Refining the central limit theorem approximation via extreme value theory
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ABSTRACT

We suggest approximating the distribution of the sum of independent and identically distributed random variables with a Pareto-like tail by combining extreme value approximations for the largest summands with a normal approximation for the sum of the smaller summands. If the tail is well approximated by a Pareto density, then this new approximation has substantially smaller error rates compared to the usual normal approximation for underlying distributions with finite variance and less than three moments. It can also provide an accurate approximation for some infinite variance distributions.
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1. Introduction

Consider approximations to the distribution of the sum $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ of independent mean-zero random variables $X_i$ with distribution function $F$. If $\sigma_0^2 = \int x^2 dF(x)$ exists, then $n^{-1/2} S_n$ is asymptotically normal by the central limit theorem. The quality of this approximation is poor if $\max_{i \leq n} |X_i|$ is not much smaller than $n^{1/2}$, since then a single non-normal random variable has non-negligible influence on $n^{-1/2} S_n$. Extreme value theory provides large sample approximations to the behavior of the largest observations, suggesting that it may be fruitfully employed in the derivation of better approximations to the distribution of $S_n$.

For simplicity, consider the case where $F$ has a light left tail and a heavy right tail. Specifically, assume $\int_{-\infty}^{0} |x|^3 dF(x) < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{x^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}} = \omega^{1/\xi}, \quad \omega > 0$$

for $1/3 < \xi < 1$, so that the right tail of $F$ is approximately Pareto with shape parameter $1/\xi$ and scale parameter $\omega$. Let $X_{i:n}$ be the order statistics. For a given sequence $k = k(n)$, $1 \leq k < n$, split $S_n$ into two pieces

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{i:n} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{n-i+1:n}.$$  

Note that conditional on the $n-k$th order statistic $T_n = X_{n-k+1:n}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{i:n}$ has the same distribution as $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \tilde{X}_i$, where $\tilde{X}_i$ are i.i.d. from the truncated distribution $\tilde{F}_T(x)$ with $\tilde{F}_T(x) = F(x)/F(t)$ for $x \leq t$ and $\tilde{F}_T(x) = 1$ otherwise. Let $\mu(t)$ and
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\( \sigma^2(t) \) be the mean and variance of \( \tilde{F}_t \). Since \( \tilde{F}_{tn} \) is less skewed than \( F \), one would expect the distributional approximation (denoted by \( \text{``\sim''} \)) of the central limit theorem,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{ni} | T_n \overset{a.s.}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \text{ for } Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \tag{3}
\]

to be relatively accurate. At the same time, extreme value theory implies that under (1),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{n-i+1,n} \overset{a.s.}{\sim} n^2 \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \text{ for } \Gamma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} E_j, E_j \sim \text{i.i.d. exponential.} \tag{4}
\]

Combining (3) and (4) suggests

\[
S_n \overset{a.s.}{\sim} n^{1/2} \sigma(n^2 \Gamma_k^{-\xi}) Z + (n-k)\mu(n^2 \Gamma_k^{-\xi}) + n^2 \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \tag{5}
\]

with \( Z \) independent of \( \{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{k} \).

If \( \xi < 1/2 \), the approximate Pareto tail (1) and \( \mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0 \) imply

\[
\mu(x) \approx -\frac{\omega^{1/\xi} x^{1-\xi}}{(1-\xi)(1-(x/\omega)^{-1/\xi})}
\]

and \( \sigma^2(x) \approx \sigma_0^2 - \omega^{1/\xi} \frac{1}{1-2\xi} x^{2-1/\xi} \) for \( x \) large. From \( (n-k)/(n-I_k) \overset{a.s.}{\sim} 1 \), this further yields

\[
S_n \overset{a.s.}{\sim} n^{1/2} \left( \sigma_0^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{1-2\xi} (\Gamma_k/n)^{-1-\xi} \right)^{1/2} Z - n^2 \sigma \left( 1-\frac{\omega}{1-\xi} \right) \Gamma_k^{-\xi} + n^2 \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \tag{6}
\]

which depends on \( F \) only through the unconditional variance \( \sigma_0^2 \) and the two tail parameters \( (\omega, \xi) \). Note that \( \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_k^{-\xi}] = \Gamma(i-\xi)/\Gamma(i) \) and \( \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_k^{-\xi}] = \Gamma(1+k-\xi)/\Gamma(k) = (1-\xi) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma(i-\xi)/\Gamma(i) \), so the right-hand side of (6) is the sum of a mean-zero right skewed random variable, and a (dependent) random-scale mean-zero normal variable.

