Index
Aaronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co., 46
Agency for International Development (AID), use and regulation of genetically altered animals by, 16, 109
Agreements, international
- concerning biological inventions, 21, 155-159
- see also individual agreements
Agricultural Research Service (ARS)--USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 106
Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection. See Northern Regional Research Laboratory
Agriculture
- impacts of plant protection on U. S., 84-87
- proprietary protection variation among crops used in, 79,82-84
- transgenic animal patenting’s effects on, 136-137
- see also Cattle; Livestock; Poultry; Transgenic animals
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)--USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 107
American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, 105
American Fruit Growers v. Brogdex, 93
American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), 141-142, 147, 149, 150
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)--USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 107
Animals
- history of patenting, 32
- intellectual property protection’s economic impacts on four classes of, 17, 119-123
- see also Cattle; Livestock; Poultry; Transgenic animals
Animal Welfare Act of 1985, 103, 104, 107, 133
Annas, George, 91
Applications, patent
- requirements for, 40-41
- time required for PTO examination of, 9, 60
Argentina, patent law in, 164
Aristotle, 99
Arizona, beef cattle production in, 117
Armstrong Nurseries, Inc. v. Smith et. al., 72
Asgrow Seed Co. v. Kunkle Seed Co., Inc. et. al., 75
Asilomar Conference, 30
Australia, intellectual property law in, 162
Australian Patent Office, 162
Barnes, F., 23
Baylor College of Medicine (Texas), 23
Beef. See Cattle; Livestock
Belgium, national patent law of, 161
Biotechnology
- definition of, 3
- intellectual property protection strategies in industry involving plant, 84
- patent activity in emerging areas of, 55-56
- patent litigation related to, 8-9,56-60
- patents’ impact on commercialization of, 8,55
- see also DNA
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI)—PTO, 41
Ex parte Allen decision by, 93
utility patent ruling by, 11, 31-32, 74-75, 79
Bolar Pharmaceutical Co., 58-59
Boynt, Herbert, 56
Brave New World, 31
Brazil, patent law in, 164
Breeding
- European laws concerning plant, 159
- gene insertion techniques use in future, 13,97-98
- PPA encouragement of, 9-10,71-72,85
- PVPA encouragement of, 10-11,72-73,85-87
Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purpose of Patent Procedure, 18, 141, 142, 144, 147, 158-159
Bulgaria, intellectual property law in, 163
Burger, Warren, 49, 125
Burroughs-Wellcome (PLC)--U. K., 55
California, 83
- beef cattle production in, 117
- milk production in, 116
California, University of (San Francisco), 30,56
Canada, patent law in, 164-165
Canadian Patent Act, 164
Canadian Patent Office, 164
Cattle
- beef, 117
- dairy, 116-117
- see also Livestock
Cetus, stock offering by, 55
Chakrabarty, Ananda, 31,52
Charles River Biotechnical Services, 121
Chickens. See Poultry
Chile, intellectual property law in, 164
Cohen, Stanley N., 56
Cohen-Boyer patent, 30,56
Commercialization, patents’ impact on biotechnology, 8,55
Commission of the European Communities, 161, 162
Congress, U.S.
- issues and options for, 22-26
- patent policies adopted by, 30,32,55
- subject matter patentability intended by, 5, 37, 39
Contracting. See Licensing
Cooperative State Research Service (CSRS)—USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 106-107
Coordinated Framework for Regulation of Biotechnology, 103
Copyright Office, U. S., subject matter policy of, 43
Copyrights, basic concepts and workings of, 5,43-44
Corcoran, Elizabeth, 27
Corn, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry for hybrid, 82
Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, U.S. (CCPA), deposit decision by, 143
Courts. See Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, U. S.; Litigation; Supreme Court, U.S.
Culture depositories. See International Depositary Authorities Czechoslovakia, intellectual property protection in, 163
Darwin, Charles, 98, 100
Data collection
- on Federal regulation of genetically altered animals, 16, 102-110
- on post-Chakrabarty biotechnology-related patent activity, 55-56
- on seed and plant industries’, universities’, and nurseries’ opinions concerning intellectual property protection, 11, 78, 80-81
