

# Index

## A

ACC. See American College of Cardiology  
Accelerated compensation events, 15, 18, 19,88, 89,90-91  
ACES. See Accelerated compensation events;  
Avoidable classes of events  
ACOG. See American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists  
ACS. See American College of Surgeons  
Acute myocardial infarction, 105  
ADR. See Alternative dispute resolution  
Agency for Health Care Policy and Research, 18, 83, 142, 145, 149  
Alternative dispute resolution, 13-14, 82, 84-87, 89, 90-93  
AMA. See American Medical Association  
AMA,' SSMLP. See American Medical Association/  
Specialty Society Medical Liability Project  
American College of Cardiology. 5-6, 8, 50, 58, 96, 106-117  
American College of Emergency Room Physicians, 96  
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologist, 5-6, 8, 43, 50, 56, 58, 63, 65, 71, 96, 106-117, 144  
American College of Surgeons, 5-6, 8, 50, 56, 58, 63,65, 96, 106-117  
American Health Care Systems. Inc.. 32-33  
American Medical Association, 30, 145, 47-48, 150, 156-160  
American Medical Association/Specialty Society Medical Liability Project, 14, 84,86-87  
AM I. See Acute myocardial infarction  
Arbitration. See Alternative dispute resolution  
Archer, Bill, 2, 95  
Arizona  
pretrial screening studies, 81  
Avoidable classes of events. See accelerated compensation events

## B

Baldwin, Laura Mae, 9, 68-69,70, 97  
Birth-related injuries, 14-15, 88, 89  
Bovbjerg, Randall, 96  
Breast biopsy, 24-25  
Brigham and Women's Hospital, 24-25  
Bush, George, 2

## C

Caesarean delivery, 2,5,8, 11,68,81, 105, 129, 131  
California, 28-29, 49,80-81, 87, 105, 149-150  
Cancer, 9,24-25, 31-32  
Cardiologists. See American College of Cardiology.  
Case studies  
methodology', 43  
use of low osmolality contrast agents. 1 (), 71-74  
Channeling arrangements, 87  
Clinical practice guidelines, 2, 12-13, 17-18,81-84, 87,92, 142-150  
Clinical scenario surveys  
Duke Law Journal study. 49-50, 51-52  
Classman survey of New Jersey physicians. 9, 65-66  
methodology, 41-42  
OTA surveys. 5-6,8, 50, 52-65  
Congressional Sunbelt Caucus. 95  
Conventional malpractice reforms  
compensation guidelines, 11-12  
description, 2, 11-12, 78-79,92  
direct malpractice costs impact, 81  
low-income plaintiffs and, 76, 77  
multistate data. 79, 133:141  
policy option, 16-17  
pretrial screening studies, 81, 133-141  
pm-defendant bias, 76  
single-state studies, 79-81, 133-141  
small multistate studies, 79-81. 133-141  
Cost Consciousness scale. 109

Cost of defensive medicine

- Caesarean delivery in a complicated labor example, 129, 131
- cost containment and practice guidelines, 148-149
- “customary practice” standard, 149
- estimate surveys, 128-132, 156-161
- head injury example, 5, 131-132
- Lewin-VHI, Inc. estimates, 48, 160-161
- Reynolds and colleagues estimates, 47-48, 156-160

“Customary practice” standard, 149

D

Definitions of defensive medicine

- benefit or harm to the patient and, 22-25,36
- categories of defensive medicine, 23-24
- examples, 24-25
- conscious versus unconscious practice, 2, 22, 36
- definitions other than OTA’s, 23
- Lewin-VHI, Inc. definition, 48
- OTA definition, 1,3,21-22,95-96
- primary versus sole motivation, 22,36
- probability of disease and medical consequences, 25-26

Delayed diagnosis

- breast malignancy claims, 24-25

Diagnostic x-rays - see x-rays

Dingell, John D., 2,95

Direct physician surveys

- methodology, 4, 41, 43
- findings, 4,43-46
- poor response rates, 47

Discomfort with Clinical Uncertainty scale, 109

Duke Law Journal Project

- findings, 50
- methodology, 5, 41-42
- structure, 49-50

Durenbergcr, Sen. Dave, 2,95

E

Economic issues. See Cost of defensive medicine;

