Table XI

Changes in State Assessment programam

Major changes in assessment programs have occurred in this decade. Changes that occurred in the 1970s were mainly changes in tests (often switching from one standardized test to another) and changes in subjects and grade levels tested. Of special interest is the fact that several states moved from norm-referenced to criterionreferenced testing during this period, a trend which has been reversed in the 1980s. Although matrix sampling was introduced in California in the 1970s, it was not introduced until the 1980s in other states adopting this procedure. At this time, however, the shift is definitely away from sampling of any kind to testing all students in the subjects and grades to be tested.

In general, the movement appears to be toward increased use of standardized tests, accompanied by more sophisticated methods of reporting scores that enable comparisons to be made that take into account differences in socioeconomic levels, types of districts, racial composition of schools, etc. This may be contrasted with a few situations in which different approaches are being used that have some interesting features. For example, Minnesota has moved to a local option testing program backed by a strong program of technical assistance, and availability of tests in a wide range of subjects. Oregon plans to make available a list of approved tests requiring that districts select from among them while using results of an equating study to accumulate results and make comparisons among districts. Kentucky is moving to a mandatory testing of all students in all grade levels K-12, using custom designed tests that can produce both national norm and criterion-referenced information.

87

Major Changes in the 1970s

California — Moved from commercial to locally developed tests. Introduced comparison score bands (SES, etc.); matrix sampling.

Hawaii — Introduced use of tests for certification as well as achievement; introduced technical support for schools which doubled with new tests.

Michigan — Added 10th grade tests; moved from sanctions to school improvement program; moved to CR testing; changed certification codes (to include competencies measured by SA tests).

Minnesota — Based the hiring and assignment of new teachers on needs derived from test data; added subject tests.

Washington - Changed from CTBS to CAT (1979).

Virginia — Changed to SRA (1972); major changes responding to improper local administration of tests.

West Virginia — Changed to CTBS (1973).

Utah — Dropped science, added reading (1978).

Georgia — Changed from NRT to CRT (1 976).

Illinois — Evaluation and Assessment programs merged (1978).

Major Changes in the 1980s

California — Added social studies, grade 8; piloted writing, grade 8; more grades added; critical thinking added; Instruction and Improvement Fund incentive plan introduced.

Hawaii — Introduced improved tests, expanded program.

Oregon — Moved from sampling, grades 4, 7, 11 to census, grade 8, but using local option from state approved list of tests; equating of test norms from approved list underway.

Alabama — Tests changed, improved; "needy" system identified for legislature, SEA assistance; GLE reporting eliminated; moved from sampling to census.

Alaska — Moved from sampling to census.

Colorado — Piloted new program for grades 3, 6, 9, 11 with standard tests.

Connecticut — Mastery testing program added to SA program; matrix sampling introduced for SA program.

Indiana — Moved to mandatory program; legislature provided funds for remediation in districts identified by SA as needing help.

Kentucky — Changed from CTBS to CTB custom tests yielding both NR and CR information; testing at all grade levels K-12 introduced.

Maine — SA tied to state improvement plan, matrix sampling introduced; technical support to local districts introduced; parent reports added; all students tested, grades 6, 8, 11.

Michigan — None.

Minnesota — Moved to local option testing with strong technical support; expanded tests available from department (personal skills, energy).

Missouri - Moved to mandated program; language arts added.

Rhode Island — Moved to mandated program; moved from sampling to testing all pupils in grades tested.

South Carolina — School improvement plan introduced with SA; moved to mandatory programs; moved from sample to census testing of grade levels included; identification of districts where education seriously impaired — could lead to sanctions.

New Mexico — Dropped grades 6, 11; added grade 3.

Virginia — Introduced funding for remedial education based on SA results.

West Virginia — Dropped cognition ability test.

Utah — Change in SA funding from Title IV to state legislature.

Illinois — Changed in areas tested; types of tests used in reading, writing, and science; types of scores reported (added norm scores).

Several states have introduced item response procedures that should result in improved test construction and scales for the interpretation of results.

