International Friends and Enemies

Benny Kleinman
Princeton University

Ernest Liu
Princeton University

Stephen J. Redding
Princeton University, NBER and CEPR

Motivation

- Rapid economic growth in China and other emerging countries has seen a dramatic change in relative economic size of nations
  - Classic question in international trade is the effect of such economic growth on income and welfare in trade partners
  - Related question in political economy is whether such changes in relative economic size heighten political tension (*Thucydides Trap*)

Motivation

- Rapid economic growth in China and other emerging countries has seen a dramatic change in relative economic size of nations
    - Classic question in international trade is the effect of such economic growth on income and welfare in trade partners
    - Related question in political economy is whether such changes in relative economic size heighten political tension (*Thucydides Trap*)

- We provide new theory and evidence on both of these questions
    - Develop bilateral "friends" and "enemies" measures of countries' income and welfare exposure to foreign productivity shocks
    - Can be computed using only observed trade data
    - Exact for small productivity shocks in the class of international trade models characterized by a constant trade elasticity
    - For large shocks, we characterize the quality of the approximation in terms of observed trade matrices, and show in practice almost exact
    - Computationally fast ($>$ 1 million comparative statics in seconds)
    - Reveal economic mechanisms underlying quantitative results
    - Easy to examine sensitivity of quantitative results across alternative models (e.g. many sectors, input-output linkages, economic geography)

This Paper

- First-order effect of a productivity shock in a given country on welfare in each country depends on three matrices of observed trade shares
  - Expenditure shares (S): expenditure share importer on exporter
  - Income share (T): share exporter value added from each importer
  - Cross-substitution matrix (M): how ↑ competitiveness of one country → consumers substitute away all other countries in each market

This Paper

- First-order effect of a productivity shock in a given country on welfare in each country depends on three matrices of observed trade shares
  - Expenditure shares (S): expenditure share importer on exporter
  - Income share (T): share exporter value added from each importer
  - Cross-substitution matrix (M): how ↑ competitiveness of one country → consumers substitute away all other countries in each market

- Use this matrix representation to reveal economic mechanisms
  - Income exposure: market-size and cross-substitution effect
  - Welfare exposure: income exposure and cost-of-living effect
  - Partial and general equilibrium effects
  - Evaluate contribution of individual sectors
  - Evaluate contribution of importer, exporter and third markets

This Paper

- First-order effect of a productivity shock in a given country on welfare in each country depends on three matrices of observed trade shares
  - Expenditure shares (S): expenditure share importer on exporter
  - Income share (T): share exporter value added from each importer
  - Cross-substitution matrix (M): how ↑ competitiveness of one country → consumers substitute away all other countries in each market

- Use this matrix representation to reveal economic mechanisms
  - Income exposure: market-size and cross-substitution effect
  - Welfare exposure: income exposure and cost-of-living effect
  - Partial and general equilibrium effects
  - Evaluate contribution of individual sectors
  - Evaluate contribution of importer, exporter and third markets

- Empirical application using NBER world trade data from 1970-2012
  - Impact of productivity shocks on global income and welfare
  - Almost exact approximation to exact-hat algebra for magnitude of productivity shocks implied by the observed data ($R^2 > 0.999$)
  - As countries become greater economic friends, they also become greater political friends, as measured by UN voting and strategic rivalries

Related Literature

- Theoretical work on the incidence of trade and productivity shocks
  - Hicks (1953), Johnson (1955), Bhagwati (1958)

- Quantitative trade models
  - Eaton and Kortum (2002), Costinot, Donaldson & Komunjer (2012), Caliendo & Parro (2015), Hsieh & Ossa (2016), Caliendo, Parro, Rossi-Hansberg & Sarte (2018), Monte, Redding & Rossi-Hansberg (2018), Dvorkin, Caliendo & Parro (2019)

- Research on sufficient statistics for welfare in international trade
  - Arkolakis, Costinot & Rodriguez-Clare (2012), Adão, Costinot & Donaldson (2017), Adão, Arkolakis and Esposito (2019), Baqaee & Farhi (2019), Galle, Rodriguez-Clare & Yi (2019), Huo, Levchenko & Pandalai-Nayar (2019), Barthelme, Lan & Levchenko (2019), Adão, Arkolakis & Ganapati (2020)

- Empirical evidence on trade and productivity shocks including China
  - Topolova (2010), Kovak (2013), Autor, Dorn & Hanson (2013, 2014), Hsieh & Ossa (2016), Dix-Carneiro & Kovak (2017), Amiti, Dai, Feenstra & Romalis (2019), Pierce & Schott (2019), Borusyak & Jaravel (2019), Sager & Jaravel (2019).