Our main Theorem 1 provides an upper bound on the convergence rate of the error in the approximation (6). The proof combines the Berry–Esseen bound for the central limit theorem approximation in (3) and the rate result in Corollary 5.5.5 of Reiss (1989) for the extreme value approximation in (4). If the tail of \( F \) is such that the approximation in (4) is accurate, then for both fixed and diverging \( k \) the error in (6) converges to zero faster than the error in the usual mean-zero normal approximation. The approximation (6) thus helps illuminate the nature and origin of the leading error terms in the first order normal approximation, as derived in Chapter 2 of Hall (1982), for such \( F \). We also provide a characterization of the bound minimizing choice of \( k \).

If \( \xi > 1/2 \), then the distribution of \( n^{-\xi} S_n \) converges to a one-sided stable law with index \( \xi \). An elegant argument by LePage et al. (1981) shows that this limiting law can be written as \( \omega \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \). The approximation (5) thus remains potentially accurate under \( k \to \infty \) also for infinite variance distributions. To obtain a further approximation akin to (6), note that (1) implies \( \sigma^2(\omega x) \approx \sigma^2(\omega y) \approx (\omega^2/\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{1-1/\xi} dt \) for large \( x, y \). Let \( u_n = (n/k)^{\xi} \). Then

\[
S_n \overset{a.s.}{\sim} n^{1/2} \left( \frac{\omega^2}{\xi} \int_{u_n}^{\infty} \frac{y^{1-1/\xi}}{y^{1/\xi}} dy \right)^{1/2} Z - n^2 \sigma \left( 1-\frac{\omega}{1-\xi} \right) \Gamma_k^{-\xi} + n^2 \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{-\xi} \tag{7}
\]

which depends on \( F \) only through the tail parameters \( (\omega, \xi) \) and the sequence of truncated variances \( \sigma^2(\omega u_n) \). The approximation (7) could also be applied to the case \( \xi < 1/2 \), so that one obtains a unifying approximation for values of \( \xi \) both smaller and larger than 1/2. Indeed, for \( F \) mean-centered Pareto of index \( \xi \), the results below imply that for suitable choice of \( k \to \infty \), this approximation has an error that converges to zero much faster than the error from the first order approximation via the normal or non-normal stable limit for \( \xi \) close to 1/2. The approach here thus also sheds light on the nature of the leading error terms of the non-normal stable limit, such as those derived by Christoph and Wolf (1992).

For \( \xi > 1/2 \), the idea of splitting up \( S_n \) as in (2) and to jointly analyze the asymptotic behavior of the pieces is already pursued in Csörgö et al. (1988). The contribution here is to derive error rates for resulting approximation to the distribution of the sum, especially for \( 1/3 < \xi < 1/2 \), and to develop the additional approximation of the truncated mean and variance induced by the approximate Pareto tail.

The next section formalizes these arguments and discusses various forms of writing the variance term and the approximation for the case where both tails are heavy. Section 3 contains the proofs.
2. Assumptions and main results

The following condition imposes the right tail of $F$ to be in the $\delta$-neighborhood of the Pareto distribution with index $\xi$, as defined in Chapter 2 of Falk et al. (2004).

**Condition 1.** For some $x_0$, $\delta$, $\omega$, $L_\delta > 0$ and $1/3 < \xi < 1$, $F(x)$ admits a density for all $x \geq x_0$ of the form

\[
f(x) = (\alpha \xi)^{-1}(x/\omega)^{-1/\xi - 1}(1 + h(x))
\]

with $|h(x)| \leq L_\delta x^{-\delta/\xi}$ uniformly in $x \geq x_0$.

As discussed in Falk et al. (2004), Condition 1 can be motivated by considering the remainder in the von Mises condition for extreme value theory. It is also closely related to the assumption that the tail of $F$ is second order regularly varying, as studied by de Haan and Stadtmüller (1996) and de Haan and Resnick (1996). Many heavy-tailed distributions satisfy Condition 1: for the right tail of a student-$t$ distribution with $\nu$ degrees of freedom, $\xi = 1/\nu$ and $\delta = 2\xi$, for the tail of a Fréchet or generalized extreme value distribution with parameter $\alpha$, $\xi = 1/\alpha$ and $\delta = 1$, and for an exact Pareto tail, $\delta$ may be chosen arbitrarily large. In general, shifts of the distribution affect $\delta$; for instance, a mean-centered Pareto distribution satisfies Condition 1 only for $\delta \leq \xi$. See Remark 4 below.

Not all heavy-tailed distributions in the domain of attraction of a Fréchet limit law satisfy Condition 1. A density of the form (8) with $h(x) = 1/\log(1 + x)$, for example, does not. Under some additional regularity conditions on the von Mises remainder term, Theorem 3.2 of Falk and Marohn (1993) shows Condition 1 to be necessary to obtain an error rate of extreme value approximations of order $n^{-1}$ for $\delta > 0$. Roughly speaking, Condition 1 thus merely formalizes the assumption that extreme value theory provides accurate approximations.

We write $C$ for a generic positive constant that does not depend on $k$ or $n$, not necessarily the same in each instance it is used.

