"SIMPLE" STABILITY OF GENERAL n-PERSON GAMES

Ezio Marchi

Econometric Research Program Research Memorandum No. 84 February 1967

The research described in this paper was supported by ONR Contract No. Nonr. 1858(16).

Princeton University
Econometric Research Program
92-A Nassau Street
Princeton, New Jersey

ABSTRACT

Three different notes are presented here which are related to certain new and simple concepts of non-cooperative n-person games. These are natural generalizations of the notions of maximin and minimax strategies and the saddle points of two-person games. The concept of the equilibrium point appears as a special case of one of these.

The first note expresses some intuitive considerations for games on Euclidean spaces. Their characterizations are essentially given by Kakutani's fixed point theorem. As a particular case, we examine such points for the mixed extensions of finite n-person games.

The second and third notes are concerned with two different mathematical extensions of the results obtained in the first note. They are based respectively on Fan's and Nikaido-Isoda's ideas of proving the existence of equilibrium points for games on real linear topological spaces. In particular, the concepts introduced in the first note are examined for mixed extensions of continuous games. These last two notes involve the use of more advanced mathematical techniques than does the first.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grate ful to Professor Oskar Morgenstern for making it possible for me to work with the Econometric Research Program at Princeton University. I am also indebted to Professor Morgenstern and Professor Ewald Burger for their aid, advice and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Princeton University for its hospitality and to the University of Cuyo, Argentina, which granted me leave of absence to do this work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Note 1:	"Simple" Stability of General n-Person Games	. 1
Note 2:	Simple Stable Points in Topological Linear Spaces	74
Note 3:	Another Note on Simple Stable Points in Topological Linear Spaces	29

"SIMPLE" STABILITY OF GENERAL n-PERSON GAMES

Ezio Marchi

I. Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be an n-person game in normal form and $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ the set of players where for player ieN , Σ_i is the strategy set, assumed to be non-empty, compact and convex in a Euclidean space, and A_i a real function on $\Sigma_N = X \Sigma_i$ is the payoff. A function $\underline{e} \colon N \to P_N$, where P_N denotes the set of all subsets of N, is said to be a simple structure function of the game Γ if for all ieN the set $\underline{e}(i)$ is included in the set $N - \{i\}$. We define $\Gamma_{\underline{e}} = (\Gamma,\underline{e})$ as the game Γ with simple structure function \underline{e} , and to simplify, $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ is said to be a game. For the player ieN belonging to the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$, the sets $\underline{e}(i)$ and $\underline{f}(i) = N - (\underline{e}(i) \cup \{i\})$ are the antagonistic and the indifferent coalitions respectively. The strategy $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ can be represented for ieN as $\sigma = (\sigma_i, \sigma_{\underline{e}(i)}, \sigma_{\underline{f}(i)})$ where $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_i$, and $\sigma_R \in \Sigma_R = X \Sigma_i$ where R is $\underline{e}(i)$ or $\underline{f}(i)$.

Given the strategy $\sigma \varepsilon \Sigma_{_{{\footnotesize \! N}}}$; for ieN let

$$\Gamma(\sigma_{f(i)}) = \{\Sigma_{i}, \Sigma_{e(i)}; A_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})\}$$

be a zero-sum two person game whose maximin value is

$$v_{i}(\sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i} \\ s \in (i)}} \min_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} A_{i}(s_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}).$$

If $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ is a game with a simple structure function \underline{e} , then a strategy $\overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ of the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ is called an \underline{e} -maximin simple stable point, concisely $\underline{e}_{\underline{m}}$ -simple stable if:

$$\min_{\substack{s \\ e(i)}} A_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = v_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A strategy $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{N}$ is \underline{e}_{m} -simple stable of the game \underline{e} if and only if it is an equilibrium point of the game $\Gamma^{*} = \{\Sigma_{1}, \dots, \Sigma_{n}; F_{1}, \dots, F_{n}\}$ where the payoff F_{i} is defined by:

Intuitively speaking, the coalition e(i) of the player ieN in the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ is the set of players that can enter into an alliance non cooperatively. Thus the behavior of the members of the coalition can be viewed as directed towards hurting player ieN in an non-cooperative manner. The coalition f(i) are the indifferent players. An $\underline{e}_{\underline{m}}$ -simple stable point is a rule of behavior which on the one hand assures at least the amount $v_i(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$ to each player independently on the behavior of the antagonistic coalition and on the other hand such that the value $v_i(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$ is the maximum safety value which the mentioned player is able to get, if in each instance all the players of his indifferent coalition abide by it. The outcome for the palyer ieN with respect to the strategy $\bar{\sigma}_{e}\Sigma_{N}$, \underline{e}_{m} -simple point in the game Γ_{e} is:

$$A_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, \bar{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \geq v_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$$
.

There are two interesting particular cases of \underline{e}_m -simple stable points, which characterize extreme structures of games: (i) if each indifferent coalition is empty; and (ii) if each antagonistic coalition is void. In the last case such a point is an equilibrium point.

THEOREM: If for each $i\in \mathbb{N}$ the game Γ satisfies the following conditions: Σ is compact and convex set in an Euclidean space; A is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and

$$F_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{s \in (i) \\ e(i)}} A_{i}(\sigma_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

is concave with respect to $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$ for fixed $\sigma_f(i) \in \Sigma_f(i)$; then Γ_e has at least one e_m -simple stable point.

 $\underline{PROOF}\colon$ Given $\sigma\varepsilon\Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ and ieN , we define the set

$$R_{\underline{i}}(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}} : F_{\underline{i}}(\tau_{\underline{i}}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})}) = \max_{s_{\underline{i}} \in \Sigma_{\underline{i}}} F_{\underline{i}}(s_{\underline{i}}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})})\} .$$

The function F_i on the compact set Σ_i for fixed $\sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}$, is continuous, since the function A_i is continuous on Σ_N and therefore $R_i(\sigma)$ is non-empty. If τ^1 , $\tau^2 \in R_i(\sigma)$, let $\lambda \tau^1 + (1-\lambda) \tau^2$ be in Σ_N where $\lambda \in [0,1]$. By concavity of the function F_i for fixed $\sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}$ we obtain

$$F_{i}(\lambda \tau_{i}^{l} + (1-\lambda)\tau_{i}^{2}, \sigma_{f(i)}) \geq \max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}),$$

consequently the set $R_i(\sigma)\subseteq \Sigma_N$ is convex. Let $\psi:\Sigma_N\to \Sigma_N$ be a multivalued function defined by $\psi(\sigma)=\bigcap_{i\in N}R_i(\sigma)$ and let $\sigma(k)\to \sigma$, $\tau(k)\to \tau$ be two convergent sequences in Σ_N , which are such that for each positive integer $k:\tau(k)\in \psi(\sigma(k))$. By definition we have for all positive integers k and $i\in N:\tau(k)\in R_i(\sigma(k))$, i.e.,

$$F_{i}(\tau_{i}(k), \sigma_{f(i)}(k)) = \max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}(k))$$

and by continuity of the function A; :

$$F_{i}(\tau_{i}(k), \sigma_{f(i)}(k)) \rightarrow F_{i}(\tau_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

and

$$\max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}(k)) \xrightarrow{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}) .$$

Then $\tau \in R_1^-(\sigma)$ for all $i \in N$; so we have obtained $\tau \in \psi(\sigma)$. Furthermore, the function ψ is upper semi-continuous. We can now apply the fixed-point theorem of Kakutani, since the assumption of this theorem is satisfied for the function ψ , and since the set Σ_N^- is non-empty, compact and convex in a Euclidean space. It follows that there exists a fixed point $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_N^-$: $\bar{\sigma} \in \psi(\bar{\sigma})$, which is an \underline{e}_m^- -simple stable point of the game Γ_e^- . Q.E.D.

If $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ is a game with the simple structure function \underline{e} , then a strategy $\overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ is called an \underline{e} -minimax simple point or concisely an $\underline{e}^{\underline{m}}$ -simple stable point of the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ if:

$$\max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} A_{i}(s_{i}, \overline{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \overline{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(\overline{\sigma}_{f(i)}). \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{i}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{i})}) = \min_{\substack{s \\ e(\mathbf{i}) \\ }} \max_{\substack{s \in \Sigma \\ e(\mathbf{i}) \\ }} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{i}}(s_{\mathbf{i}}, s_{e(\mathbf{i})}, \sigma_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{i})}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N};$$

is the minimax value of the game $\Gamma(\sigma_{\text{f(i)}})$.

