

# Index

- broadening the debate, 103-110
  - need for goals and objectives, 105
  - policy background, 106
  - President Reagan's call for a "space station," 109
  - recent proposals, 108
  - space infrastructure as methods and means, 103
  - steps toward broader participation, 110
- buyer's guide to space infrastructure, 85
  - alternative funding rates, 94
  - catalog of space infrastructure, 87
  - cost drivers, 91
  - people and automation in space, 92
  - procurement options, 85
- Congress:
  - House:
    - Committee on the Budget, 4
    - Committee on Science and Technology, 4, 44, 46, 109
  - Senate:
    - Committee on Appropriations, 4, 60
    - Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, 4
- European Space Agency (ESA), 39, 56, 66, 155, 189
- evolution of civilian in-space infrastructure, 159-176
  - Apollo anomaly, 161
  - beginnings of post-Apollo planning, 164
  - earliest space infrastructure, 159
  - NASA's post-Apollo ambitions dashed, 170
  - post-Apollo planning under Thomas Paine, 166
  - recent in-space infrastructure, 172
  - response to Sputnik, 1957-61
  - Skylab: an interim "Space Station," 171
  - "Space Station" plans: during the 1960s, 162
- financing considerations and Federal budget impacts, 217-226
- infrastructure options, 7
  - funding rate options, 12
  - procurement options, 10
  - technology options, 7
    - comparison of options, 8
    - space infrastructure platforms, 9
- infrastructure required, 17
  - cost, 18
  - financing, 19
  - technology, 17
- INTELSAT, 39, 40
- international involvement in a civilian "space station" program, 177-205
  - assessment: pros and cons, 203-205
  - factors influencing assessment of international involvement, 198-203
  - possible modes of international involvement, 185-189
  - potential international partners, 189
  - Why international involvement?, 177-185
- issues and findings, 25-46
  - civilian "space station s," 31-35
    - alternatives, 33
    - concerns about LEO infrastructure, 32
    - cost of LEO infrastructure, 33
    - infrastructure in LEO, 31
  - general, 25-31
    - NASA's circumstances, 25-28
    - transitions, 28
  - possible legislative initiatives, 43-46
    - civilian space policies, goals, objectives, and strategies, 45
    - creation of space policy study centers, 46
    - strategies for acquiring any new in-space civilian infrastructure, 43
  - U.S. future in space, 35-43
    - arena of peaceful cooperation, 40
    - cost reduction, 37
    - international space cooperation, 39
    - long-term space goals and objectives, 35
    - long-term space strategies, 37
    - NASA's changing role, 41
    - non-Government policy studies
    - private sector, 38
- legislation:
  - Comsat Act of 1962, 103
  - National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, 25, 35, 103, 106, 110
  - National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1985, 30, 45
- National Academy of Sciences, 61
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 21, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 38, 39, 42, 43, 49, 58-60, 103-104
  - Solar System Exploration Committee, 61
- National Commission on Space, 16, 30, 31, 214
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 29, 30, 38, 39, 40
- National Research Council, 49, 61
- NATO, 29, 39
- National Weather Service, 29
- need for goals and objectives, 13
- Nixon administration, 106
- OTA workshop on cost containment of civilian space infrastructure, synopsis of, 206-213
- People's Republic of China, 56, 85
  - possible goals and objectives, 15
- Presidential Space Task Group, 106
- Ramo, Simon, 108
  - rational for space infrastructure, 4-7
- Reagan, President Ronald, 107, 109
  - relation of a "space station" to the U.S. future in space, 3
- Report of the Second Advisory Panel Meeting, held at Aspen-Wye Plantation, November 1983, 133-139
  - analysis capability, 134

- current space station proposal, 133
- effect of military programs and interests, 137
- geopolitical competition, 138
- immediate alternatives to a space station, 135
- international cooperation, 136
- international economic competition, 138
- long-term mission possibilities, 135
- NASA operations and organization, 138
- private-sector involvement, 136
- R&D, 134
- results of principal NASA studies on space station uses and functional requirements, 141-158
  - major findings of the U.S. aerospace industry "Mission Analysis Studies," 141
    - AEG, British Aerospace, Fokker, CIR, 156
    - Aerospatiale, 156
    - Canada, 156
    - costs and benefits, 147
    - Dornier, 156
    - European Space Agency, 155
    - evolution of the initial capability, 146
    - functional capabilities, 143
    - individual foreign company interests, 156
    - infrastructure elements, 145
    - Japan, 156
    - phased activities (mission sets), 143
    - role of a human crew, 146
    - users and uses, 141
  - NASA synthesis of the "Mission Analysis Studies," 157
  - nonaerospace industry interest in Space use, 158
- shape of the space future, 19
  - international, 20
  - new role for NASA, 21
  - private sector, 20
- space infrastructure, 49-82
  - alternative infrastructure, 62-82
    - habitable infrastructure, 70
      - Columbus, 75
      - Extended Duration Orbiter (EDO), 70
      - Spacelab, 72-75
    - uninhabitable platforms, 62
      - Boeing MESA, 64
      - EURECA, 66
      - Fairchild LEASECRAFT, 62
      - Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF), 66
      - MBB SPAS, 66
      - Pleiades Concept, 66
      - Shuttle Payload Support Structure (SPSS), 66
      - SOLARIS, 69
      - Space Industries Platform, 66
  - shuttle as permanent infrastructure, 77
  - considerations for any space infrastructure, 50-58
    - LEO environment, 51
    - orbits, 50
    - space transportation, 54
      - expendable launch vehicle (ELV), 56
      - manned maneuvering unit (MMU), 56
      - orbital maneuvering vehicle (OMV), 56
      - reusable orbital transfer vehicle (ROTV), 56
      - shuttle, 55
  - technical considerations, 52
    - attitude control and stabilization, 52
    - data management, 52
    - electromagnetic interference (EM I), 52
    - life support systems, 54
    - power, 53
    - propulsion, 54
    - thermal energy management, 53
  - NASA's approach to space infrastructure, 58-60
    - infrastructure functions, 60
    - mission analysis studies, 58
  - National Research Council, 61
- Title n-National Commission on Space (P. L. 98-361), 214-216
- toward a goal-oriented civilian space program, 113
  - cost and schedule, 124
  - indicated infrastructure, 122
  - possible goals, 113
  - possible objectives, 113
    - global disaster avoidance, 115
    - human presence and activities on the Moon, 117
    - increasing commercial-industrial space sales, 120
    - medical research of direct interest to the general public, 117
    - modernizing and expanding international short-wave broadcasting, 118
    - obtaining information required for eventual human exploration of Mars and some asteroids, 117
    - people drawn from the general public, in space, 118
    - providing space data directly to the general public, 118
    - reducing the cost of space transportation, 120
    - using space and space technology for the transmission of electrical energy, 119
- U.S. Department of Defense, 4, 29, 30, 39
- U.S. Department of Transportation, 38
- U. S. S. R., 5, 45