- Empirical research using bilateral country attitudes and UN voting
  - Scott (1955), Cohen (1960), Signorio & Ritter (1999), Kuziemko & Werker (2006), Bao, Liu, Qiu & Zhu (2019), Häge (2011), Guiso, Sapienza & Zingales (2009)

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results

- Conclusions

General Armington

- Goods differentiated by country of origin with homothetic preferences

$$u_n = \frac{w_n}{\mathcal{P}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_n\right)}, \qquad p_{ni} \equiv \frac{\tau_{ni} w_i}{z_i}$$

- Market clearing

$$w_i \ell_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} s_{ni} w_n \ell_n, \qquad s_{ni} = \frac{e_{ni}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_n\right)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} e_{n\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_n\right)}$$

- Totally differentiate market clearing and welfare, holding constant trade costs (τ_{ni}) and endowments (ℓ_i)

$$d\ln w_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{in} \left(d\ln w_n + \left[\sum_{h=1}^{N} \left[\theta_{nih} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_{nk} \theta_{nkh} \right] \left[d\ln w_h - d\ln z_h \right] \right] \right)$$

$$t_{in} \equiv \frac{s_{ni} w_n \ell_n}{w_i \ell_i}, \qquad \theta_{nih} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial e_{ni}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_n\right)}{\partial p_{nh}} \frac{p_{nh}}{e_{ni}} \right)$$

$$d\ln u_n = d\ln w_n - \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ni} \left[d\ln w_i - d\ln z_i \right]$$

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results

- Conclusions

Constant Elasticity Armington

- Consider ACR class of models with constant trade elasticity θ

(income exposure)
$$d \ln w_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{in} \left(d \ln w_n + \theta \left(\sum_{h=1}^{N} \begin{array}{l} s_{nh} \left[d \ln w_h - d \ln z_h \right] \\ - \left[d \ln w_i - d \ln z_i \right] \end{array} \right) \right)$$

(welfare exposure)
$$d \ln u_n = d \ln w_n - \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ni} \left[d \ln w_i - d \ln z_i \right]$$

Constant Elasticity Armington

- Consider ACR class of models with constant trade elasticity θ

(income exposure)
$$\mathrm{d}\ln w_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{in} \left(\mathrm{d}\ln w_n + \theta \left(\sum_{h=1}^{N} \begin{array}{c} s_{nh}\left[\, \mathrm{d}\ln w_h - \mathrm{d}\ln z_h \,\right] \\ -\left[\, \mathrm{d}\ln w_i - \mathrm{d}\ln z_i \,\right] \end{array} \right) \right)$$

(welfare exposure)
$$\mathrm{d}\ln u_n = \mathrm{d}\ln w_n - \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{ni}\left[\, \mathrm{d}\ln w_i - \mathrm{d}\ln z_i \,\right]$$

- Bilateral friend-enemy income and welfare exposures obtained from matrix inversion (row i, column n) ▸ more ▸ T ▸ M ▸ S ▸ acr

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{w}}_{\text{income effect}} = \underbrace{\boldsymbol{T}\,\mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{w}}_{\text{market-size effect}} + \underbrace{\theta \boldsymbol{M} \times (\, \mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{w} - \mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{z})}_{\text{cross-substitution effect}}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{u}}_{\text{welfare effect}} = \underbrace{\mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{w}}_{\text{income effect}} - \underbrace{\boldsymbol{S}\,(\, \mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{w} - \mathrm{d}\ln \boldsymbol{z})}_{\text{price index effect}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{in} = t_{in} \equiv \frac{s_{ni} w_n \ell_n}{w_i \ell_i}, \qquad \boldsymbol{M}_{in} = \left[\boldsymbol{TS} - \boldsymbol{I}\right]_{in} = \sum_{h=1}^{N} t_{ih} s_{hn} - 1_{n=i}, \qquad \boldsymbol{S}_{ni} = s_{ni}$$