Intuitively speaking, an $\underline{\underline{e}}^m$ -simple stable point is a rule of behavior which on the one hand assures to each antagonistic coalition that its corresponding player

cannot safely obtain more than $v^i(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$, independent of his own behavior and on the other hand such that the value is the maximum value that the antagonistic coalition will be able to safely prevent against its corresponding player's behavior if in each instance all the players of his indifferent coalition abide by it. The outcome for the player ieN with respect to the strategy $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_N$, \underline{e}^m -simple stable point in the game Γ_e , is:

$$A_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, \bar{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \leq v^{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$$
.

If every antagonist coalition is empty in the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$, then each strategy $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ is an \underline{e}^m -simple stable point. Another extreme case appears when every indifferent coalition is empty.

THEOREM: If for each ieN the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ satisfies the following conditions: $\Sigma_{\underline{i}}$ is compact and convex set in an Euclidean space; $A_{\underline{i}}$ is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$;

$$G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} A_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

is convex with respect to $\sigma_{e(i)}^{\in \Sigma}_{e(i)}$ for fixed $\sigma_{f(i)}^{\in \Sigma}_{f(i)}$. Then if for $\sigma_{\in \Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}}$ there is a $\tau_{\in \Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}}$ such that:

$$G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(\sigma_{f(i)})$$
 for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

 Γ_{e} has at least one e^{m} -simple stable point.

 $\underline{PROOF:}$ Given $\sigma \varepsilon \Sigma_{\overline{N}}$ and $i \varepsilon N$, we define the following non-empty set

$$S_{i}(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{N}} : G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)} \\ e(i)}} G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) \}.$$

If τ^1 , $\tau^2 \in S_1(\sigma)$, let $\lambda \tau^1 + (1-\lambda) \tau^2$ be in Σ_N where $\lambda \in [0,1]$. By the convexity of the function G_i for fixed $\sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}$, we obtain

$$\mathsf{G}_{\mathtt{i}}(\lambda \tau^{\mathtt{l}}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})} + (\mathtt{l}-\lambda) \tau^{\mathtt{2}}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}, \, \sigma_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \leq \min_{\substack{\mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})} \in \Sigma_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}}} \mathsf{G}_{\mathtt{i}}(\mathtt{s}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}, \sigma_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) ,$$

and consequently for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ the set $S_i(\sigma) \subseteq \Sigma_N$ is convex. Let ψ : $\Sigma_N \to \Sigma_N$ be a multivalued function defined by: $\psi(\sigma) = \bigcap_{i \in N} S_i(\sigma)$, with regard to last condition for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ the set $\psi(\sigma)$ is non-empty. Let $\sigma(k) \to \sigma$ and $\tau(k) \to \tau$ be two convergent sequences in Σ_N , such that for each positive integer k: $\tau(k) \in \psi(\sigma(k))$. By definitions, we have that for all integers k and $i \in N$: $\tau(k) \in S_i(\sigma(k))$, i.e., :

$$G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}(k), \sigma_{f(i)}(k)) = \min_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)} \\ }} G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}(k)).$$

By continuity of the function A; :

$$G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}(k), \sigma_{f(i)}(k)) \rightarrow G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

and

$$\min_{\substack{s \in (\underline{i})^{\epsilon \Sigma} \in (\underline{i})}} G_{\underline{i}}(s_{e(\underline{i})}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})}(k)) \rightarrow \min_{\substack{s \in (\underline{i})^{\epsilon \Sigma} \in (\underline{i})}} G_{\underline{i}}(s_{e(\underline{i})}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})}).$$

Hence $\tau \in S_1(\sigma)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have obtained $\tau \in \psi(\sigma)$ which proves the uppersemicontinuity of the function ψ . Therefore, by application of Kakutani's theorem the existence of a fixed point $\overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{N}}$: $\overline{\sigma} \in \psi(\overline{\sigma})$, which is an \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game Γ_e is guaranteed. Q.E.D.

The last condition in the above theorem can be interpreted in the following way: For any established behavior there is another one which is such that if all

the players of the indifferent coalition of any player abide by the first one, the second one is minimax for his antagonistic coalition in the resulting game.

THEOREM: If for each ieN the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ satisfies the following conditions: $\Sigma_{\underline{i}}$ is compact and convex in an Euclidean space; $A_{\underline{i}}$ is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$; $F_{\underline{i}}(\sigma_{\underline{i}}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})})$ is concave with respect to $\sigma_{\underline{i}} \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ for fixed $\sigma_{f(\underline{i})} \in \Sigma_{f(\underline{i})}$; and $G_{\underline{i}}(\sigma_{e(\underline{i})}, \sigma_{f(\underline{i})})$ is convex with respect to $\sigma_{e(\underline{i})} \in \Sigma_{e(\underline{i})}$ for fixed $\sigma_{f(\underline{i})} \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$. Then if for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ there is a $\tau \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ such that for all ieN:

$$F_{i}(\tau_{i},\sigma_{f(i)}) = v_{i}(\sigma_{f(i)})$$
 and $G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(\sigma_{f(i)})$,

then the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ has at least one \underline{e}^{m} -simple and \underline{e}_{m} -simple stable point.

PROOF: Let ψ : $\Sigma_N \to \Sigma_N$ be a multivalued function defined by $\psi(\sigma) = \bigcap_{i \in N} [S_i(\sigma) R_i(\sigma)]$ where $S_i(\sigma)$ and $R_i(\sigma)$ have been defined previously. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$, the set $\psi(\sigma)$ is non-empty and convex. By the continuity of the function A_i , the function ψ is upper-semicontinuous, then applying Kakutani's theorem, the existence of a fixed point $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_N$: $\bar{\sigma} \in \psi(\bar{\sigma})$ is guaranteed. Such a strategy is \underline{e}^m -simple and \underline{e}_m -simple stable point. Q.E.D.

For any established behavior among the players, there is another one which is such that, if all the players of the indifferent coalition of any player abide by the first one, the second one is minimax for his antagonistic coalition and maximin for himself in the resulting game. Such is a possible interpretation to the last condition in the above theorem. The outcome of player ien with respect to the strategy $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\bar{N}} = \bar{\sigma}^{\bar{m}}$ -simple and $\bar{e}_{\bar{m}}$ -simple stable in the game $\Gamma_{\bar{e}}$ is

 $A_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, \bar{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$ which satisfies:

$$v_i(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \leq A_i(\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \leq v_i(\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$$
.

Such a point we call <u>e</u>-simple stable. An <u>e</u>-simple point is a rule of behavior which is maximin for each player and minimax for his antagonistic coalition in the resulting game, if in each instance all the players of his indifferent coalition abide by it.

An interesting particular case of the <u>e</u>-simple stable point appears when the above relations hold as equalities. An immediate result is given in the following:

THEOREM: If for each ieN the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ satisfies the following conditions: $\Sigma_{\underline{i}}$ is compact and convex in an Euclidean space, $A_{\underline{i}}$ is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$, concave with respect $\sigma_{\underline{i}} \in \Sigma_{\underline{i}}$ for fixed $(\sigma_{\underline{e}(\underline{i})}, \sigma_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}) \in \Sigma_{\underline{e}(\underline{i})} \times \Sigma_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}$ and convex with respect $\sigma_{\underline{e}(\underline{i})} \in \Sigma_{\underline{e}(\underline{i})}$ for fixed $(\sigma_{\underline{i}}, \sigma_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}) \in \Sigma_{\underline{i}} \times \Sigma_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}$. Then if for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ there is a $\tau \in \Sigma_{\underline{N}}$ such that

$$v_{\mathtt{i}}(\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \ = \ \mathtt{A}_{\mathtt{i}}(\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathtt{i}}, \, \overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}, \overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \ = \ v_{\mathtt{i}}(\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \qquad \text{for all } \mathtt{i} \in \mathbb{N} \ .$$

PROOF: The first conditions assure for each ieN the concavity of the function $F_{i}(\sigma_{i},\sigma_{f(i)}) \quad \text{in} \quad \sigma_{i} \in \Sigma_{i} \quad \text{for fixed} \quad \sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)} \quad \text{and the convexity of the function}$ $G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}) \quad \text{in} \quad \sigma_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)} \quad \text{for fixed} \quad \sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)} \quad \text{simple}$ Therefore, by the above theorem the existence of an e-stable point $\sigma_{e} \in \Sigma_{N}$ is guaranteed, and the theorem is proved since for each ieN and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{N}$ we have

 $v_{i}(\sigma_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(\sigma_{f(i)})$. Q.E.D.

