Equation of State of the Energy Landscape of SPC/E Water
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The contributions to the thermodynamics of liquid SPC/E water from its inherent structures have been determined over a broad range of temperature and density (220–500 K, 0.8–1.25 g/cm3). Molecular dynamics simulations of shifted-force SPC/E water give a retracing locus of density maxima in the equilibrium liquid with a retracing point at ca. 275 K and −200 bar, showing that over a large range of temperature and pressure the inherent structures correspond to a liquid with negative thermal expansion. Both the pressure and potential energy in the inherent structures show a strong dependence on the temperature of the liquid from which the mechanically stable packings are generated. The potential energy of the inherent structures also shows a striking behavior at low temperatures, attaining lower energies than the ground states of pure crystalline forms. This contrasts with simple molecular liquids having no orientation-dependent interactions and suggests novel microscopic interpretations for a number of water’s anomalous properties, such as the polyamorphic transition between its glassy phases, and a fragile-to-strong transition as the liquid is supercooled to its vitreous form.

I. Introduction

The multidimensional potential energy hypersurface, or energy landscape, provides valuable information on complex behavior in condensed-phase systems. Examples include protein folding,1 dynamics of glass-forming liquids,2 and the glass transition.3 It has recently been shown that the manner in which a simple glass-forming liquid samples its underlying landscape as a function of temperature offers insight into its dynamic behavior.2 Similarly, sampling in density provides information on mechanical properties such as tensile strength.4 Except for a brief reference to water,4 the idea of relating static and dynamic properties to the manner in which an energy landscape is sampled in temperature (T), and is changed as a function of density (ρ), has so far been applied only to simple, monatomic liquids.2,4,5 In this work we extend this idea to water, the classic example of a molecular liquid with strong, orientation-dependent interactions. These interactions result in a number of distinctive, anomalous properties at temperatures close to, and below, the equilibrium freezing point. Examples include a negative thermal expansion coefficient (βT < 0),6–9 strongly increasing isobaric heat capacity (cP) and isothermal compressibility (κT) upon isobaric cooling,8,10–12 and the existence of distinct glassy phases (polyamorphism).8,13,14 Using molecular dynamics simulation, we investigate the manner in which the SPC/E model of water15 samples its underlying landscape as a function of T, and the manner in which the landscape changes as a function of ρ.

To introduce the concept of the equation of state of an energy landscape, we begin by considering the multidimensional potential energy surface Φ, which is a function of (3+n)N degrees of freedom. Here, n denotes the number of rotational, vibrational, and conformational degrees of freedom of each of the N molecules in the system. The term landscape is a useful intuitive description of the geometry of the Φ hypersurface in the [(3+n)N+1] space of variables (i.e., the (3+n)N configurational degrees of freedom, plus Φ). Although it is impossible to visualize such a hypersurface, the intuitive three-dimensional connotations associated with the notion of a landscape (valleys, peaks, ridges) are helpful pictorial descriptors of local energy minima and the barriers separating them. These minima are mechanically stable arrangements of the N particles, and satisfy the condition

\[ \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \Phi = 0 \]  

where \( Q \) is the vector whose (3+n)N components are the molecular configurational coordinates. The potential energy minima are often called inherent structures.16 In the thermodynamic limit, there is an exponential multiplicity of inherent structures;1,17 the number of distinct inherent structures characterized by a potential energy per particle \( \phi \pm d\phi/2 \) is given by

\[ \exp[N\sigma(\phi)]d\phi \quad (\sigma \geq 0) \]  

There is, in addition, a trivial combinatorial multiplicity of inherent structures which results from particle exchanges giving rise to \( N! \) equivalent structures for each of the \( \exp(N\sigma) \) included in eq 2. Each inherent structure has a well-defined basin of attraction, which is the set of configurations that are mapped onto the given minimum by the steepest descent operation of eq 1. Turning now to the concept of the equation of state of the landscape, we begin by noting that in general the pressure and configurational energy of an equilibrium system can be written as
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\[ p = \left[ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} e^{-\beta E_{\alpha}} \right]^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} p_{\alpha} e^{-\beta E_{\beta}} \]  
\( \text{where} \ \alpha \ \text{enumerates the inherent structures (IS),} \ \beta \ \text{enumerates all the configurations in the equilibrated system belonging to the basin of attraction of the given inherent structure,} \ p_{\beta} \ \text{is the pressure (ideal plus virial) corresponding to a given configuration,} \ \Phi_{\beta} \ \text{is the potential energy corresponding to a given configuration, and} \ \beta = 1/k_{B}T, \ \text{with} \ k_{B} \ \text{denoting Boltzmann’s constant.} \)

\[ \Phi = \left[ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} e^{-\beta E_{\alpha}} \right]^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha} e^{-\beta E_{\beta}} \]