**Theorem 1.** Under Condition 1,

(a) for $1/3 < \xi < 1/2$,

\[
sup_s \left| \mathbb{P}(n^{1/2} S_n \leq s) - \mathbb{P}\left( n^{1/2} \left( \alpha \omega^2 \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - 2\xi} \Gamma_k/n \right)^{1-2\xi} \right)^{1/2} \right) Z \right|
\]

\[
- n^{1-\xi} \omega \Gamma_k/n \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - 2\xi} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i/n \leq s/n^{1/2} \] 

\[
\leq C \cdot R(k, n, \xi, \delta)
\]

(b) for $1/3 < \xi < 1$, $u_n = (n/k)^{\xi}$ and $a_n = (n \log n)^{-1/2}$ for $\xi = 1/2$ and $a_n = n^{-\max(\xi, 1/2)}$ otherwise,

\[
sup_s \left| \mathbb{P}(a_n S_n \leq s) - \mathbb{P}\left( n^{1/2} \left( \sigma^2 (\omega u_n) + \omega^2 \frac{2}{\xi} \int_{u_n} \Gamma_k/n \right) y^{1-1/\xi} \right) Z \right|
\]

\[
- n^{1-\xi} \omega \Gamma_k/n \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - 2\xi} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i/n \leq s/a_n \] 

\[
\leq C \cdot R(k, n, \xi, \delta)
\]

where

\[
R(k, n, \xi, \delta) = \begin{cases} 
  n^{-1/2}(n/k)^{2\xi - 1} + (k/n)^{\xi}k^{1/2} + k/n & \text{for } 1/3 < \xi < 1/2 \\
  k^{-\xi} + (k/n)^{\xi}k^{1/2} + k/n & \text{for } 1/2 \leq \xi < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

It is straightforward to characterize the rate for $k$ which minimizes the bound $R(k, n, \xi, \delta)$. For two positive sequences $a_n, b_n$, write $a_n \asymp b_n$ if $0 < \lim \inf a_n/b_n \leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} b_n/a_n < \infty$.

**Lemma 1.** Let $k^* \asymp n^\alpha$ with

\[
\alpha^* = \begin{cases} 
  \max(\min(\frac{6\xi - 1}{6\xi}, \frac{6\xi + 2\delta - 3}{6\xi + 2\delta - 1}), 0) & \text{for } 1/3 < \xi < 1/2 \\
  \min(\frac{2\delta}{1+2(3+\delta)} \frac{1}{1+\xi}) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq \xi < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then $\min_{k \geq 1} R(k, n, \xi, \delta) \asymp R(k^*, n, \xi, \delta) \asymp n^{\beta^*}$ with

\[
\beta^* = \begin{cases} 
  -\delta & \text{for } \delta \leq 3(1/2 - \xi) \\
  -\frac{3 + 2\delta - 6\xi}{12\xi + 4\delta - 2} & \text{for } 3(1/2 - \xi) < \delta \leq 1/2 + 3\xi \\
  -\frac{1}{6\xi} & \text{for } 1/2 + 3\xi < \delta
\end{cases}
\]

for $1/3 < \xi < 1/2$, and $\beta^* = -\xi \alpha^*$ for $1/2 \leq \xi < 1$. 

and for some expression of the form $C > \text{approximation}$, the rate can still be better than the baseline rate of $\text{induces an additional error of order } (\cdot)^2$. Thus, if the tail of $F$ is sufficiently close to being Pareto in the sense of Condition 1, then the new approximations can provide dramatic improvements over the normal approximation. Even keeping $k$ fixed improves over the benchmark rate $n^{1-1/(2\xi)}$ as long as $\delta > 1/(2\xi) - 1$ for $1/3 < \xi < 1/2$. At the same time, if $\delta < 1/2$, then $\beta^*$ is larger than $1 - 1/(2\xi)$ for some $\xi$ sufficiently close to 1/3, so the new approximation is potentially worse than the usual normal approximation (or, equivalently, the optimal choice of $k$ then is $k = 0$).

For $1/2 < \xi < 1$ and under Condition 1, sup$_{s \geq 0} |P(n^{-2} S_n \leq s) - P(\sigma Z \leq s)| = O(n^{-2\xi} + n^{-\delta})$ by Theorem 1 of Hall (1981), and his Theorem 2 shows this rate to be sharp under a suitably strengthened version of Condition 1. More specifically, for $F$ mean-centered Pareto, the rate is exactly $n^{-2\xi}$ (cf. Christoph and Wolf (1992), Example 4.25), which, for any $\delta > 0$, is slower than $n^{\beta^*}$ for $\xi$ sufficiently close to 1/2.

Fig. 1 plots some of these rates.

