## Index

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 149 Advisory Committee on Reactor safeguards (ACRS), 145, 164, 165	Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN), 151 C. F. Braun, Co., 136
Alternative reactor systems, 83-109, 258 (see also	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co., 116, 157
nuclear powerplant technology)	Colorado Public Service Co., 101
advanced light water reactor design concepts, 94-96	combined construction and operating license (COL),
basics in nuclear powerplant design, 84	165, 168, 169
heavy water reactors, 96-99	Combustion Engineering, Inc., 87
high temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR), 99-102,	Committee for Energy Awareness, 214, 236
103	Committee for Review of Generic Requirements
inherently safe reactor concepts, 102-105	(CRGR), 130, 157, 159
light water reactors, safety and reliability of, 87-94, 102	Commonwealth Edison, 59, 66, 67, 126, 148, 168 Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Co., 217
comparison of fossil units to all nuclear units, 89	Connecticut Yankee, 136
examples of specific concerns, 90	Congress:
overview of U.S. reactors, 87	Congressional Research Service (CRS), 201
reliability concerns, 88	House Committee on Science and Technology, 8
safety concerns, 87	House Interior Committee, 220
probabilistic risk assessment, 88	House Subcommittee on Energy and Environment,
unresolved safety <b>issues</b> , 91	219
small reactor, 105-107	Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, 144
standardized reactor, 107	Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources,
American Electric Power Co., Inc., 136	8
American Nuclear Society, 88, 214	Conservation Foundation, 237
American Physical Society, 218	construction permit (CP), 145, 148, 151, 157, 158, 160,
American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 182	161, 163, 165, 168, 170
architect-engineers (AEs), 15, 22, 87, 107, 114, 135,	Consumer Product Safety Commission, 164
179, 183	Council on Environmental Quality, 149
Arizana Bublia Sarviga Co. 115, 125, 127	Critical Mass Energy Project, 214
Arizona Public Service Co., 115, 125, 127	Data Bassirasa Ina (DBI) 22 24
ASEA-ATOM, 103, 105	Data Resources, Inc. (DRI), 33, 34
Atomic Energy Commission, 101, 144, 218, 227 WASH-740 study, 218	Decision Research, 222, 225
Atomic Industry Forum, 214	Department of Agriculture, 149 Department of Defense, 136, 149
Audubon, 32	Department of Energy (DOE), 33, 38, 44, 46, 60, 61,
Australia, 197	65, 102, 136, 149, 154, 157/ 158, 159, 161, 162, 165, 168, 169, 170, 172, 179, 185, 228, 233, 239
Babcock & Wilcox Co., 87	Office of Policy Planning Analysis, 33
Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., 5	policy options, 253
Bechtel Corp., 127	Department of Housing and Urban Development, 149
Blue field Water Works and Improvement Co. v. West	701 Comprehensive Planning Assistance Program, 151
Virginia Public Service Commission, 51	Department of the Interior, 149
Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), 138	Department of Justice, 132, 171, 260
Boston Edison Co., 118	Attorney General, 145
Brazil, 202, 203	Department of Transportation, 149
Brown & Root, Inc., 127	Detroit Edison's Fermi Breeder reactor, 213
Browns Ferry, 6, 87, 122, 123, 153, 213	Diablo Canyon, 116, 153, 228, 230
Buss, David, 228	case study, 242
	Donaldson, Thomas, 230
California, 136, 151, 216, 231	Duke Power Co., 5, 60, 66, 67, 136
Calvert Cliffs plant, 5, 122	DuPont, Robert, 222, 233
Canada, 17, 18, 23, 71, 96, 98, 99, 109, 138, 179, 182, 191, 200, 203, 237	Ebasco Services, Inc., 127
Carolina Power & Light Co., 118	Edison Electric Institute, 32, 214
Carter administration, 203	Eisenhower administration, 144
case studies, 240-244	Electric Power Research Institute (EPRS), 46, 62, 63, 73,
Central Electricity Generating Board (CEGB), 96	88, 115, 118, 127, 182

```
Energy Information Agency, 32
                                                               Florida, 59, 72, 92
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), 145, 148, 168
                                                               Florida Power & Light Co., 59, 72, 115, 136
Environmental Protection Agency, 149, 220
                                                               Ford, Daniel, 230
Eugene, Oreg., 221
                                                               Font St. Vrain, Colo., 9, 101, 102, 128
                                                               France, 22, 23, 67, 189, 191, 196, 199, 200, 202, 203
Federal Aviation Agency, 149
                                                                 backfits, 197
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), 138, 255
                                                                 siting of plants, 198
Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Co.,
                                                               Fuel Supply Service, 136
Federal Register, 166, 167
                                                               Garrett, Pat, 240
Federal Trade Commission, 163
                                                               General Accounting Office (GAO), 171
financial and economic future, 13-15, 29-76
                                                               General Atomic Co., 101
  cost of building and operating nuclear powerplants,
                                                               General Electric Co., 87, 94, 180, 218
      57-71
                                                               General Public Utilities (GPU), 68, 136
    cost of electricity from coal and nuclear plants, 64
                                                               Georgia Power Co., 40
    financial risk of operating a nuclear powerplant, 68
                                                               Great Britain, 22
      risk of public liability, 69
                                                               Great Lakes Basin Commission, 149
    future construction costs of nuclear powerplants, 66
                                                               gross national product (GNP), 29, 32, 33, 34, 36, 40, 41
    impact of delay on cost, 63
                                                               Gulf Power Co., 40
    impact of risk on the cost of capital, 70
    increase in nuclear construction leadtimes, 62
                                                               Hodel, Donald, Secretary of Energy, 162
    rapid increase in cost, 58
                                                               Houston Lighting & Power Co., 127
    reasons for increased construction costs, 60
                                                               Hutchinson, Fla., 115
  electricity demand, 13, 29, 31-42
    sources of uncertainty, 33-41
                                                               Illinois, 56, 136
  estimated impact of industrial electrotechnologies in
                                                               impasse, 5
    the year 2000, 38
                                                               India, 202, 203
  nuclear power in the context of utiltity strategies,
                                                               Indian Point Station, 150
      71-76
                                                               Indiana Public Utility Commission, 56
   alternative utility construction plans, 73
                                                               Industry Degraded Core Rulemaking Program, 88
   estimated cost of nuclear plants under construction,
                                                               Inglehart, Ronald, 229
                                                               Institute for Nuclear Power Operations, 9, 19, 20, 25,
    implications for Federal policies, 74
                                                                 128, 130, 131, 133, 134, 137, 185, 219, 255
  plant construction, 14, 29
                                                                 near-term operating licenses (NTOL), 132
  rate regulation and powerplant finance, 13, 46-57
                                                                 Significant Events Evaluation and Information Network
   accounting for capital costs of powerplants under
                                                                     (SEE-IN), 129, 130
      construction, 50, 64
                                                                 Systematic Assessments of License Performance
   allowance for funds used during construction
                                                                     (SALP), 131
      (AFUDC), 47, 50, 51, 52, 57
   changes in rate regulation, 52
                                                               Japan, 22, 23, 102, 191, 194, 199, 200, 203
   construction work in progress (CWIP), 50, 52, 56,
                                                                 Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI),
                                                                   195, 198
   history of the deterioration in the financial health of
                                                                siting of plants, 198
      electric utilities, 1960-82, 48
   impact of changes in rate regulation in electricity
                                                               Kasperson, Roger, 222
      prices, 57
                                                               Kemeny Commission, 231, 233
   implications of utilities' financial situation, 50
                                                               Komanoff, Charles, 58, 65
   market-to-book ratios and dilution of stock value,
                                                               Korea, 194, 200
      47
   obstacles to a long-term commission perspective, 56
                                                               League of Women Voters, 230, 236, 239
   pay-as-you-go inflation schemes, 56
                                                               legislation:
   phased-in rate requirements, 52
                                                                Administrative Procedures Act (APA), 164
   public utility commissions (PUC), 50, 51, 52, 56, 57
                                                                Atomic Energy Act, 21, 22, 143, 144, 151, 154, 160,
   utilities current financial situation, 46
                                                                   161, 164, 172, 203
   utility accounting, 53
                                                                Clean Air Act, 151
  recent past, 29
                                                                Clean Water Act (CAA), 151
 reserve margins and retirement, 42-46
                                                                Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981, 50, 51
   economic obsolescence, 43
                                                                Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 144
   loss of availability of generating capacity, 44
                                                                Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, 203
   need for new powerplants, 45
                                                                Fuel Use Act, 72
   retirements due to age, 43
                                                                National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 151
```

Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Reform Act of 1983, 154	external factors, 121 historical labor requirements, 123
Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978, 202, 203	internal factors, 124
Nuclear Powerplant Licensing Reform Act of 1983,	technological factors, 118
154 Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 264	new institutional arrangements, 134
•	certification of utilities, 137
price-Anderson Act, 69, 144, 264 Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA), 138	Government-owned regional nuclear power authority, 138
Lifton, Roger, 222	larger role for vendors in construction, 135
Lloyd's of London, 69	privately owned regional nuclear power company,
Long Island Lighting Co., 60	138
Lonnroth, Mans, 190, 199, 200	service companies, 136
Louis Harris & Associates, 228	variations in quality of construction and operation,
Lovins, Amory, 229	114-118
Maine Yankee, 136, 222, 228, 230, 236	performance of selected U.S. LWRs, 117 Nuclear Mutual Ltd. (NML), 68
case study, 240	nuclear powerplant technology, 15-18
management of nuclear powerplants, 18-20	boiling water reactor, 84, 85, 86, 90, 92, 94
Massachusetts, 136, 215	heavy water reactor, 17, 84, 96-99, 175
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 220	high temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR), 16,
Mazur, Allan, 231	17, 84, 87, 99, 100-102, 128, 175
Mexico, 194	light water reactors (LWRs), 15, 16, 18, 83, 84, 85,
Michigan, 45, 136	88, 93, 94, 96, 97, 98, 102, 113, 128, 156, 174
Middle South Utilities, Inc., 136	safety and reliability of, 87-94
Mitchell, Robert, 226	construction records, 115
Neder Belieb 044 045 000	process inherent ultimately safe reactor, 17, 18, 103,
Nader, Ralph, 214, 215, 228	104, 105, 175
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 136, 182	pressurized water reactor, 84, 85, 86, 90, 94, 95, 96 prestressed concrete reactor vessel (PCRV), 99, 100,
National Interveners, 228	103
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 149,	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 4, 5, 6, 16, 18,
164	19, 20, 23, 25, 26, 63, 69, 87, 90, 92, 93, 115, 118,
National Opinion Research Center, 227	120, 122, 123, 128, 133, 134, 137, 145, 150, 154,
National Organization for Women (NOW), 231	156, 157, 158, 159, 164, 165, 166, 168, 180, 213,
National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	233
(NPDES), permit, 151	approval of site suitability, 170
National Technical Information Service, 10	Committee for Review of Generic Requirements, 130,
Nelkin, Dorothy, 230	157, 159
Netherlands, 231, 234	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, 145, 148, 149,
New England Electric System, 72	155
New Hampshire public Service Co., 136 New Jersey, 68, 118	Performance Assessment Team (PAT), 131, 132
New York, 56, 135, 150	policy options, 253 PSAR, 150
New Zealand, 197	Regional Administrators, 167
Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), 202	Regulatory Reform Task Force, 158
Northeast Electric Reliability Council (NERC), 44, 45	responsibilities in licensing, 146
Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC), 44	Safety Evaluation Report (SER), 145, 148
nuclear disincentives, 4	Standard Review Plan (SRP), 145, 166
Nuclear Electric Insurance Ltd. (NEIL), 68	Nuclear Safety Analysis Center (NSAC), 129
nuclear enterprise, management of, 113-139	nuclear steam supply system (NSSS), 135, 145, 179,
assessments of efforts to improve quality, 132-134	180, 182, 188
improving the quality of nuclear powerplant manage-	Nuclear Test Ban Treaty, 227
ment, 127-132	Nucleonics Week, 181
classifications for INPO evaluations, 132	NUS Corp., 118
creating the right environment, 129 detecting and improving poor performance, 130	
institutional approach, 128	Ontario Hydro, 138
technical approach, 127	operating license (OL), 148, 149, 151, 160, 161, 163,
management challenges, 118-127	165, 168
comparison of manpower requirements, 122	Oregon, 151, 215, 217, 221
•	-