**II. Methods**

Constant \( \rho, T \)** molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of SPC/E \( 15 \)** water were used to generate configurations for the equilibrium fluid along isochores of 1.08, 1.0, 0.966, 0.94, and 0.92 g/cm\(^3\) between \( T = 500 \) and 230 K, with additional low-T runs for the 0.966, 0.94, and 0.92 g/cm\(^3\) isochores down to 180 K. For the two isotherms 260 and 400 K the densities simulated were from 0.8 to 1.25 g/cm\(^3\). The simulation protocol used the standard SPC/E water—water interaction potential parameters, a cubic box of 250 molecules with periodic boundary conditions, and the reaction field method for long range interactions.\(^{20,21}\) A shifted force Lennard Jones(LJ) interaction\(^{22}\) was used in both the simulations and generation of ISs and was chosen to taper linearly to zero between \( R_{0} = 7.52 \) Å and a cutoff distance of \( R_{c} = 7.9 \) Å. This was necessary in order for the system to be amenable to the energy minimization techniques used to generate ISs (see below). The same values of \( R_{0} \) and \( R_{c} \) were used in the reaction field treatment of long-range forces.\(^{20}\) The equations of motion were integrated with a velocity-Verlet and RATTLE\(^{22}\) algorithm using a time step of 0.002 ps.

The liquid was first generated from a body-centered cubic lattice scaled to the appropriate density that was then melted by simulating for 200 ps at 500 K. For each state point reported, the equilibration portion of the MD run was between 100 and 2000 ps, depending on the temperature and density, followed by an ensemble averaging period of at least twice the duration of the equilibration period. Configurations for use in generating ISs were saved from the ensemble averaging portions at intervals of between 1 and 20 ps, with the longer intervals employed at lower \( T \). Below \( T = 230 \) K the root-mean-squared displacement of the molecules was not sufficient (i.e., greater than ca. 6 Å, or ca. two Lennard Jones diameters for the SPC/E potential) to ensure ergodic sampling and thus be considered representative of the equilibrated liquid. On the time scales of the simulations conducted here these are considered to be glasses, which will later be seen to be consistent with the results presented in section III.

The liquid ISs were generated by performing a conjugate-gradient numerical approximation to steepest descent energy minimization\(^{23–25}\) of instantaneous MD configurations to the corresponding local potential energy minimum. This was aided by transforming the atomic coordinates for each water molecule from below for amorphous packings, causing \( \phi^{IS} \) at low temperature to become \( T \)-insensitive. This is consistent with a fragile-to-strong transition in water’s relaxation behavior, in agreement with the scenario proposed recently by Ito et al.\(^{19}\) who combined thermodynamic and kinetic measures of fragility (strong liquids, in Angell’s terminology, exhibit Arrhenius behavior in the \( T \)-dependence of their characteristic relaxation times.\(^{8,18}\) (4) The density range over which SPC/E water exhibits amorphous inherent structures that are more stable than crystalline arrangements coincides with conditions at which polymorphism between glassy phases occurs.\(^{13,14}\) Thus, the energy landscape analysis suggests that polymorphism in water occurs at densities where the liquid no longer has a ground-state that is composed of only a single crystalline polymorph and therefore experiences fluctuations toward more than one ordered arrangement.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The methods used for generating equilibrium and IS configurations for SPC/E water are described in section II. Section III presents in detail the results summarized above, and these are discussed in section IV along with suggested topics for future research.
into the corresponding center-of-mass and Euler angle representations. The transformation was necessary to maintain the rigid molecular geometry of the SPC/E potential and so to be consistent with the simulated equilibrium liquid. Rigidity is maintained in the simulations via the RATTLE algorithm, which is not applicable in the conjugate-gradient method. Each minimization was considered complete when $(6N-1) \sum_i (\delta \phi_i^2) / \delta x_i^2 < 10^{-12}$, where $\phi^a = \phi_{E_0} - 1$, $\phi$ is the potential energy per molecule, $x$ is either a center-of-mass coordinate scaled by the LJ radius ($r = 3.1656 \text{ Å}$) or an Euler angle, $E_0 = (q_{\text{SPC/E}} \epsilon)^2 / (4\pi\epsilon_0 \sigma)$, $\epsilon$ is the fundamental charge of an electron, $\epsilon_0$ the permittivity constant, $q_{\text{SPC/E}} = 0.4238 \text{\ }^{15}$ is the partial charge on each hydrogen atom, and the $i$ summation is over the $6\text{N}$ degrees of freedom (3N center of mass coordinates and 3N Euler angles). For each state point, between 20 and 50 configurations were “quenched” to their corresponding IS for calculating $p^\delta$ and $\phi^\delta$ and for performing the structural analyses presented in section III.

