## INTEGRATING MEASUREABLE

AND

### CONTINUOUS CORRESPONDENCES

Richard Cornwall

Econometric Research Program
Research Memorandum No. 103
September 1968

The research described in this paper was supported by the Office of Naval Research (NOOO]4-67-A-0151-0007, Task No. 047-086.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Princeton University
Econometric Research Program
207 Dickinson Hall
Princeton, New Jersey

# ABSTRACT

On a measure space (A,  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu$ ), a correspondence  $\phi$  on A is a function which assigns to each a in A a nonempty subset  $\phi(a)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Aumann has defined an integral of correspondences and has shown that if  $\phi$  has certain properties then  $\Phi(E) = \int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d}\, \mu$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  defines a countably additive correspondence on  $\mathcal{A}$ . This paper offers a proof of the converse result; namely, if a correspondence  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies certain properties, then a correspondence  $\phi$  on A exists such that  $\int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d}\, \mu = \Phi(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . This paper also provides conditions on  $\phi$  such that every point in the set  $\int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d}\, \mu$  is in fact the integral of a continuous function f such that  $f(a) \in \phi(a)$  a.e.

### Richard R. Cornwall

Suppose  ${\mathcal Q}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra with unit A and supporting a probability measure  $\mu$ . Let S be a finite dimensional, real vector space. If Z is a correspondence from  $\mathcal Q$  to S, then  $\mathbb Z(\mathbb E)$  is a subset of S for each set  $\mathbb E$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{Z}$  denote the set of Z-valued measures on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; that is,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{Z}$  implies  $\zeta(\mathtt{E})\in\mathtt{Z}(\mathtt{E})$  for every  $\mathtt{E}$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  . This paper established conditions under which  $Z(E) = \text{eval}_{E}(\mathcal{M}_{Z})^{2}, \quad E \in \mathcal{O}.^{3}$ 

$$Z(E) = \text{eval}_{E}(\mathcal{M}_{Z})^{2}, \quad E \in \mathcal{A}.^{3}$$

If lpha is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of a set A , then the preceding result is used to provide conditions under which there exists a correspondence  $\phi:A\longrightarrow S$ such that  $Z(E) = \int_{E} \varphi d\mu$ ,  $E \in Q$ 

$$Z(E) = \int_{E} \varphi d\mu$$
,  $E \in \mathcal{G}$ 

where 
$$\int_{E}^{\Phi} \varphi d\mu = \{z \in S: z = \int_{E}^{\Phi} f d\mu, f \in \mathcal{L}_{\varphi}\}^{\mu}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma}$  is the set of integrable functions f:A  $\rightarrow$ S with f(a)  $\in$   $\phi$ (a) a.e. on A .

This Radon-Nikodym type of result can be strengthened if A is a topological space and if lpha is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel subsets of A . Letting

 $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{c} = \{f \in \mathcal{L}_{0}: f \text{ is continuous}\}, \text{ conditions are stated for }$ 

The letters E,F,G,H will always refer to elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . These elements will be called "sets". The operations in  $\mathcal{A}$  are "join",  $\mathbf{U}$ , "meet",  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ , and "complementation", . We define E\F = E \Omega F' and E \Cap F if E \Omega F = E . E is then called a "subset" of F. The zero element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted  $\mathcal{A}$ . E is null if  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

eval<sub>E</sub> ( $\mathfrak{M}_{z}$ ) = {zes: z =  $\zeta(E)$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}_{z}$ }.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This result, which is contained in Theorem 1 below, was first discovered by G. Debreu and was described to this author in a version akin to Theorem 2.

This definition of the integral of a correspondence is due to Aumann [1]. It has been related to another definition by Debreu [7].

$$\int_{E} \phi d\mu = \int_{E}^{C} \phi d\mu$$

where  $\int\limits_{E}^{c}\phi\;\mathrm{d}\mu\;=\;\{z\varepsilon S\colon\;z\;=\;\int\limits_{E}f\;\mathrm{d}\mu\;,\;\;f\varepsilon\;\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{c}\;\}\;.$  These results are useful in the analysis of economies with large numbers of traders.  $^{5}$ 

A correspondence Z on Q is  $\mu$ -continuous if  $\mu(E)=0$  implies  $Z(E)=\{0\}$ . Z is countably additive if, for any disjoint sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of sets,

$$Z(UE_n) = \Sigma Z(E_n)$$

where

$$\Sigma Z(E_n) = \{z = \lim_{k \to n=1}^{k} z_n, z_n \in Z(E_n) \}$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{k} z_n$  converges absolutely to z }. Z is nonempty if Z(E) is nonempty z for every z . z is closed -and convex - valued if z if z is closed and convex for every z . Because z is disjoint from itself, the assumptions that z z is convex and contains z and that z is (countably) additive imply that z is a convex cone.

The correspondence Z is bounded below if there exists a continuous, antisymmetric vector order  $\geq$  on S and there exists an S -valued measure  $\beta$  such that for every E ,  $z \in Z(E) \text{ implies } z \geq \beta(E) \text{ .}$ 

This condition is equivalent to requiring that there be a closed, convex cone P with a vertex O and containing no lines (one-dimensional linear manifolds) such that for every E , Z(E) -  $\beta(E)$   $\subset$  P . Z is contained in P if Z(E)  $\subset$  P for every E . The condition that Z be bounded below defines a collection of correspondences whose images may be unbounded in the usual sense, but for which

For example, see [4], [5], [6], [8], [13].

many of the same results hold as for correspondences whose images are bounded. In particular, the following result holds:  $^6$ 

THEOREM 1: Let Z be a nonempty, closed- and convex-valued, countably additive, bounded below,  $\mu$ -continuous correspondence from  $\mathcal A$  to S.

Then, for every set E,

$$z(E) = \text{eval}_{E}(\mathfrak{M}_{z})$$
.