Such a point we call an n-person e-simple saddle point or rather a e-simple saddle point of the game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$. An e-simple saddle point is a rule of behavior which for each player ieN is saddle point in the resulting game, if all the players of the indifferent coalition abide by it. In other words, it is optimal for each player and each antagonistic coalition, given the actions of the indifferent coalitions. As a simple illustration, let $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ be two person game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ with its structure function defined by: $e(1) = \{2\}$, $e(2) = \{1\}$. For this game the existence of an e-simple saddle point is equivalent to the following condition: $U_1 \cap V_2$ and $U_2 \cap V_1$ are non-empty, where U_1 is the set of maximin strategies for player i and V_j is the set of minimax strategies for player $j \neq i$ in the zero-sum two-person game $\Gamma_{\underline{i}} = \{\widetilde{\Sigma}_i, \, \widetilde{\Sigma}_{j \neq i} \, ; \, A_i\}$ where i, j = 1, 2.

II. In this section, we examine some applications that deal with finite games. We need the following:

<u>LEMMA:</u> The mixed extension $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\underline{e}} = \{\tilde{\Sigma}_{1}, \dots, \tilde{\Sigma}_{n}; E_{1}, \dots, E_{n}\}$ of a finite n-person game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}} = \{\Sigma_{1}, \dots, \Sigma_{n}; A_{1}, \dots, A_{n}\}$ with simple structure function \underline{e} such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $(x_{i}, x_{f(i)}) \in X_{i} \times X_{f(i)}$ the function $E_{i}(X_{i}, X_{e(i)}, X_{f(i)})$ is linear in $X_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$; for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies the following: E_{i} is continuous in the variable $X \in X_{\mathbb{N}} = X_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$

$$v_{i}(x_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(x_{f(i)})$$
 for each $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)} = X \widetilde{\Sigma}_{j \in f(i)}$,

where $v_i(x_{f(i)})$ and $v^i(x_{f(i)})$ are the respectives maximin and minimax values of the zero-sum two-person game

$$\Gamma(x_{f(i)}) = \{X_{i}, X_{e(i)}; E_{i}(x_{i}, x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})\}$$
.

<u>PROOF:</u> For ieN, the function E_i is continuous with respect to $x \in X_N$ since it is a multilinear function. The function E_i is continuous with respect to the variable $(x_i, x_{e(i)})$ in $X_i \times X_{e(i)}$ which is compact and convex. The function

$$\min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} \mathbb{E}_{i}(x_{i}, \omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})$$

is concave in $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$ and the function

- max
$$E_{i}(\omega_{i}, x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})$$
 is also concave in $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$ for fixed $\omega_{i} \in X_{i}$

 $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$. Then the game $\tilde{r}(x_{f(i)})$ has an equilibrium point and therefore: $x_{i}(x_{f(i)}) = x_{i}(x_{f(i)})$. Q.E.D.

We note that the strong condition of linearity on the expectation function is necessary in the above formulation, since otherwise the equality of the maximin $v_i(x_{f(i)})$ and minimax $v^i(x_{f(i)})$ values is not guaranteed.

This fact is illustrated in the following example:

Given the finite zero-sum two-person game

$$\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 ; A\}$$

where the strategies sets are

$$\Sigma_{1} = \Sigma \times \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_{2} = \Sigma$$

with $\Sigma = \{1,2\}$ and the payoff defined by

$$A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \{ \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then by simple arguments of symmetry, one can easily obtain the following equalities

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \mathbf{x} \widetilde{\Sigma}} \quad \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\Sigma}} \quad \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{y}}) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{y} \in \widetilde{\Sigma}} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \mathbf{x} \widetilde{\Sigma}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} .$$

Applying the theorems together with the lemmas, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM: The mixed extension $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ of the finite game $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ such that for each ieN and each $(x_i x_{f(i)}) \in X_i x X_{e(i)}$ the function $E_i(x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})$ is linear in $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$; has the following properties:

a) There is at least one e-simple stable point $\bar{x} \in X_N$ such that:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{i}},\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})},\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \ \geq \ \mathbf{v}_{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \ = \ \mathbf{v}^{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \quad \text{for all } \mathtt{i} \in \mathbb{N} \ .$$

b) If for each $x \in X_N$ there is a $y \in X_N$ such that for all $i \in N$: $\max_{\substack{\omega_i \in X_i \\ }} E_i(\omega_i, y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = v^i(x_{f(i)})$

then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has a least one \underline{e}^m -simple stable point $\bar{x} \in X_N$ such that $E_{\underline{i}}(\bar{x}_{\underline{i}}, \bar{x}_{\underline{e}(\underline{i})}, \bar{x}_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}) \leq v_{\underline{i}}(\bar{x}_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}) = v^{\underline{i}}(\bar{x}_{\underline{f}(\underline{i})}) \text{ for all } \underline{i} \in N.$

c) If for $x \in X_{\stackrel{}{N}}$ there is a ye $X_{\stackrel{}{N}}$ such that for ieN :

$$\min_{\substack{\omega \in (i) \in X \\ e(i)}} \mathbb{E}_{i}(y_{i},\omega_{e(i)},x_{f(i)}) = v_{i}(x_{f(i)}),$$

and

$$\max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} E_{i}(\omega_{i}, y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = v^{i}(x_{f(i)}) ,$$

then $\Gamma_{\underline{e}}$ has at least one n-person \underline{e} -simple stable saddle point.

An analogous result to that expressed in part a) of the above theorem can be easily obtained by a different technique. Since it is interesting, we present such an alternative technique.

THEOREM: The mixed extension Γ of the finite game Γ has at least one point $x \in X_N$ which satisfies:

$$\min_{\substack{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}} \mathbb{E}_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, z_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \bar{v}_{i}(\bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \bar{v}^{i}(\bar{x}_{f(i)}) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $Z_{e(i)} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{e(i)}$ and $\tilde{v}_{i}(x_{f(i)})$ and $\tilde{v}^{i}(x_{f(i)})$ are respectively

the maximin and minimax values of the zero-sum two-person game

$$\Gamma_{C}(x_{f(i)}) = \{X_{i}, X_{e(i)}, E_{i}(x_{i}, X_{e(i)}, X_{f(i)})\}$$

with $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$.

 $\underline{\text{PROOF:}}$ For ieN , consider the continuous function $\textbf{C}_{\underline{\textbf{i}}}$ defined by

$$C_{i}(x_{i},x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}} E_{i}(x_{i},z_{e(i)},x_{f(i)})$$

in the variable $(x_i, x_{f(i)}) \in X_i \times X_{f(i)} \cdot C_i$ is concave in $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$. By the theorem of Nakaido-Isoda (°), the game

 $\Gamma^{***} \ = \ \{\textbf{X}_{1}, \dots, \textbf{X}_{n}, \ \textbf{C}_{1}, \dots, \textbf{C}_{n}\} \quad \text{has an equilibrium point} \quad \bar{\textbf{x}} \in \textbf{X}_{\bar{\mathbb{N}}} \ ; \quad \text{i.e.,}$

$$\min_{\substack{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}} E_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, z_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \tilde{v}_{i}(x_{f(i)}) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

With this result and the fact that $\tilde{v}_i(x_{f(i)}) = \tilde{v}^i(x_{f(i)})$ for each game $\tilde{\Gamma}_C(x_{f(i)})$ where $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$ by the minimax theorem, the existence of that point is guaranteed. Q.E.D.

The point in the previous theorem can be intuitively interpreted as an e_simple stable point of a partially-cooperative game in the following sense. Each player is guaranteed his least position $(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{i})}))$, even though the behavior of his antagonistic coalition could be concentrated on hurting him, in a cooperative way; if in each instance all the players of his indifferent coalition abide by it.

From an intuitive viewpoint it could be surprising that such a point is an \underline{e} -simple stable point and conversely. This fact can be easily obtained directly from the corresponding definitions.

We remark that the last conditions in the second and third theorems, such as the corresponding in the subsequent results, express the same results of the theorems when all the sets f(i) are empty and therefore they have not any value.

^{(°):} Nikaido, H., and K. Isoda: Note on noncooperative convex games. Pacific J. Math. 5, 807-815 (1955).

SIMPLE STABLE POINTS IN TOPOLOGICAL

LINEAR SPACES

1. By application of a geometric theorem concerning convex sets presented in [1], Fan in [2] has established under general conditions the existence of an equilibrium point in n-person games on real separated linear topological spaces.

The principal result in the present paper is related to the existence of the simple stable points, introduced in our recent note [4], of n-person games defined on real separated topological vector spaces.

This result will be obtained by application of a method which is essentially that due to Fan in [2]. This method uses a generalization of a theorem due to Fan, concerning convex sets.

As an application of the principal result some results concerning continuous n-person games will be derived.

2. For our purpose, we need a generalized form of Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz's theorem for a real separated topological linear space Y given in [1].