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Developregulation of nuclear power, 21-22, 143-175 backfitting, 154-160 definition, 158 Pacific Gas & Electric Co., 116 legislation, 160 Pahner, Philip, 222 specific concerns, 155 Pakistan, 202, 203 Federal regulation, 143-150 Palo Verde plants, 125 historical overviews of nuclear regulation, 144 Pennsylvania, 68, 72, 213, 230 NRC responsibilities in licensing, 146 Philadelphia Electric Co, 101 role of emergency planning, 150 Philippines, 135 utility responsibilities in licensing and construction, policy options, 3, 25-26, 251-278 147 major Federal policy strategies, 263-277 hearings and other NRC procedures, 160-167 base case: no change in Federal policies, 263 changes in role of NRC staff, 164 base case variation: let nuclear power compete in a efficiency improvements, 162 free market, 270 enforcement, 167 economic conditions: two scenarios, 265 improvements in public participation, 162 four nuclear futures under the base case, 267 management control, 165 management improvement conditions: two other NRC procedural issues, 164 scenarios, 266 proposals for change, 161 policy goals and options, 252-262 use of rulemaking, 166 alleviate public concerns and reduce political risks, issues surrounding nuclear plant regulation, 153-154 licensing for alternative reactor types, 174 improve reactor operations and economics, 255 other NRC responsibilities, 171 reduce capital costs and uncertainties, 252 safety goals, 172 reduce the risk of accidents that have public safety State and local regulation, 150-153 or utility financial impacts, 256 environmental policy, 151 strategy one: remove obstacles to more nuclear need for power, 151 orders, 271 State siting activities, 153 strategy two: provide moderate stimulation to more State siting laws, 152 orders, 273 two-step licensing process, 168-170 Porter Commission, 237 Richland, Wash., 126 Portland General Electric, 217, 222 River Basin Commissions, 149 Rochester Gas & Electric, 213 Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR), 145 President's Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Rogovin Report, 164, 166 Island, 166 Rumania, 194 Princeton University, 220 public attitudes toward nuclear power, 23-25, 211-244 Salem, N. J., 93, 214, 219, 221, 236 Sandia Siting Study, 219 actors in the nuclear power debate, 214 expert's view, 217-220 Schumacher, E. F., 229 factors influencing the public's view on nuclear safety, Science Applications, Inc., 219 selected U.S. light water reactors, comparison of, 19 psychological factors, 222 Shadis, Ray, 240 history of statewide referendum votes dealing with Shoreham plant, N. Y., 5 S. M. Stoner Corp., 179 nuclear powerplants, 212 impact of public opinion on nuclear power, 215 Solar Energy Research Institute, 32 impact of risk assessments on public opinion, 220 South Africa, 202 public acceptance of nuclear power, 234-244 Southern Co., 136 role of the media, 24, 231-234 Southwest Power Pool (SPP), 44 State laws and regulations restricting construction, 216 Spain, 200 values and knowledge, 226 Standardized Nuclear Unit Power Plant System Public Citizen, Inc., 214 (SNUPPS), 96 Public Service Electric & Gas Co., New Jersey, 118, 214 Stanford University, 220 purpose of study, 8 Strauss, Lewis, 144 survival of the nuclear industry, 179-206 Quadrex Corp., 136 effects in the U.S. nuclear industry of no, few, or delayed new plant orders, 179 Rasmussen Report, 69, 218, 220 architect-engineering firms, 183 Reactor Safety Study, 218, 219 engineering manpower, 181 Reagan administration, 203 impact on future new construction of nuclear regional nuclear power company (RNPC), 138 plants, 186

