

# INDEX

- American High Speed Rail Corp., 11, 57, 61, 62, 63, 64, 68
- Amtrak (National Railroad Passenger Corp.), 7, 10, 11, 35, 45, 46, 52, 53, 54, 60, 61, 63, 65, 84, 88, 91, 92
- Arthur D. Little Co., 62
- Atchison, Topeka, and Sante Fe Railroad, 62
- Atlanta, Ga., 48
- Bank of Tokyo Trust Co., 62
- Bechtel Corp., 63
- benefits, 8-10, 11
- Boeing-Vertol, 90
- Bombardier Inc., 90
- Boston, Mass., 30, 33
- Boyd, Alan, 61
- British Railways (BR), 18, 19, 20, 35, 49, 94
- British Rail Engineering Ltd., 94
- Budd Co., 7, 63, 90
- Buffalo, N. Y., 16
- California, 5, 9, 48, 51, 57, 61, 62, 63, 92
- California State Department of Transportation, 61
- Chicago, 111., 7, 48, 67, 68
- Clayton, W. Graham, Jr., 63, 90
- Colorado, 60
- Congress:
- Congressional Budget Office, 10, 47, 53
  - General Accounting Office, 88
  - House Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Transportation, 3
  - House Committee on Energy and Commerce, 3
  - Office of Technology Assessment (OTA), 3, 10, 61, 62, 83, 92
  - Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, 3
- congressional role, 11-12
- Consumer Price Index, 90
- Denver, Colo., 48
- Deutsches Bundesbahn (DB), 18, 19, 76
- environmental concerns, 51
- Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), 49, 73
- First Boston Corp., 62
- Florida, 5, 9, 51, 57, 64
- Florida State Department of Transportation, 64
- Fluor Corp., 62
- French National Railways (SNCF), 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 25, 26, 35, 40, 49
- funding:
- Federal, 53
  - private, 54
  - State, 53
- General Electric Co., 90, 91
- General Motors Corp., 91
- General Rail Equipment Index, 90
- high-speed passenger rail:
- Atlantic City-Philadelphia, 58, 68
  - Buffalo-Albany, 58
  - Chicago-Detroit, 58, 65
  - Cleveland-Akron, 67
  - Cleveland-Cincinnati, 67
  - corridor fact sheet, 59
  - cost options, comparison of, 5, 30, 62
  - current corridor activity, 57
  - Dallas-Houston, 68
  - economic feasibility, 29-41
    - capital investment costs, 39
    - comfort, 35
    - convenience, 35
    - cost analysis, 39
    - effect of distance, 33
    - evaluating future demand, 38
    - fares, 35
    - frequency and speed, 33
    - intercity travel market, 32
    - market requirements, 33
    - market sectors, 37
    - market size, 30, 31
    - operating costs, 40
    - trip time, 33
  - equipment and track options, 5, 15, 20, 21
    - benefits, 8-10, 11
    - characteristics, 6
    - costs, 10-11, 18, 30
    - environmental impact of noise, 11
    - public policy, 8
    - technology options, 5
    - uncertainties, 11
  - foreign experience and systems:
    - Canada, s, 15, 17, 66
      - LRC train, 20
      - new equipment, 20
    - France, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 45, 73
      - Paris-Lyon TGV, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 30, 49, 51, 57, 66
      - population density, 31
    - Great Britain, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 17, 18
      - advanced passenger train (APT), 20
      - high-speed trains (HSTs), 19, 20, 57
      - new equipment, 20
      - population density, 31
      - TRANSMARK, 65
      - West Coast Main Line, 30
    - Japan, 3, 5, 7, 15, 17, 45, 64
      - environmental impact of noise, 11, 51
      - maglev development, 16, 71, 73, 74, 76