Delta & Pine Land Co. v. Peoples Gin Co., 75
Department of Agriculture, U.S. (USDA), 24, 70
deposit issues and, 147, 149
egg pricing link to market reports from, 116
farmer’s exemption abuses, limitations and, 25, 79
pork production estimate by, 117
soybean planting survey by, 79, 83
use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 106-108
Department of Commerce, U.S. (DOC), 147
Department of Defense, U.S. (DoD), 127
Department of Energy, U.S. (DOE), use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 110-111
Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. (DHHS), 147
Deposit
- access to samples on, 148-149
- considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 82
- issues involving, 18-21, 142-147
- maintenance, 147-148
- methods for plant and animal, 149-150
- PPA and, 72
- PTO policy on, 18-20, 26, 143-146, 148
Depositories. See International Depositary Authorities
Design patents
- as type of subject matter patent, 38
- see also Patents; Subject matter
Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 7-8, 31, 33, 93
biotechnology R&D encouragement from ruling in, 54, 55, 85-87
details of decision in, 51-54
ethical questions raised by, 130-137
patent activity following, 8-9, 55-56, 60
DNA (Deoxyribonucleic acid)
- as copyright subject matter, 43-44
development of technology for recombinant, 51
Federal Government research involving recombinant, 16, 102-110
NIH guidelines for research involving recombinant, 105
techniques for producing transgenic animals, 12-13, 93-98
- see also Biotechnology
Duffey, William, 49
du Pont de Nemours & Co., E. I., 12, 32, 121
Economics
- of animal patenting on the Third World, 135-136
- of biotechnology-related R&D, 55
- of farmer’s exemption prohibition, 86
- of on-farm agricultural seed and plant use, 78
- of private plant breeding, 9, 11, 71, 73, 85-87
- of royalty collection from animal patents, 121-122
- of seed industries, 82, 84
- of transgenic animal patenting on consumers, 115, 123
- of transgenic animal patenting on inventors, 16-17, 119-120, 123, 115
- of transgenic animal patenting on users and producers (licensees), 115, 120-122, 123
- of transgenic animal research, 94-95
Endangered Species Act, 103, 110
Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. (EPA), use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 108
Ethics, involved in animal patenting, 17-18, 30-31, 127-137
Europe, 147
animal patent applications in, 23, 156, 158
intellectual property laws of counties in Eastern, 162-163
patentable subject matter in, 22, 160
UPOV formation in, 10, 73
see also European Common Market
European Common Market (EC), 162
European Patent Convention and, 158
see also Europe: individual member counties of
European Patent Convention (EPC), 21, 23
animal patenting opposition by members of, 135
national patent laws in member countries of, 161-162
patentable subject matter in member countries, 160-161
purpose and workings of, 158
see also individual signatories of
European Patent Office (EPO), 21, 23, 148, 157, 158, 160
Ex parte Allen, 30, 33, 93
Ex parte Grayson, 93
Ex parte Hibberd
biotechnology R&D encouragement from ruling on, 85
utility patent use ruling in, 11, 30, 33, 74-75, 93
Farmers, PVPA exemption for, 11, 25, 73-74, 79, 83, 86
Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA), 58-59, 103, 108
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 103, 108
Federal Meat Inspection Act, 107
Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), national patent law of, 149, 161
Feldman v. Aunstrup, 144
First, Neal, 23, 113
Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS)—Department of the Interior, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 109-110
Food and Drug Administration, U.S. (FDA), 59
center for Veterinary Medicine, 107
effect on patent term of regulatory review by, 41
patent infringement and drug approval-related testing required by, 58
use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 108
Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS)—USDA, use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 107-108
France, national patent law of, 161
*Funk Bros. Seed Co. v. Kalo Inoculant Co.*, 93
Genentech, Inc., stock offering by, 30, 55
General Electric Co., 31, 52
General Hospital Corp., The (Massachusetts), 23
Genetically engineered animals. See Transgenic animals
Genetic engineering. See Biotechnology
Georgia, “phony peach disease” in, 71