2. An alternative approximation is obtained by replacing the term in the positive part function in parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 by $\sigma^2(\omega(n/\Gamma_k)^2)$, with an approximation error that is still bounded by $C \cdot \mathcal{R}(k, n, \xi, \delta)$. Substitution of the term $\sigma^2 \frac{\omega^2}{1-2\xi}(\Gamma_k/n)^{1-2\xi}$ in part (a) of Theorem 1 by $\sigma^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{1-2\xi}(k/n)^{1-2\xi}$ (or dropping the integral in part (b) for $1/3 < \xi < 1/2$) induces an additional error of order $(k/n)^{1-2\xi}k^{1/2}$. In general, this worsens the bound, although even with this further approximation, the rate can still be better than the baseline rate of $n^{1-1/(2\xi)}$. For $1/2 < \xi < 1$, dropping the integral in part (b) induces an additional error of order $k^{-\xi}$, so this simpler approximation still has an error no larger than $C \cdot \mathcal{R}(k, n, \xi, \delta)$.

3. Consider the case where both tails of $F$ are approximately Pareto, that is Condition 1 holds for $\xi = \xi_R$ and $\delta = \delta_R$, and for some $x_l, \omega_l, \delta_l, L_l > 0$, for all $x < -x_l, f(x) = (\omega_l \xi_l)^{-1}(-x/\omega_l)^{-1/(2\xi_l)}(1 + h_l(-x))$ with $|h_l(x)| \leq L_l x^{-\xi_l/\xi_l}$ for all $x > x_l$. Proceeding as in the introduction then suggests

$$S_n \sim n^{1/2} \sigma(\omega_l(n/\Gamma_{k_l})^{\xi_l}, \omega_l(n/\Gamma_{k_l})^{\xi_l} \gamma_{k_l} + n^{\xi_l} \omega_l 1 - \xi_l \gamma_{k_l} - n^{\xi_l} \omega_R 1 - \xi_R \gamma_{k_l} - n^{\xi_l} \omega_R 1 - \xi_R \gamma_{k_l} - n^{\xi_l} \omega_R \sum_{i=1}^{k_l} \gamma_{k_l} - n^{\xi_l} \omega_R \sum_{i=1}^{k_l} \gamma_{k_l})$$

with $(\gamma_{k_l})_{i=1}^{k_l}$ an independent copy of $(\gamma_{k_l})_{i=1}^{k_l}$, and $\gamma^2(x, y)$ the variance of $X_l$ conditional on $-x \leq X_l \leq y$. If $1/3 < \xi_R < 1$, then arguments analogous to the proof of Theorem 1 show that the error of this approximation is bounded by an expression of the form $C \cdot \mathcal{R}(k_R, n, \xi_R, \delta_R) + C \cdot \mathcal{R}(k_l, n, \xi_l, \delta_l)$, and the same form is obtained by replacing $\sigma^2(x, y)$ with $\max(\sigma^2(\omega_l v_n, \omega_R u_n) + (\omega_l^2/\xi_l) / \sigma'_n + (\omega_l^2/\xi_l) / \sigma'_n, 0)$ for $v_n = (n/\xi_l)^{\xi_l}$ and $u_n = (n/\xi_l)^{\xi_l}$ (and the integrals may be dropped for $1/2 < \xi < 1$, see the preceding remark). If $\xi = \max(\xi_l, \xi_R) > 1/2$ and $\xi_l \neq \xi_R$, then the first order approximation to the distribution of $n^{-2} S_n$ is a one-sided stable law that does not depend on the smaller tail index. In contrast, the approximation above reflects the impact of both heavy tails, and in general, ignoring the relatively lighter tail leads to a worse bound.

4. Suppose the right tail of $F$ is well approximated by a shifted Pareto distribution, that is for some $k = \in R$ and $x_1, \delta_1, L_1 > 0$, $dF(x)/dx = f(x) = (\omega x^{-1})^{-1}(-x/\omega)^{-1/\xi} - 1(1 + h(x - k))$ for all $x > x_1 + k$ with $|h(y)| \leq L_1 y^{-\delta_1/\xi}$ uniformly in $y \geq x_1$. This implies that $F$ satisfies Condition 1, but for $\delta = \min(\xi, \delta_1)$. Let $F_0(x) = F(x + \kappa)$ and $\mu_0(x) = -\int_{x}^{\infty} ydF_0(y) / F_0(x)$. Then $\mu(x + \kappa) = -\int_{x+\kappa}^{\infty} ydF(y)/F(x + \kappa) = [\mu_0(x) - \kappa(1 - F_0(x))] / F_0(x)$. Thus, proceeding as
for (6) yields \((X_{n-i+1:n})_{i=1}^k \overset{d}{=} (\kappa + n^\xi \omega \Gamma_1^{-1/\xi} x_i^{-k})\) and
\[
S_n \overset{d}{=} k(k - \Gamma_1) - n^\xi \omega \Gamma_k^{-1/\xi} + n^{1/2} \sigma(\omega(n/\Gamma_1)^{\xi} + \kappa)Z + n^\xi \omega \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i^{-1/\xi}.
\]

