

# Index

## A

Advanced Earth Observing System, 81. *See also* Earth Observing System  
 Advanced Neutron Source, 24, 51, 88, 89  
 Advanced Photon Source, 6, 45, 91  
 Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer, 83  
 Advanced X-Ray Astrophysics Facility, 72, 73, 80-81  
 Advisory Committee on the Future of the U.S. Space Program, 77-78  
 AEC. *See* Atomic Energy Commission Act of 1954  
 ANS. *See* Advanced Neutron Source  
 Apollo mission to the Moon, 50  
 Apollo-Soyuz Test Project, 99-100  
 APS. *See* Advanced Photon Source  
 Argentina  
   Gemini project, 96  
 Argonne National Laboratory, 91  
 Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, 96  
 ASTER. *See* Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer  
 ATLAS detector, 57  
 Atomic Energy Commission Act of 1954, 63-64  
 The Augustine Committee, 77-78  
 Autonomous Transfer Vehicle, 82  
 AXAF. *See* Advanced X-Ray Astrophysics Facility  
 B-meson factory, 57, 121

## B

Basic and applied research  
   tension between, 43-44, 50  
 Beijing Electron-Positron Collider, 118-119  
 Biological databases, 39  
 Biotechnology  
   commercial development of, 42  
   definition, 41  
   origins, 43  
   potential environmental applications, 41-42  
 Biotechnology Research Initiative, 42  
 Brazil  
   agreement with China, 119

  Gemini project, 96  
   R&D expenditures, 114, 119-120  
   science goals, 119-120  
 Brookhaven National Laboratory, 122

## C

California  
   ITER home team work site, 67  
 Canada  
   EOS collaboration, 81  
   Gemini project, 96, 97  
   Mobile Servicing System plans, 82  
   NASA collaborations, 72  
   R&D expenditures, 114, 120  
   science goals, 120  
   space station responsibilities, 79, 82, 84  
   Special Purpose Dexterous Manipulator, 82  
   TRIUMP facility, 120  
 Cassini-Huygens mission to Saturn, 72, 73, 80-81, 95  
 CDF experiment, 56, 57  
 CERN. *See* European Laboratory for Particle Physics  
 Changes in scientific research  
   competitiveness, 42-45  
   diffusion of knowledge, 37-40  
   industrial implication of large projects, 46-48  
   new areas of scientific inquiry, 40-42  
   role of large projects, 45-46  
 Chile  
   Gemini project, 96  
 China  
   agreement with Brazil, 119  
   Beijing Electron-Positron Collider, 118-119  
   Chinese Academy of Science, 118  
   R&D expenditures, 114, 119  
   science goals, 118-119  
   S&T agreements, 51, 119  
 Clinton, William  
   Canada's space station contribution and, 82  
 Clinton Administration  
   ANS discontinuation, 88  
 CMS detector, 57

Cold War end  
 collaboration benefits, 100  
 devaluation of traditional goal of U.S. leadership, 85-86  
 new collaboration possibilities, 85  
 pressures on U.S. to maintain control over collaborations, 77  
 redefinition of national security goals, 51  
 shift in R&D focus, 42  
 S&T agreements and, 51

Collaboration benefits, 9-13  
 addressing global issues, 100-101  
 attraction of students to scientific research, 97  
 opportunities and potential downsides, 102  
 reducing net U.S. costs, 93-95  
 scientific capability enhancement, 95-98  
 stability of science goals and funding enhancement, 98-99  
 supporting U.S. foreign policy, 99-100  
 widening of scientists' sphere of access to research data, 97

Collaboration challenges, 13-14  
 cost and benefit distribution, 13, 107-109  
 differing commercial potential of technologies, 109  
 fusion energy research, 61-62  
 increase in total project costs, 101  
 intellectual property issues, 109  
 long-term project commitment mechanisms, 13, 104-107  
 loss of national leadership, prestige, and project control, 13, 102-104  
 management complexity, 13-14, 111-112  
 opportunities and potential downsides, 102  
 reluctance of scientists to share recognition and rewards, 102  
 sociocultural differences, 110-111  
 space programs, 77-82  
 technology transfer, 27-28, 109-110