German Democratic Republic (G.D.R.), patent law in, 163
Germplasm, impact of plant protection on exchange of, 25-26, 87
Godown, Richard, 113
Government, U.S. Federal
generically altered animal regulation by, 16, 102-110
milk price supports, 17, 116
patent rights for inventions made with assistance from, 43
post-Chakrabarty events and trends in patent policy of, 8-9, 54-55
see also individual agencies of
Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 103, 105
Guidelines
Patent and Trademark Office deposit, 18-20, 143-146, 148
for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules (NIH), 103-104, 105, 109, 110
see also Policy: Regulation
Harvard mouse. See Oncomouse
Harvard University, 12, 23, 30, 32, 98, 99, 121
Health Research Extension Act, 104
History
of intellectual property law, 4-12, 37
of plant property protection, 9-12, 70-75
of species integrity philosophy, 14, 98-102
Hoffman-La Roche, 35
Hungary, patent law in, 163
Huxley, Aldous, 31
Immunex (Washington State), 23
Incentives, economic, for private plant breeding research, 9, 11, 71, 73, 85-87
Industry
choosing and managing plant protection by seed and plant, 78-82
features of dairy, 116-117
features of poultry, 115-116
features of red meat production, 117
intellectual property criteria considered by, 11, 78-84
R&D expenditures by biotechnology, 55
Infringement, patent
definition of and remedy for, 41
litigation involving, 8-9, 58-59
_In re Lundak_, 144
_In re Wakefield_, 93
Institute of Microbiology, Rutgers University (IMRU), 142
Integrated Genetics, Inc. (Massachusetts), 23
Intellectual property, 4-7, 37, 46-47
comparison of various forms of protection for, 11-12, 75-78
economic impacts on four classes of animals from protecting, 17, 119-123
effect on U.S. agriculture of protecting plant, 84-87
history of plant protection-related, 9-12, 70-75
international agreements concerning protection of, 21-22, 155-159
plants and, 9-12, 69, 87-89
U.S. seed and plant industry criteria for choosing protection for, 11, 78-84
see also Copyrights; Patents; Trademarks; Trade secrets
International Depositary Authorities (IDAs), 18, 20, 141-142, 144, 145-146, 149, 158-159
impact of deposit of patented animals on, 20-21, 150
role of, 19, 146-147
International searching authority (ISA), 157
International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV)
breeders’ rights protection through, 10, 73, 165
workings and purpose of, 159, 161, 163, 164
Inventions Secrecy Act, 127-128
In Vitro International, Inc. (IVI), 142, 149
Japan
biotechnology deposit and, 147
patent law in, 163-164
Japanese Patent Office, 22, 163, 164
Jefferson, Thomas, 35, 37, 54
Karny, Geoff, 91
*Kewanee Oil v. Bicron Corp.*, 46
*Kim Brothers v. Hagler*, 72
Kinsman, John, 113
Lacey Act, 104
Lanham Act. See Trademark Act of 1946
Leder, Philip, 99
Legislation
language changes in patent, 37
worldwide national patent, 161, 165
see also individual statutes
Licensing
considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 81-82
impacts of animal patenting on users/producers, 120-122
Linnaeus, Carolus, 98
Litigation
biotechnology-related patent infringement, 8-9, 58-59
considerations when choosing plant protection methods, 79-81
post-Chakrabarty patent, 8-9, 56-60
see also individual legal cases
Livestock
economic impacts of transgenic animal patenting on production of, 17, 120, 121-122, 123
overview of major market sectors producing/using, 115-117
see also Animals; Cattle; Poultry; Transgenic animals
Locke, John, 130
Luminus PTY Ltd. (Australia), 23
Mexico, intellectual property protection in, 165
Microinjection, viability of gene insertion using, 13, 95-97
Minnesota, milk production in, 116
Models, animals as disease, 119, 120, 123
Monitoring. See Regulation
Morality. See Ethics
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 110
National Council of Churches, 132, 134
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 108, 110
National Institutes of Health (NIH), use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 102-105
National Science Foundation (NSF), use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 16, 108-109
Netherlands, The, 148
New York State, milk production in, 116
North Dakota, beef cattle production in, 117
Northern Regional Research Laboratory (NRRL)--USDA, 141, 142
Novelty, as requirement for patent issuance, 39-40
Oklahoma, beef cattle production in, 117
Oncomouse
marketing of, 121
patenting of, 12, 23, 30, 32, 98, 99
Origin of Species, The, 100
Owens-Corning, 44

