

# Contents

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
Introduction and Summary of Findings . . . . .	3
Method of Analysis . . . . .	5
Data Base. . . . .	5
Technical Screen . . . . .	5
Economic Screen . . . . .	5
Rate of Initiation of EOR Projects . . . . .	5
Cases Examined . . . . .	6
Oil Recovery Potential . . . . .	6
Ultimate Oil Recovery. . . . .	6
Rate of Oil Production. . . . .	7
Major Uncertainties. . . . .	7
Resource Availability and Process Performance . . . . .	8
Availability and Cost of Injection Materials. . . . .	8
Rate of Investment in EOR Projects . . . . .	9
Marketability of Heavy Crudes . . . . .	9
Combinations of Uncertainties . . . . .	9
Impact of Price and Tax Policies . . . . .	9
Price . . . . .	9
Special Tax Treatment for EOR Projects . . . . .	10
Price Guarantees and Subsidies for EOR Production. . . . .	11
Alternate OCS Leasing Systems . . . . .	11
Legal Issues . . . . .	12
Environmental Effects. . . . .	13
<b>II. AN ASSESSMENT OF THE POTENTIAL OF ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY . . . . .</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>III. OIL RECOVERY POTENTIAL . . . . .</b>	<b>23</b>
The Resource Base . . . . .	23
Original Oil In Place. . . . .	23
Petroleum Reservoirs. . . . .	23
Oil Recovery . . . . .	24
Primary Recovery . . . . .	24
Secondary Recovery . . . . .	24
Enhanced Recovery. . . . .	26
Thermal Processes . . . . .	27
Miscible Processes . . . . .	29
Chemical Processes . . . . .	31
Other EOR Processes. . . . .	31

Chapter	Page
<b>Oil Resource for Enhanced Oil Recovery processes . . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Data Base. . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Uncertainty in the Oil Resource. . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Methodology for Calculating Oil Recovery . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Technical Screen . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Calculation of Reservoir Production and Economics . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Final EOR Process Selection for Reservoirs Passing More Than</b>	
<b>One Technical Screen . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Ultimate Recovery for the Nation . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Rate of Production for the Nation . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Exclusion o Alaska . . . . .</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Estimated Oil Recovery. . . . .</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Definition of Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Case I: Advancing Technology– High-Process Performance. . . . .</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Case II:Advancing Technology– Low-Process Performance. . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Calculation Results . . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Low-and High-Process Performance Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Ultimate Oil Recovery by EOR Processes . . . . .</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Discussion of Results. . . . .</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Projected Results for the United States . . . . .</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Ultimate Recovery. . . . .</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Production Rate. . . . .</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Uncertainties in Projections. . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Uncertainties in Ultimate Recovery . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Uncertainties in Projected Production Rates . . . . .</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Effect of Uncertainty in the Residual Oil Saturation and</b>	
<b>Volumetric Sweep on Projected Results . . . . .</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Residual Oil Saturation . . . . .</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Volumetric Sweep.... . . . .</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Maximum Oil Recovery by EOR Processes . . . . .</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Comparison With Other Studies . . . . .</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>OTA-NPC Results . . . . .</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>OTA-FEA, ERDA Results . . . . .</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Technological Constraintson EOR . . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Resource Availability. . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Process Performance. . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Process Mechanisms . . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Volumetric Sweep Efficiency . . . . .</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Brine-Compatible Injection Fluids . . . . .</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Development of Additional Processes Applicable to</b>	
<b>Carbonate Reservoirs. . . . .</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Operating Problems. . . . .</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Process Field Tests . . . . .</b>	<b>61</b>

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
Reservoir Characteristics . . . . .	62
Raw Material Availability . . . . .	62
Human Resources . . . . .	63
Environmental Effects . . . . .	63
The Rate of Technology Evolution. . . . .	63
The ERDA Programs . . . . .	64
IV. IMPACTS OF PRICE AND TAX POLICIES ON OIL RECOVERY. . . . .	69
Policy Considerations . . . . .	69
Policy Options. . . . .	69
Analytical Approach. . . . .	70
Analysis of Government Policy Options . . . . .	71
Reservoir Sample. . . . .	71
Analysis Assuming Information Certainty . . . . .	71
Price Analysis. . . . .	71
Analysis of Other Policy Options. . . . .	74
Analysis Assuming Information Uncertainty. . . . .	76
Option Designed To Alleviate Uncertainty . . . . .	76
Analysis Assuming a Rising Real Price . . . . .	78
Impact of Alternative OCS Leasing Systems . . . . .	79
Administrative Issues . . . . .	80
V. LEGAL ASPECTS OF ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY . . . . .	85
Method of Approach. . . . .	85
Legal Issues in EOR Development. . . . .	85
Policy Options. . . . .	88
VI. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES. . . . .	91
Physiographic Regions. . . . .	91
Continental Shelf. . . . .	91
Coastal Plains . . . . .	91
Interior Basins . . . . .	91
Mountain Ranges. . . . .	92
Causes of Environmental Effects. . . . .	92
Potential Impacts on the Environment . . . . .	94
Air Quality Impacts . . . . .	94
Air Pollution Impacts of Thermal Recovery Methods . . . . .	95
Air Pollution Impacts of Miscible Flooding Recovery Methods . . . . .	97

Chapter	Page
Air Pollution Impacts of Chemical Recovery Methods . . . . .	97
Surface Water . . . . .	98
Ground Water . . . . .	100
Land Use . . . . .	100
Geologic Hazards . . . . .	100
Noise . . . . .	101
Biota . . . . .	101
Process Independent Impacts . . . . .	101
Process Dependent Impacts. . . . .	102

