
Index

-
- Acurex, Inc., 155, 156
 - Agency for Toxic Substances, 126
 - Agent Orange, 169
 - air pollutants, 326
 - Alaska, 199
 - alternatives to disposal and dispersal of hazardous waste:
 - advantages and disadvantages (table 13), 96
 - goals, 79-80
 - incentives, 28-33, 72-79
 - American Society of Testing Material, 251
 - Arkansas, 131, 353
 - Arthur D. Little, 119, 332, 333
 - Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials (ASTSWMO):
 - report by, 57
 - survey for OTA, 120, 123, 125, 342, 345
 - Battelle Columbus Laboratories, 118, 155
 - B. F. Goodrich, 214
 - Booz Allen and Hamilton, 119, 120, 124, 130, 196
 - Bureau of Economic Analysis, 334
 - Bureau of Land Management, 203, 334
 - California, 12, 15, 30, 75, 77, 78, 82, 122, 123, 131, 156, 172, 189, 199, 236, 256, 257, 259, 337, 348, 351, 353, 354, 368
 - California Air Resources Board, 166, 196, 354
 - Canada, 166, 172
 - Carter administration, 99
 - Centers for Disease Control (CDC), 125
 - CERCLA hazardous waste sites, 131, 134
 - Chemical Manufacturers Association (CMA), 76, 131, 382
 - chlorofluorocarbons [CFC], 321
 - classification systems, 99-107, 229-242
 - considerations, 102
 - degree-of-hazard, 99-100, 102, tables, 260-261
 - feasibility, case study, 236-240
 - limitations, 238
 - results, 237, 239
 - structure, 237
 - hazard/risk, 380
 - link between classification and risk estimation, 103
 - models, 233-234
 - problems and advantages, 240-242
 - waste, 229-233
 - characteristics that may pose a hazard (table 40), 230
 - chemical and physical factors, 232
 - specific process, 230
 - toxicological characteristics, 231
 - waste management facilities:
 - models, 235
 - technical basis, 235
 - class permitting, 27, 28, 69
 - Colorado, 348
 - Colorado River, 259
 - compliance costs with RCRA and CERCLA:
 - administrative, 338, 341
 - Federal and State, 58, 332, 338
 - private sector, 58, 334, 338
 - Comprehensive General Liability Insurance Policies, 363
 - Congress:
 - House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, Subcommittee on Oversight, 381
 - House Committee on Science and Technology, Subcommittee on Environment and the Atmosphere, 252
 - considerations to bring high-priority waste under regulation, 68-69
 - Continental Fibre Drum, 159
 - costs, near- and long-term, 5-6
 - Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), 250, 252
 - current regulatory programs, evaluations of, 7
 - data:
 - acquisition, priorities, 9, 133
 - health and environmental effects, 125, 126, 133
 - national, 117
 - requirements, 116, 117, 133
 - State, 120, 121
 - types of, 115
 - use of, 123
 - DDT, 203, 204
 - Department of Agriculture, 146
 - Department of Commerce, 118, 196, 329
 - Department of Defense, 47
 - Department of Energy, 329
 - Department of Health and Human Services, 126
 - Department of Justice, 303
 - Department of Transportation, 274, 279, 320
 - Development Planning and Research Associates (DPRA), 118
 - Disease Registry (DHHS), 126
 - District of Columbia, 122
 - Dow Chemical Co., 165
 - Eads, George, Council of Economic Advisors, 227
 - Eastman Kodak, 165
 - economic considerations, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 81, 195
 - appropriated funds for options II-IV, 97
 - clean up, 5, 6, 20
 - concern for international competition, 84
 - estimated public and private costs for hazardous waste management, 97
 - Love Canal, 6
 - transfer to future, 58, 67
 - Energy Power Research Institute, 155
 - Engineering Science, 382
 - ENSCO, 165
 - environmental effects, 4, 5, 7, 8
 - data, 125 (table 19, 126, 133)
 - laws, 319
 - Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 33, 36, 37, 46,

- 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76, 81, 83, 86, 91, 92, 93, 95, 99, 111, 116, 117, 119, 120, 122, 123, 124, 143, 159, 160, 161, 169, 182, 184, 188, 200, 205, 209, 227, 229, 236, 237, 247, 252, 258, 269
- Burford, Anne M., EPA Administrator, 345
- Cooperation with USGS, 93
