

## Appendix D. — A Chronology of Reports on Engineering Education

- 1918 Publication of the Mann Report, *A Study of Engineering Education*, sponsored by the Society for the Promotion of Engineering Education (SPEE) and funded by the Carnegie Foundation. It urged: return to fundamentals and unify fragmenting curricula; merge theory and practice in coursework; introduce “real work,” including “values and costs,” into teaching engineering problem solving; retain shop experience, laboratory, industrial training, cooperative and summer work in curriculum; English mastery; link technology to its human and social setting; closer university-industry linkage, especially in research, to improve productivity and thereby national well-being; develop discipline for work and “lifelong” study; select faculty based on teaching ability and work experience, not just research excellence.
- 1930 Publication of volume 1 of the Wickenden Report, *Report of the Investigation of Engineering Education 1923-1929*.
- 1934 Publication of volume 2 of the Wickenden Report. It urged a halt to fragmentation of curricula; graduate engineering education and continuing education for 5 years after graduation; forms of technical education other than engineering colleges; functional rather than professional engineering education; design project, including writing, for second and third year students; third year project teaching, fourth year honors option; stronger high school preparation; lifetime learning in cooperation with industry; professional certification by engineering societies independent of State licensing; higher faculty standards; teach engineering method; teach society and values so engineers can understand social impact of engineering.
- 1939 H.P. Hammond Report for SPEE, *Aims and Scope of the Engineering Curriculum*, **recommended:** diversification of curricula; parallel technical and humanities/social sciences “stems”; reconsideration of 4-year curriculum and move to 5- or even 6-year program.
- 1944 H.P. Hammond Report for SPEE Committee on Engineering Education After the War: reaffirmed 1939 report; promoted expanding technician programs to

fill industrial needs then being met, non-optimally, by engineers; and teaching the “art” of engineering as distinct from scientific method.

- 1955 L.E. Grinter, *Report on the Evaluation of Engineering Education* for American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE). The final report included comments by 122 engineering colleges. It recommended: five “stems” — humanities and social sciences, mathematics and basic science, generic engineering science, engineering specialty subjects, and electives; a two-track undergraduate curriculum, one to immediate employment, the other to graduate study; twin goals for engineering education — technical (analysis and “creative design”; construction, production, operation) and social (ethics, general education, leadership in technological action); improved high school preparation and articulation with admission standards; the integration of graduate education and research-oriented faculty into undergraduate curriculum; requirements for industrial experience and proven teaching ability for tenure; programs for gifted students; improved facilities; dropping shop and upgrading laboratories, retaining a 4-year curriculum but encouraging experimentation; a focus on design; a base curriculum of engineering science, not contemporary engineering practices; the inclusion of social and economic factors in solutions to technological problems; unification of analytical methods in all branches of engineering; and lifelong learning.
- 1956 Publication of the E.S. Burden Report, complementary to the Grinter Report, *General Education in Engineering — Report of the Commission for the Humanities: Social Research Project* (of the ASEE). Conclusions: more humanities and social sciences needed; rejected fears that this will either weaken engineering education or lead to superficial treatment of humanities and social sciences.
- 1959 Report to President Eisenhower by Lee DuBridge, Chairman of the President’s Science Advisory Committee, *Education for the Age of Science* urged: enhance the image of the teaching profession; improve high school education as preparation for science and engineering careers; reform curricula by unifying it along scientific principles common to engineering specializations, teach relation of engineering to social and governmental problems instead of parallel humanities/social sciences stem; promote the Ph.D. for engineers;

provide special programs for gifted students; expand technical institutes; and retain faculty.