<u>LEMMA 1 (Fan):</u> Let X be a set in a real separated topological vector space Y . For each $x \in X$, let S(x) be a closed subset of Y , such that:

- (i) The convex hull of any finite subset $\{x_1,\dots,x_m\}$ of X is a subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^m S(x_i)$
- (ii) For at least one $x \in X$ the set S(x) is compact.

Then $\bigcap_{x \in X} S(x) \neq \emptyset$.

By application of this result we derive the following.

THEOREM 2: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be compact, convex sets, each in a real separated topological vector space. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let h(i) be a subset

of N, and

$$X_{h(i)} = \prod_{j \in h(i)} X_j, X^{h(i)} = \prod_{j \notin h(i)} X_j.$$

Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i$. For each $x \in X$, let $x_{h(i)}$ be the projection of x in $X_{h(i)}$, and let $x^{h(i)}$ be the projection of x in $x^{h(i)}$. Given n subsets S_1, \dots, S_n of $X = \prod_{j=1}^{n} X_j$ such that

- (i) For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and each $x \in X$ the cylinder $S_{\underline{i}}(x) = \{y \in X \colon (y_{h(\underline{i})}, x^{h(\underline{i})}) \in S_{\underline{i}}\} \quad \text{is convex.}$
- (ii) For each ieN and xeX the cylinder $S^{i}(x) = \{y \in X \colon (x_{h(i)}, y^{h(i)}) \in S_{i}\}$ is open in X.
- (iii) For each $x \in X$ there is a $y \in X$ such that:

$$(y_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) \in S_i$$
 for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_i \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: For each $x \in X$, consider the compact set A(x) defined as the complement in X of the intersection of the $S^{\dot{1}}(x)$:

$$A(x) = c(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S^{i}(x)).$$

By the last condition, the set \cap A(x) is empty; and therefore by the lemma 1, there exists a $z=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m}\alpha_j$ x(j) , where x(j)eX , $\alpha_j\geq 0$ and $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{m}\alpha_j=1$, which does not belong to the set $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{m}$ A(x(j)). Hence, for each $j\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$ and each ieN: x(j) \in S_i(z): and consequently,

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j} x(j) \in S_{i}(z)$$
 for each ieN,

which implies that $z \in \bigcap$ S_i . Q.E.D. i=1

A particular case is immediately derived when h(i) = {i} for each ieN . By simplicity we use x_i and xⁱ for x_{i} and x^{i}.

COROLLARY 3 (Fan): Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-empty compact convex sets each in a real separated topological vector space. Let S_1, \dots, S_n be n subsets of X such that:

(i) For each ieN and each $x \in X$ the cylinder

$$S_{i}(x) = \{y \in X: (y_{i}, x^{i}) \in S_{i}\}$$

is non-empty and convex.

(ii) For each ieN and each $x \in X$ the cylinder

$$S^{i}(x) = \{y \in X: (x_{i}, y^{i}) \in S_{i}\}$$

is open in X .

Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_i \neq \emptyset$.

<u>PROOF:</u> Since for each ieN and each xeX the set $S_i(x)$ is non-empty, we can choose for each ieN a $y(i) \in S_i(x)$. Therefore for each xeX there is an $y \in X$ such that $(y_i, x^i) \in S_i$ for each ieN, namely $y = (y_1(1), \dots, y_n(n))$. Consequently, the requirements of the previous theorem are satisfied. Q.E.D.

A real function f defined on a topological space X is said to be lower-semicontinuous (upper-semicontinuous) on X , if for each real number r , the set $\{x \in X: f(x) > r\}$ ($\{x \in X: f(x) < r\}$) is open.

A real function f defined on a convex set of a real vector space X is said to be quasi-concave (quasi-convex) on X , if for each real number r the set $\{x \in X: f(x) > r\}$ ($\{x \in X: f(x) < r\}$) is convex.

THEOREM 4: Let X_1,\dots,X_n be non-empty, compact, convex sets each in a real separated topological vector space, and let f_1,\dots,f_n be a real function defined on X, having the following properties:

- (i) For each ieN and fixed $x_{h(i)} \in X_{h(i)}$, the function $f_{i}(x_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)})$ is lower-semicontinuous in $x^{h(i)} \in X^{h(i)}$.
- (ii) For each ieN and fixed $x^{h(i)} \in X^{h(i)}$, the function $f_{i}(x_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) \text{ is quasi-concave in } x_{h(i)} \in X_{h(i)}.$
- (iii) Given $r=(r_1,\ldots,r_n)$, for each xeX there is a yeX such that $f_i(y_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) > r_i$ for every ieN .

Then there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $f_i(\bar{x}_{h(i)}, \bar{x}^{h(i)}) > r_i$ for all $i \in N$.

PROOF: Consider for each ieN , the set

$$S_{i} = \{x \in X: f_{i}(x_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) > r_{i}\}.$$

Then on one hand, the cylinders

$$S_{i}(x) = \{y \in X: f_{i}(y_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) > r_{i} \}$$

are convex. On the other hand, the cylinders

$$S^{i}(x) = \{y \in X: f_{i}(x_{h(i)}, y^{h(i)}) > r_{i}\}$$

are open in X . Furthermore, for each xeX there is a yeX such that $(y_{h(i)}, x^{h(i)}) \in S_i$ for each ieN .

Hence, theorem 2 applied to the sets S , guarantees the existence of the point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that: $f_i(\bar{x}) > r_i$ for each ieN. Q.E.D.

The condition on the last result of a real valued function is unnecessarily restrictive. Indeed, the result is valid for functions with values in an ordered set.

If, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $h(i) = \{i\}$, the above result is the same as theorem 2 given in [1].

It is interesting to observe that in general,

$$g_{i} = \inf_{y^{h(i)}} \sup_{x_{h(i)}} f_{i}(x_{h(i)}, y^{h(i)}) > r_{i}$$

for every ieN does not imply condition (iii) of the last theorem. However, condition (iii) is assured in the simple case where $h(i) = \{i\}$ for each ieN. Therefore, one obtains the following statement (related also in [1]): if, for each ieN, $g_i > r_i$, then there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that $f_i(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}^i) > r_i$ for every ieN.

3. Now, we consider as applicatons the following theorems concerned with simple stable points of games.

THEOREM 5: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-empty, compact, convex sets, each in a real separated topological vector space. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let e(i) be a subset of $\mathbb{N} - \{i\}$ and $f(i) = \mathbb{N} - (e(i) \cup \{i\})$. Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a continuous real functions defined on X, such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$ the function F_i defined by

$$F_{i}(x_{i},x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{\omega \in (i) \\ \in X_{e(i)}}} A_{i}(x_{i}, \omega_{e(i)},x_{f(i)}),$$

is quasi-concave with respect to $x_i \in X_i$.

Then there exists an $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$F_{i}(\bar{x}_{i},\bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i},\bar{x}_{f(i)})$$
 for every ieN.

Such a point is a \underline{e}_m -simple stable point of the game $\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.

<u>PROOF:</u> For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\delta > 0$, consider the set

$$S_{\delta,i} = \{x \in X: F_i(x_i, x_{f(i)}) > \max_{\omega_i \in X_i} F_i(\omega_i, x_{f(i)}) - \delta\}$$
.

Let $h(i)=\{i\}$. Since the functions F and $\max F$ are continuous, then the cylinder $u\in X \quad i$

$$S_{\delta}^{i}(x) = \{y \in X: F_{i}(x_{i}, y_{f(i)}) > \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, y_{f(i)}) - \delta\}$$

is open in X . Because the function F $_{\rm i}$ is quasi-concave in ${\rm x_i} \in \!\! {\rm X}$, the cylinder

$$S_{\delta,i}(x) = \{y \in X: F_i(y_i, x_{f(i)}) > \max_{\omega_i \in X_i} F_i(\omega_i, x_{f(i)}) - \delta\}$$

is convex. Then by application of corollary 3, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_{\delta,i} \neq \emptyset \qquad \text{for every } \delta > 0;$$

and therefore there exists a point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{S}_{\delta,i}$$
 for every $\delta > 0$.

Such a point satisfies

$$F_{i}(\bar{x}_{i},\bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i},\bar{x}_{f(i)})$$
 for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Q.E.D.

We note that this proof is essentially that given in [2] which proves the existence of an equilibrium point. The reason of this connection is that an \underline{e}_m -simple stable point of the game $\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ related in the above theorem, is an equilibrium point of the game $\Gamma^* = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; F_1, \dots, F_n\}$, and conversely.