- delisting of hazardous waste, 275
- Emergency and Remedial Response Information System, 4
- Environmental Monitoring Support Laboratories, 250, 251
- extraction procedure (EP) tests, 69, 273
- fiscal year 1983 R&D effort, 30, 74
- hazardous waste program budget, 340
- Hazard Ranking System (HRS), 383, 386
- imminent hazard and enforcement provisions, 294
- citizen suits, 295
- implementation of RCRA, 265, 269
- integration of programs, 35-37
- ISS closure and post-closure regulations, 285
- Lavelle, Rita M., Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response, 16
- long-term, systematic program, need for, 111
- manifest system, 278
- notice to generators, 119
- notification from generators, transporters, and facilities, 277
- Office of Legal and Enforcement Counsel, 341
- Office of Planning and Resource Management, 339
- Office of Research and Development, 94, 330, 331
- Office of Solid Waste, 128, 339
- performance standards, 280
- permit applications, 293
- reduction of grants to States, 65
- regulation of waste generators, 278
- requirements for hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, 279-280
- Risk/Cost Policy Model (WET matrix), 64, 88, 100, 385, 387, 389, 390, 391
- STORET, 254
- survey of uncontrolled sites, 4, 20
- two-tiered approach for land disposal
- regulations, 266
- unreleased study, 5
- Waste Alert Program, 258
- Executive Order No. 12291, 64, 385
- facilities, permitting of, 56, 59, 104, 293
- Federal exemptions, 3, 7, 9, 12, 27, 61, 116, 276
- eliminating, 68
- examples (table 17), 117
- State definitions, 122
- Federal funding, loss of, 23
- Federal hazardous waste program, 26
- advantages and disadvantages (table 13), 96
- goals, 60-67
- scenarios, 98
- Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, 258
- fee systems:
- affect to prices in market place, 85
- CERCLA, 30, 31, 75, 76, 77, 78, 82, 83, 98
- State use of, 30, 31, 32, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 366, 364
- focus of study, 7, 46, 47
- Food and Drug Administration, 201
- Franklin Research Institute, 211
- Fred C. Hart Associates, 131
- General Accounting Office (GAO), 25, 51, 126
- general facility standards, 287
- facility permitting, 293
- incinerators, 291
- land disposal facilities, 293
- storage, 291
- General Portland Co., Paulding, Ohio, 166
- Georgia, 123, 131
- Good Year Tire & Rubber Co., 155
- Guam, 120, 121
- Hawaii, 199
- hazard classification framework:
- advantages and disadvantages, 96
- congressional action, 86
- costs, 90
- degree-of-hazard considerations, 102
- difference from other approaches, 100
- elements, 86-87
- examples, 89
- facilities, 17, 34-35, 60, 85-88
- goals, 88-90
- in a risk management framework, 99
- integrated risk management approach, 101
- link between risk estimation, 103
- protection of health and environment, 25, 88
- summary, 34-35
- wastes, 17, 34-35, 60, 85-88
- hazardous waste:
- acute hazardous waste, 274
- amount of, 3, 5, 8, 44, 111, 119, 120, 124
- average cost of disposal, 6
- characteristics, 273, 274
- chemical treatment, 16
- classification, 17, 27, 33, 34-35, 86-87, 99, 221, 229-242, 270
- compliance cost, 332
- containment technologies, 12
- data collection mandates, RCRA and CERCLA (table 15), 114
- data inadequacies, 3, 7, 8, 9, 54, 56, 58, 63, 81, 111, 161, 195, 265
- definition, 7, 8, 36, 116, 123, 271
- exclusion from, 273
- degree of hazard, need for, 99
- delisting, 27, 61, 69, 116, 275
- determination of solutions (fig. 4), 112
- dilemma, 52
- dispersal, 13, 29, 31, 36, 52, 72, 73, 77
- disposal, 6, 8, 14, 28, 29, 31, 35, 36, 39, 52, 72, 73, 77, 292
- empty containers, 277
- estimates by EPA and the States (table 18), 121
- exemptions, 3, 7, 9, 12, 27, 61, 68, 116, 117, 122, 276, 277, 351

- Federal Government, role of, 114
 - Federal regulation of, 268, 368
 - RCRA approach, 269
 - Federal-State program:
 - expenditures, 266
 - industrial compliance cost, 332
 - lack of incentive, 266