Connecticut has introduced a mastery testing program in addition to its state assessment program.

Sanctions have not been extensively used, but where they have, the trend is to drop this approach in favor of tying state assessment results to systems of identifying needy school districts for purposes of state support, or tying results to state or local school improvement programs as in Michigan and Maine. Finally, in the 1980s there is a decided trend toward making state assessment testing mandatory (as opposed to optional) for local school districts. Approximately half of the states reporting state assessment programs have now had them in effect for ten or more years, reflecting the tendency of programs to remain in place once established. However, major changes have been noted by most of these districts over a period of years, and even by a number of established for shorter times.

.

State education agencies were asked in the OTA survey to indicate changes that are currently being contemplated in state assessment programs. Information submitted for the most part confirms the directions that have been established in the 1980s, including the movement toward norm-referenced measurement, expansion of subject and grade levels being measured, mandatory testing on the part of local districts, testing all students instead of samples of students in grade levels tested, introduction of more variables to assist in interpretation of test scores, and greater provision of technical assistance to local districts. Nothing submitted suggests that significant, innovative changes are being planned in the technology of testing, or in the philosophy, purposes or objectives of these programs. . *J -- .

State Assessment

Table XI Changes in State Assessment Program

					n	Ag		cies orka)rgan. Chang		Γ				s and for (Organ.
		Curr	rent		-	ı—	1		<u>"</u> -	ì		-	h	- -	1.	T		- 44 	onande
	Years	Pro	ra				E		·						tur				
	program		Ť	•			1	ner	4	5					sla		1		
-	in Place				202	5	LOUISIAL	TEACHEL	vdm10.			Currently Contemplated Charg	B	:5	eqi		DI VAN	E+	0.1
State			L			┢	1	<u>-</u>	<u>ا د</u>	- ^	othe	-		ł.	12	[0	'	•• •	Other
Alabama	19			D Switched from CAT to SAT in 1984, D Emphasis on "need systems" receiving attention of legislature and assistance from S o Eliminated grade equivalence in te reporting in 19 Increased fu	У Е 984		n	g				<pre>k Add grades 1,4, & r to science and social studies in 1986</pre>	Ϋ́Υ	Y					Table II Table 111 Table V
Alaska	10	Y	N	1981 from sample o census								1985 – mandatory reporting by distrig	Y t		Y				Tables IT, v
Arizona	5	N	Y	Areas tested and grade levels: change from had been in 19' writing added in grades 4, 8, 11 in 198															
Arkasas	5	u	Y	Grad. levels change 1980 -3.6,8 1981 -4,5,6,8 1982-4,6, 7,8 1983-4,7, 10	d							Will change next ye to go from SRA to MAT; will keep grad levels the same: ad science and social studies as mandatory (have been optional; change in contractor at end of 5 years built into program	н Б.Ф						
California	13	N		1972-Move from commercial to locally eve loped tests; us matrix sampling. 975-Reporting. Use comparison Score Bak push for quailty indicators and targedite dates for districts 983-F34-More grades added; critical this added.	ndi et						citiz	Is subtests. science/ 85/86 Grade 8 85/36 Writing OGrade 12 test, APP OMore critical thim Add science and so studies to grade 6	lkıq Cal						

SOURCE: Data Compiled for the Office of Technology Assessment by North-st Regional Educational Laboratory, 1985.