Pun-American Plant CO. v. Matsui, 72
Paris Union Convention
description, workings, and purpose of, 155-157
members of, 156
priority right of, 144, 156
Parke Davis Co., 141
Pasteur, Louis, 7, 30, 31, 51, 142
Patent Act of 1952, congressional intent expressed in Committee Reports accompanying, 4-5, 37
Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. (PTO)
animal patenting policy of, 12, 93
biotechnology patents issued by, 3, 29
classes of utility patents used by, 39
deposit guidelines, 18-20, 26, 143-146, 148
enablement policy of, 26
as international searching authority, 157
post-Chakrabarty patent activity by, 8-9, 55-56, 60
use and regulation of genetically engineered animals by, 102
Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), 157
Patents
arguments against issuance of transgenic animal, 130-137
arguments for issuance of transgenic animal, 127-130
barriers to issuance of, 37-40
basic concepts, workings, and requirements of, 4-5, 37-43
definition of, 31
economic impacts of transgenic animal, 16-17, 115, 117-123
effect on biotechnology commercialization of, 8, 55
history of plant, animal, cell, and micro-organism, 31-32
method for obtaining, 40-41
process protection available using (prior to 1980), 51
protection of rights granted by, 8-9, 41-43, 58-59
term of, 5, 11, 39, 41, 70, 73, 148-149
see also Design patents; Plant patents; Process patents; Utility patents
Penguin Books, 44
People’s Republic of China (P.R.C.), patent law in, 165
Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, 60
Pharmaceutical Proteins Ltd. (U.K.), 23
Pharmaceuticals, transgenic animals used in production of, 17, 119-120, 121, 123
Plant Patent Act of 1930 (PPA)
goals and strategy of, 9-10, 25, 30, 31, 33, 71-72, 85
judicial interpretation of, 72
plant patents authorization by, 69-70, 73, 75, 76
Supreme Court’s analysis of, in Chakrabarty ruling, 8, 54
Plant patents
definition of, 69-70
protection available using PVPCs and utility patents v., 76-77
as type of subject matter patent, 39
Plants
definitions of asexually and sexually produced, 60
history of intellectual property protection relating to, 9-12, 31-32, 70-75
methods for depositing, 149
Plant Variety Protection Act of 1970 (PVPA), 24, 30, 31
amendments to, 11, 30, 80
farmers exemption under, 11, 25, 73-74, 79, 83, 86
goals and strategy of, 10-11, 25, 72-74
judicial interpretation of, 75
research exemption under, 11, 73, 82
seed deposit required under, 20, 149
Supreme Court’s analysis of, in Chakrabarty ruling, 8, 54
USDA administration of, 7, 11, 74
Plant Variety Protection Certificates (PVPCs), 25
definition of, 70
exclusions to, 11, 73-74
protection available using plant patents and utility patents v., 76-77
seed deposit required for issuance of, 20, 149
Plant Variety Protection Office (PVPO)--USDA, 70
Plato, 99
Poland, intellectual property law in, 163
Policy
copyright subject matter, 43
NIH genetically altered animal, 103-104, 105
post-Chakrabarty events and trends in Federal patent, 8-9, 54-55
transgenic animal pateniting and environmental, 136
Poultry
economic impacts of transgenic animal patenting on production of, 17, 120, 121-122, 123
sector overview, 115-116
Poultry Products Inspection Act, 103, 107
Prather, R. S., 23
Process patents
protection (prior to 1980) available using, 51
see also Patents
Public Health Service Act, 108
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index 195</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 104, 133