 - genetic delisting petition, 275
 - identification, 270, 271
 - industry, role of, 114
 - industry studies, 118
 - interim status facilities, 281
 - standards for, 282, 285-287
 - listing of, 274, 275, 276
 - management facilities, 127-133
 - management paths (fig. 5), 113
 - monitoring, 19, 20, 27, 33, 45, 52, 61, 104, 221, 242-254
 - OTA survey, 8
 - overriding issue, 5
 - public, role of, 114
 - recycling 11, 15, 16, 27, 31, 62, 68, 216, 370
 - regulatory criteria, establishing of, 271, 33, 56, 278
 - remedial actions, 21, 57, 63, 140, 205, 209, 211, 308-311
 - requirements for transporters, 279
 - solid waste excluded from definition of, 273
 - spent pickle liquor, 216
 - state programs under RCRA, 269-299
 - tax, 318, 367
 - technical performance standards [table 55], 289
 - temporary exclusion, 275
 - total National costs, 344
 - toxic waste, 275
 - transportation and accidental spills, 7, 47
 - treatment, storage, and disposal facilities (TSDF), 277, 278, 279, 280, 295, 297, 326, 348, 353
 - permits, 288, 294
 - standards for, 281, 285-286, 351, 368
 - treatment technologies, 12, 14, 16, 17
 - under CERCLA, 300
 - waste type, 13, 44, 127
- Hazard Ranking System (Mitre model), 64
- hazard reduction, 13, 156-198
- biological treatment, 174
 - boilers, 166, 167
 - cement kilns, 166
 - comparative unit costs for selective technologies, 195-198
 - comparison of technologies (table 21), 157
 - comparisons of thermal treatment (table 32), 163-164
 - destruction and removal efficiency standard (DRE), 159, 160, 161, 162, 165, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 173
 - fluidized bed combustors, 168, 169
 - fluid-wall reactors, 172
 - landfills, 174-186
 - bottom liners, 177, 178, 185, 186
 - control features, 176
 - cover, 179, 180
 - current practice, 183
 - regulatory issues, 185
 - site hydrology, 181, 182
 - siting restriction, 185
 - stabilization/solidification process, 182, 183
 - surface impoundments, 4, 5, 12, 13, 186-189
 - evaluation, 187
 - regulatory issues, 188, 189
 - use and evaluation, 175, 183, 184
 - waste characteristics, 182, 185
 - multiple hearth incinerators, 168
 - performance standards, 159, 160, 161
 - plasm-arc reactors, 172
 - principal organic hazardous constituent (POHC), 159, 161, 162
 - product of incomplete combustion (PIC), 161, 162, 170, 173
 - recovery/recycle operation, case examples, 216-217
 - rotary kiln, 159, 163, 164, 165, 169, 172
 - super critical water, 173
 - thermal technologies:
 - fluid-wall reactors, 172
 - molten salt reactors, 170, 171
 - pyrolysis, 170
 - toxic combustion, 160
 - treatment, 139, 140, 156-173
 - at sea, 169
 - incineration, 158, 159, 160, 162, 163, 164
 - liquid, 159, 162, 164, 166
 - metal, 159, 167
 - underground injection wells, 189
 - classification, 191
 - design, 190
 - evaluation, 192
 - location, 191
 - regulations, 193, 194
 - wet oxidation, 173
- Hazardous Waste Compensation Fund, 76
- health effects, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 43, 45
- data, 125 (table 197, 126, 133, 225, 243, 248)
- Illinois, 33, 69, 79, 353
- Indiana, 123
- Interagency Task Force, 253
- integration of environmental programs, 35-37, 60, 91
- advantages and disadvantages (table 13), 96
 - cost and problems, 95
 - goals, 94-95
- interim status standards (ISS):
- closure and post-closure requirements, 285
 - financial responsibility and insurance standards, 285
 - incinerators, 287
 - landfills, 286
 - surface impoundments, 288
- International Council for the Exploration of the Seas, 203
- issues and uncertainties, 44-46
1. T. Enviroscience, 173

- Jeffords, J., U.S. Representative, 242
 JRB Associates, 118
- Kentucky, 30, 75
 Kepone, 203, 204
- land disposal, 6, 12, 13, 14, 16, 21, 28, 62, 93, 132, 370
 alternatives, 61, 71, 74, 79, 82, 89, 98, 356, 364
 compliance costs for facilities, 334
 criticism of regulations, 371
 exemptions from monitoring, 372
 facilities, 293
 interim status standards, 286
