K	—	—	—	2	6	12										
	Protogeological studies (rock units)	O	0	5	10	85	20	50	100	20%	1K	20K	100K				6	10	12										
	Paleogeological reconstructions	O	0	0	10	90	10	20	40	20%	1K	2K	100K				6	10	12										
	Land Use compilations	O	0	0	20	80	10	40	60	20%	1K	2K	50K				1	2	4	2	4	6							
	Field inspections of several selected areas	F	5	5	25	65	30	70	200	30%	100	1K	20K				2	6	18	0	4	12	0	6	0				
	Aeromagnetics of selected areas	F	0	5	10	85	5	16	50	10%	500	2K	10K				2	3	4	2	6	12	2	4	6				
	Compilation & evaluation	O	5	5	25	65	10	40	80																				
Total						100	286	680	20%							12	21	62	4	14	30	2	10	12					
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Geological Mapping	F	1	4	20	75	20	40	100	20%	10	40	100				4	12	24	0	2	6	0	6	0				
	Drilling and sampling	F	5	10	25	60	20	150	800	30%	5	20	50				6	12	36	0	2	12	2	6	0				
	Compilation and evaluation of data	O	5	10	25	60	10	40	80	30%	10	40	100				2	4	8										
	Total					50	230	980	27%								6	15	40	0	2	12	0	6	0				
	(Land Acquisition)	F	10	20	20	50	(40)	(200)	(400)	10%	6	12	40	3.8K	7.7K	25.6K	4	12	36	0	2	0	4	12	0				
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Preliminary Feasibility Study	O	5	5	15	75	40	80	200	10%	2	4	8				2	6	12	0	2	4							
	Preliminary Environmental Studies (Eco. Legal. Political)	F	5	5	15	75	40	80	160	20%	20	80	200				6	12	36	0	2	6	4	12	0				
	Total					80	160	360	15%								6	12	36	0	2	6	4	18					

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Fossil Aluminous Clays & Laterites  
 Typical Ores: Kaolinite & Bauxite for U.S. of A.

Form 1  
 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
 for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Sheet 2 of 3

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments		
																	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation				
		I	S	M	L		Min	Avg	Max	Over-Head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max			
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area																									
	F	1	4	20	75			1500	3000	30%	6	12	40	1.9K	3.8K	12.8K	6	12	24	2	3	12	2	6	12
	F	1	4	20	75			100	200	10	6	12	40				3	12	24	0	2	4			
	O	5	10	25	60			20	40	10	6	12	40				1	2	4	0	2	3			
	F	1	4	20	75			100	1000	20	6	12	40				12	12	12	0	2	3	2	6	12
	O	1	4	20	75			20	40	10	6	12	40				2	4	6	0	2	3			
	O	4	20	75				200	100	10	6	12	40				2	4	6	0	1	11			
	F	5	10	25	60			1300	2K	10	6	12	40				12	12	12	0	6	12	0	6	0
	F	1	4	20	75			200	1K	30%	3	6	20	9K	3.8K	12.8K	4	6	8	1	2	4	2	4	8
	F	5	10	25	60			100	700	15K	3	6	20				4	8	16	0	2	4	1	6	
	F	1	4	20	75			100	400	1K	3	6	20				8	16	32	0	2	3	2	6	
	O	1	4	20	75			50	300	700	10	3	6	20			4	6	8	0	1	2			
	O	1	4	10	85			100	300	700	10	3	6	20			6	8	12	0	1	2			
O	1	4	10	85			100	400	1K	20	3	6	20			12	12	12	0	1	2				
O	1	4	10	85			100	300	600	10	3	6	20			6	8	12	0	1	2				
	Total						1710	5680	12.3K	20%						24	36	60	3	12	22	1	12		
Stage 5: Development	F	1	2	5	92			20K	50K	100K	10%	2					2	3	5	1	1	2	1	3	
	F	1	5	5	92			20K	150K	100K	10						5	2	3	1	1	2	1	3	
	F	1	5	5	92			20K	50K	100K	10														
	O	1	5	5	92			50	100	200	10						1	2	3	1	1	2	1	3	
	F	1	5	5	92			15K	30K	60K	10														
	O	1	5	5	92			100	300	600	20						2	3	4	1	1	2	1	3	
	F	1	5	5	92			75K	280K	361K															
	O	1	5	5	92			100	300	600	20														
		Total						75K	280K	361K							9	14	20	3	10	6	7		





Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Shale Hosted Massive Sulfides  
 Typical Ores: Copper-Lead-Zinc-Silver

Form 1  
 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
 for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Sheet 1 of 2

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead					Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments		
		I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation					
																Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min		Avg	Max
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal																									
Geologic compilation	O		10	30	60	2	6	12	10%	2K	15K	25K													
Geologic field check	F		10	30	60	0.5	2	3	10%																
Total						2.5	8	15	10%																
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance																									
Stream, soil and rock geochemical surveys	F		10	30	60	10	75	125	10%	2K	15K	25K													
Field inspections of anomalous areas	F		10	30	60	2	5	10																	
Photogeologic study	O		10	30	60	5	1	2																	
Total						12.5	81	137	10%																
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area																									
Mapping of outcrops	F		10	30	60	5	1	2						100	1K	10K									
Detailed soil, rock geochemical survey	F		10	30	60	1.5	4	10																	
Detailed ground electro-magnetic survey or induced polarization survey	F		10	30	60	4	10	50																	
Trenching	F		10	30	60	3	5	10																	
Total						9	20	72																	
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area																									
Drilling logging	F		5	20	75	15	200	600	10%					100	1K	10K									
Chemical analyses of cores	L		5	20	75	1	10	25																	
Down hole geophysics	F		5	20	75	2	5	10																	
Amenability tests on ore-grade mineralization	L		5	20	75	4	12	25																	
Reserves Computations	O		5	20	75	1	2	4																	
Preliminary valuation	O		5	20	75	1	2	4																	
Site investigations	F		5	20	75																				
Shaft sinking for bulk samples	F		0	20	80																				
Bulk sample tests			0	20	80																				
Total						24	231	664	10%																

Fine-grained nature of this ore may be serious problem

Fine-grained nature of this ore may be serious problem

[illegible]

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Shale Hosted Massive  
Typical Ores: Lead-Zinc-Silver

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O: F: L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by					Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead					Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments	
		I	S	M	L		Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays	Plus Non-Regulatory Delays	Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulations			
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	O				X		2	4	8		4K	12K	30K	—	—	—	0.25	0.5	1	—	—	—			
	O	X					3	6	10		4K	12K	30K	—	—	—	0.5	1	2	—	—	—			
	O		X				3	5	8	15%	4K	12K	30K	—	—	—	0.5	1	2	—	—	—			
	F			X			7	14	25		200	400	1K	—	—	—	0.5	1	2	—	—	—			
	Total						15	29	51	45%							1.75	3.5	7	—	—	—			
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	F			X			10	20	40		100	200	400	—	—	—	1	2	4	—	—	—			
	F			X			5	9	18		2K	3K	8K	—	—	—	1	2	4	—	—	—			
	F		X				30	60	120	15%	250	500	1.2K	—	—	—	1	2	5	—	—	—			
	F			X			15	33	66		12	30	60	—	—	—	0.5	1	3	—	—	—			
	Total						60	122	244	15%							2.5	5.5	12	—	—	—			
Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	F			X			2	8	16		9	15	40	6K	8K	12K	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	F/L		X				2	3	7	5%	3	5	12	—	—	—	2	3	5	—	—	—			
	F			X			3	6	12		0	15	25	—	—	—	0.75	1	2	—	—	—			
	F			X			33	42	70		6	9	15	—	—	—	0.5	1	2	—	—	—			
	Total						40	59	101	15%							3.5	6	9	—	—	—			

Sheet 2 of 2

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Shale Hosted Massive Sulfides  
 Typical Ores: Lead-Zinc-Silver