Collaborative Solar Terrestrial Research Program, 73

Competitiveness  
 contribution of new products and processes, 30, 50  
 economic and social benefits, 44-45  
 tension between basic and applied research, 43-44

Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, 73

Corning, 97

Crew Rescue Vehicle, 82

## D

DEMO facility, 62  
 DESY. *See* Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, 55, 115

Diffusion of knowledge  
 electronic networks and, 29, 38-39  
 electronic publications and, 40  
 velocity of scientific and technological change, 37-38  
 "virtual" experimental communities and, 40

DIID-D tokamak facility, 68

Distributed Active Archive Centers, 39

DNA cloning, 43

DOE. *See* U.S. Department of Energy

DZero experiment, 56, 57

## E

Earth Observing System, 72, 73  
 Congressional debate over, 45  
 description, 81, 101  
 downsizing of, 83-84  
 funding, 80, 81  
 as interdependence model, 83  
 program history, 24

Earth Observing System Data and Information System  
 budget for, 81  
 description, 39

Electronic networks, 29, 38-39

Electronic publications, 40

Energy Policy Act of 1992, 63, 70

Environmental research, 40-41. *See also* Global change research

EOS. *See* Earth Observing System

EOSDIS. *See* Earth Observing System Data and Information System

EPACT. *See* Energy Policy Act of 1992

EROS Data Center, 39

ESA. *See* European Space Agency

ESRF. *See* European Synchrotron Radiation Facility

Eumetsat, 81

Euratom Treaty, 68

EUREKA. *See* European Research Coordinating Agency

Europe. *See also specific countries by name*  
 EOS collaboration, 81  
 fusion research program, 68  
 high-energy physics and fusion programs, 50  
 multiyear funding for science projects, 21, 26  
 resentment towards U.S. over lack of inclusion in space station plans, 78  
 science project funding, 105-106  
 S&T agreements with China, 119

European Commission, 68, 71

European Laboratory for Particle Physics. *See also* Large Hadron Collider  
 American physicists and engineers working at, 55

- contract distribution, 27, 108
- cost and benefit distribution formula, 28, 107
- creation of, 46
- description, 10
- funding, 27
- high-energy physics collaborative efforts, 56
- management decisions, 112
- membership, 115, 116, 121, 122
- purpose, 103
- Russian scientific cooperative agreement with, 117
- treaty agreements as basis for, 23
- European Research Coordinating Agency, 115, 121
- European Southern Observatory, 115
- European Space Agency
  - budget, 82, 106
  - contracting system, 27, 46
  - cost and benefit distribution formula, 27-28, 107
  - creation of, 46
  - description, 10
  - fair return policy, 27-28, 108, 109
  - Hubble Space Telescope power panels, 80
  - management decisions, 112
  - membership, 115, 121
  - MOU with NASA, 20
  - Multifrequency Imaging Microwave Radiometer, 83
  - NASA collaborations, 20, 72
  - Polar-Orbiting Earth Observation Mission, 81, 83-84
  - purpose, 75-76, 103
  - role of France, 116
  - space station responsibilities, 79
  - Spacelab project and, 76
  - treaty agreements as basis for, 23
- European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 45, 91, 116, 121
- European Union, 9, 68, 69, 106
- European Union Research Commissariat, 46

## F

- Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974, 19
- Federally Funded Research: Decisions for a Decade, 44
- Fermilab, 10, 100, 122
  - Main Injector construction, 59
  - needs of foreign scientists, 111
  - top quark verification, 56
- 5-MW spallation source, 90
- Foreign policy implications of collaboration, 31, 98-100
- Former Soviet Union
  - cooperative efforts during the Cold War, 98

- science goals, 116-117
- S&T agreement with United States, 51
- 14 MeV neutron source, 68, 69
- France
  - CERN contracts, 108
  - LHC contribution dispute, 107-108
  - NASA collaborations, 72
  - R&D expenditures, 114, 116
- Fusion energy research
  - advantages to fusion, 60-61
  - deuterium-tritium fusion reaction, 61
  - fusion reactions, 59-60
  - implications for future collaborations, 69-72
  - industrial implications, 47
  - international collaboration, 64-69, 100
  - program goals and funding, 63-64
  - scientific challenges to, 61-62
- Fusion Policy Advisory Committee, 96-97