The crystalline ISs were determined by taking the experimental coordinates of the oxygen atoms in a type-I clathrate structure minus its guest molecule, in ice I$_h$, and in ice III, and placing the O atom of an SPC/E water molecule on each of these sites. In the experimental systems the internal HOH angles of water molecules are not necessarily $109.5^\circ$, the value for the SPC/E potential. To maintain the $109.5^\circ$ HOH angle it was necessary in some cases to place the H atoms in positions which do not lie exactly along the line of centers between nearest-neighbor O atoms. In these cases the H atoms were placed so as to maintain a $109.5^\circ$ internal HOH angle while making each OH···O angle simultaneously as close to $180^\circ$ as possible. Within these considerations, the H atoms were placed in such a way as to minimize deviations from H-bond linearity for every OOO triplet composed of a central H-bond donor and two acceptor molecules. This assured that all molecules were hydrogen bonded to exactly four neighbors as in the experimental structures, with proton-ordered patterns so as to yield energies as close as possible to the ground state values. The energies per molecule of these assumed ground states were determined using exactly the same treatments of the intermolecular interactions as in the MD simulations and $\phi$ minimizations. Since the crystalline polymorphs were not commensurate with the cubic symmetry enforced in the MD simulations, each crystalline configuration of molecules was generated by taking a sufficient number of unit cells to provide a “core” configuration of at least 250 molecules, all of which were farther than $R_c$ from the boundary of the overall system. To determine $\phi$ as a function of $\rho$ for each of these crystalline configurations the systems were isotropically expanded or contracted from the volumes corresponding to the experimental unit cell dimensions. The ground-state energies are equivalent to the lowest possible values for $\phi^\delta$ for the pure crystalline forms of the (shifted force) SPC/E model. For all structural analyses discussed in later Sections, the density used for each crystalline polymorph was that at which its $\phi^\delta$ was a minimum. Finally, for purposes of structural analysis of the HB network a purely geometric HB definition was employed. Two molecules were considered to be hydrogen-bonded if their O−O distance was less than the distance of the first minimum in the IS radial distribution function ($\approx 3.1 \text{ Å}$ for all $\rho$, $T$ considered here, data not shown) and if the O−H···O angle was greater than $160^\circ$.

III. Results

Figure 1 shows the equilibrium pressure along isochores for the shifted-force SPC/E model used in this work. The minima correspond to points along the locus of temperatures of maximum density (TMD). An estimate of the TMD is included as a guide to the eye. The value of the TMD at ambient pressure is ca. 274 K (vs 277 K in real water) and the retracing of the TMD occurs at ca. 275 K and −200 bar.

Figure 2 shows $\phi^\delta$ as a function of liquid temperature along several isochores. The symbols for different isochores are the same as in Figure 1. A typical error bar is included in the upper left corner.

The ground-state energies are equivalent to the lowest possible values for $\phi^\delta$ for the pure crystalline forms of the (shifted force) SPC/E model. For all structural analyses discussed in later Sections, the density used for each crystalline polymorph was that at which its $\phi^\delta$ was a minimum. Finally, for purposes of structural analysis of the HB network a purely geometric HB definition was employed. Two molecules were considered to be hydrogen-bonded if their O−O distance was less than the distance of the first minimum in the IS radial distribution function ($\approx 3.1 \text{ Å}$ for all $\rho$, $T$ considered here, data not shown) and if the O−H···O angle was greater than $160^\circ$.