Form 1  
 Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
 for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	D, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) or Lead Time								Additional Comments
			Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) or Lead Time								
			L	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays	Plus Non-Regulatory Delays	Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation					
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Drilling logging	F				x	600	1000	2000		1.0	2.0	2.5	4K	7K	9*	18	24	36	—	—	—					
	Assaying	L		x			18	35	66		1.0	2.0	2.5				—	—	—	—	—	—					
	Metallurgical studies	L		x			10	100	300		1.0	2.0	2.5				1	24	36	—	—	—					
	Amenability studies	O			x		0.5	1.0	1.5		0.5	1.0	1.5				0.2	0.25	0.5	—	—	—					
	Hydrology	F		x			50	100	200		4K	8K	30K				CONTINUAL	—	—	—	18	24	36				
	Order of magnitude studies	O			x		1.0	5.0	10	15%	0.25	0.5	0.75				0.25	1.0	2.0	—	—	—					
	Environmental studies	F			x		50	150	400		4K	6K	12K				CONTINUAL	—	—	—	24	36	48				
	Shaft sinking for bulk samples	F			x		2000	2500	3500		0.25	0.5	0.75				6	8	10	—	—	—	12	24			
	Budget estimate	O			x		10	100	200		0.25	0.5	0.75				3	8	12	—	—	—	—				
	Definitive est	O			1		8000	10 <sup>4</sup>	18000		0.25	0.5	0.75				18	24	30	24	36	60	—	—			
Total						10739	13991	24781	15%							36	60	100	24	36	60	24	36	48	Delays due to low metal prices or metallurgical problems		
Stage 5: Development	Underground Mine																										
	Mine planning & development of drifts, cross cuts, raises, shafts	F				x	20K	30K	45K		0.25	0.5	0.75	4K	7K	8K	18	24	30	INDEFINITE	12	18	24				
	Plant Construction	F				1	75K	90K	100K	20%																	
	Total						95K	120K	145K	20%							18	24	30				12	18	24		

Delays due to low metal prices or metallurgical problems

Sheet of 2

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Carbonate Stratiform  
Typical Ores: Barite-Fluorite

NOTE: (1) Win. U.S. (2) Mid-continent

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments	
																	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max		
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal (1) & (2)	Compilation (includes structure, stratigraphy, geophysics, geochemistry)																							*Because of similar origin, exploration for barite-fluorite is similar to exploration for carbonate-hosted base metals. Because of the lower unit value & the somewhat greater areal extent of barite-fluorite occurrences, the allowable expenditures and the amount of drilling (to feet) is usually less. The "halo" occurrence that is often observed on district scale—barite-fluorite around above or below base metals has been used to locate detailed reconnaissance areas. Similarly, peripheral scout drilling for base metals metals has led to location of fluorospar-barite deposits
	Literature imagery	O	5	15	30	50	5	7	10		7.5K	10K	15K	—	—	—	6	9	12	—	—	—		
	Map 1" = 8 mi. 1" = 4 mi.																							
	Survey oil-water well cuttings (2)	L	10	20	35	35	1	3	5					—	—	—	0	3	6	—	—	—		
	Regional drainage sediment-orientation (1)	F	5	10	20	65	2	5	8	15%				—	—	—	1	3	6	—	—	—		
	Prospect exam familiarization mapping (1)	F	15	20	30	35	1	3	5					—	—	—	1	3	6	—	—	—		
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance (1) & (2)	Total						9	18	28	15%							7	10	14					(taking of property position sometimes deferred to next stage following some types of geophysical surveys ...)
	Compilation geology geochemistry, geophysics	O	5	15	30	50	2	4	6		100	200	400				1	2	3	—	—	—		
	Field mapping	F	10	20	35	35	2	4	6	15%							1	3	3	—	—	—		
	Drainage sediment surveys	F	5	10	20	65	2	3	8								1	2	3					
	Evaluation	O	10	20	35	35	5	7	9								1	1	1					
	Total						11	18	19	15%							1	3	3					
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Geophysics																							
	EM barite & fluorite (for stratigraphic & structural mapping) (1)	F	5	10	30	55	2	3	4	15%	5	9	17	—	—	—	0.5	1	2	—	—	—		
	Gravity barite (1) & (2)	F	5	5	45	45	5	10	20	15%	5	9	17	—	—	—	2	3	4	—	—	—		
	Target selection (1) (claim stake) (100cl)	F	25	25	25	25	(20)	(20)	(40)	15%	5	9	17	2K	2K	4K	1	1.5	2	0.5	0.5	—		
	(Option to lease part) (2 yr) (2)	O	10	30	30	30	(80)	(150)	(200)	15%	5	9	17				2	3	8	1	1	—		
	Geochem soil sampling (1) & (2)	F	10	30	30	30	2	4	6	15%	5	9	17				0.5	1	2	—	—	—		
	Environmental base line study (1) & (2)	O	0	10	45	45	5	50	100	15%	5	9	17	2K	2K	4K	1	2	3	1	2	—		
	Dozer trenching (1)	F	25	35	30	30	6	8	10								1	1	1	—	—	—	6 12	