PROOF: The proof uses an induction argument on the dimension of S . It is necessary to prove two preparatory lemmas:

LEMMA 1: Let Z be a nonempty, countably additive correspondence and let pss. Define a function  $\sigma_p(\cdot)$  from Q to RU  $\{+\infty\}$  by  $\sigma_p(E) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma_n(\cdot)$  is countably additive.

PROOF: Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of disjoint sets and let E=U  $E_n$ . If  $z\in Z(E)$  then there exist  $z_n\in Z(E_n)$  such that  $z=\sum_n z_n$  (where  $\sum_{n=1}^k z_n$  converges absolutely to z as  $k\to\infty$ ). Hence

$$p \cdot z = \lim_{k} \sum_{n=1}^{k} p \cdot z_{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{p}(E_{n}) ,$$

so  $\sigma_p(E) \leqq \Sigma$   $\sigma_p(E_n)$ . If  $\sigma_p(E) = +\infty$ , we are finished. Suppose  $\sigma_p(E) < \infty$  and suppose  $\sigma_p(E_n) = +\infty$  for some n. Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_k^-\}$   $\subset$   $Z(E_n^-)$  with  $p \cdot x_k^- \to +\infty$ . Since Z is nonempty, there exists  $y \in Z(E \setminus E_n^-)$  so  $z_k^- = x_k^- + y \in Z(E)$  and  $p \cdot z_k^- \to +\infty$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\sigma_p(E) < +\infty$  so  $\sigma_p(E_n^-) < +\infty$  for all n.

$$\sup p \cdot K = \sup \{p \cdot x \colon x \in K\}.$$

This result can also be obtained from recent work of Rieffel, [10] and [11], if Z is compact-valued.

<sup>7</sup> If  $p \in S$  and  $K \subset S$  then

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $z_n$  in  $Z(E_n)$  satisfying

$$\sigma_{p}(E_{n}) - p \cdot z_{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n}}$$
.

If 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{p}(E_{n}) = + \infty$$
 then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p \cdot z_{n} = + \infty$ .

Let x be any point in  $Z(E) = \sum Z(E_n)$ . Then x is the absolute sum of  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x_n \in Z(E_n)$ .

Define  $y_k = \sum_{n=1}^k z_n + \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty x_n$ . Then  $y_k \in Z(E)$  for every k. However,

$$p \cdot y_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} p \cdot z_{n} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} p \cdot x_{n} \rightarrow + \infty$$

because

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} p \cdot x_n \right| & \leq & \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \left| p \cdot x_n \right| & \to & 0$$

since

$$\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} |x_n| \xrightarrow{k} 0 .$$

This contradicts the assumption that  $\ \sigma_p(E) < + \ \infty$  , so  $\sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty \ \sigma_p(E_n) < + \ \infty$  .

Choose ko large enough so that

$$|p \cdot y_{k_0} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p \cdot z_n| < \epsilon$$
,

which is possible since  $\overset{\infty}{\Sigma} \ p \cdot z_n$  is finite. But then n=1

$$\left| \operatorname{p·y}_{k_0} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{p}(\operatorname{E}_n) \right| < 2 \quad \epsilon \ .$$

Further,

$$p \cdot y_{k_0} \leq \sigma_p(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p(E_n)$$
$$|\sigma_p(E) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p(E_n)| < 2 \epsilon.$$

so

Letting  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we have

$$\sigma_{p}(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{p}(E_{n})$$
.

This completes the proof of LEMMA 1. A correspondence  $S_p$  can be defined for each p in S by:

$$S_{p}(E) = \{z \in Z(E): p \cdot z = \sigma_{p}(E)\}, E \in Q$$

$$= p^{-1}(\sigma_{p}(E)) \cap Z(E)_{\bullet}^{8}$$

<u>LEMMA 2:</u> Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  be a nonempty, countably additive correspondence and let  $p \in S$  .

- (i) If  $S_p(E)$  is not empty for some set E , then  $S_p(F)$  is not empty for any subset F of E .
- (ii) If  $S_{p}$  is nonempty, then it is countably additive.

The proof that  $\Sigma S_p(E_n) \subset S_p(U E_n)$  is made by supposing  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence of vectors satisfying  $z_n \in S_p(E_n)$ , n=1,2,... and  $\sum\limits_{n=1}^k z_n$  converges absolutely to some z. Then  $z \in Z(U E_n)$  and

$$p \cdot z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p \cdot z_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p(E_n) = \sigma_p(U E_n)$$

by LEMMA 1.

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  p<sup>-1</sup> denotes the function which is inverse to the function p( $^{\circ}$ ): x  $\mapsto$  p $^{\circ}$ x .

To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to consider only the case where Z is contained in a closed convex cone with vertex zero and containing no lines, because if Z is bounded below, then there exists a measure  $\beta$  such that Z- $\beta$  is contained in such a cone. But if

$$(\mathbf{Z}-\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mathbf{E}) = \operatorname{eval}_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}), \quad \text{then} \quad \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{E}) = \operatorname{eval}_{\mathbf{E}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

The relation eval\_E  $\mathfrak{M}_Z\subset Z(E)$  is obvious. To prove the opposite inclusion, assume that the dimension N of the vector space S is at least equal to 2. Assume also that if Z' is a nonempty, closed, convex, countably additive correspondence from G to  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  satisfying  $Z'(0) = \{0\}$  and, for every E, Z'(E) is contained in a fixed closed convex cone P' with vertex O containing no lines then  $Z'(E) = \operatorname{eval}_E(\mathfrak{M}_{Z'})$ . This is the induction hypothesis.

Because Z(A) is a closed convex set containing no lines, any point in Z(A) is a (finite) convex combination of points in ext Z(A), where ext Z(A) is the union of the set of extreme points of Z(A) with the extreme rays of Z(A). Further,  $\mathcal{M}_Z$  is convex. Thus it suffices to show that if z  $\in$  ext Z(A), then there exists  $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_Z$  with  $\zeta(A) = z$ .