THEOREM 6: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-empty, compact, convex sets each in a real separated topological vector space. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let e(i) be a subset of $\mathbb{N} - \{i\}$ and $f(i) = \mathbb{N} - \{e(i) \cup \{i\}\}$.

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a continuous real functions defined on X, such that for each ieN and fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$ the function G_i defined by

$$G_{i}(x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} A_{i}(\omega_{i}, x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}),$$

is quasi-convex in $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$. If for each $x \in X$, there is a $y \in X$ such that

$$G_{i}(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) \text{ for every ieN},$$

then there exists a xeX such that

Such a point is an e^{m} -simple stable point of the game

$$\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}.$$

<u>PROOF:</u> The last condition implies the following one: for each $\delta > 0$ and each xeX there is a yeX such that

$$G_{i}(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) < \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) + \delta$$
 for each ieN.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\delta >\!\! 0$, consider the set

$$S_{\delta,i} = \{x \in X: G_{i}(x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) < \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)} \\ \omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) + \delta\}.$$

Let h(i) = e(i). Then the cylinder

$$S_{\delta,i}(x) = \{y \in X : G_{i}(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) < \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) + \delta\}$$

is convex, since the function G_i is quasi-convex in $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$. Because the functions G_i and min G_i are continuous, the cylinder $(x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)})$

$$S_{\delta}^{i}(x) = \{y \in X: G_{i}(x_{e(i)}, y_{f(i)}) < \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, y_{f(i)}) + \delta\}$$

is open in X . Finally, by the last condition, we have that, for each $\delta>0$ and each xeX , there is a yeX such that $(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}, y_{e(i)}) \in S_{\delta,i}$ for all ieN. Then, by application of theorem 2 to the sets $S_{\delta,i}$, we have:

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S_{\delta,i} \neq \emptyset, \qquad \text{for every } \delta > 0;$$

and therefore, there exists a point \bar{x} :

$$\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{S}_{\delta,i}$$
 for every $\delta > 0$.

Such a point satisfies:

$$G_{i}(\bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$
 for every ieN. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 7: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-empty, compact, convex sets, each in a real separated topological vector space. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let e(i) be a subset of $\mathbb{N} - \{i\}$ and $f(i) = \mathbb{N} - (e(i) \cup \{i\})$. Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a continuous real functions defined on X, such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and fixed $X_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$, the function F_i is quasi-concave in $X_i \in X_i$, and the function G_i is quasi-convex in $X_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$.

If, for each x \in X , there is a y \in X such that for every i \in N :

$$F_{i}(y_{i},x_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i},x_{f(i)})$$

and

$$G_{i}(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})$$
,

then, there exists an xeX such that, for every ieN

$$F_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$

and

$$G_{i}(\bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}).$$

Such a point is an \underline{e}_m - and \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game $\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.

<u>PROOF:</u> The last condition implies the following: for each $\delta>0$ and each xeX, there is a yeX such that for each ieN:

$$F_{i}(y_{i}, x_{f(i)}) > \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, x_{f(i)}) - \delta$$

and

$$G_{i}^{(y_{e(i)},x_{f(i)})} < \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}^{(\omega_{e(i)},x_{f(i)})} + \delta$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\delta > 0$, consider the sets

$$S_{F,\delta,i} = \{x \in X : F_{i}(x_{i},x_{f(i)}) > \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i},x_{f(i)}) - \delta\},$$

$$S_{G,\delta,i} = \{x \in X : G_{i}(x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) < \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) + \delta \}$$

and

$$S_{\delta,i} = S_{F,\delta,i} \cap S_{G,\delta,i}$$
.

Let $h(i) = \{i\} U e(i)$. Then, for each $x \in X$ the cylinder

$$S_{\delta,i}(x) = S_{F,\delta,i}(x) \cap S_{G,\delta,i}(x)$$

is convex, since the function F_i is quasi-concave in $x_i \in X_i$ and the function G_i is quasi-convex in $x_i \in X_i$ Because the functions

$$F_{i},G_{i},$$
 $\max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}$ and $\min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}$

are continuous, the cylinder

$$S_{\delta}^{i}(x) = S_{F,\delta}^{i}(x) \cap S_{G,\delta}^{i}(x)$$

is open in X . Finally, for each x ϵ X , there is a y ϵ X such that

$$(y_{\{i\}} \cup e(i), x_{f(i)}) \in S_{\delta,i}$$
 for all ieN,

since the last condition holds. Then, theorem 2 applied to the sets $S_{\delta,i}$ guarantees that, for every $\delta>0$, the set $\bigcap\limits_{i=1}^n S_{\delta,i}$ is non-empty; and therefore, there exists a point $\bar{x}\in X$ such that

$$\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{S}_{\delta,i}$$
 for every $\delta > 0$,

which satisfies the requirements of the theorem. Q.E.D.

With an additional condition it is possible to get the existence of simple saddle points of an n-person game.

COROLLARY 8: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-empty, compact and convex sets, each in a real separated topological vector space. For each $i \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, let e(i) be a subset of $\mathbb{N} - \{i\}$ and $f(i) = \mathbb{N} - (e(i) \cup \{i\})$. Let A_1, \dots, A_n be a continuous real functions defined on X, such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the function A_i is quasiconcave in $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $(x_e(i), x_f(i)) \in X_e(i)^x \times X_f(i)$ and it is quasi-convex in $X_e(i) \in X_e(i)$ for fixed $(x_i, x_f(i)) \in X_i \times X_f(i)$.

If, for each $x \varepsilon X$, there is a $y \varepsilon X$ such that for every $i \varepsilon N$:

$$F_{i}(y_{i}, x_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, x_{f(i)})$$

and

$$G_{i}(y_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}),$$

then, there exists a point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$A_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = F_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$
$$= G_{i}(\bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Such a point in an e-simple saddle point of the game

$$\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n ; A_1, \dots, A_n\}.$$

<u>PROOF:</u> Suppose that the function F_i is not quasi-concave in the variable $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $x_f(i) \in X_f(i)$. Then, for a real number λ and $x_f(i) \in X_f(i)$ the set

$$F_{\lambda} = \{x_i \in X_i : F_i(x_i, x_{f(i)}) > \lambda \}$$

is not convex, that is, there exist \bar{x}_i , $\bar{x}_i \in X_i$ such that for some $\mu \in [0,1]$:

$$F_{i}(\mu \bar{x}_{i} + (1-\mu) \tilde{x}_{i}, x_{f(i)}) \leq \lambda$$
.

On the other hand at such points, one has:

$$A_{\texttt{i}}(\tilde{\texttt{x}}_{\texttt{i}},\omega_{\texttt{e(i)}},\texttt{x}_{\texttt{f(i)}}) > \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\texttt{i}}(\tilde{\texttt{x}}_{\texttt{i}},\,\omega_{\texttt{e(i)}},\texttt{x}_{\texttt{f(i)}}) > \lambda$$

for all $\omega_{e(i)}^{\epsilon X_{e(i)}}$. In particular at the point $\bar{\omega}_{e(i)}^{\epsilon X_{e(i)}}$ for which

$$F_{i}(\mu \bar{x}_{i} + (1-\mu) \tilde{x}_{i}, x_{f(i)}) = A_{i}(\mu \bar{x}_{i} + (1-\mu) \tilde{x}_{i}, \bar{\omega}_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) \leq \lambda,$$

we have.

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{i}}, \bar{\omega}_{\mathtt{e(i)}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathtt{f(i)}}) > \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathtt{i}}, \bar{\omega}_{\mathtt{e(i)}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathtt{f(i)}}) > \lambda \ .$$

This is impossible, since the function A_i is quasi-concave in $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $(x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) \in X_{e(i)} \times X_{f(i)}$. Then F_i is quasi-concave in $x_i \in X_i$ for fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$. Similarly, one can easily prove that the function G_i is quasi-convex in $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$ for fixed $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$.

By the last theorem, there exists a point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$F_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$

and

$$G_{i}(\bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$
 for every ieN.

On the other hand, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x_{f(i)} \in x_{f(i)}$, consider the zero-sum two person game

$$\Gamma(x_{f(i)}) = \{y_i^1, y_i^2 ; B_i(y_1, y_2) \}$$

where

$$y_1 \in Y_i^1 = X_i, y_2 \in Y_i^2 = X_{e(i)}$$

and

$$B_{i}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = A_{i}(x_{i}, x_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)})$$
.

Now, for $j \in \{1,2\}$, let $\bar{e}(j)$ be the set defined by $\bar{e}(j) = k$, where $k \neq j$ and $k \in \{1,2\}$. Then we have $\bar{f}(j) = \emptyset$.