 monitoring, 376
 risks, 15, 16, 20, 73
 use of technology, 375
- leachate, 4, 175, 176, 177, 179, 181, 182, 183, 185, 187, 374
- leaching, 5, 14, 132
- legal remedies, 358
 barriers to recovery, 362
 identification of parties, 362
 nuisance, private and public, 360
 proof of causation, 362
 statute of limitations, 362
 trespass, 361
- legislation:
 Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 116, 271
 Clean Air Act, 20, 36, 92, 160, 247, 251, 252, 267, 269, 319, 322, 325, 326, 368
 Clean Water Act, 20, 27, 36, 61, 68, 92, 118, 128, 247, 251, 252, 267, 269, 271, 294, 295, 319, 321, 359, 368
 Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 37, 38, 40, 43, 47, 51, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 75, 76, 78, 84, 90, 92, 93, 98, 99, 111, 114, 122, 127, 128, 140, 205, 225, 266, 357
 Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 57
 Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 321
 Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 116, 199
 Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, 279, 320
 House Report 94-1491
 Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act, 36, 91, 160, 198, 203, 325
 Occupational Safety and Health Act, 214
 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 43, 46, 47, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 69, 70, 72, 74, 76, 81, 85, 86, 90, 92, 93, 98, 99, 111, 113, 114, 116, 120, 122, 125, 128, 159, 160, 175, 194, 195, 225, 227, 229, 237, 252, 258, 265, 268, 269, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 332, 335, 368
 Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), 36, 91, 128, 191, 194, 268, 284, 294, 323, 324
 National Interim Primary Drinking Water Standards, 284, 324, 379
 Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA), 272
 Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), 327
 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 36, 92, 93, 125, 233, 234, 320
- liability factors, 62, 93, 315, 357, 359, 363
- London Dumping Convention, 199
- Louisiana, 8, 123, 131
- Love Canal, 6, 203, 224
- Lowry Landfill, Denver, Colo., 255, 348
- Management facilities:
 EPA survey, 128, 129
 Government data needs, 127
 industrial data needs, 127, 128
 monitoring, 127
 offsite, 130, 131, 132
 permitting, 127, 133
 public data needs, 127, 128
 siting, 127
 State data collection, 131
 management strategies, alternatives, 29-30, 48, 72-75
 energy recovery, 29, 73
 material recovery, 29, 73
 waste separation, 29, 73
- Massachusetts, 8, 256, 258
- maximum concentration limits (MCL), 379
- methyl mercury, 243
- Michigan, 79, 131, 194, 233, 259, 276, 351, 353, 367
- Millipore, 152
- Minnesota, 31, 77, 78, 256, 354
 State Pollution Control Agency, 354
 State Waste Management Board, 354
- Mississippi, 131
- Missouri, 30, 75
- Mitre Model, 383
- Modar, Inc., 173
- monitoring, 19, 20, 27, 33, 45, 52, 61, 104, 221, 242-254, 283-288, 292, 372, 375
 description of functions (table 48), 243
 environmental fate and design, 243
 aquatic systems, 244
 major technical issues, 248-254
 analytical methodology, 250
 data comparisons, 249
 ground water requirement, 283, 284
 institutional approaches, 252
- RCRA facilities, 266
- sampling, 248, 377, 378
- strategies:
 assessment monitoring, 248
 surveillance monitoring, 248
- types, 246-248
 ambient, 19, 20, 247, 376
 effects, 19, 247

- process, 19, 246
- source, 19, 246
- visual, 19, 246
- M.T. Volcanus, 169
- McGraw-Hill, 334
- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), 325
- National Bureau of Standards (NBS), 162, 291, 329
- National Contingency Plan (NC PJ), 20, 54, 63, 64, 65, 140, 267, 268, 304, 323, 386
- national fee system, 31, 32
- National Governors' Association, 76, 124
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 125, 329
- National Institute of Environmental Health Science, 125
- National Institutes of Health, 125, 329
- National Manifest System, 54
- National Marine Fisheries Service, 203
