Homework 4

Due Friday, March 9 by 4pm.

- 1. (Your lucky day! Give away problem) Define "the argument with premises A_1, \ldots, A_n and conclusion B is valid" in terms of truth-tables.
- 2. True or False (explain your answer): If an argument is valid, then it might be made invalid by adding some further premises.
- 3. True or False (explain your answer): There could be a correctly written proof with the following line fragments:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & (1) & P & & A \\ 2 & (2) & Q \rightarrow \neg P & & A \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & (n) & P \rightarrow \neg Q & & \end{array}$$

(Here "n" is an arbitrarily large number.)

4. Write a sentence ϕ that contains only \wedge , \neg , P, Q and that has the following truth table.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} P & Q & \phi \\ \hline T & T & F \\ T & F & T \\ F & T & T \\ F & F & F \\ \end{array}$$

5. True or False (explain your answer): The sentence

$$P \to (Q \to (R \to S))$$

has a substitution instance that is an inconsistency.