If  $z \in \text{ext } Z(A)$ , then  $z \in Z(A) \cap H$ , where H is some supporting hyperplane to Z(A). Hence there exists a nonzero vector p in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $H = p^{-1} (\sigma_p(A))$  and  $z \in S_p(A)$ . By LEMMA 2,  $S_p$  is a nonempty, countably additive correspondence. Further, for each E ,  $S_p(E)$  is a closed convex subset

<sup>9</sup> See, for example, THEOREM 6.13, page 54 in [12].

A point x in a set K is an extreme point of K if there is no line segment contained in K and containing x in its interior. A ray  $\{z = x_0 + tx_1, t \ge 0\}$  is an extreme ray if every line segment, which is contained in K and which intersects the ray at a point in the interior of the segment, is entirely contained in the ray.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See [12], THEOREM 7.11, page 66.

of P. Let  $\mathrm{H}_0$  be the N-1 dimensional subspace parallel to H. The remainder of the proof of the induction step consists of showing that, for each E,  $\mathrm{S}_p(\mathrm{E})$  can be projected into  $\mathrm{H}_0$  so as to yield a correspondence satisfying the conditions of the induction hypothesis. This requires the following result.

<u>LEMMA 3:</u>  $S \setminus (H_O \cup P \cup (-P))$  is not empty.

<u>PROOF:</u> If this were not true, then  $H_0 \cup P \cup (-P) = S$  and hence

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \left( \mathbb{H}_{O} \left( -\mathbb{P} \right) \right) \right] = \left( \mathbb{H}_{O} \left( \mathbb{H}_{O} \left( -\mathbb{P} \right) \right) \right) + \mathbb{H}_{O} = \mathbb{S} \left[ \mathbb{H}_{O} \left( \mathbb{H}_{O} \left( -\mathbb{P} \right) \right) \right] + \mathbb{H}_{O}$$

Let  $x \in H_0^+$ , the open half space above H. Then  $x \in P$  or  $x \in -P$ . Suppose  $x \in P$  and let y be any other element of  $H_0^+$ . Suppose  $y \in -P$ . Now the line segment [x,y] connecting x and y is in  $H_0^+$  and  $0 \notin [x,y]$ . But then

$$[x,y] = \{[x,y] \cap P\} \cup \{[x,y] \cap (-P)\}$$

is a union of two nonempty, disjoint closed sets which contradicts the connectedness of [x,y]. Thus  $y \in P$  so  $\operatorname{H}_0^+ \subset P$  and  $\operatorname{H}_0^- \subset -P$ . This contradicts the assumption that P contains no lines. Similarly, if  $x \in -P$ , then  $\operatorname{H}_0^+ \subset -P$  and  $\operatorname{H}_0^- \subset P$  which is also impossible. Thus the LEMMA is correct.

Let L be the line through 0 generated by any point in  $S \setminus (H_O \cup P \cup (-P))$ . Then L  $\cap$  ( $H_O \cup P \cup (-P)$ ) = {0}. Let P' be the projection of P into  $H_O$  along L  $\cdot$  P' is a convex cone with vertex 0 because projection is a linear mapping. Further,

# LEMMA 4: P' is closed.

PROOF: Let y be any point in  $H_Q$  which is not in  $P^i$ . This means that y+L is disjoint from P. We want to find a neighborhood V in  $H_Q$  of y which is disjoint from  $P^i$ . Let

$$W_{\epsilon} = \{w \in S^{*}: | w-(y+z)| < \epsilon$$
 for some  $z \in L \}$ 

be an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of y + L . Then it suffices to show that for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $W_{\epsilon} \cap P = \emptyset$  .

Suppose this is not true, so for  $n=1,2,\ldots$  there exist x in P and z in L such that

$$|x_n - y_n - z_n| < 1/n$$
.

If  $\{z_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{z^*_n\},$  then its limit z is also in L . Further, for any n and m ,

so  $\{x_n^{\,\text{\tiny f}}\}$  is Cauchy. If x is the limit of  $\{x_n^{\,\text{\tiny f}}\},$  then x is in P . Further

$$|x-y-z| \leq |x-x_n^{\dagger}| + |x_n^{\dagger}-y-z_n^{\dagger}| + |z_n^{\dagger}-z| \xrightarrow{n} 0$$

Thus  $y + z = x \in P$ . But then  $y \in P^t$  which contradicts our hypothesis.

Thus  $\{z_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence, and hence  $\{z_n\}$  is unbounded. Choose a subsequence  $\{z_n^i\}$  for which  $|z_n^i| \to +\infty$ . This subsequence contains a subsequence  $\{z_n^i\}$  such that  $\frac{z_n^{ii}}{|z_n^{ii}|}$  converges to some z in L. But

$$\left|z - \frac{x_n''}{\left|z_n''\right|}\right| \le \left|z - \frac{z_n''}{\left|z_n''\right|}\right| + \left|\frac{z_n'' + y}{\left|z_n''\right|} - \frac{x_n''}{\left|z_n''\right|}\right| + \frac{\left|y\right|}{\left|z_n''\right|}$$

so  $\frac{z^n}{n} \to z$  also. Hence  $z \in P \cap L$  which is impossible since  $z \neq 0$ . Thus there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $W_\epsilon$  is disjoint from P.

LEMMA 5: P' contains no lines.

PROOF: Suppose P' contains a line, which will be represented:

$$L_{\gamma} = \{z = x + \lambda y, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where x is some vector in  $P^{t}$  and y is some nonzero vector in  $H_{\overset{\cdot}{0}}$  . It will be shown that the line

$$L_{o} = \{z = \lambda y, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is also in  $P^1$ : Let  $\lambda y$  be a point in  $L_2$ . Then set

$$y_{t} = t(x + \lambda y) + (1-t)\lambda y, t \in (0,1)$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{t} y_t = x + \lambda y + \frac{1-t}{t} \lambda y = x + \frac{\lambda}{t} y \in L_1 \subset P^{\dagger}.$$

Thus  $y_t$  is a convex combination of the vectors 0 and  $\frac{1}{t}y_t$ , both of which are in  $P^t$ , so  $y_t \in P^t$  for  $t \in (0,1)$ . But  $y_t \to \lambda y$  as  $t \to 0$  and  $P^t$  is closed so  $\lambda y \in P^t$ . Since  $\lambda$  was arbitrary,  $L_2 \subset P^t$ .