By application of theorem 5 to the game $\Gamma_i(x_{f(i)})$ with the sets $\bar{e}(j)$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$, since the continuous function B_i is quasi-concave in $y_1 \in Y_i^1$ and quasi-convex in $y_2 \in Y_i^2$, the existence of a point $(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) \in Y_i^1 \times Y_i^2$ such that

is guaranteed.

Thus, we have obtained the result that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x_{f(i)} \in X_{f(i)}$,

Then, the point $\bar{x} \in X$ obviously satisfies:

$$A_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{x}_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\omega_{i} \in X_{i}} F_{i}(\omega_{i}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})$$

$$= \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} G_{i}(\omega_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)}) \quad \text{for each ien. Q.E.D.}$$

We note that the particular case of theorem 5 applied to the game $F_i(x_{f(i)})$ in the above proof is a corollary of Sion's minimax theorem found in [1].

4. In this section some applications of the above results to certain kinds of continuous games are considered.

Let Σ be a separated compact space. Then the conjugate space $C^*(\Sigma)$ of the Banach space $C(\Sigma)$ of all real continuous functions on Σ is a locally convex, separated real topological linear space, with respect to the weak topology induced by $C(\Sigma)$. The set X of regular Borel measures on Σ with total measure one is compact and convex in $C^*(\Sigma)$ with respect to the w^* - topology.

By using these facts, we obtain from theorem 5 the following result.

COROLLARY 9: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where, for each ieN, Σ_i is a separated and compact space, A_i is a real continuous function. Then the mixed extension $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; E_1, \dots, E_n\}$,

where for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$, X is the set of regular Borel measures with measure one and the expectation function is defined by

$$E_{i}(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = \int_{\sum_{1}^{\infty}...x_{n}} A_{i} d(x_{1}x...x_{n})$$

has an \underline{e}_{m} -simple stable point.

PROOF: Consider for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the multilinear, real function E_i defined on $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, which is continuous. Therefore the function F_i defined by

$$F_{i}(x_{i},x_{f(i)}) = \min_{\omega_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}} E_{i}(x_{i},\omega_{e(i)},x_{f(i)})$$

is concave in $x_i \in X_i$, for each fixed $x_f(i) \in X_f(i)$. By direct application of theorem 5 to the mixed extension game $\tilde{\Gamma}$, the existence of an \underline{e}_m -stable point is guaranteed. Q.E.D.

In an analogous way, from the theorem 6, we have:

COROLLARY 10: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n ; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where for each ieN, Σ_i is a separated and compact space, and A_i is a real continuous function. Let $\Gamma = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be its mixed extension, such that for each ieN and fixed $(x_i, x_f(i)) \in X_i \times X_f(i)$ the expectation function E_i is linear in the variable $x_e(i) \in X_e(i)$.

If for each $x \in X$ there is a $y \in X$ such that for every ieN

$$\max_{\substack{\omega_{\underline{i}} \in X_{\underline{i}}}} E_{\underline{i}}(\omega_{\underline{i}}, y_{e(\underline{i})}, x_{f(\underline{i})}) = \min_{\substack{\omega_{e(\underline{i})} \in X_{e(\underline{i})}}} \max_{\substack{\omega_{\underline{i}} \in X_{\underline{i}}}} E_{\underline{i}}(\omega_{\underline{i}}, \omega_{e(\underline{i})}, x_{f(\underline{i})}) .$$

Then, the mixed extension $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has an \underline{e}^{m} -stable point.

Finally, from corollary 8 we immediately obtain:

COROLLARY 11: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where for each ieN, Σ_i is a separated and compact space and A_i a real continuous function; and let

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; E_1, \dots, E_n\}$$

be its mixed extension, such that, for each ieN and fixed $(x_i, x_{f(i)}) \in X_i \times X_{f(i)}$, the expectation function E_i is linear in the variable $x_{e(i)} \in X_{e(i)}$.

If for each $x \in X$ there is a $y \in X$ such that, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\max_{\omega_{\underline{i}} \in X_{\underline{i}}} E_{\underline{i}}(\omega_{\underline{i}}, y_{e(\underline{i})}, x_{f(\underline{i})}) = \min_{\omega_{e(\underline{i})} \in X_{e(\underline{i})}} \max_{\omega_{\underline{i}} \in X_{\underline{i}}} E_{\underline{i}}(\omega_{\underline{i}}, \omega_{e(\underline{i})}, x_{f(\underline{i})})$$

and

then the mixed extension $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has an \underline{e} -simple saddle point.

We note that if for each $i\in \mathbb{N}$, the set $e(i)=\emptyset$, then corollary 9, which determines the existence of equilibrium point, coincides with the result given by

by Glicksberg [3], which has used a general method of fixed points for multivalued functions on locally convex, compact linear topological spaces.

From theorem 5 one can easily derive the following result:

COROLLARY 12: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where for each ieN, Σ_i is a separated and compact space, A_i a real continuous function.

For each ieN , let $Z_{e(i)}$ be the set of regular Borel measures on $\Sigma_{e(i)} = X \quad \Sigma_{j}$ with measure one. Then the extension

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^* = \{X_1, \dots, X_n; H_1, \dots, H_n\}$$

where the payoff function H_i of $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$H_{i}(x_{i}, z_{e(i)}, x_{f(i)}) = \int_{\sum_{i} x \sum_{e(i)} x \sum_{f(i)}} A_{i} d(x_{i} x z_{e(i)} x x_{f(i)});$$

has a point $\bar{x} \in X$ such that for all $i \in N$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \underset{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}{\min} & \underset{i}{\mathbb{H}_{i}(\bar{x}_{i}, z_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})}{\mathbb{E}_{e(i)}(\bar{x}_{i}, z_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})} & & \underset{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}{\max} & \underset{z_{e(i)} \in Z_{e(i)}}{\mathbb{H}_{i}(\omega_{i}, z_{e(i)}, \bar{x}_{f(i)})} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\$$

Further related topics are given in [5].

REFERENCES

- [1]: Fan, K., Sur un Theoreme Minimax, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 259, 3925-3928 (1964).
- [2]: Fan, K., Application of a Theorem Concerning Sets with Convex Section, Math. Annal. <u>163</u> (189-203) 1966.
- [3]: Glicksberg, I., A Further Generalization of the Kakutani Fixed Point Theorem, with Application to Nash Equilibrium Points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, 170-174 (1952).
- [4]: Marchi, E., Simple Stability of General n-Person Games. (To appear in Nav. Res. Log. Quart. Vol. 17, No. 2, June 1967).
- [5]: Marchi, E., E-Points for Games. (To appear in Proc. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., April 1967).

Note: e : german letter

ANOTHER NOTE ON SIMPLE STABLE POINTS

IN TOPOLOGICAL LINEAR SPACES

Ezio Marchi

1. In our recent paper [2], we established some results concerning the simple stable points of games defined on separated, convex, compact, real topological linear spaces. We derived these results by using a generalization of a result given by Fan in [1], which is concerned with the intersection of sets with convex sections.

The object of this note is to prove some existence theorems for simple stable points of games given on convex, compact, real topological linear spaces, by using the same idea used by Nikaido-Isoda [3] in order to prove the existence of an equilibrium point.

There is certain similarity between the results expressed in this paper and the respective results obtained by the mentioned technique. However, neither the results obtained here include the other, nor are included in them.

2. For our purpose, we need the basic result introduced in [3], the application of which will give the principal results.

THEOREM 1 (Nikaido-Isoda): Let ϕ be a real function defined on $\Sigma \times \Sigma$, where Σ is non-empty, convex and compact in a real topological linear space, such that the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) For each $\sigma \varepsilon \Sigma$, the functions $\phi(\sigma,\tau)$ and $\phi(\tau,\tau)$ are continuous in $\tau \varepsilon \Sigma$.
- (ii) For each $\tau \in \Sigma$, the function ϕ (σ, τ) is concave in $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Then there exists a point $\bar{\tau} \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\varphi(\bar{\tau},\bar{\tau}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \varphi(s,\bar{\tau})$$

PROOF: Assume that there is not a point having the property just mentioned.

Then, for each $\ \tau \varepsilon \Sigma$, there is a $\ \sigma \varepsilon \Sigma$ such that

$$\varphi(\tau,\tau) < \varphi(\sigma,\tau)$$
.

Let

$$\theta_{\sigma} = \{ \tau \in \Sigma : \varphi(\tau, \tau) < \varphi(\sigma, \tau) \}$$

be a set in Σ .

By the continuity of $\phi(\sigma,\tau)$ and $\phi(\tau,\tau)$ in $\tau \in \Sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there ixists a finite number of $\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
n & & \\
U & \theta_{\sigma_i} & = & \Sigma & .
\end{array}$$

Consider the functions

$$\rho_{i}(\tau) = \max \left[\phi \left(\sigma_{i}, \tau \right) - \phi(\tau, \tau), 0 \right]$$
 for i=1,...,n.