Figure 1. $p$–$T$ projection of isochores in the equilibrium shifted-force SPC/E liquid. The dashed curve is a guide to the eye for the locus of the minima, representing the temperatures of maximum density (TMD). The densities of the isochores are ([ = g/cm$^3$] 0.92(unfilled squares), 0.94(triangles), 0.966(unfilled circles), 1.0(filled circles), and 1.08 (filled squares). Error bars are smaller than the size of the symbols.

Figure 2. $\phi^\delta$ as a function of liquid temperature along several isochores. The symbols for different isochores are the same as in Figure 1. A typical error bar is included in the upper left corner.
energy for structural relaxation becoming strongly $T$-dependent.\(^2\)
In the present case this behavior suggests that transitions between ISs which do not differ appreciably in energy (e.g.,
one{s with only slightly different arrangements of HBs) are still
easily accommodated, but those between significantly different
ISs become increasingly difficult as $T$ decreases. This is
consistent with the progressive importance of network HB
structures at low $T$, as opposed to isolated hydrogen-bonded
structures at high $T$. Finally, consistent with the observations
of Sastry et al.,\(^2\) the low-$T$ plateau corresponds to an effective
glass transition. On the time scale of the simulation the liquid
has lost the ability to sample its configuration space, and has
become trapped in a small set of ISs having almost identical
structures and energies. Large energy barriers (i.e., \(\gg \beta^{-1}\))
prevent the escape to significantly different basins due to the
increasingly cooperative nature of such structural rearrangements
in the low-$T$ liquid or glass. The pronounced $T$-dependence of
$\rho^{IS}$ is indicative of an exceptional “ruggedness” in the landscape.
There is a wide range of amorphous basins in which the liquid
can become trapped on its way to crystallization. In this sense,
SPC/E water is a good glass-former and displays behavior characteristic of so-called fragile liquids.\(^18\) This term denotes
substances whose configurational entropy decreases rapidly upon
supercooling, giving rise to non-Arrhenius relaxation. The fragility of moderately supercooled water has recently been
demonstrated by Angell and co-workers.\(^19\) The parallel between
Figure 2 and the behavior reported by Sastry et al. for the 80% Ni + 20% P Lennard-Jones mixture\(^2\) is remarkable. It is indicative of the diversity of molecular interactions that can
cause extreme ruggedness in the energy landscape. In the case of
water, orientation-dependent interactions and the network structures
to which they give rise at low $T$ are responsible for the
progressive deepening of basins sampled upon cooling. In the
binary LJ case,\(^2\) size disparity hinders crystallization, and the
ruggedness reflects the variety of configurations to which the
system has access given the energetic and geometric disparity
between components.

The equation of state of the energy landscape in terms of its ISs is what are the

instability occurs in the absence of thermal motion, of course, and
therefore is distinct from negative compressibility states
appearing in van der Waals-type equations of state beyond the
spinodal curve. The equation of state of the energy landscape
of a single-component LJ system has recently been found to
exhibit many of the features shown in Figure 3.\(^3\) However, there
are also important differences. Consistent with the ruggedness
underlying Figure 2, the $p^{IS}(\rho)$ for SPC/E water is a function of the temperature of the equilibrated liquid. In contrast, a single
curve characterizes the landscape of the single-component LJ
system.\(^5\) It is well-known that the single-component LJ system
crystallizes easily in simulations,\(^30\) and accordingly, $\rho^{IS}$ is expected to be virtually independent of $T$. Furthermore, in the
LJ case the maximum tensile strength, $\sim 30 \rho_c$ (\(\rho_c\) being the
critical point pressure), is very close to the van der Waals
estimate of 27 $\rho_c$, which implies that the mechanical stability
of amorphous packings and the zero-$T$ limit of the liquid
spinodal are related.\(^4,5\) This connection is less straightforward
in the present case, since the maximum tensile strength of the
inherent structures is clearly $T$-dependent. While this tensile
strength clearly increases as the $T$ of the equilibrated liquid
decreases, its relationship to a limiting tensile strength of the
liquid is not obvious. In addition, it is worth noting that the
minimum $\rho^{IS}$ along the 400 K isotherm, ca. $-5$ kbar, is about
25% greater than 30 $\rho_c$ for the SPC/E potential ($\rho_c = 139 \pm 4$
bar\(^3\)).