Comparison with Exact-Hat Algebra

- Compare Dekle, Eaton and Kortum (2007) exact-hat algebra to our friend-enemy (first-order) linearization for productivity shocks:

$$\ln \hat{w}_i = \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + 1} \right) \ln \hat{z}_i + \frac{1}{\theta + 1} \ln \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{in} \frac{\hat{w}_n}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} s_{n\ell} \hat{w}_\ell^{-\theta} \hat{z}_\ell^{\theta}} \right]$$

$$\ln \hat{w}_i \simeq \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + 1} \right) \ln \hat{z}_i + \frac{1}{\theta + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} t_{in} \left[\begin{array}{c} \ln \left(\hat{w}_n \right) \\ + \theta \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} s_{n\ell} \left[\ln \left(\hat{w}_\ell \right) + \ln \left(\hat{z}_\ell \right) \right] \end{array} \right]$$

- Log of a weighted mean versus a weighted mean of logs
- These expressions are equal to one another: (i) no trade $t_{nn} \to 1$, $s_{nn} \to 1$; (ii) free trade
- We characterize the quality of the approximation analytically as a function of the properties of observed trade matrices $\boldsymbol{S}$, $\boldsymbol{T}$, $\boldsymbol{M}$ ▸ more
- In practice, we find the approximation to be almost exact, even for large productivity shocks, given the observed trade matrices ▸ more

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions
  - Trade Imbalance ▸ more
  - Productivity and trade cost changes ▸ more
  - Small departures from constant trade elasticity ▸ more
  - Multiple industries (CDK) ▸ back
  - Multiple industries and input-output linkages (CP) ▸ more
  - Economic geography (Helpman model) ▸ more

- Data

- Empirical Results

- Conclusions

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results

- Conclusions

Data

- International trade data
  - United Nations COMTRADE data
  - NBER World Trade Database 1970-2012

- Income, population and distance data
  - CEPII Gravity Database 1970-2012

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results
  - Quality of the approximation for large shocks
  - Global productivity shocks, income and welfare
  - Economic and political friends and enemies

- Conclusions

Quality of Approximation for Productivity Shocks

- Use exact-hat algebra to recover (up to normalization) changes in trade costs ($\hat{\tau}_{ni}^{-\theta}$) and productivity ($\hat{z}_n$) that exactly rationalize observed trade data ▸ more
- Undertake exact-hat algebra counterfactual for a change in productivity ($\hat{z}_n$)
- Compare the exact-hat algebra counterfactuals for bilateral income responses ($\ln \hat{w}_i$) to the predictions of our linearization ($\boldsymbol{W} d \log \boldsymbol{z}$)

Quality of Approximation for Productivity Shocks

- Use exact-hat algebra to recover (up to normalization) changes in trade costs ($\hat{\tau}_{ni}^{-\theta}$) and productivity ($\hat{z}_n$) that exactly rationalize observed trade data ▸ more
- Undertake exact-hat algebra counterfactual for a change in productivity ($\hat{z}_n$)
- Compare the exact-hat algebra counterfactuals for bilateral income responses ($\ln \hat{w}_i$) to the predictions of our linearization ($\boldsymbol{W} d \log \boldsymbol{z}$)

Log-Change in Wages: Hat-Algebra VS Approximation
2000-2010 Productivity Shocks

Monte Carlo Simulation

Approximation Quality: Monte-Carlo Simulations
2000-2010 Productivity Shocks; Elasticity = 5

Regression Coefficient: Exact Solution on Approximation

Coefficient of Correlation

- 1,000 simulations from empirical distribution productivity shocks ▶ th2 ▶ th20
- Better approximation for productivity shocks than trade cost shocks ▶ tau