Straightforward modifications of the proof of Theorem 1 show that the approximation error in (9) is bounded by \(C \cdot R(k, n, \xi, \delta_1)\), and this form for the bound also applies if \(\sigma^2(\omega x + \kappa)\) is further approximated by \(\sigma^2(\omega x + \kappa) \approx \sigma^2(\omega x + \kappa) + (\omega^2/\xi)^{1/2} \cdot \left(1 - (\omega x + \kappa)\right)\) for \(u_x = (n/k)^\xi\). So, for instance, if \(F\) is mean-centered Pareto with \(1/3 < \xi < 1\), then \(\delta_1\) may be chosen arbitrarily large, and the approximation (9) with \(k = k^*\) of Lemma 1 yields a substantially better bound on the convergence rate compared to the original approximation (7) with a bound of the form \(C \cdot R(k, n, \xi, \xi)\). The cost of this further refinement, however, is the introduction of a tail location parameter \(\kappa\) in addition to the tail scale and tail shape parameters \((\omega, \xi)\).

3. Proofs

Let \(X_n^e = (X_{n-k+1:n}, X_{n-k+2:n}, \ldots, X_n)\). The proof of Theorem 1 relies heavily on Corollary 5.5.5 of Reiss (1989) (also see Theorem 2.2.4 of Falk et al. (2004)), which implies that under Condition 1,
\[
\sup_{B_k} |\mathbb{P}(n^{-1/\xi} \cdot X_n^e \in B_k^e) - \mathbb{P}((\Gamma_k^{-1/\xi}, \Gamma_{k-1}^{-1/\xi}, \ldots, \Gamma_1^{-1/\xi}) \in B_k^e)| \leq C((k/n)^{1/2} + k/n)
\]
where the supremum is over Borel sets \(B_k^e\) in \(\mathbb{R}^k\).

Without loss of generality, assume \(x_0 > e, 1 - (x_0/\omega)^{-1/\xi} > 0\) and \(\sigma_0^2 - \omega^2 x_0^{-1/\xi}(1 - 2\xi) > 0\). We first prove two elementary lemmas. Let \(L\) denote a generic positive constant that does not depend on \(x\) or \(y\), not necessarily the same in each instant it is used.

Lemma 2. Under Condition 1, for all \(x, y \geq x_0\), there exists \(L > 0\) such that
(a) for \(1/3 < \xi < 1\), \(\mu(x) \leq LX^{1-\xi}\) and \(\mu(x) + \omega^{1/\xi} x^{1-\xi/\xi} + \frac{1}{1-\xi/\xi} \leq LX^{1-(1+1/\xi)}\)
(b) for \(1/3 < \xi < 1/2\), \(|\sigma^2(x) - \sigma_0^2 + \omega^2 x_0^{-1/\xi}x^{1-\xi/\xi}| \leq L(x^{1-\xi/\xi} + x^{1-2\xi})\)
(c) for \(1/2 < \xi < 1\), \(-L^{-2\xi}x^{1-\xi/\xi} \leq \sigma^2(x) \leq Lx^{2-1/\xi}\) and \(|\sigma^2(x) - \sigma^2(y) + (\omega^1/\xi) \int_0^x u^{-1-\xi} du| \leq L(\int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du + (\omega^2/\xi)(x^{1-\xi/\xi} + x^{-1/\xi}))\)
(d) for \(\xi = 1/2\), \(L^{-4} \log x \leq \sigma^2(x) \leq L \log x\) and \(|\sigma^2(x) - \sigma^2(y) + (\omega^1/\xi) \int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du| \leq L(\int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du + x^{-1/\xi} \log y + y^{-1/\xi} \log x)|
(e) for \(1/3 < \xi < 1\), \(\int |u|^3 dF(u) \leq LX^{-1/\xi}\).

Proof. (a) Follows from \(\mu(x) = -\int_0^\infty u \cdot dF(u)/F(x)\) and, under Condition 1, \(\int_0^\infty u \cdot dF(u) - \omega^{3/2} x^{1-\xi/\xi} \leq \int_0^\infty \cdot dF(u) \leq \int_0^\infty \cdot dF(u) \leq LX^{-1-1/\xi}\)
(b), (c), (d) Since \(\sigma^2(x) = -\mu(x)^2 + (\sigma_0^2 - \omega^2 x_0^{-1/\xi})/F(x)\) for \(\xi < 1/2\) and \(\sigma^2(x) = -\mu(x)^2 + \int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du/F(x)\) for \(\xi \geq 1/2\), the results follow from \(1 - F(x) \leq L^{-1/\xi}\), \(\int_0^x u \cdot dF(u) \leq (\omega^1/\xi) \int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du \leq L(\int_0^x u^{-1-1/\xi} du + x^{-1/\xi} \log y + y^{-1/\xi} \log x)\) via Condition 1 and the result in part (a).
(e) Follows from \(\int |u|^3 dF(u) = \int_0^\infty |u - \mu(x)|^3 dF(u)/F(x) \leq L|\mu(x)|^3 + \int_0^\infty |u|^3 dF(u)\) by the \(c_i\) inequality and Condition 1.