**APPENDIXES**

A. Oil Resource for Enhanced Recovery Projections . . . . .	109
B. Supporting Materials for Oil Recovery Projections From Application of Enhanced Oil Recovery Processes . . . . .	143
C. Legal Aspects of Enhanced Oil Recovery . . . . .	197

<b>GLOSSARY . . . . .</b>	<b>235</b>
---------------------------	------------

**LIST OF TABLES**

<i>Table Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Estimates of Ultimate Recoverable Oil and Daily Production Rates From EOR: Advancing Technology Case With 10-Percent Minimum Acceptable Rate of Return . . . . .	7
2. Proved Reserves of Crude Oil in the United States, 1959-76 . . . . .	18
3. U.S. Domestic Production and Imports of Oil, 1959-76. . . . .	18
4. Estimates of Enhanced Oil Recovery Potential. . . . .	19
5. Historical Record of Production, Proved Reserves, Ultimate Recovery, and Original Oil in Place, Cumulatively by Year Total United States <sup>a</sup> . . . . .	26
6. Extent of the Reservoir Data Base Utilized in This Assessment of Enhanced Oil Recovery Potential . . . . .	34
7. Technical Screen—Enhanced Oil Recovery Processes. . . . .	36
8. Schedule of Starting Dates Based on Rate-of-Return Criterion. . . . .	37
9. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology—Low- and High-Process Performance Cases—All Processes. . . . .	40
10. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology—Low- and High-Process Performance Cases—Steam Drive Process. . . . .	40
11. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology—Low- and High-Process Performance Cases—In Situ Combustion. . . . .	41
12. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology—Low- and High-Process Performance Cases—Surfactant/Polymer . . . . .	41

List of Tab/es – Continued

<i>Table Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
13. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology– Low- and High- Process Performance Cases–Carbon Dioxide Miscible . . . . .	42
14. Estimated Recoveries for Advancing Technology– Low- and High-Process Performance Cases — Polymer-Augmented Waterflooding . . . . .	43
15. Ultimate Recovery by State– High-Process Performance . . . . .	43
16. Extrapolation of Ultimate Oil Recovery From Data Base Calculations to the Nation–World Oil Price (\$13.75/bbl). . . . .	44
17. Summary of Oil Recovery Evaluations— Data Base Reservoirs . . . . .	45
18. Projected Distribution of Known Oil in the United States . . . . .	46
19. Uncertainty in Projections of Ultimate Recovery for Advancing Technology Cases. . . . .	46
20. Comparison of Technological Assumptions for the Surfactant/Polymer Process . . . . .	48
21. Comparison of Ultimate Recovery Under Two Technological Scenarios, Both Assuming High-Process Performance— Surfactant/Polymer Process . . . . .	48
22. High-Process Performance at World Oil Price (\$13.75/bbl). . . . .	50
23. Impact of Technological Advances in Emission Control in California Thermal Recovery Projects on Projected Rates for the United States United States at World Oil Price (\$13.75/bbl) . . . . .	50
24. Projections of Ultimate Recovery and Production Rate From the Application of Enhanced Oil Recovery Processes . . . . .	53
25. Field Activity in Enhanced Oil Recovery. . . . .	62
26. Number and Percent of Reservoirs Sampled by EOR Process. . . . .	72
27. EOR Reservoir Development Production by Process and Price Level . . . . .	72
28. Price Elasticity of Supply Comparison . . . . .	74
29. EOR Development by Process and Policy Option. . . . .	75
30. Input Variables and Subjective Probability Distributions Used for Monte Carlo Simulations . . . . .	76
31. Monte Carlo Simulation of Policy Option Impacts in Reducing Uncertainty ..	77
32. Monte Carlo Simulation of EOR Oil Price Deregulation. . . . .	79
33. Monte Carlo Simulation of OCS Leasing Systems and EOR Potential. . . . .	81
34. Comparative Chart of Aspects of Unitization Statutes. . . . .	87
35. Matrix Evaluation of Relative Potential for Environmental Impacts for Enhanced Oil Recovery . . . . .	93
36. Potential Distribution of Environmental Impacts for Enhanced Oil Recovery . .	94
37. Cross Plot of Environmental Impacts for Enhanced Oil <b>Recovery</b> . . . . .	<b>94</b>
38. <b>Emission Factors</b> for Fuel Oil Combustion . . . . .	95
39. Steam Generator Emissions . . . . .	95
40. Projected Emissions from Steam Flooding of a Major Oil Field Compared to Los Angeles County Emissions . . . . .	96
41. Potential Biological Impacts Resulting From EOR . . . . .	101

## LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure Number</i>		<i>Page</i>
1.	Projected Oil Production by Conventional Methods From Known U.S. Reservoirs, 1976-95 . . . . .	17
2.	Closeup of Oil Between Grains of Rock . . . . .	23
3.	Oil Accumulation in the <b>Top</b> of a Dome. . . . .	25
4.	Oil Accumulation in a Dome at the <b>Top of a Salt Dome and Also in</b> a Region on the Side of the Dome . . . . .	25
5.	Oil Accumulation Caused by a Fault . . . . .	25
6.	Oil Trapped by Overlying Impervious Cap Rock , . . . .	25
7.	Oil Trapped Within Larger Body of Impervious Shale . . . . .	25
8.	Cyclic Steam Stimulation Process. . . . .	28
9.	Steam Drive Process (Steam Flood). . . . .	29
10.	In Situ Combustion Process—Wet Combustion . . . . .	30
11.	Carbon Dioxide Miscible Flooding Process. . . . .	32
12.	Surfactant Flooding Process. . . . .	32
13.	Projected Production From Known U.S. Reservoirs, 1976-95, by Conventional . Methods and by Enhanced Oil Recovery at World Oil Price . . . . .	47
14.	Water Use and Supplies . . . . .	99