For the single-component LJ system, Sastry et al.\(^5\) found that
at $\rho < \rho^*$ the ISs showed the onset of fissures or networks of
void space, with a system-spanning cavity being observed for
densities lower than ca. 0.95$\rho^*$; here, $\rho^*$ is the density at which
$\rho^{IS}$ is a minimum. A similar void analysis for the ISs in water
was performed here as a function of $\rho$ but such fissuring close
to $\rho^*$ was not observed. However, one would still expect large,
system-spanning voids to form even in SPC/E water at suf-
ficiently low density.\(^5,25\) We found that this was indeed the case
for ISs generated from the fluid at 0.60 g/cm\(^3\) and 600 K (Figure 4).

An important question when considering the characteristics
of the energy landscape in terms of its ISs is what are the
absolute minima, i.e., the ordered arrays which provide the lowest potential energy as a function of density. To address this question, the energies of idealized crystalline structures for the shifted-force SPC/E model of water were calculated as a function of density. Recall from section II that these calculations are for crystalline structures taken from the experimental data at one density. The energy for these configurations were calculated as the system was expanded or compressed, without allowing the configurations to relax, or equilibrate. Thus, they do not represent the inherent structures generated from a given ice polymorph allowed to equilibrate at a given density and temperature. These calculations are instead indicative of the energy of ordered structures at different densities, if those structures are composed solely of HB arrangements that exist in the ice polymorphs at the densities where the particular polymorph is the lowest energy structure. This point will be revisited later.

The particular polymorphs (a type-I clathrate, ice Ih, and ice III) were chosen in an attempt to include the relevant experimentally known structures spanning the density range covered by the MD simulations. The superposition of the energies for the crystalline structures with the corresponding amorphous $\phi^{IS}$ generated from the liquid is shown in Figure 5 along different isotherms. According to the Third Law of Thermodynamics, the ground state of a macroscopic system is nondegenerate. Normally, this translates into a crystalline arrangement (He II, whose entropy at 0 K is of course zero, but which remains liquid at 0 K below 25 atm, is an exception). The energies for the individual crystalline configurations would then be expected to lie below the IS energies for the liquid. What Figure 5 unambiguously shows, however, is that this reasoning is not correct for $\rho$ values which are intermediate between $\phi$ ($\rho$) minima on the curves for the idealized crystalline forms. This illustrates an important point. Namely, that the “pure”, or idealized, crystalline configurations are the lowest energy states only over a small range of density. For a given polymorph this would be the density range corresponding to the temperatures and pressures where it is the stable ice phase. However, Figure 5 suggests that the lowest energy states (i.e. crystalline states) for intermediate densities will either be a mixture of different crystalline polymorphs, or will have a structure which is itself intermediate between those of the polymorphs. In Figure 5 this intermediate density range is readily apparent by considering the points on the 260 and 230 K isotherms that fall below the.
larger, more cooperative HB structures may prove more fruitful (cf. Figure 5). It may be argued that the shoulder at ca. 90° is a consequence of the fact that the ground-state crystalline structures of the ice I and ice III are a mixture of the "pure" polymorphic structures. We take this as suggestive of a similar situation in the liquid ISs that include combinations of different locally ordered structures. The analysis of the HB structures just described. The analysis of the HB network structures merely shows that the liquid samples progressively deeper basins upon cooling (Figure 2). The above results also suggest that a comparison between larger, more cooperative HB structures may prove more fruitful in indentifying and quantifying existence or lack of ice-like structures in the liquid ISs. To this end the number of rings of HB’s in the equilibrated liquid and the ISs for n-member rings (n = 4, 5, and 6) was determined as a function of ρ and T. Figure 8 shows that the amounts of these ring structures are quite sensitive to T and ρ. Figure 8a shows the average number of n = 4, 5, and 6 rings (N(4), N(5), and N(6)) as a function of ρ at 260 K in both the ISs and in the equilibrium liquid. Figure 8b shows the analogous curves as a function of T along the 0.94 g/cm³ isochore. The amount of n = 4 rings is insensitive to ρ and decreases slightly with decreasing T. N(6) increases rapidly and monotonically with decreasing T, while as a function of ρ it has a maximum around 0.94 g/cm³ at 260 K. The ratio N(6)/N(5) stays approximately constant at 2 in both cases. The physical significance of the maxima in N(6) and N(5) as a function of ρ is discussed in Section IV with respect to the pIS (ρ) minimum (Figure 3). Also included in Figures 8a and b are the values for the equilibrium fluid. It can be seen that the results are qualitatively the same, but that the absolute amounts of the rings and the scatter in the data are significantly smaller and larger, respectively, in the equilibrium configurations than in the corresponding ISs. For comparison to the crystalline polymorphs, ice III has only five-member rings, ice I has only six-member rings, and the type-I clathrate has a mixture of five- and six-member rings with N(5)/N(6) = 8. No four-member rings are present in these crystalline polymorphs. Thus if we identify the HB rings (n = 5 and 6) as being crystalline-like, we note that their relative amounts are essentially independent of T and ρ but that their absolute amounts are sensitive to ρ, and also change rapidly within the intermediate T range where the liquid samples progressively deeper basins upon cooling (Figure 2).
A further test of the differences and similarities between the HB network in the ISs and in the crystalline polymorphs was also constructed by comparing how close the rings were to being planar. To quantify the planar character of the rings a quantity \( S \) was defined as