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), 116, 128, 321, 322, 323
- National Priority List (NPL), 8, 20, 57, 131, 268, 302, 303, 304, 382, 386
- National Science Foundation [NSF], 252, 254, 329
- National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 330
- New Jersey, 122, 131, 184, 199, 257, 351, 368
- New York, 30, 75, 77, 78, 189, 199, 200, 201, 256, 353, 354
- Certificate of Environmental Safety and Necessity, 256
- North Carolina, 79, 131, 348
- Norway, 166
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 329
- ocean disposal, 12, 13, 14, 36, 53, 140, 198-204
 - acids, 199
 - controversy, 200-203
 - arguments against, 201-202
 - arguments in favor, 200
 - legislative background, 199
 - municipal and industrial waste, 199
 - research and data needs, 203-204
 - sewage sludge, 199
 - technical regulatory issues, 204
 - toxic waste, 199
 - usage, 198
- Office of Management and Budget, 385, 387
- Office of Technology Assessment (OTA), 8, 17, 25, 30, 35, 38, 47, 48, 51, 52, 58, 59, 64, 65, 74, 75, 86, 88, 91, 95, 97, 120, 124, 131, 181, 184, 188, 236, 330, 334, 348, 383, 385, 390
- Ohio, 330
- Oklahoma, 131
- Oregon, 79
- Organization of Economic Community Development, 84
- Philadelphia, city of, 201
- policy options, 3, 24-37, 48, 51-107
 - advantages/disadvantages, 38, 96
 - four scenarios, 39-40, 51, 98-99
 - specific goals, 25-26, 52-59
- Pollution Prevention Pays program, 3M Corp., 141
- polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBS), 13, 122, 160, 166, 169, 172, 173, 199, 202, 203, 204, 229
 - regulation of 92, 93, 146, 155, 321
- Post-Closure Liability Trust Fund, 16, 93, 195, 319
- process modifications, 213-217
 - chlor-alkali process, 213
 - vinyl chloride process, 214
 - metal-finishing process, 214
- public concern, 21, 22, 48, 59, 67, 71, 80, 90, 95, 203, 222, 254, 255
- Public Health Service Drinking Water Standards, 379
- publicly owned treatment works (POTWS), 322, 323
- Puerto Rico, 120, 121, 199
- Putnam, Bartlett & Hayes, 119
- RCRA program, 26-28, 40, 59, 98
 - advantages and disadvantages (table 13), 96
 - changes, 26-28, 40, 59
 - delays, 265
 - goals, 70-71
 - scenario, 98
- RCRA Regulatory Impact Analysis, 119, 120, 128
- Reagan administration, 99, 340
- research and development (R&D), 4, 12, 16, 19, 40, 63, 72, 75, 81, 98, 127, 128, 162, 188, 226, 250, 330
 - assistance, 33, 59, 79, 85, 329
 - benefits, 133
 - EPA's administrative cost, 341
 - EPA's FY 1983 cost effort, 30, 74
 - projects planned by ORD, 331
- risk management, 18, 19, 20, 33, 34, 45, 47, 63, 64, 71, 73, 101, 103, 104, 106, 267, 382
 - application of framework, 104
 - hazard evaluation, 102, 223
 - information needed, 54, 80, 88
 - link between risk estimation and classification, 103
 - objectives, 101
 - policy/management decisions, 228
 - risk assessment, 223
 - models, 224
 - risk, costs, and benefits, 226-228
 - transfer costs, 58, 67, 71
- Rockwell International, 172
- Rollins Environmental Services, 165
- St. Louis, Mich., cleanup costs, 6
- San Juan Cement Co., Duabolo, Puerto Rico, 166
- Seymour Ind., cleanup costs, 6
- Sheffield, 111., 255
- siting, 21, 22, 59, 127, 254-259, 355
 - approaches to addressing public concern, 255
 - economic and institutional mechanisms, 257
 - technical methods, 256
 - Federal involvement, 258
 - public concern, 90, 95, 222, 254, 255
- solid wastes, 8, 23, 43, 47, 265, 271, 272, 273, 274, 319, 327
- South Carolina, 131
- Southern California Edison, Inc., 172
- specific technical criteria, need for, 28, 70

State involvement:

- alternatives to land disposal, 356
 - comparability of programs to Federal RCRA, 349
 - data acquisition, 57, 58, 63, 64
 - differences between Federal and State programs, 348
 - expenditures on hazardous waste program
 - activities, 343
 - Federal relationship, 56, 57, 66, 328
 - fee system, 30, 31, 32, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 364, 365
 - funding, 21