State Assessment

Table Changes in State Assessment Progr-

					ħ	Ag	cies orke			Organ Change								Organ.
			rent gram						T								Ī	
State	Years Program in Place	-	Change	Major Changes		EA	1217121	-uturov		<u>Other</u>	Currently Contemplated Change	SBE	SEA	Legisiat	Teacher	Admin.	VIA	Other
California (Con	. (Continued)			<u>1984-85-Add</u> social studies to grade 8 pilot writing, gra 8; introduceed. improvement	;													t
Colorado - No state program				Pilot program for 1985-86 in grades 3,6,9 6 11, using standardized tests							Nothing anticipated until pilot program underway							
Connecticut	14	Y	Y	1984-New mastery program added different than sta assessment ~-Matrix sampling						Contractora advanced system								
≌eliware	7	N	¥	Added writinghi: year; Changed testsfrom CAT to CTBS							Do not anticipate major changes may «change test (secury a big issue)	E						
District of Columbia	14	Y	N	None							About to change N.R.	. Y						
Florida - Combined Minimum Compet Minimum Compet		comenta																
Georgia	14	Ν	¥	<pre>> Areas tested; adding writing > Changed in 1976 from N.R.T. to C.R.T. and have added grades > Changed reporting methods to reflect type of test</pre>	Y						Adding several grade wof N.R.T. beginning mext year		Y					

-

1

•

92

state Assesment Table xI Changes in State Assessment Program

				177													
I		urre		F	Π		<u>ke</u>	<u>fo</u> r		hange			T				ange
State	Years program in Place	n -	ram , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SBE	EA	re	. 0	ар 00 00	VIA	ther	Currently <u>contemplated chang</u> e	s	\$		Adm	PT	Other
State	10	N	Y 1975-Tests obsolet high error rates, student att itude p 1979-Add competent used tests for cer fication, not just achievement: inclu technical support 1979 - has doubled due to new tests in 1981 19881-Added writing affective domain, grade 3, dropped 4 New areas for grade science, social so decisionmaking; att tests optional now		9 					ofc.of Instruct Students	o Expansion of funding (refused)						
Idaho - This i: First year	he																
Illinois	9	N	In 1978 changed everything-evaluation and assessment merged: • Areas tested 1986 • Types of tests Reading changed 1 Writing changed 1 Science changed 1 • Reporting methoo- changed, origin just reported p	3 981 983 981 15	č						Changes are anticipated after July 1	,	Y	Y	Y		Statewid Comisslo
Indiana	9	N	19134-Legislature provided funds fo remediation. Manda								additional grades to hbe tested in 1986. NO other changes planned for this 3 year program (1984-8)		Y				
Iowa - No stat program			1985-Develop models for procedures for testing								no funds. Jan. 1987 models to be develop	,					

ь

State Assessment

Table XI Changes in State Assessment Program

					Th			an ed f		Organ I Char						ncies un g		Organ.
state	Years program in Place		gran 9 9 0 0 0	Major Changes		Lerielation	Iampat	VODTU-	-		_	Currently Contemplated Change			islature	c	 PTA	Other
Kentucky	6	N	Y	1984-State policy changed, all grade tested (K-12) ; required curricula type of test change possible sanctions	;	-						1986-All five areas will be tested, writing included	1 1	Y				
Louisiana	8	Y	x	None								None						
Maine	8	N	¥	1984-State improvemen plan matrix sample technical support) report to parents all students in grades 6,8 6 11			Re am bann ta	nm fr 158 5		wit Educ	:h	None r's , ion)						
Maryland	15	Y	1	∜one								lone						
Massachusetts No state prog	n																	
Michigan	16	Y	J	1972-Switched to C.R.T ,changes in certification code 1974-Until then S a n e were used, after 1974 school imp. plan 1977-grade 10 added 1979-Law for funding added								 1986-Plan to add science on every pupil basis; would like a cycle of 4 subjects on an every pupil basis Increasing of students passing ter legislative funding for 1985-86 to finel ways to challenge students 	¥ в с в					
Minnesota - The trend in the state is for Legislature to support the SEA in providing l e t t e r for local a c c o u n t	nethnisn	х	(98)	 Increase use of testing Hiring and assignment of teachers based on needs from data Moved from NR program to classroom testing with 3 parallel samples Added new subject 1984-Moved to local option system with state technical support (See Table VII) 		ť	Y	Y		Y		New legislation says to continue what SEA is doing. New for .985 are item bank and technical assistance						