Financial consequences of malpractice suits

Eliastam, 131

Enterprise liability, 13, 18,82,87-88,93

Epstein, A. and McNeil, B., 48-49

Erb’s palsy study, 32

“Error in judgment” rule, 143

Expert witnesses, 30,83, 143

F

Failure-to-diagnose claims, 30-31

Family practitioners, 5,9,29,69,71,

Federal Rules of Evidence

“learned treatise” exception, 144

Fee-for-service system

- health care reform and, 2, 15,91-92
- lower diagnostic testing use in, 104

Financial consequences of malpractice suits. See

*also* Cost of defensive medicine

income loss, 27-28

malpractice premiums and, 29, 159

malpractice reporting systems and, 10,28-29

misperceptions about, 28

Florida, 14-15,29, 82,88,89,96-97, 147

FPs. See Family practitioners

G

Glassman, P., 4,9,65-66,69

Goold, Susan, 108-109

Graduate medical education, 33-36

Grassley, Sen. Charles E., 2

Gronfein, and Kinney, 79-80

Grumbach and Lueft, 69,71,97

Guidelines. See Clinical practice guidelines

H

Harvard Medical Institutions, 33

Hatch, Sen. Orrin, 2,95

Hawaii, 81

Head injuries, 5, 130, 131-132

Health care reform, 2, 15-16,91-92,93

Health Insurance Association of America, 131

Health maintenance organizations, 15,31,87, 105

HMOs. See Health maintenance organizations

Hospitals, 32-34

I

Indiana, 79-80

Informed consent, 32-33

Ischemic heart disease, 105

J

Jacobson, P. and Rosenquist, C. 10,71-74

Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Care

Organizations, 32

K

Kaiser Foundation, 80

Kennedy, Sen. Edward M., 2,95

Kington, R., 71

Kinney. See Gronfein and Kinney

L

“Learned treatise” exception, 143-144

Legal standard of care, 30-32, 142-145

Lewin-VHI, Inc., 48, 160-161

Localio R, 2,5,8, 11,68,81  
 LOCAs. See Low osmolality contrast agents  
 "Loss of chance" doctrine, 31-32  
 Low osmolality contrast agents, 10,72-74

## M

Maine, 12,82-84, 109, 146-147, 148  
 Malpractice reform. See Reforms  
 Mammograms, 24-25,83  
 Managed competition, 15,92  
 Maryland, 148  
 Massachusetts, 105  
 Medical Injury Compensation Reform Act, 80-81  
 Medical Insurance Exchange of New Jersey, 65-66  
 Medical Liability Demonstration Project, 12,82-84,  
 146-147, 148  
 Medicare Act, 146  
 Medicare reimbursement rates, 132  
 Methodology of studies. See *also* Study evidence  
   behavioral model of physician test ordering,  
   39,40  
   case studies, 43  
   clinical scenario surveys, 5-6, 8, 41-42  
   direct physician surveys, 41  
   "prompting" issue, 41,63,74  
   statistical analyses, 42-43  
   for this report, 95-100  
 Meyer, J., 24-25  
 MICRA. See Medical Injury Compensation Reform  
 Act  
 Minnesota, 82, 147-148  
 Multistate studies of malpractice reform, 79-81,  
 133-141

## N

National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 158  
 National Cancer Institute, 83  
 National Center for Health Statistics, 131  
 National Electronic Injury Surveillance System, 132  
 National Health Interview Survey, 131, 132  
 National Practitioner Data Bank, 10,28, 29  
 Negative defensive medicine, 3,5,9,69,71  
 Neurological injuries. See *also* Head injuries, 88, 89  
 Neurosurgeons, 123-124  
 New Jersey, 9, 4, 9,65-66,69  
 New York, 2,5, 8, 11, 28,68-69,71,81, 105  
 No-fault malpractice reform proposals, 14-15,  
 18-19,82, 88-91,93  
 Nonclinical factors in physicians' resource use,  
 104-105  
 NPDB. See National Practitioner Data Bank