This shows, in particular, that  $y \in P'$  and  $-y \in P'$ . Hence there exist vectors z and w in L such that  $y + z \in P$  and  $-y + w \in P$ . If z = -w, then  $0 \neq y + z \in P$  and  $-(y + z) = -y + w \in P$ . This is impossible since  $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$ ; that is, P contains no lines. Thus

$$0 \quad \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( z + w \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( y_{y} + z \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( -y + w \right) \in P .$$

But  $\frac{1}{2}$  (z + w)  $\in$  L also, which contradicts the disjointness of P \ {0} and L \ {0}. Thus P' contains no lines.

In summary  $P^{\iota}$  , which is the projection of P into  $\mathbb{H}_0$  , is a closed convex cone with vertex 0 containing no lines. For each E , let  $S_p^{\iota}(E)$ 

be the projection of  $S_p(E)$  into  $H_0$  along L and let  $\delta(E)$  be the projection of  $S_p(E)$  into L along  $H_0$ . Because  $S_p(E)$  is contained in a translate of  $H_0$ ,  $\delta(E)$  is a singleton. It is convenient to treat  $\delta$  as a function, rather than a correspondence, on G.

Let  $\operatorname{proj}_L(\cdot)$  be the continuous linear function which maps each x in S into its projection into L along  $H_0$ . To show that  $\delta$  is a countably additive function, choose any  $x \in S_p(UE_n)$  where  $\{E_n\}$  is some disjoint sequence of sets. Because  $S_p$  is countably additive, there exist  $x_n$  in  $S_p(E_n)$  such that the sum  $\Sigma x_n$  converges absolutely to x. Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} \overset{k}{\Sigma} \; \delta(E_n) & = & \overset{k}{\Sigma} \; \operatorname{proj}_L(x_n) \\ & = & \operatorname{proj}_L \; ( \; \; \overset{k}{\Sigma} \; x_n) \\ & \to \; \operatorname{proj}_L(x) \; = \; \delta(U \; E_n) \end{array} .$$

In particular, the sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta(E_n)$  is independent of the order of summation.

For each E ,  $S_p^{\textbf{!}}(E)$  is a translate of  $S_p(E)$  which is nonempty, closed and convex. Hence  $S_p^{\textbf{!}}$  is nonempty, closed, convex and P'-valued. Further,  $S_p^{\textbf{!}} = S_p^{\textbf{!}} \delta$  so  $S_p^{\textbf{!}}$  is countably additive. Thus  $S_p^{\textbf{!}}$  satisfies the conditions of the induction hypothesis. If z is any point in  $S_p(A) = Z(A) \cap H$ , then  $z = y + \delta(A)$  where  $y \in S_p^{\textbf{!}}(A)$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists a measure  $\zeta^{\textbf{!}}$  on Q such that  $\zeta^{\textbf{!}}(E) \in S_p^{\textbf{!}}(E)$  for all E and  $\zeta^{\textbf{!}}(A) = y$ . Then  $\zeta^{\textbf{!}} + \delta \in \mathcal{M}_Z$  and  $(\zeta^{\textbf{!}} + \delta)(A) = z$ .

This completes the proof of the fact that if the induction hypothesis holds then  $Z(A) = \operatorname{eval}_A(\mathcal{M}_Z)$ . If E is a proper subset of A , then the preceding remarks show that for each  $z \in Z(E)$  there exists a measure  $\zeta^i$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_E$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E , such that  $\zeta^i(F) \in Z(F)$  for every  $F \subset E$  and

 $\zeta^{"}(E) = z$ . Similarly, there exists a measure  $\zeta^{"}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{A \setminus E}$  satisfying  $\zeta^{"}(F) \in Z(F)$  for every  $F \subset A \setminus E$ . If  $\zeta^{"}$  and  $\zeta^{"}$  are extended to all of  $\mathcal{O}_{A}$  in an obvious way, then  $\zeta^{"} + \zeta^{"} \in \mathcal{M}_{Z}$  and  $(\zeta^{"} + \zeta^{"})(E) = z$ . Thus, under the induction hypothesis,  $Z(E) = \operatorname{eval}_{E}(\mathcal{M}_{Z})$ .

To complete the proof of THEOREM 1, it is only necessary to consider the case where N=1; that is, where Z(E) is a subset of the real line. Z(E) is nonempty, closed, convex and contains no lines so it is either a singleton, an interval or a half line. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma} = \{ \mathbf{H} \in \Omega : \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{H}) \text{ is a singleton} \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is closed under countable unions and hence there exists a set  $\mathbb{H}_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $\mu(\mathbb{H}_1) = \sup\{ \mu(\mathbb{H}) \colon \ \mathbb{H} \in \mathcal{H}_1 \}.$ 

If E is any subset of  $H_1$ , then Z(E) is a singleton. If E is any nonnull set disjoint from  $H_1$ , then Z(E) contains more than one point. It is clear that  $Z(E) = \operatorname{eval}_E(\ \mathcal{M}_{Z|H_1})$  holds for any  $E \subset H_1$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_{Z|H_1}$  is the set of S-valued measures  $\zeta$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{H_1}$  such that  $\zeta(E) \in Z(E)$  for  $E \in \mathcal{O}_{H_1}$ .