## G

- Galileo mission, 72, 73, 80
- Gemini project, 17, 95, 96-97, 102, 120
- General Atomics, 68
- Geneva Summit, 1985, 100
- Germany
  - applications-oriented research, 50, 115
  - Federal Ministry for Research and Technology, 113, 115
  - Galileo components, 80
  - ITER home team work site, 67
  - LHC contribution dispute, 107-108
  - NASA collaborations, 72
  - R&D budget, 114, 116
  - reduction of commitment to CERN, 106
  - science goals, 113, 115-116
  - S&T agreements, 115
- Global change research
  - electronic management of activities, 40
  - global nature of, 45-46
- Global Climate Change Program, 120
- Global Geospace Science, 73
- Gorbachev, Mikhail
  - ITER collaboration, 100
- Group on Large Scientific Projects, 33

## H

- Hadron-Electron Ring Accelerator, 10, 115
- HEPAP. *See* High Energy Physics Advisory Panel
- HERA. *See* Hadron-Electron Ring Accelerator
- Hermes space plane, 26, 82, 84
- High-energy physics. *See also specific projects by name*

- accelerator design and detector method development, 46
  - description, 51
  - elementary particles and force carriers, 53
  - facilities, 57
  - implications for the future, 58-59
  - international collaboration, 56-58
  - particle accelerators and, 51-53
  - Standard Model, 53-54
  - top quark verification, 56-57
  - U.S. goals, 54-56
  - High Energy Physics Advisory Panel, 55, 58
  - High-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer, 83
  - HIRIS. *See* High-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer
  - Hubble Space Telescope, 72, 73, 80
  - Human Genome Project, 11, 120
    - description, 42
    - electronic management of activities, 40
- I**
- IAEA. *See* International Atomic Energy Agency
  - ICF. *See* Inertial Confinement Fusion program
  - IEOS. *See* International Earth Observing System
  - ILL. *See* Institute Laue-Langevin
  - India
    - R&D expenditures, 114, 118
    - science goals, 118
  - Industrial implications of large projects
    - facilities, 47-48
    - spin-off technologies, 46-47, 55
  - Inertial Confinement Fusion program, 62, 63
  - Institute for Nuclear Physics and Particle Physics, 116
  - Institute Laue-Langevin, 31, 88, 89, 116, 121
  - International Atomic Energy Agency, 23, 65, 100
  - International Earth Observing System, 81, 84
  - International Solar Polar Mission
    - description, 20-21
    - U.S. commitment of funds for, 76
    - U.S. withdrawal from, 18, 76, 81, 86, 98, 104
  - International Solar-Terrestrial Physics Programme, 11
  - International Space University, 103-104
  - International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor
    - CDA phase, 65
    - collaboration history, 65-68, 104
    - Congressional debate over, 45
    - conservative design, 13, 112
    - construction phase, 67, 72, 104
    - description, 10
    - design specifications, 62
    - EDA phase, 65, 67, 70
    - funding, 64
    - home country fusion programs, 67
    - home teams, 34, 67
    - host site decision, 67, 107
    - indirect benefits, 45
    - interest in having United States as partner, 23
    - Joint Central Team, 34, 67, 104
    - management complexities, 34-35
    - operating phase, 67-68
    - partners, 116, 117, 120, 121, 122
    - production capability, 65
    - purpose, 65
    - Russian collaboration, 100
    - scientific and technological challenges, 65, 69
    - team development of engineering parameters and specifications, 40
    - total estimated costs of, 4
    - U.S. commitment to, 70
- Internet**, 38-39
- ISIS** spallation facility, 90
- ISPM.** *See* International Solar Polar Mission
- Italy**
  - NASA collaborations, 72
- ITER.** *See* International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor
- J**
- Japan**
  - Advanced Earth Observing System, 81
  - Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer, 83
  - applications-oriented research, 50, 121
  - B-meson factory, 57, 121
  - Council for Science and Technology, 121
  - EOS collaboration, 81
  - fusion research programs, 71
  - high-energy physics and fusion programs, 50
  - ITER home team work site, 67
  - linear electron-positron accelerator, 113
  - multiyear funding for science projects, 21, 25-26
  - NASA collaborations, 72
  - National Space Development Agency, 122
  - Planet-B mission to Mars, 10
  - project planning process, 25-26
  - R&D expenditures, 114, 122
  - resentment towards U.S. over lack of inclusion in
    - space station plans, 78
  - Science and Technology Agency, 121
  - science goals, 121-122
  - science project funding, 106
  - silicon tracking detector, 113
  - space agency budget, 82
  - space station role, 79, 122
  - Subaru telescope, 46, 97
  - Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, 81, 84
- Japanese Atomic Energy Research Institute**, 68

