b) 
$$\mu_{T_0} = E(T_0) = 2.2 = 2 \cdot \mu$$

c) 
$$\sigma_{T_0}^2 = E(T_0^2) - E(T_0)^2 = 5.82 - (2.2)^2 = .98 = 2 \cdot \sigma^2$$

5.43 The statistic of interest is the fourth spread, or the difference between the medians of the upper and lower halves of the data. The population distribution is uniform with A=8 and B=10. Use a computer to generate samples of sizes n=5,10,20, and 30 from a uniform distribution with A=8 and B=10. Keep the number of replictins the same (say for example 500). For each sample compute the upper and lower fourth, then compute the difference. Plot the sampling distribution on seperate histograms for n=5,10,20 and 30.

5.44 
$$\mu = 12 \text{cm}, \ \sigma = .04 \text{cm}$$

a) 
$$n=16$$
  $E(\bar{X})=\mu=12\mathrm{cm}$   $\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{.04}{4}=.01\mathrm{cm}$ 

b) 
$$n = 64$$
  $E(\bar{X}) = \mu = 12 \text{cm}$   $\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma_x}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{.04}{8} = .005 \text{cm}$ 

c)  $\bar{X}$  is more likely to be within .01 cm of the mean (12 cm) with the second, large, sample. This is due to the decreased variability of  $\bar{X}$  with a larger sample size.

5.48 
$$\mu = 10,000$$
  $\sigma = 500$ 

a) 
$$n = 40$$

$$\begin{split} P(9,900 \leq \bar{X} \leq 10,200) &= P\left(\frac{9,900-10,000}{500/\sqrt{40}} \leq Z \leq \frac{10,200-10,000}{500/\sqrt{40}}\right) \\ &= P(-1.26 \leq Z \leq 2.53) \\ &= \Phi(2.53) - \Phi(-1.26) \\ &= .9943 - .1038 \\ &= .8905 \end{split}$$

b) According to the Rule of Thumb given in Section 5.4, n should be greater than 30 in order to apply the C.L.T., thus using the same procedure for n = 15 as was used for n = 40 would not be appropriate.

5.50 
$$x - N(8,1), n = 4$$
  
 $\mu_{T_0} = n\mu = (4)(8) = 32$ 

$$\sigma_{T_0} = \sqrt{n}\sigma = (2)(1) = 2$$
 We desire the 95th percentile:  $32 + (1.645)(2) = 35.29$ 

- 5.58 Y is normally distributed with  $\mu_Y = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{1}{3}(\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \mu_5) = -1$ , and  $\sigma_Y^2 = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_2^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_3^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_4^2 + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_5^2 = 3.333, \sigma_Y = 1.826$ . Thus,  $P(0 \le Y) = P\left(\frac{0 (-1)}{1.826} \le Z\right) = P(.55 \le Z) = .2912$  and  $P(-1 \le Y \le 1) = P(0 \le Z \le 1.10) = .36434$ .
- 5.62 Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_5$  denote morning times and  $X_6, \ldots, X_{10}$  denote evening times.
  - a)  $E(X_1 + \dots + X_{10}) = E(X_1) + \dots + E(X_{10}) = 5E(X_1) + 5E(X_6) = 37.5$
  - b)  $Var(X_1 + \cdots + X_{10}) = Var(X_1) + \cdots + Var(X_{10}) = 52.083$
  - c)  $E(X_1 X_6) = E(X_1) E(X_6) = 2.5 5 = -2.5$  $Var(X_1 - X_6) = Var(X_1) + Var(X_6) = \frac{25}{12} + \frac{100}{12} = 10.417$
  - d)  $E(\cdots) = -12.5$  $Var(\cdots) = 52.083$
- 5.64 a) With  $M=5X_1+10X_2, \ E(M)=(5)(2)+(10)(4)=50,$   $\sigma_M^2=(5)^2(0.5)^2+(10)^2(1)^2=106.25, \ \sigma_M=10.308$ 
  - b)  $P(75 < M) = P(\frac{75 50}{10.308} < Z) = P(2.43 < Z) = .0075$
  - c)  $M = A_1X_1 + A_2X_2$  with the  $A_i$ 's and  $X_i$ 's all independent, so  $E(M) = E(A_1)E(X_1) + E(A_2)E(X_2) = 50$
  - d)  $E(M^2) = E(A_1^2 X_1^2 + 2A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 + A_2^2 X_2^2) = E(A_1^2) E(X_1^2) + 2E(A_1) E(X_1) E(A_2) E(X_2) + E(A_2^2) E(X_2^2) = 2611.5625$ so,  $Var(M) = 2611.5625 - (50)^2 = 111.5625$
  - e) E(M)=50 still, but now  $VAr(M)=a_1^2Var(X_1)+2a_1a_2Cov(X_1,X_2)+a_2^2Var(X_2)=6.25+2(5)(10)(.25)+100=131.25$
- 5.75 a)  $1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) dx dy = \int_{0}^{20} \int_{20-x}^{30-x} kxy dy dx + \int_{20}^{30} \int_{0}^{30-x} kxy dy dx = \frac{81,250}{3} \cdot k$  $\rightarrow k = \frac{3}{81,250} = 3.6923 \text{E-5}$ 
  - b)  $f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{20-x}^{30-x} kxy dy &= k(250x-10x^2) & 0 \le x \le 20 \\ \int_0^{30-x} kxy dy &= k(450x-30x^2+.5x^3) & 20 \le x \le 30 \end{cases}$  and by symmetry  $f_Y(y)$  is obtained by substituting y for x in  $f_X(x)$ . Since  $f_X(25) > 0$ ,  $f_Y(25) > 0$ , but f(25,25) = 0, so X and Y are not independent.