Define

 $\mathcal{H}_2$  = {H  $\in \mathcal{Q}$ : Z(H) is a bounded, nondegenerate interval},

and let  $s=\sup\{\mu(H)\colon H\in \mathcal{H}_2\}$ . For every n=1,2,... there exists  $G_n\in \mathcal{H}_2$  satisfying  $s-\mu(G_n)<1/n$ . Let

$$H_2 = UG_n \text{ and } F_n = G_n \setminus (UG_k).$$

It suffices to show for each n that if  $E \subset F_n$  then  $Z(E) = \operatorname{eval}_E (\mathcal{M}_{Z|F_n})$ . But since  $Z(F_n)$  is a bounded interval, there exist p and  $p^r$  in R such that  $S_p(F_n)$  and  $S_p(F_n)$  are singletons and  $Z(F_n) = \left\langle S_p(F_n), S_{p^r}(F_n) \right\rangle$ ,

the convex hull of  $\{S_p(F_n), S_p, (F_n)\}$ . By LEMMA 2,  $S_p$  and  $S_p$ , are countably additive on  $\mathcal{Q}_{F_n}$  and so can be considered elements of  $\mathcal{M}_{Z|F_n}$ . Further,  $\mathcal{M}_{Z|F_n} \text{ is convex.} \qquad \text{It is then clear that } Z(E) = \text{eval}_E (\mathcal{M}_{Z|F_n}) \text{ if } E \in \mathcal{Q}_{F_n}, \quad n=1,2,\dots$ 

Let  $H_3 = A \setminus (H_1 \cup H_2)^{12}$ . If  $H_3$  is null, the proof is finished. Otherwise, for every nonnull subset F of  $H_3$ , Z(F) is a half-line. If  $p \in -P$  and if z is any point in Z(F), then  $z \geq S_p(F)$  (where  $\geq$  is the order induced on R by P). Define

$$\zeta = S_{p|H_{3}} + \frac{(z - S_{p}(F))}{\mu(F)} \mu_{H_{3}}.$$

Then LEMMA 2 implies that  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{M}_{Z|H_{\overline{3}}}$  and  $\zeta(F) = z$ . Thus  $Z(F) = \operatorname{eval}_F(\mathfrak{M}_{Z|H_{\overline{3}}})$ . This completes the proof of THEOREM 1.

It will now be shown that THEOREM 1 yields a Radon-Nikodym theorem for countably additive correspondences. The following LEMMA is needed:

<u>LEMMA 6:</u> If  $\{p_n\}$  is any countable dense subset of S and if K is a nonempty closed convex set containing no lines, then  $K = \bigcap_n H_n$  where  $H_n = \{z \in S: p_n \cdot z \leq \sup_n p_n \cdot K\}$ .

<u>PROOF:</u> It is clear that K is contained in the intersection specified above. Conversely suppose there exists  $x \notin K$ . Then there exists a vector p such that sup  $p \cdot K . If <math>y$  is any element of K, then there exists  $z \in [x,y]$  such that sup  $p \cdot K . We can suppose without loss of generality that <math>z = 0$  by translating by -z.

If  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is empty, let  $\mathbb{H}_2$  be the empty subset of A . The  $\mu$ -continuity of Z implies that  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is not empty.

Let P be the smallest closed convex cone with vertex O containing K; that is, P is the projecting cone of K with respect to O . If A(K) is the asymptotic cone of K, then it can be shown (see [12] THEOREM 5.12) that

$$P = U \lambda K U A(K) .$$

It can also be shown (see [12] THEOREM 5.7) that if u is any vector in K , then

(2) 
$$A(K) = \{z \in S: u + \lambda \ z \in K, \text{ for every } \lambda \geq 0 \}.$$

This implies that  $A(K) + u \subset K$ .

We shall show that P contains no lines by assuming that P contains a line L and by then finding a contradiction. Because P is a closed convex cone with vertex O , it suffices to consider the case where O  $\in$  L. By (1), we first consider the case L  $\subset$  A(K). Then by (2), L + u  $\subset$  A(K) + u  $\subset$  K which contradicts the assumption that K contains no lines. Thus there exists  $y_O \in$  L satisfying  $y_O \notin$  A(K). This means that

$$L^{+} = \{y \in S: y = \lambda y_{0}, \text{ some } \lambda > 0 \}$$

is disjoint from A(K). Define

$$L^{-} = \{y \in S: y = \lambda y_{0}, \text{ some } \lambda \leq 0\}$$
.

Suppose L^ (K). Now L^+ ( U  $\lambda$  K so there exists  $v \in L^+ \cap K$  .

Then  $-v \in L^- \subset A(K)$  so there exist  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $x_n \in K$  such that  $\lambda_n \to 0$  and  $\lambda_n x_n \to -v$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose M so  $|\lambda_M x_M - (-v)| < \varepsilon$ . Define

<sup>13</sup> See the first part of the proof of LEMMA 5.

$$z = \frac{v}{1+\lambda_M} + \frac{\lambda_M}{1+\lambda_M} x_M$$

which is an element of K since K is convex. But

$$|z| \le |v + \lambda_M x_M| < \epsilon$$
.

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary and K is closed,  $0 \epsilon K$ . This is false and so we conclude that  $L^{\infty} \cap A(K) = \{0\}$ .

We assumed that L  $\subset$  P so L  $\subset$  U  $\lambda$  K . In particular,  $-v=\lambda_0 v_0$  for some  $\lambda_0>0$  and  $v_0\in K$  where v is the element of L  $^+$   $\cap$  K chosen in the preceding paragraph. But then

$$0 = v-v$$

$$= v + \lambda_0 v_0$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+\lambda_0} v + \frac{\lambda_0}{1+\lambda_0} v_0 \in K.$$

But  $0 \notin K$  so L  $\mathcal{Q}$  P. Thus P contains no lines.