Sheet 2 of 2

**Geologic Environment: Stratabound Discrete-Carbonate Stratiform  
Typical Ores: Barite-Fluorite**

Note: (1) Wm. U.S. (2) Mid-continent

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	U. S. F. L.	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (Months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments		
		I S M L				Min Avg Max Over-				Min Avg Max				Min Avg Max				Without Any Delays				Plus Non-Regulatory Delays				Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation				
		I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max			
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sample of Target Area	Drilling (1) & (2) 1,000-ft cirs	F	0	20	40	40	150	300	500		5	9	17								3	6	12	1	2	3	6	12	24	
	Access via adit (1)	F	5	20	35	40	300	500	800		3	5	10		500	1K	4K				6	12	24	2	4	6	6	12	24	
	Trenching via dozer (1) & (2)	F	25	30	25	25	30	50	60	15%	3	5	0								2	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	6	12	24	
	Assays, analyses	L	5	20	35	40	5	25	35		3	5	0								1	2	3							
	Bulk sampling	F	20	35	40	10	35	50		3	5	0									2	3	4							
	Beneficiation Bench tests)	L	10	45	45	25	50	75		3	5	0									3	6	8							
	Initial feasibility study	O	8	10	45	45	10	20	30		3	5	10								1	2	3							
	Total						530	980	1550	15%											6	12	24	2	4	6	6	12	24	
Stage 5: Development	Permit, Applies (Fed. St. Co. Mun.)	O	10	30	30	30	3	10	15%					500	1K	4K					6	12	36	2	3	4	6	12	36	
	Environ. impact study-company support	O	0	10	45	45	50	250													12	18	24							
	Engineering pit design or underground planning	O	0	10	40	40	10	50													1	6	12							
	Drilling (Surf)	F	0	20	40	40	10	50	100												6	12	24					2	3	6
	Drilling (undergrd)	F	0	20	40	40	0	25	100												3	6	8					1	2	3
	Mine Develop	F	5	25	35	35	100	1500	3000												1	8	16	2	4	8	2	3	3	*
	Plant construction	F	0	20	40	40	200	3000	5000												6	12	24					4	2	3
	Total						362	4658	8510	15%											6	12	24							
Stage 6: Production																														





Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments
		S		M		L		Min		Avg		Max		Overhead		Min		Avg		Max		Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Remediation		
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area		F	0	20	40	45	1.1K	4.2K	10.8K	15%	3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K	11	15	23	3	12	24	6	6	6	2		
	Drill 1,000 ctrs																											
	Drill 50 ctrs		F	0	10	45	45	1.9K	9.6K	2K	15%						20	29	160	6	24	36	6	6	6	2		
	Assays analyses		L	0	20	40	40	25	61	25							—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	Dozer work		F	25	35	20	20	25	61	25							—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	Total							3.05K	3.9K	3K	15%						21	29	160	9	36	60	12	12	12	24		
Stage 5: Development	Permit applies	O	10	30	30	30	—	—	—		3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K	12	24	36	24	30	40	12	20	36			
	Feasibility studies	O	10	10	45	45					3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Plant operations design	O	0	20	40	40					3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Surface drilling 200 ctrs	F	0	20	40	40	192	960	1920	15%																		
	Shaft sinking \$1000 ft	F	0	10	45	45	1600	3500	4000		3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Drifting \$150 ft	F	0	10	45	45	100	300	600		3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Undergrad drilling \$25 ft	F	0	10	45	45	50	150	300		3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Environmental Impact statement	O	0	10	45	45	60	100	200		3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Total							2002	5010	7020	15%							12	24	36	24	30	40	12	20	36		
Stage 6: Production	Plant mill constr	F	0	10	45	45					3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K	10	25	100									
	Receipt of final Federal State-county permits	O								15%																		
	Operations	F									3	7	15	1.9K	4.5K	9.6K												
	Total									15%							10	25	100									



Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (Months) of Each Stage												Additional Comments	
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Overhead	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays				Plus Non-Regulatory Delays				Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation							
																	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
																													Min	Avg		Max
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Geologic study of favorable metamorphic regions	O	50	40	10	2	5	10	1	1K	10K	50K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	Selection of most likely areas and field checking	F				5	15	25	2	1K	5K	10K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
	Total					7	20	35	3																							
	Small-scale geologic appraisal, sampling	F	50	40	10	10	20	40	4	500	2K	5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Total					10	20	40	4																							
	Detailed geologic mapping, structural studies	F	50	40	10	10	20	30	4	3	5	15	500	1K	5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	Trenching & detailed sampling	F				10	15	25	3	2	4	10	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	Analyses	L				1	2	3	—																							
Stage 3: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Total					21	37	58	7																							
	Detailed trenching and drilling	F	50	40	10	15	50	100	5	1	2	4	300	500	5K	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
	Engineering feasibility study	O	30	50	20	8	15	25	2																							
	Marketing Study	O				2	5	8	1																							
Stage 4: Development	Pilot plant study	F				20	35	70	7																							
	Total					45	105	203	15																							
			30	50	20																											
	Total																															
Stage 5: Production																																
	Total																															



[illegible]



Sheet 2 of 2

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (months) of Each Stage										Additional Comments			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays			Plus Non-Regulatory Delays			Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation									
																	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Geologic Mapping	F					11	28	42	28	2	3	5	5K	10K	20K	3	8	12	0	2	6										
	Geochemical Sampling	F					7	11	21	11							2	3	6													
	Induced Polarization Survey	F					25	70	100	70							3	8	12													
	Prelim Envir Impact Statement	F/O					4	7	11	7							1	2	3													
	Petrographic Mineralogic Studies	L					4	4	7	4							1	1	2													
	Alteration-Structure-Mineralogical Zoning Interpretation-Compilation Reserve	O					21	35	63	35							2	4	6													
	Drilling-Logging	F					500	750	1000	250							12	18	24	1	4	6	1	3	6							
	Geophysical DH Logging	F/O					20	30	40	20							2	3	4													
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Total						592	935	1284	425							18	24	32	1	5	12	1	3	6							
	Drilling-Logging	F				x		1500	2000	500				2K	25K	3K	12	18	24	1	4	6	1	3	6							
	Mineralogical, Chemical, etc. Tests on Core	L				x		4	7	4							1	1	2													
	Down-Hole Geophysical Logging	F				xx	4	20	20	20							3	3	3													
	Reserve Computation	O					7	14	21	14							2	4	6													
	Prelim Metallurgical Testing	L				x	25	50	100	50							1	2	6													
	Prelim Feasibility Invest	O				x	4	7	11	7							1	2	3													
	Plant Site Investigation	F				x	4	7	11	7							1	2	3				0									
	Environmental Impact Statement	F					21	63	84	63							6	18	36													
	Shaft Sinking	F				x	1500	2000	3300	200							11	11	11	2	5	12	2									
	Metallurgical Testing	L				xxxx	200	1000	2000	500							3	6	12													
Feasibility Study	O				x	25	70	100	70							2	6	12														
Total						2810	4735	7654	1435							23	36	48	3	9	18	3	3	18+								