c) 
$$P(x+y \le 25) = \int_0^{20} \int_{20-x}^{25-x} kxy dy dx + \int_{20}^{25} \int_0^{25-x} kxy dy dx = \frac{3}{81,250} \cdot \frac{230,625}{24} = .355$$

d) 
$$E(X+Y) = E(X) + E(Y) = 2\left(\int_0^{30} x \cdot f_X(x)dx\right) = 25.969$$

- e)  $E(XY) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xy \cdot f(x,y) dx dy = 136.4103$  Cov(X,Y) = 136.4103 - E(X)E(Y) = -32.19  $E(X^2) = E(Y^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \cdot f_X(x) dx = 204.6154$   $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 = 204.6154 - (12.9845)^2 = 36.0182$ so,  $\rho = \frac{-32.19}{36.0182} = -.894$
- f) Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) + 2Cov(X, Y) = 7.66
- 5.87 a) with  $Y = X_1 + X_2$ ,

$$F_Y(y) = \int_0^y \left( \int_0^{y-x_1} \frac{1}{2^{v_1/2}, (v_1/2)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{v_2/2}, (v_2/2)} \cdot x_1^{\frac{v_1}{2} - 1} x_2^{\frac{v_2}{2} - 1} e^{-\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}} dx_2 \right) dx_1$$

. But the inner integral is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{(v_1+v_2)/2}, ((v_1+v_2)/2)}y^{(v_1+v_2)/2-1}e^{y/2},$$

from which the result follows.

- b) By (a),  $Z_1^2 + Z_2^2$  is chi-squared with v = 2, so  $(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) + Z_3^2$  is chi-squared with v = 3, etc., until  $Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \cdots + Z_n^2$  is chi-squared with v = n.
- c)  $\frac{X_j \mu}{\sigma}$  is standard normal, so the sum of  $\left(\frac{X_j \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$  is chi-squared with v = n.
- 5.88 a)  $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y + Z) = E(X(Y + Z)) E(X)E(Y + Z) = E(XY) + E(XZ) E(X)E(Y) E(X)E(Z) = \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) + \operatorname{Cov}(X, Z)$ 
  - b)  $\operatorname{Cov}(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_1) + \operatorname{Cov}(X_1, Y_2) + \operatorname{Cov}(X_2, Y_1) + \operatorname{Cov}(X_2, Y_2) = 16.$
  - c) By repeated application of (a),

$$Cov(\sum_{i} a_i X_i, \sum_{j} b_j Y_j) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_i b_j Cov(X_i, Y_j).$$