Let  $P^{\circ} = \{z \in S \colon z \cdot y \leq 0 \text{ for every } y \in P\}$  be the polar of P, let  $S' = P^{\circ} - P^{\circ}$  be the subspace generated by  $P^{\circ}$  and let  $(S')^{\bullet}$  be the orthogonal complement of S'. If  $x \in (S')^{\bullet}$  then  $x \in P^{\circ \circ} = P \cdot s \circ (S')^{\bullet} \subset P$ . Because P contains no lines,  $(S')^{\bullet}$  has dimension O and S' = S. Thus  $P^{\circ}$  has a non-empty interior.

Because  $\sup p \cdot K < 0$ ,  $K \subset \{z \in S \colon p \cdot z \leq 0\}$  which is a closed convex cone with vertex 0. Hence  $P \subset \{z \in S \colon p \cdot z \leq 0\}$  so  $p \in P^O$ , where p was chosen in the first paragraph of this proof. Because  $P^O$  is convex and has a nonempty interior, any neighborhood of p contains a set which is a subset of  $P^O$  and which is an open set in S. But then this neighborhood contains an element of the sequence  $\{p_n^i\}$  which is dense in S. Thus there

exists a subsequence  $\{p_n^i\}$  of  $\{p_n^i\}$  such that  $p_n^i \in P^0$  and  $p_n^i \to p$ . Because  $p_n^i \in P^0$ , sup  $p_n^i \cdot K \leq 0$  for every n. Because  $p_n^i \to p$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $p_n^i \cdot x > 0$ . Thus  $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty H_n^n$ . This ends the proof of LEMMA 6.

Let  ${\cal Q}$  be a  ${\sigma}$ -field of subsets of a set A and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  ${\cal Q}$ .  ${\cal Q}_{\mu}$  will denote the  $\mu$ -completion of  ${\cal Q}$ ; that is, E  $\in {\cal Q}_{\mu}$  if and only if there exist F and G in  ${\cal Q}$  such that  $\mu(G)=0$  and E = F U H for some subset H of G . A correspondence  ${\phi}$  from A to S is measureable if the graph of  ${\phi}$ ,

$$G_{\phi} = \{(a,x) \in A \times S: x \in \phi(a)\}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  , the product  $\sigma$ -field on AxS generated by  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  , the Borel subsets of S .  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}$  is defined to be the collection of  $\mu$ -integrable functions f from A to S such that for almost every a  $\in$  A , f(a)  $\in$   $\phi$ (a) . We then define the integral of a measureable correspondence  $\phi$  on A by  $\int \phi \ d\mu \ = \ \{ \int f \ d\mu : \text{ some } f \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_{\phi} \} \ .$ 

If Z is  $\mu$ -continuous, define  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  to be the collection of  $\mu$ -integrable functions f from A to S such that there exists  $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_Z$  satisfying  $f(a) = \frac{d\zeta}{d\mu} \ (a) \ \text{for almost every } a \in A \ .$ 

THEOREM 2. If Z is a nonempty, closed, convex, bounded below, countably additive,  $\mu$ -continuous correspondence on Q, then there exists a measureable, closed-and convex-valued correspondence  $\phi$  on A such that for every coalition E,

$$Z(E) = \int_{E} \varphi d\mu$$
.

PROOF: Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a countable dense subset of S . For each n , define

$$\sigma_{n}(E) = \sup_{n} p_{n} \cdot Z(E).$$

$$\varphi_n(a) = \{ x \in S: p_n \cdot x \leq s_n(a) \}, a \in A,$$

and  $\phi$  is defined by:

$$\varphi(a) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(a), \quad a \in A.$$

Since  $G_{\phi} = \bigcap_{n} G_{\phi_{n}}$ , it suffices to show  $G_{\phi_{n}} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{B}$  for each n.

To simplify notation, the subscript  $\,n\,$  will be dropped from  $\,s_n\,\cdot\,\,\phi_n\,$  will be replaced by  $\,\psi$  , so that

$$\psi(a) = \{x \in S: p \cdot x \le s(a)\} \quad a \in A$$
.

For any two elements c and d of RU  $\{+\infty\}$ , let

$$[s \le c] = \{a \in A: s(a) \le c\}$$

$$[s \ge c] = \{a \in A: s(a) \ge c\}$$

$$[s = c] = [s \le c] \cap [s \ge c]$$

$$[s < c] = [s \le c] \setminus [s \ge c]$$

$$[c < s \le d] = [s \le d] \setminus [s \le c]$$

$$[c < s < d] = [s < d] \setminus [s \le c]$$

<sup>14</sup>This because of the usual Radon-Nikodym Theorem. See Proposition IV. 1.4, page 111 in Neveu [9].

For each  $m=1,2,\ldots$  define a simple measureable function from A to R U  $\{+\infty\}$  by

by 
$$m2^{m}$$
 $f_{m} = -m \times + \sum_{k=-m2+1}^{m} \frac{k}{2^{m}} \times \frac{k-1}{2^{m}} < s \le \frac{k}{2^{m}}$ 

+ m 
$$\chi$$
[m < s < + $\infty$ ] +  $\infty$   $\chi$ [s = $\infty$ ] ,

where  $\chi_B$  is the characteristic function of the set B. Then  $f_m(a) \to s(a)$  for every  $a \in A$  and for every  $a \in A$  there exists k such that  $m \geq k$  implies  $f_m(a) \geq s(a)$ .

Define

$$G_{m} = \{(a,x) \in AxS: p \cdot x \leq f_{m}(a)\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_{m}} A_{i}xp^{-1}((-\infty, c_{i}))$$

where the  $c_i$  are scalars in R U {+  $\infty$ } and the  $A_i$  are disjoint measureable sets such that  $f_m = \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} c_i X_{A_i}$ . It is clear that  $G_m \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{R}$  since it is a union of measureable rectangles. Further,

$$G_{\psi} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\substack{m \geq k}} G_{m}$$

so  $\mathbf{G}_{\psi} \in Q_{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{B}$  , as was to be shown.