Japanese Experimental Module, 79, 84  
 JEM. *See* Japanese Experimental Module  
 JET. *See* Joint European Torus  
 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 39  
 Joint European Torus, 27, 68-69, 107, 121  
 JT-60 Super Upgrade tokamak, 71

## K

KEK. *See* National Laboratory for High-Energy Physics

## L

Large Coil Task facility, 68  
 Large Hadron Collider  
   American physicists and engineers working at, 55  
   ATLAS and CMS detectors, 57  
   as foundation for high-energy physics cooperative efforts, 58  
   funding disputes, 106, 107-108  
   German decisionmaking role, 115  
   India's proposed contribution to, 118  
   interest in having United States as partner, 23  
   magnet and detector technology dependence, 13  
   termination, 54  
   total estimated costs of, 4  
   U.S. contribution to, 89, 107  
 Large projects. *See also specific countries and projects by name*  
   avoiding duplication of effort, 94  
   basket approach to funding, 14, 15-16  
   benefits of multiple facilities, 18  
   "big science" definition, 3-6  
   bottom-up process for proposals, 16, 35  
   budgetary pressure effects, 25-27  
   collaboration benefits, 93-101, 102  
   collaboration challenges, 101-112  
   differences in parliamentary government systems of partners, 20  
   distributed science projects, 11  
   diversity of, 14-16  
   early planning for international collaboration, 16-18  
   equitable distribution of costs and benefits, 13, 107-109  
   expenditures, 45, 94  
   formal justification for seeking or avoiding collaboration, 35  
   forms of international collaboration, 10-11  
   global issues, 100-101  
   indirect benefits, 45  
   industrial implications, 46-48  
   information exchange mechanism, 31-33  
   internationalization of science, 2-3  
   issues and findings, 14-35

joint construction and operation, 10  
 lead country collaborations, 10  
 long-term project commitment, 104-107  
 management complexity, 33-35, 111-112  
 national leadership, prestige, and project control, 30, 102-104  
 need for long-term project commitment, 104-107  
 as platforms for small science, 15-16, 45  
 reasons for collaboration, 9-13, 45-46  
 reasons for size of, 7-8  
 reducing net U.S. costs, 93-95  
 review process, 17  
 science goals and funding stability, 98-99  
 scientific capability enhancement, 95-98  
 siting decisions, 28-29, 109  
 sociocultural differences, 110-111  
 technology transfer, 27-28, 109-110  
 total estimated costs of, 4-5  
 U.S. costs, 93-95  
 U.S. foreign policy and, 31, 99-100  
 Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory, 102  
 LCT. *See* Large Coil Task facility  
 LHC. *See* Large Hadron Collider  
 Linear electron-positron accelerator, 113

## M

Magnetic Fusion Energy Engineering Act of 1980, 63  
 Magnetic Fusion Energy program, 62, 64  
 Magnetic resonance imaging, 43  
 Man-Tended Free Flyer, 26, 82, 84  
 Megascience. *See* Large projects  
 Megascience Forum, 31, 32-33  
 MFE. *See* Magnetic Fusion Energy program  
 MFEAA. *See* Magnetic Fusion Energy Engineering Act of 1980  
 Missile Technology Control Regime, 12, 51, 84, 100  
 Mission to Planet Earth, 39  
 Mobile Servicing System, 82  
 Moscow International Science and Technology Center, 117  
 MSS. *See* Mobile Servicing System  
 MTFF. *See* Man-Tended Free Flyer  
 Multifrequency Imaging Microwave Radiometer, 83  
 Multimedia journals, 40