\[
S = n_{abcd}^{-1} \sum_{(abcd)} [1 - \cos \theta_{abcd}]
\]

where \( n_{abcd} \) is the number of independent torsional (OOOO) angles in a given polygon \( n_{abcd} = 1, 2, \) and 3 for cyclic tetramers, pentamers, and hexamers, respectively), the \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) indicates a summation over the \( n_{abcd} \) independent angles, and \( \theta_{abcd} \) is the value of a given torsional (dihedral) angle. Thus, for a completely planar geometry \( S = 0 \), and \( S = 2 \) indicates a maximum skew away from a planar geometry. However, comparison of distributions \( p(S,n) \) of \( S \) for \( n \)-membered rings \( (n = 4, 5, \) and 6) in the liquid ISs and crystalline ground states did not yield conclusive results. We were unable to unambiguously identify signatures of the crystalline polymorphs that were evident in the equilibrated liquid configurations or the liquid ISs, or that evolved as a function of \( T \) or \( \rho \) in these configurations or ISs. The only notable observations from this analysis are: (1) five-member rings in the liquid ISs had \( S \approx 0.01 \pm 0.01 \) (i.e., almost perfectly planar), and (2) six-member rings in the liquid ISs had an almost uniform distribution of \( S \) between 0 and 1, which was insensitive to \( T \) and \( \rho \); (3) \( p(S,n) \) for the liquid ISs were very different from those in any of the three crystalline polymorphs considered here, indicating that any identifiable crystallites tend to experience considerable distortion.

IV. Discussion

A number of important points follow from the preceding results. First, the equation of state of SPC/E water’s energy landscape, \( p^{IS}(\rho) \), is strongly dependent on the temperature of the equilibrated liquid. This is in contrast to the single-component LJ case where the IS equation of state is essentially independent of temperature. The observed behavior for SPC/E water reflects the biasing toward deeper basins upon lowering \( T \). This evolution toward less strained HB structures is accompanied by an increase in tensile strength. Since the more stable HB network arrangements in water correspond to open, low-density structures, the expectation is that \( \rho^* \) would decrease upon cooling. The precision of the data shown in Figure 3 does not allow this prediction to be unambiguously tested.

Second, the results for \( \phi^S \) in Figure 2 suggest that SPC/E is a fragile liquid over the \( T \) range in which the liquid equilibrated on the time scales of the MD simulations. Thus, there is a strong \( T \)-dependence of the liquid IS potential energy at moderate supercoolings, but only a weak dependence at very deep supercoolings as the liquid becomes trapped in a glassy state. However, it follows from Figure 4 that even if the liquid were to equilibrate at \( T < 230 \) K, \( \phi^S \) cannot continue to decrease; otherwise, amorphous structures would have lower energies than ordered ground states. Additionally, the values of the IS potential energy in the glassy states lie very close to those of crystalline ground states: compare \( \phi^S \) (190 K, 0.94 g/cm\(^3\)) (Figure 2) with the lowest \( \phi^S \) values in the crystalline polymorphs (Figure 4). This suggests that the liquid immediately above its glass transition is strong, while at moderate supercoolings it is fragile. Experimental evidence for this type of behavior has recently been provided by Angell and co-workers; our simulations suggest a plausible molecular interpretation. The fact that \( \phi^S \) is insensitive to \( \rho \) at low \( T \) also indicates that the density fluctuations which are present in an isobaric system would not alter this picture for SPC/E water.