Quigg, Donald J., 93, 125

Regulation
of genetically altered animals by Federal Government agencies, 16, 102-110
see also Guidelines

Republic, 99

Research
Chakrabarty decision’s impact on biotechnology-related, 8, 54, 55
exemption to PVPA, 11, 73, 82
Federal Government recombinant DNA, 16, 102-110
gene insertion techniques used in transgenic animal production, 13, 92-98
plant protection methods use influence on seed and plant, 84-87
PPA encouragement of, 9-10,71-72, 85
PVPA encouragement of, 11, 72-73, 85-87
relationship of germplasm exchange, patent protection, and, 25-26

Rich, Judge, 52
Rifkin, Jeremy, 125
Rob, J.M., 23
Roche Products Inc., 58-59
Romania, patent protection in, 163

Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation v. Genentech, 59
Seulley, John, 91
South Dakota, beef cattle production in, 117
Soybeans, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry for, 82-83

Species
impacts of transgenic animals on integrity of, 13-14, 98-102
right to exist, 13, 101-102
Standards. See Guidelines; Regulations
Stanford University (California), 30,56
Stewart, Timothy A., 99

Subject matter
categories for copyright protection, 43
jurisdiction and patentability, 37-39
obviousness and patentability, 40

Subject matter patents. See Design patents; Plant patents; Utility patents
Supreme Court, U.S., 11,74
biotechnology ruling by, 8-9,31,51-54
subject matter patentability limited by, 37, 39, 130-131
test for determining obviousness expressed by, 40
trade secret law decisions by, 46
Swiss Federal Intellectual Property Office, 21, 160, 161
Switzerland, national patent law of, 161

Technology Transfer Act of 1986, 8,55, 105
Texas, beef cattle production in, 117
Tomatoes, proprietary protection methods used in seed industry for, 83-84
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 104, 108
Trademark Act of 1946, 5,44
Trademarks, basic concepts and workings of, 5,44-46
Trade secrets, 25
as alternative to patent use, 17, 118-119, 120, 123-129
basic concepts and workings of, 7,46
plant protection available using plant patents, utility patents, and PVPCs v., 77-78

Transgene (F.R.G.), 23

Transgenic Animal Patent Reform Act (HR 4970), 24,30,32, 122

Transgenic animals
arguments against patenting, 18, 130-137
arguments for patenting, 18, 127-130
economic impacts from patenting, 16-17, 115,117-123
impact on species integrity from, 13-14, 98-102
methods and impacts of depositing, 20-21, 150
royalty collection from patents on, 121-122
techniques for producing, 12-13,92-98
see also Animals; Cattle; Livestock; Poultry

Treaties. See Agreements, international

Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (U.S.S.R.)
deposit access in, 148
intelectual property law in, 163
United Kingdom (U.K.), national patent law of, 161-162
United Nations (U.N.), 155
United States (U.S.). See Government, U.S. Federal
U.S. Government Principles for the Care and Use of Vertebrate-Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training, 104

Utility, as requirement for patent issuance, 39
Utility patents, 25
definition of, 70
plant protection using, 11,74-75
protection available using plant patents and PVPCs v., 76-77
three classes of, 39
see also Patents

Virus-Serum Toxin Act, 104

Wisconsin, milk production in, 116
World Council of Churches, 131
World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), 142, 144, 155, 157

Yoder Brothers Inc. v. California-Florida Plant Corp. et al., 72
Yugoslavia, patent protection in, 163