Lemma 3. Under Condition 1
(a) with \(\bar{T}_n = \max(T_n, x_0)\), \(\mathbb{E}[\bar{T}_n] \leq C(n/k)^{\xi}\) for all \(0 \leq \alpha < 1/\xi\)
(b) with \(\bar{T}_n = \max(\omega^k \Gamma_k^{-1/\xi}, x_0)\), \(\mathbb{E}[\bar{T}_n^{\alpha}] \leq C(n/k)^{-\alpha\xi}\) for all \(\alpha \geq 0\).

Proof. (a) Let \(Y_n = (k/n)^\xi \bar{T}_n\), so that we need to show that \(\mathbb{E}[Y_n^{\alpha}]\) is uniformly bounded or, equivalently, that \(\mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y)\) is uniformly integrable. We have, for \(y > x_0\)
\[
\mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y) = \mathbb{P}(T_n \geq (n/k)^\xi y)
\]
\[
= \mathbb{P}(1 - F(T_n) \leq 1 - F((n/k)^\xi y))
\]
\[
\leq \mathbb{P}(U_{kn} \leq Ly^{-1/\xi} k/n)
\]
where \(U_{kn}\) is the \(kth\) order statistic of \(n\) i.i.d. uniform \([0, 1]\) variables, and \(L\) is such that \(1 - F(x) \leq Lx^{-1/\xi}\) for all \(x \geq x_0\). By Lemma 3.12 of Reiss (1989), for all \(u > 0\), \(\mathbb{P}(U_{kn} \leq k/n + 1) \leq L(eu)^k\). Thus, \(\mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq y) \leq L(eu^{-1/\xi} y)^k \leq Ly^{-1/\xi}\), where the last inequality holds for all \(y \geq (L e)^k\) and the result follows.
(b) Clearly, \(\mathbb{E}[\bar{T}_n^\alpha] \leq (n/k)^{-\alpha\xi} \mathbb{E}[(\Gamma_k^\alpha)^{\alpha\xi}]\). For \(0 \leq \alpha \xi < 1\), \(\mathbb{E}[(\Gamma_k^\alpha)^{\alpha\xi}] \leq \mathbb{E}[(k^\alpha)^{\alpha\xi}] = 1\) while for \(\alpha \xi > 1\), \(\mathbb{E}[(\Gamma_k^\alpha)^{\alpha\xi}] = E[(k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k E_i)^{\alpha\xi}] \leq C\) by two applications of Jensen’s inequality.
Proof of Theorem 1. We can assume $k < n^{2/3}$ in the following, since otherwise, there is nothing to prove. Let $\tilde{t}_n = \max(T_n, x_0)$. Lemma 3.1.1 in Reiss (1989) implies that under Condition 1, $\mathbb{P}(T_n \neq T_n) \leq Ck/n$. Write $H_n(s) = \mathbb{P}(n^{-1/2}s \leq s)$. Assume first $1/3 < \xi \leq 1/2$. We have

$$H_n(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{in} - \mu(T_n) \leq \frac{s/a_n - \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} X_{in} - (n-k)\mu(T_n)}{(n-k)^{1/2}\sigma(T_n)} \right) \right].$$

Note that conditional on $X_n^e$, the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{in}$ is the same as that of the sum of i.i.d. draws from the truncated distribution $\tilde{F}_n$ with mean $\mu(T_n)$ and variance $\sigma(T_n)$. The Berry–Esseen bound hence implies

$$\sup_x \left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{in} - \mu(T_n) \right] - \Phi(x) \right| = C(n-k)^{-1/2} \int \frac{|x|^3 \tilde{F}_n(x)}{\sigma^3(T_n)} dx \leq C(n-k)^{-1/2} (k-n)^{1/2} + k/n.$$

From (10), with $\tau_n = \omega(n/\Gamma_k^{1/3})$, we have $x_n(s) = C(n^{-1/2}(n/k)^{3k-1} + (k/n)^{1/2} + k/n)$ where

$$H_n^1(s) = \mathbb{E} \Phi \left( s/a_n - \omega n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i \tilde{\xi}_n - (n-k)\mu(T_n) \right).$$

Let $\tilde{r}_n = \max(\tau_n, x_0)$ and note that by (10), $P(\tilde{r}_n \neq x_0) \leq P(\tilde{r}_n \neq T_n) + C((k/n)^{1/2} + k/n).$