Third, the minimum in \( p^{IS} \) in SPC/E water does not appear to correspond closely to the appearance of fissures and voids as was found in the single-component LJ fluid. The maximum in \( N^{00} \) and \( N^{01} \) as a function of \( \rho \) in the ISs along the 260 K isotherm is suggestive of possible structural characteristics of the HB network that correlate quantitatively with the minimum in \( p^{IS}(\rho) \). The maximum in \( N^{00} \) and \( N^{01} \) at 0.94 g/cm\(^3\) and 260 K does not correspond exactly with the location of the \( p^{IS} \) minimum at \( \rho^* \approx 0.87 \) g/cm\(^3\) on the 260 K isotherm, indicating that the strength of HB network is not fully described only by the number of intact ring structures. A more complete structural characterization of the mechanical strength of the HB network is in progress.

Additionally, both the binary LJ system and SPC/E water show strong \( T \)-dependence, below some \( T^* \), along isochors of \( \phi^S \). This \( T \)-dependence is attributed to the ruggedness of the energy landscape, which causes the liquid to sample progressively deeper energy basins below \( T^* \). As mentioned above, the \( T \)-dependence of \( p^{IS}(\rho) \) for SPC/E water is also attributed to the ruggedness of the landscape, and is considered to be indicative of so-called fragile glass-forming liquids. Thus, it is expected that other systems showing strong \( T \)-dependence of \( \phi^S \), such as the binary LJ mixture investigated by Sastry et al., will also show \( T \)-dependent \( p^{IS}(\rho) \). The \( T \)-dependence of the IS equation of state was not examined by Sastry et al., and so this prediction remains to be tested.

Finally, the structures underlying the liquid at low \( T \) are highly distorted and mixed versions of local arrangements in the crystalline polymorphs of water. There are also distinctly amorphous contributions, possibly due to the incommensurate character of the different polymorphs. This “mixture” is present in each configuration rather than resulting from a combination of significantly different configurations. It is also of interest to note that the density range over which the liquid becomes effectively “confused” as to its preferred ground state as \( T \) is lowered below ca. 260 K is similar to that over which the polymorphic transition between low density and high-density amorphous ice (i.e., polyamorphism) occurs. This suggests a novel, microscopic interpretation of the anomalous low-temperature phase behavior and thermodynamic properties of supercooled and glassy water in terms of its energy landscape. That is, the ground states at these intermediate densities (ca. 1.05–1.20 g/cm\(^3\)) have to be combinations of the stable ice polymorphs in water. Such mixed ground states have higher energies than the ground states corresponding to the pure ice polymorphs. In addition, the liquid (or glassy) ISs have energies that are unusually close to those of the crystalline ground states. Thus at low temperature, where the free energy of condensed systems is dominated by internal energy, the liquid (or glass) may exhibit polyamorphism over a range of pressures where the system’s energy can be minimized by sampling from the ISs in the vicinity of the pure ice polymorphs, rather than from ISs which are themselves distorted mixtures of polymorphic structures. In this context, it is also worth noting that SPC/E water may be stable in crystalline arrangements that do not correspond to any polymorphs found in experiments. Such structures were not included in this work, but it is of interest to include them in future work. In particular it would be interesting to see if these structures have ground-state energies that are comparable to the lowest energies calculated here for ice I\(_3\) and ice III. The existence of additional crystalline phases in different
models for water raises the interesting question of how the energy landscape differs for the various conventional models used to simulate water.

Topics currently being pursued in light of these results include the detailed characterization of the structures that confer tensile strength to the HB network (see Figure 8); investigating the particular features of different types of molecular systems that can give rise to a strong temperature dependence of $p^S(\rho)$; investigating water rotational dynamics across the temperature range shown in Figure 2; and a more detailed characterization of water ISs under conditions where the ground state is expected to by polycrystalline, with the goal of better identifying signatures of crystallinity.
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