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results
  - Quality of the approximation
  - Global productivity shocks, income and welfare
  - Economic and political friends and enemies

- Conclusions

Global Welfare Exposure

- Growing average economic interdependence, consistent with increasing globalization over our sample period

Global Welfare Exposure

- Growing dispersion in economic interdependence, consistent with increasing globalization over our sample period

Global Network Welfare Exposure 1970

Global Network Welfare Exposure 1985

Global Network Welfare Exposure 2000

Global Network Welfare Exposure 2012

Welfare Exposure N. America

(a) 1970

(b) 2012

- Growing US-Mexico, Mexico-China and Mexico-US exposure

Welfare Exposure Asia

(a) 1970

(b) 2012

- China replaces Japan at the center of Asian trade ▸ CentralEurope

Summary of Other Empirical Results

- Strong general equilibrium effects, such that inferring welfare exposure from partial equilibrium terms can be misleading ▸ more
- Both market-size and cross-substitution effects are substantial relative to overall income exposure ▸ more
- Cost-of-living effect large relative to income exposure, such that income exposure can be poor guide to welfare exposure ▸ more
- Economically relevant importer, exporter & third-market effects ▸ more
- Strong correlation between aggregate welfare predictions of single-sector, multi-sector and input-output models ▸ more
- Multi-sector and input-output models have additional disaggregated predictions for sector income exposure ▸ more

3rd Market Effects: US Exposure to China

- Third market effects depend on the share of US income derived from a market times the share of that market's expenditure on China ▸ more

Chinese Productivity Growth and Importer Welfare

- Strong correlation between aggregate predictions of all three models

Industry Income Exposure

Exposure to China in South-East Asia

Exposure to China in Commodity-Intensive Markets

- Largest income effects in Electrical Sector in South-East Asia and in Extractive Sectors in commodity-intensive emerging economies

Outline

- General Armington

- Constant Elasticity Armington

- Extensions

- Data

- Empirical Results

  – Quality of the approximation
  – Global productivity shocks, income and welfare
  – Economic and political friends and enemies ▶ more

- Conclusions

Conclusions

- We examine the classic economic question of the impact of foreign economic growth on domestic income and welfare

Conclusions

- We examine the classic economic question of the impact of foreign economic growth on domestic income and welfare

- Develop new bilateral "friends" and "enemies" measures of countries' income and welfare exposure to foreign productivity shocks
    - Can be computed using only observed trade data
    - Exact for small productivity shocks in the class of international trade models characterized by a constant trade elasticity
    - For large shocks, we characterize the quality of the approximation in terms of observed trade matrices, and show in practice almost exact
    - Computationally fast ($>$ 1 million comparative statics in seconds)
    - Reveal economic mechanisms of market-size, cross-substitution and cost-of-living effects underlying quantitative results
    - Quantify the role of partial and general equilibrium effects
    - Easy to examine sensitivity of quantitative results across alternative models (e.g. many sectors, input-output linkages, economic geography)

Conclusions

- We examine the classic economic question of the impact of foreign economic growth on domestic income and welfare

- Develop new bilateral "friends" and "enemies" measures of countries' income and welfare exposure to foreign productivity shocks
  - Can be computed using only observed trade data
  - Exact for small productivity shocks in the class of international trade models characterized by a constant trade elasticity
  - For large shocks, we characterize the quality of the approximation in terms of observed trade matrices, and show in practice almost exact
  - Computationally fast ($>$ 1 million comparative statics in seconds)
  - Reveal economic mechanisms of market-size, cross-substitution and cost-of-living effects underlying quantitative results
  - Quantify the role of partial and general equilibrium effects
  - Easy to examine sensitivity of quantitative results across alternative models (e.g. many sectors, input-output linkages, economic geography)

- Empirical application using NBER world trade data from 1970-2012
  - Almost exact approximation to exact-hat algebra for magnitude of productivity shocks implied by the observed data ($R^2 > 0.999$)
  - As countries become greater economic friends, they also become greater political friends, as measured by UN voting and strategic rivalries

Thank You