Homework 2.

Follow the instructions from lecture. The Lemmon book will not help with doing these exercises.

- 1. Prove that the following arguments are valid. You may use the following rules of inference: & I, & E, ∨I, MPP, MTT, DN.
 - (a) 1. P & Q / $P \lor Q$
 - (b) 1. $-P \rightarrow -Q$ 2. Q / P
 - (c) 1. $(A \lor B) \to T$ 2. $Z \to (A \lor B)$ 3. $T \to W$ 4. -W / -Z
 - (d) 1. $-A \rightarrow -B$ 2. $A \rightarrow C$ 3. $Z \rightarrow W$ 4. -C & -W / $-B \lor W$
 - (e) 1. $(-A \lor -B) \to -G$ 2. $-A \to (F \to G)$ 3. $(A \to D) \& -D$ / -F
 - (f) 1. $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow D)$ 2. $(F \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$ 3. $A \rightarrow (F \rightarrow A)$ 4. $A \& C / D \lor F$
 - (g) 1. $(U \lor W) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow R)$ 2. U & H

3.
$$-R \& -J$$
 / $U \& -T$

2. *Knights and knaves*. Knaves always lie, knights always tell the truth, and in Transylvania, where everybody is one or the other (but you can't tell which by looking), you encounter two people A and B. A says: "Either B's a knight or I'm a knave." (Note: The "or" in this sentence should be interpreted in the inclusive sense.) What are they? (Explain how you got your answer.)

HW #2 p. 2 of 2