## N

NASA. *See* National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
 National Academy of Sciences, 81  
 National Aeronautics and Space Administration. *See also* Space programs; *specific programs by name*  
   change in policy, 77

critical path issue, 75, 103  
funding for projects, 80-82, 83-84  
guidelines for international collaboration, 74, 103, 110  
High-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer, 83  
Mission to Planet Earth, 39  
MOU with ESA, 20  
results of collaborations, 82-85  
specialized instrumentation collaborations, 8  
National Center for Space Research, 116  
National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, 6, 45  
National Ignition Facility, 64  
National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, 56, 122  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 72, 81  
National Optical Astronomy Observatories, 96  
National Science Foundation, 8, 95, 96, 102  
National security issues, 110  
Neutron sources  
description, 86-87  
history, 87-88  
implications for the future, 88-90  
reactors, 88  
spallation sources, 4, 88, 89  
Next Linear Collider, 16, 23, 58-59  
NIF. *See* National Ignition Facility  
NLC. *See* Next Linear Collider  
Nobel prizes  
economic and technological prowess of nations receiving, 43

**O**  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 68  
Ocean Drilling Program, 10, 120  
Ocean Topography Experiment, 73  
OECD. *See* Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development  
1-MW pulsed spallation source, 89  
Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 31-33  
Megascience Forum, 90

**P**  
Pittsburgh and Midway Coal Mining Company, 19  
Planet-B mission to Mars, 10  
POEM. *See* Polar-Orbiting Earth Observation Mission  
Polar-Orbiting Earth Observation Mission, 81, 83-84  
Polar-Orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite, 81  
Press, Frank, 50  
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory  
fusion energy production, 61

TPX funding, 64

## Q

Quayle, Dan, 75

## R

Reagan, Ronald  
ITER collaboration, 100  
space station announcement, 79  
Reagan Administration  
SRC-II funding, 19  
Russia, 72. *See also* Former Soviet Union  
budget constraints, 117  
Moscow International Science and Technology Center, 117  
R&D expenditures, 114, 117  
science goals, 116-118  
space station partnership, 12, 51, 78-80, 84, 94-95, 99, 101, 117  
S&T budget, 117

## S

SAR. *See* Synthetic aperture radar facility  
Science and technology  
German agreements, 115  
large projects, 5-6  
purpose of agreements, 51  
Russian budget for, 117  
U.S.-China agreement, 51, 119  
U.S.-Russian agreement, 51  
Science in the National Interest, 50  
Scott Glaswerke, 97  
Second Geneva Convention on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, 64  
Silicon tracking detector, 113  
SLAC. *See* Stanford Linear Accelerator Center  
Sociocultural issues, 110-111  
Solvent Refined Coal II Demonstration Project, 18, 19, 104  
Soviet Union. *See* Former Soviet Union; Russia  
Space programs  
budget constrictions of collaborating countries, 77  
challenges of collaboration, 77-82  
compartmentalized approach, 74, 75  
current large U.S. projects, 73  
future of collaboration, 85-86  
history of collaboration, 75-77  
international collaboration, 74-75  
NASA guidelines, 74  
NASA role, 72, 82-85  
questions about U.S. funding for, 76  
results of NASA collaborations, 83-85  
Space station, 72, 73