To complete the proof of the THEOREM, it will be shown that  $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = \mathcal{L}_{Z}$  and hence  $Z(E) = \operatorname{eval}_{E}(\mathcal{M}_{Z}) = \int\limits_{E} \phi \, \mathrm{d}\mu$  for every E in  $\mathcal{A}$ , by THEOREM 1. The relation  $\mathcal{L}_{Z} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\phi}$  is clear. Conversely, suppose  $f \not\in \mathcal{L}_{Z}$ . Then for some nonnull E in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\int\limits_{E} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \not\in Z(E)$ . Because Z(E) is a closed convex nonempty set containing no lines, LEMMA 6 implies there exists n such that  $p_{n} \cdot \int\limits_{E} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu > \sigma_{n}(E)$ . But then  $f(a) \not\in \phi_{n}(a) \supset \phi(a)$  for every a in some nonnull subset of E. Thus  $f \not\in \mathcal{L}_{Z}$  implies  $f \not\in \mathcal{L}_{\phi}$ .

We now assume that A is a compact topological space and that  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel subsets of A . A correspondence  $\phi:A\longrightarrow S$  is  $\frac{\text{upper-semi-continuous}}{\text{upper-semi-continuous}}$  (usc) if, for every open subset G of S , the set

$$\phi^{+}(G) = \{a \in A: \phi(a) \subset G\}$$

is open;  $\phi$  is lower-semi-continuous (lsc) if G open in S implies

$$\varphi^{-}(g) = \{a \in A: \varphi(a) \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$$

is open.  $\phi$  is continuous if it is use and lsc.

THEOREM 3: Suppose that  $\phi:A \longrightarrow S$  is a convex- and compact-valued, continuous, nonempty correspondence. Then for every Borel subset E of the compact set A:

$$\int\limits_{E}^{c}\phi_{0}\,d\mu \quad = \quad \int\limits_{E}^{c}\phi_{0}\,d\mu \ .$$

 $\underline{\text{PROOF:}}$  The proof uses induction on the dimension of S .

Since  $\phi$  is compact valued and is a continuous correspondence, it is a continuous function from A to  ${\cal K}$ , the nonempty, compact subsets of S with the Hausdorff metric topology. Hence the image of A under  $\phi$  is compact in  ${\cal K}$  and hence bounded in S . This implies that  $\phi$  is integrably bounded which implies that  $\int_E \phi \; d\mu$  is compact in S for every Borel E ([1], THEOREM 4).

This topology on K is defined in [3] pp. 132-133. The continuity of  $\phi$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric on K is implied by THEOREM 1, page 133 in [3].

If z is in the compact, convex set  $\int_E \phi \, d\mu$ , then z is a convex combination of a finite number of points  $z_i$  in  $\operatorname{Ext} \int_E \phi \, d\mu$ , the set of points in  $\int_E \phi \, d\mu$  through which some supporting hyperplane passes. Suppose that for each i there exists a continuous function  $f_i$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\phi$  with  $z_i = \int_E f_i \, d\mu$ . Since  $z = \sum \lambda_i z_i$ , where  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0$ , then  $f = \sum \lambda_i f_i \in \mathcal{L}_\phi$  and f is continuous. But then  $z = \int_E f \, d\mu \in \int_E^c \phi \, d\mu$ . Thus it suffices to show that if  $z \in \operatorname{Ext} \int_E \phi \, d\mu$ , then  $z \in \int_E^c \phi \, d\mu$ . There exists a nonzero p in S such that  $p \cdot z \geq p \cdot y$ ,  $y \in \int_E \phi \, d\mu$ .

$$s(a) = \sup p \cdot \varphi(a)$$
  
 $\psi(a) = \{x \in \varphi(a): p \cdot x = s(a)\}$   
 $= \varphi(a) \cap \Delta(a)$ 

where

Define

$$\triangle(a) = \{y \in S: p \cdot y \ge s(a)\}$$
.

By a well-known result ([3], p. 122), s(·) is a continuous function and  $\psi$  is usc. It is easy to show that  $\psi$  is also lsc: Suppose  $a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}\in \Delta^{^{\!\!\!\!-}}(\mathbb{G}) \ = \ \{a\in A\colon \ \mathbb{G}\cap \Delta(a)\neq\emptyset\} \ \text{ for a given open subset } \mathbb{G} \text{ of } \mathbb{S} \text{ . Then there exists } y_{_{\scriptstyle O}}\in \mathbb{G} \text{ with } p\cdot y_{_{\scriptstyle O}}\ \geq s(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}). \text{ Since } \mathbb{G} \text{ is open and } p\neq 0$ , there exists  $y_{_{\scriptstyle 1}}\in \mathbb{G} \text{ with } p\cdot y_{_{\scriptstyle 1}}>s(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}). \text{ Since } s(\cdot) \text{ is continuous, there is a neighborhood } \mathbb{U}(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}) \text{ such that } a\in \mathbb{U}(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}) \text{ implies } s(a)< p\cdot y_{_{\scriptstyle 1}}. \text{ Thus } y_{_{\scriptstyle 1}}\in \Delta(a)\cap \mathbb{G} \text{ for a in } \mathbb{U}(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}}), \text{ so } \mathbb{U}(a_{_{\scriptstyle O}})\subset \Delta^{^{\!\!\!\!\!-}}(\mathbb{G}); \text{ that is, } \Delta^{^{\!\!\!\!-}}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ is open in } A\cdot \text{ Thus } \Delta \text{ is lsc and hence so is } \psi=\phi\cap\Delta([5], \text{ THEOREM 3, p. 120}).$  Now  $z\in [\int_E\phi\,\mathrm{d}\mu]\cap [p^{-1}(\sup p\cdot \int_E\phi\,\mathrm{d}\mu)]. \text{ But it is easily seen that this intersection equals } \int_E\psi\,\mathrm{d}\mu. ^{16} \text{ Thus it suffices to show}$ 

This demonstration requires the use of the Measureable Choice Theorem. See [2], page 4.