**Geologic Environment: Discordant-Vein and Replacement Deposits  
Typical Ores: Silver-Copper**

**Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type**

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead			Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments			
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays	Plus Non-Regulatory Delays	Plus Delays Due Solely to Reclamation							
Stage 1: Regional Appraisal	Study of records concerning old mining camps	O	25	25	25	25	5	12	50	5%	1	10	20	0	0	0	6	12	60	0	0	0	0	0	
	Logging old drill holes, if any	F	25	25	25	25	1	2	5	5%	1	10	20	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	
	Compilation		25	25	25	25					1	10	20	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total						6	14	55	5%							6	12	60	0	0	0	0	0	
Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance	Geological mapping	F	25	25	25	25	2	10	25	5%	1	10	20	0	0	0	1	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	
	Sampling old dumps	F	25	25	25	25	0.1	1	2	5%	1	10	20	0	0	0	1	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total						2	11	27	5%							1	8	16	0	0	0	0	0	
	(Land acquisition)	O	25	25	25	25	150	150	1500	5%	0	3	5		1K	5K						4	24*	0	
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area	Surface trenching	F	40	40	10	10	1	10	20	5%	0	1	3				1	6	24	1	3	9	3	6	12
	Geological Mapping	F	40	40	10	10	1	10	25	5%	0	1	3				1	6	12	1	3	9	0	0	0
	Deep penetration geophysics	F	0	10	45	45	1	5	15	5%	0	1	3				1	2	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
	Total						3	25	40	5%							7	20	40	6	10	24	6	24	12*
Stage 4: Production																									

\*Requirements, at least, Step often omitted in acquired federal lands

\*Requirements at later step often omitted in actual Federal lands

Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O.F. or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$'000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated			Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.			Duration (Months) of Each Stage						Additional Comments			
		I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays		Plus Non-Regulatory Delays		Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation								
													Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max				
<b>Stage 1: Regional Appraisal</b>																							many groups omit these steps		
Field inspection of typical occurrences (possibly in other regions)	F	5	15	30	50	0	2	25	25%	<1	1	10K	—	—	—	0.5	12	—	—	—	—	—		—	
Geologic compilation	O	1	9	30	60	1	5	50	25%	100	2K	100K	—	—	—	0.5	2	12	—	—	—	—		—	
Photogeologic Study	L	0	5	30	65	2	25	500	25%	100	1000	50K	—	—	—	0.5	3	12	—	—	—	—		—	
Visual Airborne Survey (for color anomalies)	F	5	10	35	50	0.2	2	10	25%	50	1000	30K	—	—	—	0.01	0.2	1	0.01	0.5	3	—		—	
Field inspection of area selected	F	5	20	30	45	0.5	4	50	25%	20	1000	10K	—	—	—	0.1	0.5	2	—	0.5	4	—		0.2	4
Total						3.7	38	635	25%							0.5	15	18	0.01	1	6	—		0.2	4
<b>Stage 2: Detailed Reconnaissance</b>																									
Examination of known mineral prospects & subtotals	F	5	25	35	35	0.2	5	50	25%	<1	10	100	20	1K	5K	0.1	0.5	4	—	0.5	4	—	0.2	1	
Reconnaissance mapping (geologic)	F	1	10	39	50	1	25	1K	25%	10	500	10K	—	—	—	0.5	5	24	—	2	12	—	0.5	12	
Stream sediment & geochemistry	F	1	10	39	50	1	25	150	25%	10	500	10K	—	—	—	0.2	5	24	—	2	12	—	0.5	12	
Airborne magnetic & electromagnetic surv	F	0	5	30	65	20	48	300	25%	50	300	2K	—	—	—	0.05	0.3	3	—	1	12	—	0.2	1	
Field inspect of anomalous areas	F	0	5	30	65	0.2	10	40	25%	1	20	200	—	—	—	0.05	3	12	—	2	12	—	0.5	12	
(Land acquisition) (Staking or optioning)	F/O	10	25	30	35	(0.5)	(50)	(500)	25%	0.1	5	50	40	2K	40K	0.05	3	12	—	5	36	—	5	Sav yrg	
Total						22.2	113	1540	25%							0.5	9	48	0	9	60	0	6	40	