 - implementing program, 23-24, 46, 54, 55, 65
 - lack of programs, 4
 - planning, 22
 - problems, 65, 66, 344
 - programs, lack of study, 56, 57
 - programs under RCRA, 296, 328, 344
 - RCRA/CERCLA participation, 65, 66, 71, 90
 - RCRA grants, 58
 - RCRA program authorization, 346
 - responses to delays and perceived inadequacies of Federal program, 268
 - responsibilities, major concerns, 23-24, 55, 56, 57, 58
 - siting programs, 355
 - summary of small quantity generator provisions, 352
 - Superfund, 268, 305
 - zero funding, 345
- Sunohio, 155, 156
- Superfund, 300-319
- abatement actions, 303
 - chemical taxes, 315
 - cleanup, 267, 312
 - cost recovery actions, 303
 - Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund, 314
 - hazardous substances under CERCLA, 300
 - liability, 315
 - National Contingency Plan, 305
 - table 57, 306
 - National Priority List, 304
 - notification of inactive waste management sites, 301
 - Post-Closure Liability Trust Fund, 317
 - response authority, 302
 - standard of cleanup, 313
 - State costs, 268
 - State funds, 368
 - State legislation, 369
 - State participation, 305
- Sweden, 166
- Tennessee, 358
- Texas, 123, 131, 172, 259, 354
- Thagard Research Corp., 172
- 3M Corp., 165
- toxic water pollutants, 322
- TRW, 119
- uncontrolled hazardous waste sites:
- advantages and disadvantages of control technologies (table 38), 210
 - chemical analysis, 208
 - cleanup, 7, 8, 20, 21, 53, 54, 14CI, 205-207, 208
 - comparative ranking, 64
 - effectiveness of CERCLA, 20
 - environment] pathway control, 211-213
 - identification, 207
 - inspection, 208
 - most serious, 5, 8, 20, 21
 - remedial action, 205, 209, 211
 - survey of, 4, 20
 - waste control, 209, 211
- Underground Injection Control Program, 194, 195
- Union Chemical Co., Union, Maine, 169
- United Nations, 84
- United Nations Environmental Program, Regional Seas Program, 200
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 198, 200, 325
- U.S. Bureau of Census, 119
- U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, 200
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 53, 252, 258
- cooperation with EPA, 93, 94
 - Toxic Waste-Ground Water Contamination Program, 93
- Utah, 69
- WAPORA, 119
- Washington, 238, 259
- waste generators, 10, 12, 13, 55, 274
- compliance costs, 95
 - data requirements, 116, 117, 13:3
 - exemptions, 276
 - fees on, 3, 30, 75, 77
 - notification to EPA, 277
 - number of, 123
 - reporting to EPA, 119, 120
 - State data, 120, 121
 - survey, 120
- waste management:
- compliance costs, 332
 - Federal financial assistance grants, by State, 342
 - inadequate techniques, 265
 - insurance, 363
 - key issues, 106
- waste reduction, 7, 9, 12, 13, 29, 32, 47, 52, 59, 73, 82, 98, 140
- advantages/disadvantages, 11
 - alternatives, 139, 141-156
 - capital needs:
 - Federal loan program, 32, 78
 - State exemptions, 79
 - tax credits, 32, 33, 78
 - comparison of models (table 22], 142
 - disincentives, 10, 11, 30
 - economic factors, 148
 - emerging technologies, 151
 - biotechnology, 152, 155
 - segregation technologies, 152
 - membrane separation, 152
 - chemical dechlorination, 155

- end-product substitution, 10, 11, 139, 141, 144, 145
- incentives, 10, 11, 28, 72, 74, 78, 146
- investment in, 6, 32
- methods (table 2), 10, 11
- process modification, 10, 139, 141, 143
 - chlor-alkali industry, 143
 - metal-finishing industry, 144
 - vinyl chloride (plastics) production, 143, 144
- source segregation, 10, 11, 139, 141, 142
- recovery/recycling (table 2), 11, 139, 141, 146-148
 - chemical transformation, 148
 - commercial (off-site) recovery, 11, 147
 - component separation, 148
 - description of technologies (table 27), 149-150
 - in-plant recycling, 147
 - material exchanges, 147
 - physical separation, 148
 - technologies being developed (table 28), 151
- water contamination in cities, 5
- West Covina, Calif., 255
- West Virginia, 347
- Wilsonville, Ill., 255
- Wisconsin, 79
- Wyoming, 345