impact on nuclear plant operation, 183 nuclear component suppliers, 182 reactor vendors, 180 prospects for nuclear power outside the United States, 189-206 economic context for nuclear power, 189 foreign technical experience, 191 implications for U.S. nuclear industry, 197 licensing and quality control, 191 no-nuclear weapons pledges in effect, 202 nuclear-generating capacity outside the United States, 205 nuclear proliferation considerations, 200 onsite and offsite nuclear-related job vacancies, 204 overall nuclear development, 194 public acceptance, 190 sample construction times for nuclear plants in various countries, 192 U.S. industry in an international context, 199 Sweden, 196, 199, 238 Switzerland, 197

Taiwan, 194, 200
Teledyne, Inc., 136
Tennessee Valley Authority, 105, 138, 213, 237
"The China Syndrome, " 225
Three Mile Island, 6, 16, 62, 68, 70, 72, 87, 90, 92, 93, 102, 120, 121, 122, 125, 129, 148, 150, 153, 155, 156, 213, 233

Union of Concerned Scientists, 214, 220
United Kingdom, 189, 194, 196
Central Electricity Generating Board (CEGB), 199
University of Michigan, 213
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 149
U.S. Geological Survey, 149
U.S. Supreme Court, 151, 216

Vermont, 151 Vermont Yankee, 136 Von Hippel, Frank, 220

Walker, William, 190
Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS), 4, 126
We Almost Lost Detroit, 213, 225
Weinberg, Alvin, 239
West Germany, 23, 56, 102, 189, 191, 194, 196, 200, 202, 203
"Convoy" experiment, 197
Kraftwork Union (KWU), 197
backfits, 197
standardized training, 198
Westinghouse Electric Corp., 87, 94, 95, 135,180
Wintersburg, Ariz., 115
Wisconsin, 151

Yankee Atomic Electric Co., 136