## O

OB/GYNs. See Obstetricians/gynecologists  
 Obstetric claims. See *also* Caesarean delivery, 4,8,  
 68-69,90  
 Obstetricians/gynecologists. See *also* American  
 College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 5,9,  
 29,69,71, 125-126  
 OTA clinical scenario surveys, 5-6,50,52-65,67,  
 106-111, 113-114, 118-127, 130-132

## P

Patient Compensation Funds, 79-80  
 PCFs. See Patient Compensation Funds  
 Physician Payment Review Commission, 132  
 Physician test ordering surveys, 48-49  
 Physicians' attitudes, 2,9-10,26-32,37, 104-105,  
 108-109, 127  
 Physicians' Insurance Association of America,  
 24-25  
 Policy options, 16-19  
 Positive defensive medicine studies, 2, 5, 8-9, 11,  
 68-69,81  
 Pretreatment arbitration agreements. See Voluntary  
 binding arbitration  
 Pretrial screening studies, 81, 133-141  
 Project structure  
   advisory panel, 96  
   background papers, 97  
   clinical scenario surveys, 96  
   contract papers, 97, 100  
   empirical research in addition to clinical scenario  
   surveys, 96-97  
   planning workshop, 95-96  
   report review process, 97  
   workshop participants, 98-99  
   "Prompting" issue, 41,63,74  
   Prospective Payment Assessment Commission,  
   132  
 Prostate specific antigen test use, 9  
 Psychological consequences of malpractice suits, 29

## Q

Quality assurance  
 influence on defensive medicine, 32-33

## R

Reforms  
 alternative dispute resolution, 13-14, 82, 84-87,  
 89,90,91,92-93  
 clinical practice guidelines, 12-13, 81, 82-84,92,  
 142-150  
 conventional, 11-12, 76-81

- enterprise liability, 13, 18, 82, 87-88,93
  - health care reform considerations, 15-16,91-92
  - newer reforms, 81-91
  - no-fault compensation, 14-15, 18-19, 82, 88-91, 93
  - “Relative avoidability” concept, 90
  - Residency training. See Graduate medical education
  - “Respectable minority” rule, 143
  - Reynolds R., 47-48, 156-160
  - Risk management, 32-33
  - Risk Management Foundation, 32
  - Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 9,68-69,70
  - Rosenquist. See Jacobson and Rosenquist
- S**
- Secretary’s Commission on Medical Malpractice, 23
  - Shoulder dystocia study, 32
  - Single state studies of malpractice reform, **79-81, 133-141**
  - SMS survey. See Socioeconomic Monitoring System survey
  - Socioeconomic Monitoring System survey, 156-157
  - Sources of defensive medicine, 26-36
  - St. Paul’s Fire and Marine Insurance Company, 30
  - Stanford University Medical Center Emergency Department, 25
  - Statistical analyses
    - common hypothesis, 67
    - methodology, 4, 42-43
    - multivariate analyses, 42
    - negative defensive medicine studies, 9, 69, 71
    - OTA clinical scenario surveys, 114-115
    - positive defensive medicine studies, 68-69
  - StatXact-Turbo software, 115-116
  - Study evidence. See *also* Methodology of studies
    - case study of LOCAs, 71-74
    - clinical scenario surveys, 5-6, 8, 49-67
    - direct physician surveys, 4,43-47
    - physicians’ reasons for ordering tests and procedures, 48-49
    - specific measures, 113-114
    - statistical analyses, 67-71
    - survey-based estimate of cost, 47-48
- Study summaries**
- conclusions, 74
  - methodology, 41-43
  - study evidence, 43-74
- SUDAAN software, 115-117
- Surgeons. See *also* American College of Surgeons, 121-122
- Survival rates, 31-32
- T**
- Tort reform. See Reforms
  - Traditional reforms. See Conventional malpractice reforms
- U**
- University of California, 87
- V**
- Vermont, 82, 148
  - Virginia, 14-15,88-89
  - Voluntary binding arbitration, 13-14,84-86
- W**
- Washington State, 4,8,68-69, 105
  - Wickline v. State of California*, 149-150
- X**
- X-rays
    - criteria for when not to obtain cervical spine x-ray, 2, 5, 25, 82-83, 130-132
- Y**
- Youngberg v.. Romeo*, 149