This relation will be shown to hold by the induction hypothesis.

Let L be the line generated by a vector in the algebraic complement of  $H=p^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $\operatorname{proj}_H$  be the mapping projecting S into H along L and let  $\operatorname{proj}_T$  be the mapping projecting S into L along H. Define

$$t(a) = proj_L \psi(a)$$
  $a \in A$ ,  
 $\theta(a) = proj_H \psi(a)$   $a \in A$ .

Since  $\psi$  is a compact-, convex-valued continuous correspondence, so is  $\theta$  , by the continuity and linearity of proj<sub>H</sub>. By the induction hypothesis,

$$\int_{E} \theta \ d\mu = \int_{E}^{c} \theta \ d\mu .$$

But

$$\int_{E} \psi \ d\mu = \int_{E} [\theta + t] \ d\mu$$

$$= \int_{E} \theta \ d\mu + \int_{E} t \ d\mu$$

$$= \int_{E} \theta \ d\mu + \int_{E} t \ d\mu$$

$$= \int_{E} \psi \ d\mu$$

because t is a continuous function on A.

To complete the proof of the theorem, it is necessary to consider the case where S=R. Define two functions on A:

$$u(a) = max \{x \in \phi(a)\}$$

$$b(a) = min \{x \in \phi(a)\}$$
.

Then  $u(a) = \sup p \cdot \varphi(a)$  where p = 1 and  $b(a) = -\sup p \cdot \varphi(a)$  where p = -1. Thus  $u(\cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot)$  are continuous. They are both integrable since A is compact. Further, if

$$z \in \int \phi d\mu$$

then 
$$z = \lambda \int b \, d\mu + (1-\lambda) \int u \, d\mu$$
 for some  $\lambda$  in [0,1]. Then 
$$z = \int [\lambda b + (1-\lambda) \, u] \, d\mu \in \int^c \phi \, d\mu$$
.

This completes the proof of THEOREM 3.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. R. J. Aumann, "Integrals of Set-valued Functions," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12 (1965) 1-12.
- 2. , "Measureable Utility and the Measureable Choice Theorem,"
  Research Memornadum No. 30, Research Program of Game Theory and
  Mathematical Economics, Department of Mathematics, The Hebrew
  University of Jerusalem (August, 1967).
- 3. C. Berge, Espaces topologiques et fonctions multivoques, Dunod, Paris (1958).
- 4. R. R. Cornwall, "Convexity and Continuity Properties of Preference Functions," Research Memornadum No. 105, Econometric Research Program, Princeton University (1968).
- 7. The Use of Prices to Characterize the Core of an Economy,"
  Research Memorandum No. 106, Econometric Research Program, Princeton
  University (1968).
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_\_, "The Approximation of Perfect Competition by a Large, but Finite, Number of Traders," Research Memorandum No. 107, Econometric Research Program, Princeton University (1968).
- 7. G. Debreu, "Integration of Correspondences," Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability,
  University of California Press, Berkeley, (1965-66) Volume II, Part 1, 351-372.
- 8. W. Hildenbrand, "The Core of an Economy with a Measure Space of Economic Agents," Center for Research in Management Science, University of California, Berkeley (1967).
- 9. J. Neveu, <u>Mathematical Foundations of the Calculus of Probability</u>, Holden Day, San Francisco (1965).
- 10. M. A. Rieffel, "The Radon-Nikodym Theorem for the Bochner Integral," Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley (1967).
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, "Dentable Subsets of Banach Spaces, with Applications to a Radon-Nikodym Theorem," Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley (1967).
- 12. R. T. Rockafellar, <u>Convex Analysis</u>, <u>Lecture Notes of the Department of Mathematics</u>, <u>Princeton University</u> (Spring, 1966).
- 13. K. Vind, "Edgeworth-Allocations in an Exchange Economy with Many Traders,"

  <u>International Economic Review</u> (1964) 165-177.

### Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D								
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and index: 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	ing annotation must be en							
The Original Find Activity (Corporate author)		28 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified						
Princeton University		2b. GROUP						
3. REPORT TITLE		!						
INTEGRATING MEASUREABLE AND CONTINUO	US CORRESPONDEN	CES						
		OELO						
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)								
Research Memorandum No.	103							
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)		<del></del>	•					
Richard Cornwall			·					
6. REPORT DATE	T=====							
September 1968	78. TOTAL NO. OF PA	AGES	1 1 1 1 1 1					
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		PORT NUM						
(NOOO14-67 A-0151-0007	76. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 23 13  96. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)  Research Memorandum No. 103							
b. PROJECT NO. Task No. 047-086	Research Memorandum No. 103							
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)							
d.	and reports							
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES								
	•							
Distribution of thi	is do <mark>cument i</mark> s ι	ınlimite	ed.					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILIT							
			natical Branch					
	Office of Na		_					
13. ABSTRACT	Washington,	D.C. 2	20360					

On a measure space (A,  $\mathcal{A}$  , $\mu$ ), a correspondence  $\phi$  on A is a function which assigns to each a in A a nonempty subset  $\phi(a)$  of  $R^n$ . Aumann has defined an integral of correspondences and has shown that if  $\phi$  has certain properties then  $\Phi(E) = \int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d} \, \mu$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  defines a countably additive correspondence on  $\mathcal{A}$ . This paper offers a proof of the converse result; namely, if a correspondence  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies certain properties, then a correspondence  $\phi$  on A exists such that  $\int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d} \, \mu = \Phi(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . This paper also provides conditions on  $\phi$  such that every point in the set  $\int_E \phi \, \mathrm{d} \, \mu$  is in fact the integral of a continuous function f such that  $f(a) \in \phi(a)$  a.e.

14.	Security Classification  KEY WORDS	L	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	
			1					
	Integration of correspondences							
	Continuous correspondences			ļ		ł		
	Convexity							
	Sets of measures							
				ļ				
		ļ						

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
- 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.