Therefore by definition

$$\rho(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_i(\tau) > 0 \qquad \text{for all } \tau \in \Sigma.$$

Let

$$\psi(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_{i}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)} \quad \sigma_{i} ,$$

which defines a function

$$\psi: \Sigma \to \Sigma$$
 ,

since Σ is convex.

The convex hull of σ_1,\dots,σ_n in Σ is homeomorphic to a simplex in an Euclidean space. Then, the application of Brower's fixed point to the function guarantees the existence of a fixed point $\tilde{\tau}$:

$$\tilde{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_{i}(\tilde{\tau})}{\rho(\tilde{\tau})} \quad \sigma_{i} \quad .$$

From the last condition we obtain

$$\varphi(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\tau}) > \varphi(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\tau})$$

which is impossible. Q.E.D.

3. Let

$$\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$$

be a n-person game where, for each $i\in\mathbb{N}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$ the set Σ_i is non-empty, compact, and convex in a real topological linear space and the payoff function A_i is defined on $\Sigma=X$ Σ_i with values in the real numbers.

Let

$$e(i) \subseteq \mathbb{N} - \{i\} \text{ and } f(i) = \mathbb{N} - (e(i) \cup \{i\})$$

be the set of players for each ieN , and consider $\sum_{R} = X \sum_{j \in R} y$ with R : e(i) or f(i).

A point $\bar{\sigma} \varepsilon \Sigma$ is said to be a $\underline{e}_m\text{-simple}$ stable point of the game Γ if, for all $i\varepsilon N$,

$$\min_{\substack{s \\ e(i)}} A_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i} \\ s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} \min_{\substack{A_{i}(s_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) \\ s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} A_{i}(s_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}).$$

Such a point can be easily characterized by the function

$$\Phi_{1}(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_{i}(\sigma_{i},\tau_{f(i)}) ,$$

where, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the function F_i is defined by

$$F_{i}(\sigma_{i},\sigma_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{s \in (i) \\ e(i)}} A_{i}(\sigma_{i},s_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}).$$

<u>LEMMA 2:</u> A point $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma$ is a \underline{e}_m -simple stable point of the game Γ if and only if

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{1}(s,\bar{\sigma}) .$$

 $\overline{ ext{PROOF:}}$ Let $\overline{ extstyle \sigma} \in \Sigma$ be a $\underline{ extstyle e}_{ ext{m}}$ -simple stable point of the game Γ . Then, for each $e \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}),$$

and therefore,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{l}}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{\mathbf{s} \in \Sigma} \Phi_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{s},\bar{\sigma}) .$$

Now, examine the sufficiency. Let $\bar{\sigma}\in\Sigma$ be such a point which fulfills, for each $\tau\in\Sigma$,

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) \geq \Phi_{1}(\tau,\bar{\sigma})$$
.

Suppose that there is a $\,\tau\in\Sigma\,$ and a non-empty subset I $\,\subseteq\,N\,$ such that, for each $\,i\!\in\!I$,

$$F_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{i},\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) < F_{i}(\tau_{i},\bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})$$
 .

Consider the strategy $\overline{\tau} \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$\bar{\tau} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \tau_{i} & \text{if } i \in I \\ \bar{\sigma}_{i} & \text{if } i \in N-I \end{array} \right.$$

then the following satisfied:

$$\Phi_{\underline{1}}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) < \Phi_{\underline{1}}(\bar{\tau},\bar{\sigma})$$

which is absurd. Q.E.D.

A point $\bar{\sigma}\in \Sigma$ is said to be a \underline{e}^{m} -simple stable point of the game Γ if, for all $i\varepsilon N$,

$$\max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma \\ i = 1}} A_{i}(s_{i}, \overline{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \overline{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = \min_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma \\ e(i)}} \max_{\substack{s_{i} \in \Sigma \\ e(i)}} A_{i}(s_{i}, s_{e(i)}, \overline{\sigma}_{f(i)}).$$

Introducing for each ieN the function G_i defined by

$$G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} A_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}),$$

then it is possible to characterize an \underline{e}^{m} -simple stable point by the function

$$\Phi_{2}(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left[-G_{i} \left(\sigma_{e(i)}, \tau_{f(i)} \right) \right] ,$$

as is illustrated in the following:

LEMMA 3: If for each σεΣ there is a τεΣ such that for each iεN:

$$-G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}, \sigma_{e(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s \\ e(i) \\ e(i)}} [-G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})],$$

then a point $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is a \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game Γ if and only if

$$\Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(s,\bar{\sigma})$$
.

<u>PROOF:</u> Let $\overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma_N$ be an \underline{e} -simple stable point of the game Γ . Then, for each $i \in N$

$$-G_{i}(\bar{\sigma}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s \in \Sigma \\ e(i)}} [-G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})] .$$

Therefore

which implies the validity of the below equality

$$\Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(s, \bar{\sigma}).$$

Now, consider a point $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma$ which satisfied

$$\Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(s, \bar{\sigma}) ,$$

and suppose that there is a non-empty subset I ($\mathbb N$ such that, for each ieI ,

$$-G_{\mathbf{i}}(\bar{\sigma}_{e(\mathbf{i})},\bar{\sigma}_{f(\mathbf{i})}) < \max_{\substack{s_{e(\mathbf{i})} \in \Sigma \\ e(\mathbf{i})}} [-G_{\mathbf{i}}(s_{e(\mathbf{i})},\bar{\sigma}_{f(\mathbf{i})})] .$$

By hypothesis, given the point $\bar{\sigma} \varepsilon \Sigma$, there exists a point $\bar{\tau} \varepsilon \Sigma$ such that, for each $i \varepsilon N$,

$$-G_{i}(\bar{\tau}_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)} \\ s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} [-G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \bar{\sigma}_{f(i)})],$$

and therefore, we obtain

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) < \Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(\bar{\tau},\bar{\sigma})$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. Q.E.D.

An immediate consequence of the preceeding lemmas is given in the following result:

COROLLARY 4: If for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_{i}(\tau_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

and

$$-G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} G_{i}(s_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}),$$

then, a point $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma$ is an \underline{e}_m and \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game Γ if and only if

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{1}(s, \bar{\sigma})$$

and

$$\Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(s, \bar{\sigma}) .$$

4. In this section, we will obtain some general theorems which are concerned with the existence of simple stable points of n-person games.

These theorems will be obtained as a direct application of the above results.

THEOREM 5: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where for each ieN, the set Σ_i is convex, compact in a real topological linear space, such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}$, the function $F_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$ is concave in $\sigma_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}$.
- (ii) For each ieN and each $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_i$, the function $F_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{f(i)})$ is continuous in $\sigma_f(i)^{\in \Sigma} f(i)$.
- (iii) The function $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{f(i)})$

is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Then, there exists an \underline{e}_{m} -simple stable point of the game Γ .

PROOF: Consider the function

$$\Phi_{1}(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_{i}(\sigma_{i},\tau_{f(i)})$$

defined on the set $\Sigma \times \Sigma$. For each $\tau \in \Sigma$, the function $\Phi_{\underline{l}}(\sigma,\tau)$ is concave in $\sigma \in \Sigma$. On the other hand, the function $\Phi_{\underline{l}}(\sigma,\sigma)$ is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$; and for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the function $\Phi_{\underline{l}}(\sigma,\tau)$ is continuous in $\tau \in \Sigma$.

Then, by direct application of Theorem 1 to the function $\Phi_{1},$ the existence of a point $\bar{\sigma} \epsilon \Sigma$ such that

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{1}(s, \bar{\sigma})$$

is guaranteed.

By Lemma 2, such a point is an \underline{e}_m -simple stable point of the game Γ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 6: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game, where for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the set Σ_1 is comvex, compact in a real topological linear space, such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(i) For each ieN and fixed $\sigma_{f(i_i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}$, the function

$$G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)})$$

is convex in $\sigma_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}$.

- (ii) For each ieN and each $\sigma_{e(i)}^{\epsilon\Sigma}_{e(i)}$ the function $G_{i}^{(\sigma_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)})}$ is continuous in $\sigma_{f(i)}^{\epsilon\Sigma}_{f(i)}$.
- (iii) The function

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

(iv) For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$-G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{\substack{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}}} [-G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})].$$

Then, there exists an \underline{e}^{m} -simple stable point of the game Γ .