- 1966      Engineers Joint Council response to *Interim ASEE Goals of Engineering Education Report*: integrate teaching of engineering practice into its social context; focus on fundamentals, not current information; do not standardize curricula or accreditation; increase student-faculty interaction; promote lifetime learning; and expand the role of engineering professional societies in linking education to state-of-the-art practices.
- 1968      Publication of Final Report of the 5-year ASEE study, *Goals of Engineering Education*. It endorsed the Grinter Report on engineering science as the basis of engineering education. Recommendations: add 1 year of graduate study to basic engineering education; limit prerequisites and open the engineering major to transfers; expand cooperative and interdisciplinary programs; reduce credit hours for graduation; improve teaching of social and economic factors influencing, and influenced by, technology by integrating humanities and social sciences into the engineering curriculum; integrate research and undergraduate teaching; hire faculty with industrial experience, regardless of degrees; expand technician programs; and expand industry funding of engineering research; promote advanced engineering education (Ph.D.), continuing education, lifelong learning, professional registration by faculty. Predictions: M.S. will become the basic engineering degree; fewer programs/institutions; and the increasing use of engineering to solve social problems.
- 1968      Olmsted Report for ASEE: integrate humanities and social sciences into 4-year programs; improve general education; retain humanities and social science faculty; and reduce the number of electives while retaining breadth.
- 1975      The Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Policy Alternatives Report, J. Herbert Holloman, Chairman, *Future Directions for Engineering Education: System Response to a Changing World*, provoked by a “precipitous decline” in engineering enrollments and America’s global dominance. It noted that engineering education was too responsive to “transient” changes. Recommended: prepare for declining enrollments; restore art of engineering to curriculum by teaching design; require work experience or cooperative

education; integrate humanities and social sciences into engineering curriculum; raise consciousness of "culture" of the sciences as opposed to their techniques; teach social, economic, political and legal constraints on engineering; expand 2- and 4-year technology programs; promote continuing education in engineering rather than management; expand evaluation; promote the engineering major as generic preprofessional training; and use industry more as a resource and sponsor.

- 1982 ***The Quality of Engineering Education***, National Association of State University and Land-Grant Colleges, J. D. Kemper, Chairman. Cited problems of overenrollment, faculty shortages, and serious inadequacies in equipment, space, and facilities; and recommended increased faculty salaries and industry support and government funding to upgrade the infrastructure.
- 1985 The National Academy of Engineering (NAE) publishes a 9-volume study, ***Engineering Education and Practice in the U.S.***, chaired by J.A. Haddad.
- 1985 NAE report to the National Science Foundation (NSF), ***New Directions for Engineering in the NSF***, Peter Likins, Chairman.
- 1986 National Conference on Engineering Education, convened by the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology. Consensus recommendations: update undergraduate engineering education with mathematics concentration in probability, statistics, and numerical analysis; more breadth in basic sciences; expand humanities, social sciences, and communication skills; focus on design, including socioeconomic factors; intensify use of computers; introduce interdisciplinary coursework in real-world problem contexts; set admission standards that obviate need for remediation; strengthen faculty, requiring industrial experience and teaching effectiveness for tenure; continuing education; advisory committee of practicing engineers for each engineering education unit; raise fellowship stipends to one-half industry starting salary to attract U.S. graduate students; tighten the link of engineering education to engineering practice; encourage longer than 4-year curricula but do not mandate them; and increase role for

engineers vis-a-vis executives, economists, and politicians in improving competitiveness.

- 1986 Final ASEE Report, *Quality in Engineering Education Programs*, W. Edward Lear, Project Director. Cited problems of overenrollment, insufficient and obsolete laboratory equipment, and facilities shortage and deterioration. Recommended: re-emphasize production along with research; make industrial experience and effective teaching conditions of tenure; require test of spoken English for teaching assistants; institute structured continuing faculty education; implement computers and other new educational technologies; expand production of technicians; and improve laboratory teaching, assigning senior faculty to it.
- 1986 *The Quality of Engineering Education II*, followup to 1982 report, James E. A. John, Chairman. Recommended: promote U.S. citizen graduate study by raising fellowship stipends to one-half industry starting salary; fund large scale facilities improvement and maintenance; retain Ph.D. faculty with a healthy campus research environment; and produce more technicians.
- 1986 National Academy of Engineering, *The Impact of Defense Spending on Nondefense Engineering Labor Markets*.
- 1986 *Engineering College Research and Graduate Study: A 20 Year Statistical Analysis*, W.J. Fabricky, J.E. Osbourne and R.C. Woods.
- 1987 ASEE Report, *A National Action Agenda for Engineering Education*, E. E David, Chairman. Its eight recommendations: scale back the 4-year, necessarily limited curriculum to prepare for continuing education; make graduate education more practice-oriented; re-emphasize engineering design and manufacturing; improve undergraduate laboratories; attract more and better U.S. graduate students and faculty with higher salaries and research funding; bolster faculty development; support career-long education; and improve precollege mathematics and science education and introduction to engineering careers.

SOURCE: Steven L. Goldman, "The History of Engineering Education: Perennial Issues in the Supply and Training of Talent," OTA contractor report, 1987.