, **š**

State Assessment

Table XI Changes in State Assessment Program

						Aq i					Orqan Chanqo										orqa	
	Years	Pro	rent gram u		-										ature				Ţ	T		
State	Program in Place	First	Change	Major Changes	242	EA.	191271	requiet	VITUTU	c ·	<u>Other</u>	Currently Contemplated Change	BBE	SEA	Legis	Teacher	orgai	Admin	PTA D		Othe	r
Mississippi	2	Y		o Early childhood ed added o Curriculum more precise			3					For 1987: o Instruction will to changed o Add grades 6 & 8 with norm-reference tests o Subject areas tests o Analytic scoring for those below 40 oBias to be studied by committee	e ti tr	Y								
Missouri	10	N		1984-85-Random sampling added 1985-Mandated progra regular assessment; language arts asse change in instructic cultural bias to be included	sar on	1	ť					Add language arts assessment in 1985										
Montana - No Stite program												Proposed by State Superintendent, mans testing at grades 35 8 & 11. Districts o chose 1 of 6 tests has not passed. Posibs chances within 18 mont	б .е	.E								
Nebraska-No state program, no planned changes																						
Nevada - No Stite program																						
New Hampshire No state program	e n											Considering testimg grades 4, 8 & 11, beginning 1985-86		Y								
New Jersey - No State program, no problem change	S days																					

>

state Assessment

Table x1

s

. . -

A State State State

3

j

Changes in State Assessment Program

· · -

٦					Ag		ies orke			gan. Thange					<u>pr Ch</u>)rgjaja.d
		cur	rent					Ī	Π			T	ь			
	Years	rog	ram			- 13		نے ا					a	ġ	-	
	programsran	۲	25110117					dan organ.			Currently		'	D.C	PT PT	
State	in Place	1 112	5	Major Changes	SBE	1		55	Ē	Other	Contemplated Change			<u> </u>	<u>≼</u> ~⊾	othe
New Mexico	13	N	1	1981-Dropped grade							'Exit competencies"					
New Mexico				added grade 3							are designated for minimum competency test. plan to add items to CTBS testing progress towards these competencies in grades 3, 5 & 8	Ŧ				
New York	Since 1878	N	¥	Regents Exam. Progr in tests themselve 0 # different subj decreased over y 0 original were CSSG now use objectiv and essay questi method of develop o originally by SEA staff, now class teachers develop amount of local lat: o originally run fro SEA, now LEA's do most of the scori record keeping and regents diploma;	sects a s y e on aent room tu	a					Minor realigning of subjects – nothing of great significant	Y				Regent
North carolinia	8	N	Ŷ	Areas tested expansion expansion of the second expansion of tests use changed Reporting methods changed when type of test changed						by'co∕v ad	te Fnor S Meirs					
North Dakota No state program no planned changes																
Ohio - No State program, no planned changes O H	3															
iklahoma - No																
state program								' .			ķ					
no planned ch	qes	۱ •	11				I	I		 ,Kota	L Each					
				lated state-wide qrades 3,5,7,9 a	n d	11	A t	the								
ar 1	66 perc State E with an coming	ent ducation empl in.	of on Dej iisis : Cha	the students are test partment is being and n testing and curr nges may occur then.	ed. reore iculu	Mos qan um	st u izec deve	se S 1. lopm	RA. A Nen	new dir t is	ector					
OH 1 :	writing of 1982 develop	i ead 2. : pment	h ye Test . N	r reuires LEA's to to ar. This began in 1 results are used pri No data are given to tance in administrat	983 maril the	fro ly : Sta	m a for te.	Stat loca The	e 1 s	Board curric EA doe	decision ulum					
	which :	is ag	pprop	dents are tested at riated by the legisl that, \$2,000,000 was	ature	e to	o go	dir	ect	tly to	the				96	

Each year there is a move in the legislature to begin collecting state-wide data. Chances look better each year, but It has yet to pass.

year.