Now focus on the claim in part (a). By Lemma 2(a) and (b), $\mu(\tilde{r}_n) + \omega n^{1/2} \tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} / (\Gamma_k^{1/3} n^{-1/2}) \leq C\tilde{r}_n^{1/(1+\delta)}$ and $|\sigma^2(\tilde{r}_n) - \sigma^2_n| + \omega n^{1/2} \tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} \leq C\max(\tilde{r}_n^{1/(1+\delta)} / \Gamma_k^{1/3} n^{-1/2}, \tilde{r}_n^{-1/2})$ a.s. Thus, exploiting that $\phi(z) = d\Phi(z)/dz$ and $|z|\phi(z)$ are uniformly bounded, and $0 < |\sigma^2(x_0) - \sigma^2(\tilde{r}_n)| < \sigma^2_n$ a.s., exact first order Taylor expansions and Lemma 3(b) yield

$$\sup_s \left| H_n^1(s) - \mathbb{E} \Phi \left( s - n^{-1/2} \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i \tilde{\xi}_n - n^{-1/2} \omega \Gamma_k^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Psi_n \right) \right| \leq C((k/n)^{1/2} + k/n + n^{1/2}(n/k)^{1-\delta} + (k/n)^{2k-1/2})$$

where

$$\Psi_n = 1 + \frac{\Gamma_i^{1/3} \tilde{F}_n}{\Gamma_k^{1/3} n^{-1/2}}.$$

Let $\Gamma_i = \tilde{F}_n(\Gamma_i^{1/3} n^{-1/2})$, so that $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{F}_n \neq \Gamma_i) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{r}_n \neq \tilde{r}_n)$ and we can replace any $\Gamma_i$ by $\tilde{F}_n$ in the last expression without changing the form of the right hand side. Note that $1 - \tilde{F}_n/n \geq 1 - (x_0/\omega^{1/2}) - 1/2 > 0$ and $\sigma^2_n - \omega n^{1/2} (\tilde{F}_n)^{1-2e} \geq \sigma^2_n(x_0)$ a.s. Thus, by another exact Taylor expansion and $\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_k^{1-\delta} | \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} n - k/n] \leq C(\tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} | \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} n - k/n |^2) \leq C(\tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} | \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} n - k/n |^2) \leq C(\tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} | \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} n - k/n |^2) \leq C(\tilde{r}_n^{-1/2} | \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} n - k/n |^2)$, we can replace $\Psi_n$ by 1 at the cost of another error term of the form $C(n/k)^{-3/2+\delta}$. The result in part (a) now follows after eliminating dominated terms, and the proof of part (b) for $1/3 < \xi < 1/2$ follows from the same steps.

So consider $\xi = 1/2$. Let $A_n$ be the event $(2k)^{-\delta} \leq \Gamma_k^{1-\delta} \leq (k/2)^{-\delta}$. By Chebyshev’s inequality, $P(A_n) = \mathbb{P}(1/2 \leq k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i \leq 2) \leq C/k$. Conditional on $A_n$, and recalling that $k \leq n^{2/3}$, $C^{-1} \leq \sigma^2(\tilde{r}_n)/\log(n) \leq C$, $|\sigma^2(\tilde{r}_n) - \sigma^2(x_0) - \omega n^{1/2} \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1-\delta/2} \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Psi_n \right) \leq C(n/k)^{-1/2} + (k/n)^{1/2} \log(n)$ and $|\mu(\tilde{r}_n) + \omega n^{1/2} \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Psi_n \right) \leq C(n/k)^{1-\delta} a.s.$ by Lemma 2(a) and (d). Exact first order Taylor expansions of $H_n^1(s)$ thus yield

$$\sup_s \left| H_n^1(s) - \mathbb{E} \Phi \left( \frac{s \log(n)^{1/2} - \omega n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Psi_n }{\sigma^2(\omega n^{1/2} \tilde{F}_n(\Gamma_k^{1/3} n^{-1/2}) y^{1-\delta/2} \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Gamma_k^{1/2} y^{1/2} \tilde{\xi}_n \Psi_n } \right) \right| \leq C(k^{-1/2} + (k/n)^{1/2} + k/n + n^{1/2}(k/n)^{-1-\delta} + (k/n)^{-\delta}).$$

and replacing $\Psi_n$ by unity induces an additional error term of the form $C(n/k)^{-1}$ by the same arguments as employed above (and recalling that $P(A_n) \leq C/k$).