- population densities, 6, 31
  - Tokyo-Osaka, 3, 4, 9, 21, 22, 30, 46
- West Germany, 5, 7, 11, 15, 18, 64
  - Emsland Test Facility, 51, 71, 78
  - maglev development, 16, 57, 71, 73, 75, 76, 78
- history, 60
- Las Vegas-Los Angeles, 7, 57, 63
- Los Angeles-San Diego, 57, 60, 61, 62, 63
- Milwaukee-Chicago, 57, 68
- Montreal-New York, 65
- proposals, 61
- propulsion systems, 5, 15
  - diesel, 16, 17
  - electric, 16, 17
  - gas turbine, 16
  - linear motors, 16
- speed limits, 49
- Tampa-Orlando-Miami, 64
- history, 60-61
- Illinois, 57, 65
- Indiana, 65, 90, 91, 92
- International Transportation Exhibition, 75
- Interstate Commerce Commission, 88
- Japanese National Railways (JNR), 18, 21, 22, 23, 32, 40, 62, 71, 75, 76, 93
- Japanese National Railroad Technology Corp., 62, 64, 93
- legislation:
  - High-Speed Ground Transportation Act of 1965 (HSGTA), 60, 88
  - National Railroad Passenger Act (NRPA), 60
  - Rail Passenger Service Act, 46, 52, 61
  - Urban Mass Transportation Act (UMTA), 88
- linear motors, 16, 74, 75
- magnetic levitation (maglev) technology, ix, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 40, 45, 51, 57, 60
  - comparison with other modes, 72
  - concerns, 72
  - development, 75
  - economic feasibility, 72
  - propulsion, 73, 74
  - technology status, 71
- major findings, 3-8
- Michigan, 5, 57, 65
- Michigan Department of Transportation, 58, 65
- Midwest High-Speed Rail Compact, 5, 57, 65
- Milrite Commission, 67
- National Science Foundation (NSF), 73
- National Travel Survey, 30
- N. D. Lea Associates, 88, 91
- Nevada, 5, 51, 57, 63
- New Haven Railroad, 88
- New Jersey, 68
- New York, 5, 49, 50, 52, 57, 65, 66, 92
- New York City, 7, 30, 35, 48, 65, 66, 86
- Northeast Corridor (NEC), 5, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 39, 40, 45, 48, 49, 51, 60, 61, 66, 88
- Northeast Corridor Improvement Project (NECIP), 66
- Northeast Corridor Transportation Project, 60
- Ohio, 5, 51, 57, 58, 65, 66, 67
- Ohio Association of Railroad Passengers, 67
- Pennsylvania, 5, 57, 58, 65, 67, 90
- Pennsylvania Legislature, 67
- Philadelphia, Pa., 35
- population density, 31
- power-conditioning unit (PCU), 74
- President's Conference Committee (PCC), 86
- public benefits and costs, 8-11
- public policy, question for, 8
- railcar manufacturing, x, 7, 83-95
  - current industrial base, 90
  - European Economic Community (EEC), 93, 95
  - Federal transit commitments, 89
  - foreign passenger railcar manufacturing, 92
  - innovation matrix for railcars, 91
  - institutional shifts, 86
  - Japan, 93
  - long-term trend for passenger car use, 86
  - projected demand, 91
  - prospects for a U.S. industry, 95
  - railroad cars delivered from U.S. manufacturers, 87
  - trends in travel demand, 84
- R. J. Barber Associates, 88
- Shinkansen "bullet train," 3, 4, 6, 9, 16, 17, 22, 23, 30, 57
- social and institutional factors:
  - alleviating airport congestion, 48
  - Amtrak, 52
  - energy savings, 46
  - environmental concerns, 51
  - increased mobility and transport alternatives, 46
  - local governments, 52
  - passenger safety and comfort, 49
  - private railroad companies, 52
  - promotion of tourism, 48
  - public sentiment, 46
  - regional development, 48
  - safety and strength requirements of passenger equipment, 50
  - safety certification of high-speed rail technology for operational use, 50
  - safety issues at the highway/rail interface (grade crossings), 50
- Southern Pacific Railroad, 62
- Southwest Coast Corridor (SWC), 60, 63
- Texas, 5, 57, 58, 68
- Texas Railroad Transportation Co., 68
- tilt-body equipment, 5, 15, 18, 20, 40, 50

Transportation Systems and Market Research Ltd.  
(TRANSMARK), 65  
Transrapid International, 63  
Transtech International, Inc., 63  
U.S. Department of Transportation, 7, 11, 46, 57, 60,  
61, 66  
High Speed Ground Transportation Alternatives  
Study, 60

Vermont, 5, 57  
Washington, D. C., 30, 35, 61, 66  
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, 91  
West German Railways (DB), 76  
Wisconsin, 5, 57, 68  
World Bank, 21