PROOF: Consider the function

$$\Phi_{2}(\sigma,\tau) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [-G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)},\tau_{f(i)})]$$

defined as Σ x Σ . On one hand, for each $\tau \epsilon \Sigma$, the function $\Phi_2(\sigma,\tau)$ is concave in $\sigma \epsilon \Sigma$; and, on the other hand, the function $\Phi_2(\sigma,\sigma)$ is continuous in $\sigma \epsilon \Sigma$. Furthermore, for each $\sigma \epsilon \Sigma$, the function $\Phi_2(\sigma,\tau)$ is continuous in $\tau \epsilon \Sigma$.

Then, Theorem 1 applied to the function $\,\Phi_2\,$ guarantees the existence of a point $\,\vec{\sigma} \epsilon \Sigma\,$ such that

$$\Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(s, \bar{\sigma}) .$$

By Lemma 3, such a point is a e^{m} -simple stable point of the game Γ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 7: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game where for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the set Σ_i is convex, compact in a real topological linear space, such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) For each ieN and each $\sigma_{f(i)}^{\in \Sigma}_{f(i)}$, the function $F_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$ is concave in $\sigma_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}$, and the function $G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$ is convex in $\sigma_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}$.
- (ii) For each ieN and every $\sigma_i \in \Sigma_i$ and $\sigma_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}$ the functions $F_i(\sigma_i, \sigma_{f(i)}) \text{ and } G_i(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}) \text{ are continuous in } \sigma_{f(i)} \in \Sigma_{f(i)}.$
- (iii) The functions

$$\Sigma F_{i}(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$
 and $\Sigma G_{i}(\sigma_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)})$

are continuous in $\ensuremath{\sigma} \varepsilon \Sigma$.

(iv) For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a $\tau \in \Sigma$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$F_{i}(\tau_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)})$$

and

$$-G_{i}(\tau_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)}) = \max_{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}} [-G_{i}(s_{e(i)},\sigma_{f(i)})].$$

Then, there exists an \underline{e}_m and \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game Γ .

PROOF: Again, consider the function

$$\Phi(\sigma,\tau) = \Phi_{1}(\sigma,\tau) + \Phi_{2}(\sigma,\tau)$$

defined as Σ x Σ . The function $\Phi(\sigma,\sigma)$ is continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$ since the functions $\Phi_1(\sigma,\sigma)$ and $\Phi_2(\sigma,\sigma)$ are continuous in $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Moreover, since the functions $\Phi_1(\sigma,\tau)$ and $\Phi_2(\sigma,\tau)$ are continuous in $\tau \in \Sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then the function $\Phi(\sigma,\tau)$ is continuous in $\tau \in \Sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Finally, for each $\tau \in \Sigma$, the function $\Phi(\sigma,\tau)$ is concave in $\sigma \in \Sigma$, since it is a sum of concave functions.

Then, Theorem 1 applied to the function $\Phi(\sigma,\tau)$ guarantees the existence of a point $\bar{\sigma}\in\Sigma$ such that

$$\Phi_{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) + \Phi_{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{\mathbf{s} \in \Sigma} [\Phi_{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\sigma}) + \Phi_{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\bar{\mathbf{s}}, \bar{\sigma})] .$$

By the last condition, there is a $\bar{\tau} \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\tau},\bar{\sigma}) + \Phi_{2}(\bar{\tau},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} [\Phi_{1}(s,\bar{\sigma}) + \Phi_{2}(s,\bar{\sigma})] .$$

On the other hand, for each $\tau \varepsilon \Sigma$:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{l}}(\tau,\bar{\sigma}) \leq \Phi_{\mathbf{l}}(\bar{\tau},\bar{\sigma}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{i}}} F_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i}},\bar{\sigma}_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{i})})$$

and

$$\Phi_{2}(\tau,\overline{\sigma}) \leq \Phi_{2}(\overline{\tau},\overline{\sigma}) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N} \\ s \in (\underline{i})}} \max_{\substack{\epsilon \in (\underline{i}) \\ \epsilon \in (\underline{i})}} [-G_{\underline{i}}(s_{e(\underline{i})},\overline{\sigma}_{f(\underline{i})})]$$

which implies that

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) + \Phi_{2}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{\mathbf{s} \in \Sigma} \Phi_{1}(\mathbf{s},\bar{\sigma}) + \max_{\mathbf{s} \in \Sigma} \Phi_{2}(\mathbf{s},\bar{\sigma}) ,$$

and therefore

$$\Phi_{1}(\bar{\sigma},\bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_{1}(s,\bar{\sigma})$$

and

$$\Phi_2(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}) = \max_{s \in \Sigma} \Phi_2(s, \bar{\sigma})$$
.

Then, by corollary 4, such a point is a \underline{e}_m and \underline{e}^m -simple stable point of the game Γ . Q.E.D.

The above results are the principal of this paper. We note that Theorem 5 essentially coincides with the theorem of Nikaido-Isoda in [3], since a \underline{e}_m -simple stable point of a game $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is an equilibrium point of the game $\overline{\Gamma} = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ and conversely.

An immediate consequence of the last theorem is the following:

COROLLARY 8: Let $\Gamma = \{\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n; A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a game that satisfies all the conditions of the last theorem. If for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{s_{i} \in \Sigma_{i}} F_{i}(s_{i}, \sigma_{f(i)}) = \min_{s_{e(i)} \in \Sigma_{e(i)}} G_{i}(s_{e(i)}, \sigma_{f(i)}),$$

then there exists an \underline{e}_m and \underline{e}^m simple stable point $\sigma e \Sigma$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{A}_{\mathtt{i}}(\bar{\sigma}_{\mathtt{i}},\bar{\sigma}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})},\bar{\sigma}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) & = & \max_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathtt{i}}\in\Sigma_{\mathtt{i}}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathtt{i}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathtt{i}},\bar{\sigma}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \\ & = & \min_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}\in\Sigma_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathtt{i}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathtt{e}(\mathtt{i})},\bar{\sigma}_{\mathtt{f}(\mathtt{i})}) \end{array}.$$

Such a point is called an $\underline{e}\text{-simple}$ saddle point of the game Γ .

Indeed, there are games for which the additional condition in this last corollary can be in some sense weakened. In fact, this is possible by using Sion's minimax theorem [1] for games defined on separated, real topological linear spaces.

REFERENCES

- [1]: Fan, K., Sur un theorem minimax, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 259, 3925-3928 (1964).
- [2]: Marchi, E., Simple Stable Points in Topological Linear Spaces.
- [3]: Nikaido, H., Isoda, K., Note on Non cooperative Convex Games, Pac. J. Math., 5, 807-815 (1955).

Security Classification

(Security classification of	DOCUMENT C	ONTROL DATA - R&D	<u> </u>		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (C	title, body of abstract and inde	exing annotation must be enter	red when	the overall report is classifi	ed)
Princeton University				Unclassified	
3. REPORT TITLE			·		
	"Simple" Stabilit	y of General n-Pe	rson (lames	
			- 2011	acame b	
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (F					
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type			·		····
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first :	Research Memorand	um No. 84, Februar	ry 196	57	
o No monto, (Last name, Met i	name, initial)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	Ezio Marchi			•	
	TRIG MATCHT			· ·	
6. REPORT DATE		7a. FOTAL NO. OF PAGE			
	February 1967	39	E.S	7b. NO. OF REFS	
8 a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	Nonr 1858(16)			9	
		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
b. PROJECT NO.		Research Memorandum No. 84			
	Task No. NR 047		•		
c.	086	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)			
ď.		uns report)		and may be as	, SI BI 10 U
10. A VAIL ABILITY/LIMITATIO	N NOTICES				i
	Distribution of th	nie dogument in	¬	-	
		its document is un	Limite	ed.	
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITAR	YACTI	1TV	
		Logistic and Ma			İ
		Office of Nava	l Rese	arch branch	
		Washington, D.	2. 20°	360	
3. ABSTRACT		·		,	

Three different notes are presented here which are related to certain new and simple concepts of non-cooperative n-person games. These are natural generalizations of the notions of maximin and minimax strategies and the saddle points of two-person games. The concept of the equilibrium point appears as a special case of one of these.

The first note expresses some intuitive considerations for games on Euclidean spaces. Their characterizations are essentially given by Kakutani's fixed point theorem. As a particular case, we examine such points for the mixed extensions of finite n-person games.

The second and third notes are concerned with two different mathematical extensions of the results obtained in the first note. They are based respectively on Fan's and Nikaido-Isoda's ideas of proving the existence of equilibrium points for games on real linear topological spaces. In particular, the concepts introduced in the first note are examined for mixed extensions of continuous games. These last two notes involve the use of more advanced mathematical techniques than does the first.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
- 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.