Sheet 2 of 2

Form 1  
Summary Mineral Exploitation Statistics  
for a Specific Mineral Occurrence Type

Geologic Environment: **Discordant-Massive Sulphide**  
Typical Ores: **Copper, Zinc, Lead, Silver, Gold**

	Main Activities and Methods, in Chronological Sequence	O, F, or L	Percentage of Each Activity Done by				Direct Cost (\$000) of Each Activity or Method, Excluding Overhead				Area (Sq. Mi.) Being Investigated				Area (Acres) Under Claim, Option, Lease, Etc.				Duration (Months) of Each Stage								Additional Comments
			I	S	M	L	Min	Avg	Max	Over-head	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Min	Avg	Max	Without Any Delays	Plus Non-Regulatory Delays	Plus Delays Due Solely to Regulation					
Stage 3: Detailed Surface Investigation of Target Area  (If old workings are present & accessible they are mapped & all available drill core logged during the geologic mapping phase.)	Detailed geologic structural alteration mapping of outcrops	F	1	15	25	54	1	10	100	25%	0.1	5	40	40	2K	40K	0.1	2	12	—	2	2	—	0.2	12		
	Detailed soil, rock and/or slit geochemical survey	F	2	10	28	60	3	20	150	25%	0.1	3	20				0.2	2	5	—	1	5	—	0.2	2		
	Detailed geophysical surveys (magnetic, electrical, induced polarization, self potential)	F	1	8	31	60	1	10	75	25%	0.1	3	20				0.1	2	6	—	2	12	—	0.2	infinity		
	Petrographic, petrochemical, mineralogic study of rock samples	L	1	5	24	70	0.1	1	25	25%	0.1	3	20				1	3	12	1	3	5	—	—	—		
	Total						5.1	41	350	25%							0.3	7	26	1	5	20	0	0.2	14+		
Stage 4: Detailed Three-Dimensional Physical Sampling of Target Area	Rehabilitate and sample old workings	F	5	15	35	45	5	75	1000	25%	0.1	0.5	2	40	500	2K	2	5	12	1	3	12	—	3	infinity		
	Drilling & Logging	F	1	10	29	60	15	250	2000	25%							2	8	24	2	3	5	—	3	infinity		
	Assays & mineralogical chemical & physical tests of cores & cuttings	L	1	10	29	60	0.1	20	150	25%							0.1	0.5	4	3	8	24	—	—	—		
	Amenability tests of ore grade mineralization	L	1	2	23	70	1	5	100	25%							0.1	1	10	1	2	4	—	—	—		
	Reserve computations	O	1	10	29	60	1	5	20	25%							0.2	2	4	—	1	2	—	—	—		
	Prelim. valuation	O	1	10	29	60	0.5	2	10	25%							0.2	0.5	1	—	1	2	—	—	—		
	Environ studies	F/O	1	5	34	60	0.5	10	40	25%							0.5	3	15	1	2	5	—	—	—		
	Study water problems & availability	F/O	1	5	34	60	0.5	2	4	25%							0.5	1	3	1	2	2	—	—	—		
	Study area for plant sites, tailings disposal, dump & townsite	F	1	10	30	60	0.5	20	150	25%							0.1	3	7	1	2	3	—	—	—		
	Tunneling or sinking for bulk tests & exploration	F	1	2	35	62	50	150	3000	25%							1	3	30	2	2	36	1	2	infinity		
	Bulk ore tests	F	1	2	35	62	2	10	150	25%							0.5	2	6	2	2	12	—	2	infinity		
	Total						76.1	549	6624	25%							6	20	72	6	12	90	1	8	infinity		

**Geologic Environment: Discordant-Mafic-Ultramafic  
Typical Ores: Nickel-Copper, etc.**

[illegible]













**Geologic Environment: Discordant-Carbonate-Alkaline Complexes  
Typical Ores: Phosphate, Rare Earths, Columbite, etc.**

[illegible]