State Assessment

Table XI

Changes in State Assessment Program

		1		Г		Aa	en	cie	g and	1	Organ.	. ————————————————————————————————————		Ac	IOT	cie		and	Or	gan.
					т	9			d fe	-	Change		1	Hō	rk	ing			Cha	
	Years		rent gram										Τ		ature					
	Program in Place	First	Change			EA	n Q	eache	лсал. Отал			Currently contemplated Change	BE	3	egisl	eachei	-uterv	Draan Pra		h
State	Place	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Major Changes	Ľ	-	1 .9	<u></u>	<u>د</u>		Other	contemplated Change	6	끼	긕	<u>+</u>	<u>د</u>	-] •		her
Oregon	11	N	Y	Initially reading and math. This assessment changed reading and math; tests currently specify appropriat tests to district and gather data from all districts in reading and math. Changad testing from grades 4,7 & 1 to grade 8 only.	e						content	 To add more grade levels (3,5,8 & I O Change tests to match state goals Make tests available to dist for full district testing 	ict	.5						
Pennsylvania		Y	N	PA	Y				Y			Grade level shifts		Y						
Rhode Island	10	N	Y	1985-Every pupil tested with a standardized test.	Y 		:	Ŧ				3,6,8 & 10 tested across subject listed							-	
South Carolina	5	N	Y	1984-Ident~fles districts where quality of educat# seriously impaired o Mandatory testim o Sample to univers o 5th grade readim o Could lead to sanctions not for districts not sho improvement	l g g							.986-Drop 10th grad add grade, Sequ will be 4,5,7 6 9 in reading, math, lam arts and social sci	2e							
South Dakota	1	Y	N	Brand new program								Next year mandatory for all LEA's; will add interest and aptitude tests	¥							
Tenessee	Not .	ilad	e i	r interview	l															

PAl: 1985 variables toold Interpretation of data:

Student variables

Sex Parent's education Type of community Race Mobility-frequency of sch. chg. Students perception of parents' interest in school TV viewing habits Parents' expectations of education Reading materials in home Students' report how much time spent reading at home Students' report how often required to write in school

School variables

Grade enrollment Low income Tuition School climate

?Al: (Continued)

School variables

Teacher questionaire Items: Relationship with parents Education level Supervision in school Class size Number times classroom observed for instructional purposes Perception of buildint leadership Teacher initiated environment Freedom from disruption Perception of discipline Involvement in planning

"Condition variables"

Students perception of ability to Mamework Students report amount of timee to math assignments Students report how often tested Students perception to classroom discipline (grades 8 \$ 11) Students perception to classroom discipline (grades 8 11) Number hours students employed per week (grade 11) How often receive direct instruction for math, English, .science, social studies (grades 8 & 11) Percent of students taking mathscieRegglissbial studies (grades 8 & 11) Interest in school all grades Percent academic college preparation students (grade 11)

Stat. Assessment

Table XI Changes_in_Sțațe Assessment Program

									and df		Organ. Agencies and Organ. Change Working for Change
	,		rent 1 <u>ra</u> m								
State	Years Program in Place	First		Major Changes	CRF	ćEA	e.	Teacher	.UTENV	×1.4	Currently
Texas - No stat program				Ex# level to be administered 1st to 11th grades in 1985-86							1966-will sample students and test wh a normed test to compared with new TEAMS test and provide a comparison base fb the future
Utah	10	Ν	Ŷ	1978-droppod scient added reading 1984-added language critical think & other Title IV money until 1981, then Legislatur appropriated funds	nt						Desire to © xpand grades and subjects further, no firm plans
Vermont - No state program, yo expected changes	changes										
Virginia	35	N	Y	1972-Changed to SR 1980-Began financi provision for remed ed.	aŭL	e		¥	Y		None
Washington	9	Y	N	First 3 years used CTB 1979-Changed to CA 1984-Test all 8th grades vs. sample		e					Appropriate for 19815: Y Y o Census in 4,8 & 10 o Sample at grade 11 (4,8 6 10-FIAT vs CAT)) Adding more demographicuic data
West Virginia	23	N	Y	1973-Changed to CTF 1985-Dropped cogn abilitles test		e					Pilot test 1985 for Y Y LEA'S writing starting 19865 (analytic/holistic scoring)
Wisconsin	not		for	interview							
Wyoming		Y	N	None							None

.

98