We are left to prove the claim for $1/2 < \xi < 1$. Note that the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{in}$ conditional on $X_n^e$ only depends on $X_n^e$ through $T_n$. Let $\Phi_{n,t}$ be the conditional distribution function of $\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} X_{in} \tilde{F}_n(\tilde{r}_n)$ given $T_n = t$. For future reference, note that by Theorem 1.1 in Goldstein (2010), $\parallel \Phi_{n,t} - \Phi \parallel_1 = \int |\Phi(z) - \Phi_{n,t}(z)| dz \leq (n-k)^{-1/2} \int |y|^3 dF_t(y)/\sigma(t)^3$, so that
by Lemma 2(c) and (e), \(\|\Phi_{n,t} - \Phi\|_1 \leq C n^{-1/2} t^{1/2(2e)}\) for \(t \geq x_0\). We have

\[
H_n(s) = \mathbb{E} \Phi_{n,t_n} \left( \frac{n^{-\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - (n - k) \mu(T_n)}{(n - k)^{1/2} \sigma(T_n)} \right)
\]

so that by (10), \(\sup_s |H_n(s) - H_n^2(s)| \leq C((k/n)^{k^{1/2}} + k/n)\), where

\[
H_n^2(s) = \mathbb{E} \Phi_{n,t_n} \left( \frac{n^{-\xi} - \omega n^{-\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i^{1-\xi} - (n - k) \mu(T_n)}{(n - k)^{1/2} \sigma(T_n)} \right).
\]

Let \(U\) be a uniform random variable on the unit interval, independent of \((\Gamma_i)_{i=1}^\infty\), and let \(\Phi_{n,t}^{-1}\) be the quantile function of \(\Phi_{n,t}\). Then

\[
H_n^2(s) = \mathbb{P} \left( n^{-\xi} (n - k)^{1/2} \sigma(T_n) \Phi_{n,t_n}^{-1}(U) + n^{-\xi} (n - k) \mu(T_n) + \omega \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i^{1-\xi} \leq s \right)
\]

Since \(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2, \Gamma_2/\Gamma_3, \ldots, \Gamma_k/\Gamma_k\) are independent (cf. Corollary 1.6.11 of Reiss (1989)), the distribution of \((\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2)^{-\xi}\) conditional on \(\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3, \ldots, \Gamma_k\) is the same as that conditional on \(\Gamma_2\), which by a direct calculation is found to be Pareto with parameter \(1/\xi\). Thus, with \(G(z) = 1[z > 1](1 - z^{-1/\xi})\),

\[
H_n^2(s) = \mathbb{E} G \left( s - n^{-\xi} (n - k)^{1/2} \sigma(T_n) \Phi_{n,t_n}^{-1}(U) - n^{-\xi} (n - k) \mu(T_n) - \omega \sum_{i=2}^{k} \Gamma_i^{1-\xi} \right) / (\omega \Gamma_2^{1-\xi})
\]

Note that for arbitrary \(a \geq 0\) and \(y \in \mathbb{R}\), with \(g(z) = dG(z)/dz\)

\[
|\mathbb{E} \left[ G(y + a \Phi_{n,t}^{-1}(U)) - G(y + aZ) \right]| = \int G(y + az) d(\Phi_{n,t}(z) - \Phi(z))
\]

\[
= a \int (\Phi(z) - \Phi_{n,t}(z)) g(y + az) dz
\]

\[
\leq a \sup_y |g(y)| \cdot \|\Phi_{n,t} - \Phi\|_1
\]

where the second equality stems from Riemann–Stieltjes integration by parts. Conditional on the event \(A_n\) as defined above, \(\|\Phi_{n,t_n} - \Phi\|_1 \leq Ck^{-1/2}, C^{-1}(n/k)^{2e-1} \leq \sigma^2(\tilde{T}_n) \leq C(n/k)^{2e-1}, |\sigma^2(\tilde{T}_n) - \sigma^2(\omega T_n)| - \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{\omega T_n} \int_{\Gamma_k^{1-\xi}} y^{1-1/\xi} dy| \leq C(n/k)^{2e-1-\delta} + (n/k)^{2e-2})\) and \(|\mu(\tilde{T}_n) + \omega \nu^{1/\xi} (1 - (1/(\omega T_n)^{1-\xi})| \leq C(n/k)^{2e-1-\delta}\) a.s. by Lemma 2(a) and (c). Thus, by exact first order Taylor expansions and exploiting that \(g(z)\) is uniformly bounded and \(\mathbb{E}[|Z|], \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_k^{1-\xi}] < C\),

\[
\sup_s |H_n^2(s) - H_n^3(s)| \leq C(k^{-1} + (k/n)^{k^{1/2}} + k/n + k^{-e} + n^{1-\xi} (n/k)^{2e-1-\delta} + n^{1/2-e}((n/k)^{2e-1-2} + (n/k)^{2e-3/2})
\]

where

\[
H_n^3(s) = \mathbb{E} \left( s - n^{1-2e/\xi} \left( \sigma^2(\omega T_n) + \frac{\omega^{1/\xi}}{\xi} \int_{\omega T_n} y^{1-1/\xi} dy \right)^{1/2} Z + \frac{\omega}{1-\xi} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \Gamma_i^{1-\xi} \right) / (\omega \Gamma_2^{1-\xi})
\]

As before, we can replace \(\psi_n\) by unity at the cost of another error term of the form \(Ck^{3/2}n^{-1/2}\), and the result follows after eliminating dominating terms.
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