Congressional debate over, 45  
 cooperative agreements, 79  
 description, 45  
 European commitment, 26-27  
 international contributions, 84  
 Japan's role, 122  
 as lead country collaboration example, 10  
 multiyear funding, 22  
 reasons for size of project, 7  
 resentment among U.S. partners over lack of inclusion in plans, 78  
 Russian participation, 12, 51, 78-80, 84, 94-95, 99, 101  
 total estimated costs of, 4  
 United States as senior partner, 104  
 U.S. budget responsibilities for, 79  
 U.S. design changes, 18  
 Spacelab project, 76, 83, 95  
 Spallation sources, 4, 88, 89, 90  
 Special Purpose Dexterous Manipulator, 82  
 SPring-8. *See* Super Photon Ring-8  
 Sputnik satellites, 50  
 SRC-II. *See* Solvent Refined Coal II Demonstration Project  
 SSC. *See* Superconducting Super Collider  
 S&T. *See* Science and technology  
 Standard Model of high-energy physics, 53-54  
 Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, 56, 122  
 Subaru telescope, 46, 97  
 Super Photon Ring-8, 91  
 Superconducting magnets, 110  
 Superconducting Super Collider  
   Congressional debate over, 24, 45, 51, 95  
   cost-sharing goal, 17, 24, 58  
   India's proposed contribution to, 118  
   termination, 4, 18, 54-55, 98  
 Switzerland  
   CERN contracts, 108  
   LHC contribution dispute, 107-108  
 Synchrotron facilities  
   cooperative exchange agreements among new facilities, 91-92  
   description, 86-87, 90  
   number of, 90-91  
 Synthetic aperture radar facility, 39

## T

Technology transfer issue, 27-29, 109-110  
 TFTR. *See* Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor  
 Tokamak fusion reactors, 47  
 Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor, 61, 62, 69, 71  
 Tokamak Physics Experiment, 51, 64, 69-70, 71  
 Top quark verification experiments, 56-57  
 Topex-Poseidon, 95

TPX. *See* Tokamak Physics Experiment  
 TRIUMP facility, 120  
 Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, 81, 84

## U

Ulysses, 20-21, 73, 76  
 United Kingdom  
   Gemini project, 96  
   LHC contribution dispute, 107-108  
   R&D expenditures, 114, 121  
   science goals, 120-121  
 United States. *See also* U.S. Congress; *specific agencies and projects by name*  
   B-meson factory construction, 57, 121  
   budget impact of large science projects, 6  
   budget process, 18, 105  
   challenges of collaboration, 101-112  
   collaboration benefits, 93-101, 102  
   cost and benefit distribution, 107  
   experience in international scientific collaboration, 8-9  
   fusion energy research, 59-72  
   Gemini project, 17, 95, 96-97, 102, 120  
   high-energy physics collaboration, 51-59  
   leadership position goal, 30, 35, 49-50, 54, 74, 85, 102-104  
   Moscow International Science and Technology Center funding, 117  
   necessity for upgrading facilities, 12  
   neutron sources and synchrotrons, 86-92  
   pressures to maintain control over collaborations, 77-78  
   R&D expenditures, 113, 114  
   reasons for collaboration, 9-13  
   science goals, 29-31, 49-51  
   space programs, 72-86  
   S&T agreement with China, 51, 119  
   S&T agreement with Soviet Union, 51  
   stability and reliability of funding for projects, 18-25, 35-36, 76, 81-82, 86, 98, 104-105, 106-107  
   superconducting magnet design, 110  
   technical reliability, 106-107  
   use of treaties to formalize collaborative commitments, 23  
 U.S.-China Agreement on Cooperation in Science and Technology, 119  
 U.S. Congress  
   ANS discontinuation, 89  
   budget review, 81  
   funding priorities, 45, 51, 52  
   fusion budgets, 70  
   Gemini project funding, 17, 96, 102  
   issues and findings, 14-35

- perceived preference for international collaboration, 95, 98
  - review of U.S. science goals, 35
  - space station funding, 22
  - U.S. Department of Energy. *See also* High Energy Physics Advisory Panel
    - Advanced Neutron Source, 88, 89
    - fusion research programs, 62-63
    - FY 1996 budget for high-energy physics facilities, 59
    - magnetic fusion energy program, 18, 20
    - Solvent Refined Coal II Demonstration Project, 19
    - spallation source design study, 88-89
    - specialized instrumentation collaborations, 8
    - U.S. contribution to LHC project, 58
    - U.S. Global Change Research Program, 11, 39, 41
    - USGCRP. *See* U.S. Global Change Research Program
- V**
- Vice President's Space Policy Advisory Board, 75
  - "Virtual" experimental communities, 40
- W**
- Watkins, James, 70